

Appendix 1: Projects Evaluation Data

Contents

1. Project Proposal scrutinizing items.....	1
Project Planning (PP)	1
Threats and Risks (TR)	2
Financial Analysis (FA)	3
Environmental Sustainability Requirements:.....	4
Social Sustainability Requirements:.....	5
Economic Sustainability Requirements:.....	7
2. KioskAutomatesVehicleLicensing-AdpLT2319	9
3. ManagementSystem-AdpIT963	14
4. NationalServiceAndFederalReserveSystem-AdpIT786.....	20
5. SecuritySystemCompaniesV.3-AdpIT5981	26
6. SmartLibraryWebsite-AdpIT786.....	32
7. SmsSystemV1.0-AdpLT7823.....	38
8. StatisticsPortsSecuritySystem-AdpLT7801	45
9. TrafficLicencingSysV4.0-AdpTR7531	50
10. VisitorReceptionSystem-AdpIT431	56
11. ConditionNotebookV2-AdpLT9765D	63

Appendix 1

1. Project Proposal scrutinizing items

In order to evaluate projects overall 49 Project Proposal scrutinizing items have been confirmed as suitable for the case study organisation (i.e. ADP). The scrutinizing items for all seven areas of evaluation are presented Here. The project proposals are evaluated as per the following scales for each of the areas:

AS – Agree strongly; Scale = 4

A – Agree; Scale = 3

N – Neither agree nor disagree; Scale = 2

D – Disagree; Scale = 1

DS – Disagree strongly; Scale = 0

Project Planning (PP)

Cooperate Strategy Requirements Project Planning (PP)	Agree strongly (4)	Agree (3)	Neither agree nor disagree (2)	Disagree (1)	Disagree strongly (0)
Sound technical planning of the project	Detailed and Realistic technical planning of the project has been provided.	Sound technical planning of the project has been provided.	Reasonable technical planning of the project has been provided.	Technical planning of the project is not satisfactory.	No technical planning of the project.
Appropriateness of resource requirements for the project	Detailed and realistic resource requirements for the project have been provided.	Resource requirements for the project are sound.	Reasonable resource requirements for the project have been provided.	Resource requirements for the project are unsatisfactory.	No resource requirements for the project have been provided.
Reasonable planning to measure the project progress has been provided.	Detailed and realistic planning to measure the project progress has been provided.	A sound planning to measure the project progress has been provided.	A reasonable planning to measure the project progress has been provided.	Planning to measure the project progress is unsatisfactory.	No planning to measure the project progress.
Reasonable estimation of project start date and end date has been made.	A realistic estimation of project start date and end date.	An appropriate estimation of project start date and end date.	Reasonable estimation of project start date and end date.	The estimation of project start date and end date is unsatisfactory.	No estimation of project start date and end date.
The project milestone and the timeline of milestones have been realistically estimated.	The project milestone and the timeline of milestones are detailed and have been realistically estimated.	Sensible and descriptive project milestone and the timeline of milestones.	Reasonable project milestone and the timeline of milestones.	The project milestone and the timeline of milestones are unsatisfactory.	No project milestone and the timeline of milestones have been provided.
Project is needed for the delivery of future corporate services	This project is critical for delivery of future corporate services of the organisation	This project will definitely contribute towards the delivery of future corporate	This project will somewhat aid to delivery of future corporate services of the	This project is not critical for delivery of future corporate services of the	This project can have negative effect on the delivery of future

Appendix 1

		services of the organisation	organisation	organisation.	corporate services.
Appropriate project time limits and its adherence to organisational corporate strategy	The project can be completed within the maximum time limit provided by the organisational corporate strategy.	The project can be completed within the maximum time limit provided by the organisational corporate strategy.	The project may be completed within the maximum time limit provided by the organisational corporate strategy.	The project can only be partially completed within the maximum time limit provided by the organisational corporate strategy.	The project can never be completed within the maximum time limit provided by the organisational corporate strategy.

Threats and Risks (TR)

Describe project threats/risks that are expected to occur during the implementation of the project and their mitigation strategy

Cooperate Strategy Requirements	Agree strongly (4)	Agree (3)	Neither agree nor disagree (2)	Disagree (1)	Disagree strongly (0)
Threats and Risks (TR):					
Staff experiences of managing or running such a project.	Current staff has previous and common experiences of managing or running quite a similar project.	Current staff has previous and common experiences of managing or running such a project.	Current staff has experiences of running such a project but not a management experience or vice versa.	Staff has very limited previous experience of running such a project.	Staff has no experience of running any such project.
Strategic alignment risks.	The risks from strategic alignment issues been considered and planned for mitigation.	Most risks from strategic alignment issues been considered and satisfactorily planned for mitigation.	The risks from strategic alignment issues have been somewhat considered and/or only partly planned for mitigation.	The risks from strategic alignment issues are partly considered.	The risks from strategic alignment are not considered.
Financial investments risks.	The risks related to financial investments has been considered and planed for mitigation.	Most risks related to financial investments has been considered and satisfactorily planed for mitigation.	The risks related to financial investments have been somewhat considered and/or only partly planed for mitigation.	The risks related to financial investments are partly considered.	The risks related to financial investments are not considered.
Organisational and management risks	All risks of organisational and management nature have been considered and planed for mitigation.	The major risks of an organisational and management nature have been considered and planed for mitigation.	The risks of an organisational and management nature have been somewhat considered and/or only partly planed for mitigation.	The risks of an organisational and management nature have been only partly considered.	The risks of an organisational and/or management nature not considered.
Organisational cultural and climate risks	All organisational cultural and climate risks been considered and planed for mitigation.	Major organisational cultural and climate risks been considered and planed for mitigation.	The organisational cultural and climate risks have been somewhat considered and/or only partly planed for mitigation.	The organisational cultural and climate risks are partly considered.	The organisational cultural and/or climate risks are not considered.

Appendix 1

Risks in the project implementation and delivery	All risks related to the delay (in time scale) in the delivery and implementation of the project have been considered and planned for mitigation.	Major risks related to the delay (in time scale) in the delivery and implementation of the project have been considered and planned for mitigation.	The risks related to the delay (in time scale) in the delivery and implementation of the project has been somewhat considered and/or only partly planned for mitigation.	The risks related to the delay (in time scale) in the delivery and implementation of the project is partly considered.	Risks in the project implementation and delivery are not considered.
Availability of resources risks	Current staff has adequate experience for operating such a project post delivery and/or other outside resources have been realistically identified.	Current staff has satisfactory experience for operating such a project post delivery and/or other outside resources have been identified.	Current staff has fair experience for operating such a project post delivery and/or other outside resources have been partly identified.	Current staff has no experience for operating such a project post and/or no outside resources have been realistically identified.	It is not possible for anyone in the current staff to operate such a project and/or no outside resources can be engaged in this project.

Financial Analysis (FA)

Cooperate Strategy Requirements Financial Analysis (FA)	Agree strongly (4)	Agree (3)	Neither agree nor disagree (2)	Disagree (1)	Disagree strongly (0)
Provision of financial estimated budget	A complete financial estimated budget for the project has been provided.	A satisfactory financial estimated budget for the project has been provided.	A financial estimated budget for the project has been provided but is vague or has limitation.	An incomplete financial estimated budget for the project has been provided.	The estimated budget for the project is not provided.
Appropriateness of requested project budget	The total requested project budget is fully realistic.	The total requested project budget is fine.	The total requested project budget is somewhat ok but has limitations or concerns identified by the project management.	The total requested project budget is unrealistic.	The total requested project budget is either not provided or is completely unjustified.
Relevance of requested project budget to organisational initiatives	The project budget is related to more than one organisational initiative.	The project budget is related to one of the organisational initiatives.	The project budget is supporting one or more organisational initiatives.	The project budget is not related or supporting to any of organisational initiatives.	The project budget is damaging to organisational initiatives.
Appropriate breakdown of cost	A realistic and micro level breakdown of project cost has been provided.	A fair micro level breakdown of project cost has been provided.	A breakdown of project cost has been provided.	An incomplete breakdown of project cost has been provided.	Breakdown of project cost is not provided.
Project budget adherence to organisational strategic policies	The requested project budget is and “expected to remain” within the limits defined by the organisational strategic policies.	The requested project budget is within the limits defined by the organisational strategic policies.	The requested project budget is up to +5% within the limits defined by the organisational strategic policies.	The requested project budget is costing more than +5% from the limits defined by the organisational strategic policies.	The requested project budget is far more than the limits defined by the organisational strategic policies.
Reasonable project operating	Detailed and justified	Reasonable project	Satisfactory project	Unsatisfactory project	Project operating costs are

Appendix 1

costs	project operating costs have been provided.	operating costs have been provided.	operating costs have been provided.	operating costs have been provided.	either not provided or are completely unreasonable.
Lifespan of project design	The design of project (including hardware, software and installations) is justifiably maximizing its lifespan with a minimum level of cost for care and maintenance.	The design of project (including hardware, software and installations) is nearly maximizing its lifespan with a fair level of cost for care and maintenance.	The design of project (including hardware, software and installations) is reasonable with a fair level of cost for care and maintenance.	The design of project (including hardware, software and installations) is not maximizing its lifespan and/or involves a considerable cost for care and maintenance.	The design of project (including hardware, software and installations) is either not provided or can never meet it lifespan and/or involves a huge cost for care and maintenance.

Environmental Sustainability Requirements:

Has the project considered environmental implications so that negative impacts on environment are either avoided or mitigated?

Environmental Sustainability Requirements	Agree strongly (4)	Agree (3)	Neither agree nor disagree (2)	Disagree (1)	Disagree strongly (0)
Alignment with the National Green IT Strategy.	Project proposal is fully aligned with the National Green IT Strategy.	Project proposal is closely aligned with the National Green IT Strategy.	Project proposal is somewhat aligned with the National Green IT Strategy.	Project proposal is not aligned with the National IT Strategy.	Project proposal is contradicting with National Green IT Strategy.
Use of existing hardware resource	The project completely based on the use of existing organisational hardware resource.	The project is mostly using existing organisational hardware resource.	The project is using some of the existing organisational hardware resource.	The project is not using any existing organisational hardware resource.	The project is not using any existing hardware resources and requires replacement of existing organisational hardware resource.
Consumption of electricity, paper, cooling and space	Complete measures have been considered on consuming less electricity, less paper, less cooling and space.	Partial measures have been considered on consuming less electricity, less paper, less cooling and space.	Some evidence of measures been taken on consuming less electricity, less paper, less cooling and space.	No measures have been considered on consuming less electricity, less paper, less cooling and space.	Project requires extra ordinary consumption of electricity, paper, cooling and space.
Consolidations of infrastructure and related technologies	Through consolidations of infrastructure and related technologies have been considered and the use of centralized deployment architecture design has been ensured.	Some consolidations of infrastructure and related technologies have been considered and the use of centralized deployment architecture design has been considered.	Partial consolidations of infrastructure and related technologies have been considered or the use of centralized deployment architecture design has been considered.	Consolidations of infrastructure and related technologies are not considered.	The project can put negative effect on the existing consolidated infrastructure and related technologies
End users endorsement	End users have fully	End users have endorsed the	End users have endorsed the	End users have not	End users have declined to

Appendix 1

	endorsed the project in terms of reduction of printing, promotion of paper free environment, increased digitization of document and increase of workflow automation (if relevant).	project in terms of reduction of printing, OR promotion of paper free environment OR increased digitization of document OR increase of workflow automation (if relevant).	project with some reservations in terms of reduction of printing OR promotion of paper free environment OR increased digitization of document OR increase of workflow automation (if relevant).	endorsed the project in terms of any of the followings i.e. reduction of printing, promotion of paper free environment, increased digitization of document and increase of workflow automation (if relevant).	endorse the project.
Administrators consultation and agreement on the environment aspects of the technology	Administrators have been consulted and complete agreement has been obtained on the environment aspects of the technology components e.g. raw material usage and emissions (air, water, land) and other environmental impacts (global warming, ozone depletion, acidification, human toxicity, eco-toxicity, summer smog, eutrophication).	Administrators have been consulted and agreement obtained on some of the environment aspects of the technology components i.e. raw material usage and emissions (air, water, land) and other environmental impacts (global warming, ozone depletion, acidification, human toxicity, eco-toxicity, summer smog, eutrophication).	Administrators have been consulted and agreement obtained on some of the environment aspects of the technology but with reservations e.g. raw material usage and emissions (air, water, land) and any other environmental impacts (global warming, ozone depletion, acidification, human toxicity, eco-toxicity, summer smog, eutrophication).	Administrators have been consulted but no agreement was obtained on any of the environment aspects of the technology components.	Administrators have not been consulted on the environment aspects of the technology components.
Effects on the arable permanent cropland area (i.e. land capable of being ploughed and used to grow crops)	It has been confirmed that the project does not have any negative effect on the arable permanent cropland area.	It has been confirmed that the project does not have any adverse effect on the arable permanent cropland area and any minor possible effects of the project have been properly documented and communicated to the department.	It has been confirmed that the project does not have any adverse effect on the arable permanent cropland area; however, there could be minor effects but that are not properly documented.	The project effects on the arable permanent cropland area are not clear or confirmed.	It has been confirmed that the project will have adverse effect on the arable permanent cropland area.

Social Sustainability Requirements:

Has the project considered social implications so that negative impacts on society are either avoided or mitigated?

Social Sustainability Requirements	Agree strongly (4)	Agree (3)	Neither agree nor disagree (2)	Disagree (1)	Disagree strongly (0)
Equal community access to the project facilities.	The entire community will have access to the project	A large proportion of the community (i.e. about more	A good proportion of the community (i.e. around or	A small proportion of the community (i.e. less than	Only a minute (i.e. 15% or less) proportion of the

Appendix 1

	facilities and/or will benefit from it.	then 70%) will have access to the project facilities and/or will benefit from it.	more than 40%) will have access to the project facilities and/or will benefit from it.	40% and more than 15%) will have access to the project facilities and/or will benefit from it.	community will have access to the project facilities and/or will benefit from it.
Consistency with provincial, sectorial and national environmental priorities.	The proposed project is fully consistent with provincial, sectorial and national environmental priorities.	The proposed project is partially consistent with provincial, sectorial and national environmental priorities.	The proposed project is somewhat consistent with provincial, sectorial and national environmental priorities.	The proposed project is not consistent with provincial, sectorial and national environmental priorities.	Project is contradicting with provincial Or sectorial Or national environmental priorities.
Related community consultation and agreement	Related community have been consulted and they do consider this project as extremely beneficial and acceptable in terms of both process and product.	Related community have been consulted and they do consider this project as beneficial and acceptable in terms of both process and product.	Related community have been consulted and they do consider this project as beneficial, but it is still acceptable in terms of both process and product.	Related community have been consulted and they do not consider this project as beneficial or acceptable in terms of both process and product.	Related community consider this project as harmful and unacceptable in terms of both process and product.
Elected Govt. representatives consultation and agreement	The project does not disadvantage or discriminate any particular group in the related community; and in this regard, local elected representatives have been consulted and agreement obtained.	The project's disadvantage to any particular group is ignorable; and in this regard, local elected representatives have been consulted and agreement obtained.	The project disadvantage to any particular group is minimum; and in this regard, local elected representatives have been consulted and agreement obtained.	The project does disadvantage or discriminate particular group(s) in the related community; OR, an agreement from local elected representatives is not obtained.	The project does disadvantage or discriminate particular group(s) in the community; And, an agreement from local elected representatives is not obtained.
Project implementation agencies consultation and agreement	Related project implementation agencies have been consulted and 100% agreement is obtained.	Related project implementation agencies have been consulted and an agreement is obtained with some reservations.	Related project implementation agencies have been consulted and only partial agreement is obtained.	Related project implementation agencies have not yet consulted and an agreement is obtained.	Related project implementation agencies have been consulted and an agreement is obtained.
Health and safety (employees, contractors, customers, citizens)	The project passes the health and safety criteria without any doubt and requirement of more information.	The project passes the health and safety criteria with a requirement of improved information.	The project passes the health and safety criteria with some doubt and requirement of more information.	The project does not passes the health and safety criteria, but further requirement may be provided for reconsideration.	The project completely fails the health and safety criteria.
Adherence to Human rights standards (e.g. child labour).	The project will be fully maintaining human rights standards; and has it been confirmed that there are no risks of child labour in this project.	The project will be maintaining human rights standards, but there are risks of child labour in this project; however, proper measures have been taken for the elimination of child labour.	The project will be maintaining human rights standards, but there are risks of child labour and proper measures have not been taken to contribute to the elimination of child labour.	The project does not fully adhere to human rights standards.	The project is against human rights standards.

Appendix 1

Economic Sustainability Requirements:

Has the project considered economic implications so that negative impacts on the wider economy are either avoided or mitigated?

Economic Sustainability Requirements	Agree strongly (4)	Agree (3)	Neither agree nor disagree (2)	Disagree (1)	Disagree strongly (0)
Consistency with the National Priorities	The proposed project is undoubtedly and unambiguously consistent with the National Priorities.	The proposed project is quite consistent with the National Priorities and ignorable doubts and ambiguities.	The proposed project is somewhat consistent with the National Priorities with some doubts and ambiguities.	The proposed project may not be consistent with the National Priorities and poses many doubts and ambiguities	The proposed project is completely inconsistent with the National Priorities.
More benefits than the costs	Project benefits are clearly greater than the costs OR the project is expected to provide a large number of repeated future opportunities to the economy.	Project benefits are larger than the costs OR the project is clearly expected to provide some future opportunities to the economy.	Project benefits are almost equal than the costs OR the project may be able to provide future opportunities to the economy.	Project benefits are less than the costs OR the project is not expected to provide future opportunities to the economy.	Project benefits are extremely less than the costs OR the project is expected to put negative impact to the economy.
Equal opportunities	The people who are going to have access to the project facilities will equally belong to all rich, middle income' and 'poor' income classes.	The people who are going to have access to the project facilities will belong to all rich, middle income' and 'poor' income classes but the benefits may not be exactly equal.	The people who are going to have access to the project facilities will belong to all rich, middle income' and 'poor' income classes but the distribution of benefits is clearly biased toward one or more classes.	The project facilities are clearly biased towards rich and middle-income class.	The distribution of project facilities is not in the favour of poor income class.
Discrimination	The project's expected benefits are not discriminated only towards 'rich' or 'middle' income class and 'poor' economic class will equally benefit from the project.	The project's expected benefits are not discriminated only towards 'rich' or 'middle' income class and 'poor' economic class will also benefit from the project.	The project's expected benefits are mostly discriminated towards 'rich' or 'middle' income class and 'poor' economic class will only marginally benefit from the project.	The project's expected benefits are clearly discriminated only towards 'rich' or 'middle' income class.	The project's expected benefits are at all reaching to 'poor' economic class.
Community benefits	The clear community benefits from this project are in terms of all increase of income AND higher standard of living AND higher productivity.	The clear community benefits from this project are in terms of increase of income OR higher standard of living OR higher productivity.	The community benefits from this project are quite limited in terms of increase of income OR higher standard of living OR higher productivity.	There are no community benefits from this project in terms of increase of income OR higher standard of living OR higher productivity.	The project will have negative effect(s) on the community in terms of decrease of income OR lower standard of living OR lower productivity.
Taking benefits from previous projects	The project is realistically taking benefit from the	The project is taking benefit from the development of a	The project is taking benefit from the development of	The project is not taking benefit from the	The project is harmful to the progression of

Appendix 1

	development of all related previous projects.	large number of related previous projects.	some of related previous projects.	development of related previous projects.	related previous projects.
Negative effect on GDP per capita or on local economic opportunities	The project will have positive effect on GDP per capita and also positive effect on local economic opportunities for the community.	The project does not have any major negative effect on GDP per capita and also is not putting any major negative effect on local economic opportunities for the community.	The project is not having any negative or positive effect on GDP per capita or on local economic opportunities for the community.	The project has some negative effect on GDP per capita OR is putting some negative effect on local economic opportunities for the community.	The project has damaging negative effect on GDP per capita OR is putting damaging negative effect on local economic opportunities for the community.

2. KioskAutomatesVehicleLicensing-AdpLT2319

Description: Abu Dhabi automates vehicle licensing with self-service machines - The Sahel self-service e-payment machines to be installed in public places. The machines will have touch-sensitive screens that allow users to renew their vehicle registration and pay fines. Customers access the service by inserting their ID card into one of the machines and choosing a vehicle from a list of all vehicles registered under their name. The system then checks records for insurance, technical inspection, vehicle records, and traffic violations. If these are satisfactory, the customer can make a payment using a credit card and obtain a receipt, registration sticker and registration card. Customers can use the machines to find out the procedure for vehicle and driver licensing services, obtain the addresses and telephone numbers of police stations in the Emirate of Abu Dhabi, file complaints, or submit suggestions. The machines also offer interactive maps, names and pharmacy rotation schedule, and weather forecast.

Main Criteria	Sub criteria	Evaluation (Agree strongly , Agree , Neither agree nor disagree ,Disagree ,Disagree strongly)	Comments
1. Strategic Alliance (SA):	i. Support to the core strategy / strategic priorities of the organisation		
	ii. Alignment with the organisational mission, vision, and values		
	iii. Potential for growth or innovative progress		
	iv. Future integration with other projects		
	v. Employees learning and growth		
	vi. Meets shareholder interests		
2. Completion (Success):		Agree	some late will be from other team

Appendix 1

Main Criteria	Sub criteria	Evaluation (Agree strongly , Agree , Neither agree nor disagree ,Disagree ,Disagree strongly)	Comments
3. Criticality:		Disagree	
4. Economic Sustainability	i. Clear Community benefits	Agree strongly	
	ii. Consistency with the National Priorities	Agree strongly	
	iii. No discrimination to any group	Agree strongly	
	iv. Provides equal opportunities	Agree strongly	
	v. More benefits than the costs	Agree strongly	
	vi. No negative effect on GDP per capita or on local economic opportunities	Agree strongly	
	vii. Taking benefits from previous projects	Agree strongly	
5. Efficiency Improvement		Agree strongly	
6. Environment Sustainability	I. Administrators' consultation and agreement obtained on the environment aspects of the technology	Agree strongly	all project should get agreement before start
	II. Aligns with the National Green IT Strategy	Agree strongly	
	III. Supports consolidation of infrastructure and related technologies	Agree strongly	implementing the system with oracle program as the resource available

Appendix 1

Main Criteria	Sub criteria	Evaluation (Agree strongly , Agree , Neither agree nor disagree ,Disagree ,Disagree strongly)	Comments
	IV. Reasonable consumption of electricity, paper, cooling and space	Agree strongly	
	V. No effects on the arable permanent cropland area (i.e. land capable of being ploughed and used to grow crops	Agree strongly	
	VI. End users endorsement	Agree strongly	
	VII. Maximises the use of existing hardware resource	Agree strongly	
7. Financial Analysis	i. Appropriateness of requested project budget	Agree strongly	
	ii. Appropriate breakdown of cost	Agree strongly	
	iii. Maximum possible lifespan of project design	Agree	
	iv. Project budget adherence to organisational strategic policies	Agree	
	v. Reasonable provision of financial estimated budget	Agree strongly	
	vi. Reasonable project operating costs	Agree strongly	
	vii. Relevance of requested project budget to organisational initiatives	Agree	
8. Operational Continuity		Agree strongly	
9. Planning	i. Appropriateness of resource requirements	Agree strongly	

Appendix 1

Main Criteria	Sub criteria	Evaluation (Agree strongly , Agree , Neither agree nor disagree ,Disagree ,Disagree strongly)	Comments
	ii. Reasonable estimation of project start date and end date has been made	Agree strongly	
	iii. Reasonable planning to measure the project progress has been provided	Agree strongly	
	iv. Appropriateness of project milestone and the timeline of milestones	Agree strongly	
	v. Sound technical planning	Neither agree nor disagree	APIs might be some technical issue
	vi. Need for the delivery of future corporate services	Disagree strongly	
	vii. Appropriate project time limits and its adherence to organisational corporate strategy	Agree strongly	
	10. Project Commercial Value	i. More project commercial benefits then cost	Agree
ii. Clear market need of the project/product		Agree	
iii. Improves competitive positioning		Agree	
iv. Right timing		Agree	
11. Social Sustainability	i. Adherence to Human rights standards	Agree strongly	
	ii. Consistency with provincial, sectorial and national environmental priorities	Agree strongly	

Appendix 1

Main Criteria	Sub criteria	Evaluation (Agree strongly , Agree , Neither agree nor disagree ,Disagree ,Disagree strongly)	Comments
	iii. Elected government representatives’ consultation and agreement	Agree strongly	
	iv. Equal community access to the project facilities	Agree strongly	
	v. Health and safety	Agree strongly	
	vi. Project implementation agencies consultation and agreement obtained	Agree strongly	
	vii. Related community consultation and agreement obtained	Agree strongly	
12. Technical Complexity (Fitness)	i. Complexity of solution is appropriate	Agree strongly	
	ii. Achievable degree of reliability requirements	Agree strongly	
	iii. Achievable degree of technical requirements	Agree strongly	
	iv. Achievable skill development requirement	Agree strongly	
13. Protection from Threats and Risks	i. No risk with the availability of resources	Disagree strongly	team has been indicated but the resource from e-club team had not been indicated yet which help to integrate with e-club web page
	ii. No risk of any problems occurring in the financial investments	Agree strongly	

Appendix 1

Main Criteria	Sub criteria	Evaluation (Agree strongly , Agree , Neither agree nor disagree ,Disagree ,Disagree strongly)	Comments
	iii. No risks in the project implementation and delivery	Neither agree nor disagree	
	iv. Staff has experiences of managing or running such a project	Agree	two new programmer have enjoined the team
	v. No strategic alignment risks	Agree strongly	
	vi. No organisational and management risks	Agree	taking late respond for decision from e-club management
	vii. No organisational cultural risks	Agree	

3. ManagementSystem-AdpIT963

Description: The proposed system is intended to provide a comprehensive database of all information related to the distribution of shifts and record the movement of staff during the time of the work between the sites by automating the creation and distribution of shifts individuals and mechanisms in the labour centres. Helping to provide true and accurate data on the numbers of forces and mechanisms in all centres.

Appendix 1

Main Criteria	Sub criteria	Evaluation (Agree strongly , Agree , Neither agree nor disagree ,Disagree ,Disagree strongly)	Comments
1. Strategic Alliance (SA):	i. Support to the core strategy / strategic priorities of the organisation		
	ii. Alignment with the organisational mission, vision, and values		
	iii. Potential for growth or innovative progress		
	iv. Future integration with other projects		
	v. Employees learning and growth		
	vi. Matches corporate culture (e.g. adopting change and re-organisation)		
	vii. Meets shareholder interests		
2. Completion (Success):		Agree	it will be totally success if it support by higher management
3. Criticality:		Neither agree nor disagree	
4. Economic Sustainability	i. Clear Community benefits	Neither agree nor disagree	
	ii. Consistency with the National Priorities	Neither agree nor disagree	not direct link to national priorities

Appendix 1

Main Criteria	Sub criteria	Evaluation (Agree strongly , Agree , Neither agree nor disagree ,Disagree ,Disagree strongly)	Comments
	iii. No discrimination to any group	Neither agree nor disagree	
	iv. Provides equal opportunities	Neither agree nor disagree	different level of management which effect the opportunity
	v. More benefits than the costs	Neither agree nor disagree	
	vi. No negative effect on GDP per capita or on local economic opportunities	Neither agree nor disagree	
	vii. Taking benefits from previous projects	Neither agree nor disagree	it is new system but some benefits have been used from previous project
5. Efficiency Improvement		Agree strongly	
6. Environment Sustainability	i. Administrators' consultation and agreement obtained on the environment aspects of the technology	Neither agree nor disagree	
	ii. Aligns with the National Green IT Strategy	Neither agree nor disagree	
	iii. Supports consolidation of infrastructure and related technologies	Neither agree nor disagree	
	iv. Reasonable consumption of electricity, paper, cooling and space	Neither agree nor disagree	

Appendix 1

Main Criteria	Sub criteria	Evaluation (Agree strongly , Agree , Neither agree nor disagree ,Disagree ,Disagree strongly)	Comments
	v. No effects on the arable permanent cropland area (i.e. land capable of being ploughed and used to grow crops	Agree strongly	
	vi. End users endorsement	Agree	
	vii. Maximises the use of existing hardware resource	Neither agree nor disagree	
7. Financial Analysis	i. Appropriateness of requested project budget	Agree strongly	
	ii. Appropriate breakdown of cost	Agree strongly	
	iii. Maximum possible lifespan of project design	Agree	have not consider directly but it plan in right way
	iv. Project budget adherence to organisational strategic policies	Agree	
	v. Reasonable provision of financial estimated budget	Agree strongly	
	vi. Reasonable project operating costs	Agree	
	vii. Relevance of requested project budget to organisational initiatives	Agree	is important but can be late for few month
8. Operational Continuity		Agree strongly	
9. Planning	i. Appropriateness of resource requirements	Agree	

Appendix 1

Main Criteria	Sub criteria	Evaluation (Agree strongly , Agree , Neither agree nor disagree ,Disagree ,Disagree strongly)	Comments
	ii. Reasonable estimation of project start date and end date has been made	Agree strongly	
	iii. Reasonable planning to measure the project progress has been provided	Agree strongly	
	iv. Appropriateness of project milestone and the timeline of milestones	Agree strongly	
	v. Sound technical planning	Agree	
	vi. Need for the delivery of future corporate services	Disagree	
	vii. Appropriate project time limits and its adherence to organisational corporate strategy	Agree strongly	
	10. Project Commercial Value	i. More project commercial benefits then cost	Agree
ii. Clear market need of the project/product		Agree	
iii. Improves competitive positioning		Agree	
iv. Right timing		Agree	
11. Social Sustainability	i. Adherence to Human rights standards	Agree strongly	
	ii. Consistency with provincial, sectorial and national environmental priorities	Neither agree nor disagree	not sure about it
	iii. Elected government representatives' consultation	Neither agree nor disagree	it get approve by higher

Appendix 1

Main Criteria	Sub criteria	Evaluation (Agree strongly , Agree , Neither agree nor disagree ,Disagree ,Disagree strongly)	Comments
	and agreement		management
	iv. Equal community access to the project facilities	Disagree strongly	no there are level of security
	v. Health and safety	Neither agree nor disagree	
	vi. Project implementation agencies consultation and agreement obtained	Neither agree nor disagree	it has been agreement in all level
	vii. Related community consultation and agreement obtained	Neither agree nor disagree	yes some community agree about the project
12. Technical Complexity (Fitness)	i. Complexity of solution is appropriate	Agree	
	ii. Achievable degree of reliability requirements	Agree strongly	
	iii. Achievable degree of technical requirements	Agree strongly	
	iv. Achievable skill development requirement	Agree strongly	
13. Protection from Threats and Risks	i. No risk with the availability of resources	Neither agree nor disagree	the resources has been indicated but we have lack resources in the team might effect the project in future if there argent task or project

Appendix 1

Main Criteria	Sub criteria	Evaluation (Agree strongly , Agree , Neither agree nor disagree ,Disagree ,Disagree strongly)	Comments
	ii. No risk of any problems occurring in the financial investments	Agree strongly	
	iii. No risks in the project implementation and delivery	Agree	
	iv. Staff has experiences of managing or running such a project	Agree strongly	
	v. No strategic alignment risks	Agree strongly	
	vi. No organisational and management risks	Agree	some task might be late based of management order
	vii. No organisational cultural risks	Agree	some employee miss understand about organization cultural

4. NationalServiceAndFederalReserveSystem-AdpIT786

Description: Provide a range of electronic user interfaces to automate national service, federal management and backup programs to recruit candidates, follow-up working procedures of the training sessions, issuing cards, behaviour and privileges.

Appendix 1

Main Criteria	Sub criteria	Evaluation (Agree strongly , Agree , Neither agree nor disagree ,Disagree ,Disagree strongly)	Comments
1. Strategic Alliance (SA):	i. Support to the core strategy / strategic priorities of the organisation		
	ii. Alignment with the organisational mission, vision, and values		
	iii. Potential for growth or innovative progress		
	iv. Future integration with other projects		
	v. Employees learning and growth		
	vi. Matches corporate culture (e.g. adopting change and re-organisation)		
	vii. Meets shareholder interests		
2. Completion (Success):		Agree	
3. Criticality:		Disagree	
4. Economic Sustainability	i. Clear Community benefits	Agree strongly	
	ii. Consistency with the National Priorities	Agree strongly	project are linked
	iii. No discrimination to any group	Agree strongly	

Appendix 1

Main Criteria	Sub criteria	Evaluation (Agree strongly , Agree , Neither agree nor disagree ,Disagree ,Disagree strongly)	Comments
	iv. Provides equal opportunities	Agree strongly	
	v. More benefits than the costs	Agree strongly	
	vi. No negative effect on GDP per capita or on local economic opportunities	Agree strongly	
	vii. Taking benefits from previous projects	Agree strongly	
5. Efficiency Improvement		Agree strongly	
6. Environment Sustainability	i. Administrators' consultation and agreement obtained on the environment aspects of the technology	Agree strongly	
	ii. Aligns with the National Green IT Strategy	Agree strongly	
	iii. Supports consolidation of infrastructure and related technologies	Agree strongly	
	iv. Reasonable consumption of electricity, paper, cooling and space	Agree strongly	
	v. No effects on the arable permanent cropland area (i.e. land capable of being ploughed and used to grow crops	Agree strongly	
	vi. End users endorsement	Agree strongly	
	vii. Maximises the use of existing hardware resource	Agree strongly	

Appendix 1

Main Criteria	Sub criteria	Evaluation (Agree strongly , Agree , Neither agree nor disagree ,Disagree ,Disagree strongly)	Comments
7. Financial Analysis	i. Appropriateness of requested project budget	Agree strongly	
	ii. Appropriate breakdown of cost	Agree strongly	
	iii. Maximum possible lifespan of project design	Agree	it was higher priority from management and argent project
	iv. Project budget adherence to organisational strategic policies	Agree	
	v. Reasonable provision of financial estimated budget	Agree strongly	
	vi. Reasonable project operating costs	Agree	
	vii. Relevance of requested project budget to organisational initiatives	Agree strongly	
8. Operational Continuity		Agree strongly	
9. Planning	i. Appropriateness of resource requirements	Agree	
	ii. Reasonable estimation of project start date and end date has been made	Agree strongly	
	iii. Reasonable planning to measure the project progress has been provided	Agree strongly	
	iv. Appropriateness of project milestone and the timeline of milestones	Agree strongly	

Appendix 1

Main Criteria	Sub criteria	Evaluation (Agree strongly , Agree , Neither agree nor disagree ,Disagree ,Disagree strongly)	Comments
	v. Sound technical planning	Agree	
	vi. Need for the delivery of future corporate services	Agree	
	vii. Appropriate project time limits and its adherence to organisational corporate strategy	Agree strongly	
10. Project Commercial Value	i. More project commercial benefits then cost	Agree	
	ii. Clear market need of the project/product	Agree strongly	
	iii. Improves competitive positioning	Agree	
	iv. Right timing	Agree strongly	
11. Social Sustainability	i. Adherence to Human rights standards	Agree strongly	
	ii. Consistency with provincial, sectorial and national environmental priorities	Agree strongly	yes part of consistency
	iii. Elected government representatives' consultation and agreement	Agree strongly	
	iv. Equal community access to the project facilities	Agree strongly	apply different level of access
	v. Health and safety	Agree strongly	
	vi. Project implementation agencies consultation and	Agree strongly	

Appendix 1

Main Criteria	Sub criteria	Evaluation (Agree strongly , Agree , Neither agree nor disagree ,Disagree ,Disagree strongly)	Comments
	agreement obtained		
	vii. Related community consultation and agreement obtained	Agree strongly	
12. Technical Complexity (Fitness)	i. Complexity of solution is appropriate	Agree	
	ii. Achievable degree of reliability requirements	Agree strongly	
	iii. Achievable degree of technical requirements	Agree strongly	
	iv. Achievable skill development requirement	Agree strongly	
13. Protection from Threats and Risks	v. No risk with the availability of resources	Agree	the resources has been indicated with team B with fix time and date but some resource might have some task with other project
	vi. No risk of any problems occurring in the financial investments	Agree strongly	
	vii. No risks in the project implementation and delivery	Agree strongly	
	viii. Staff has experiences of managing or running such a project	Agree strongly	
	ix. No strategic alignment risks	Agree strongly	

Appendix 1

Main Criteria	Sub criteria	Evaluation (Agree strongly , Agree , Neither agree nor disagree ,Disagree ,Disagree strongly)	Comments
	x. No organisational and management risks	Agree	
	xi. No organisational cultural risks	Agree	

5. SecuritySystemCompaniesV.3-AdpIT5981

Description: Providing new mechanisms to improve the work in terms of effort, time and data integrity measures, by building new measures and add some adjustments to the system, which have been agreed upon in the prior meetings with the management of security department. This project will achieve the desired goals to provide structured infrastructure and the best features of large enterprise applications by providing maximum options for performance tuning and effectiveness, and advanced options to adjust security systems, advanced support of modern technology, maintenance, and sustainability.

Appendix 1

Main Criteria	Sub criteria	Evaluation (Agree strongly , Agree , Neither agree nor disagree ,Disagree ,Disagree strongly)	Comments
1. Strategic Alliance (SA):	i. Support to the core strategy / strategic priorities of the organisation		
	ii. Alignment with the organisational mission, vision, and values		
	iii. Potential for growth or innovative progress		
	iv. Future integration with other projects		
	v. Employees learning and growth		
	vi. Matches corporate culture (e.g. adopting change and re-organisation)		
	vii. Meets shareholder interests		
2. Completion (Success):		Agree strongly	
3. Criticality:		Neither agree nor disagree	some part of project are critical
4. Economic Sustainability	i. Clear Community benefits	Agree strongly	
	ii. Consistency with the National Priorities	Agree strongly	
	iii. No discrimination to any group	Agree strongly	
	iv. Provides equal opportunities	Agree strongly	

Appendix 1

Main Criteria	Sub criteria	Evaluation (Agree strongly , Agree , Neither agree nor disagree , Disagree ,Disagree strongly)	Comments
	v. More benefits than the costs	Agree strongly	
	vi. No negative effect on GDP per capita or on local economic opportunities	Agree strongly	services cost have to be paid by company
	vii. Taking benefits from previous projects	Agree strongly	
5. Efficiency Improvement		Agree strongly	
6. Environment Sustainability	i. Administrators' consultation and agreement obtained on the environment aspects of the technology	Agree strongly	
	ii. Aligns with the National Green IT Strategy	Agree strongly	
	iii. Supports consolidation of infrastructure and related technologies	Agree strongly	
	iv. Reasonable consumption of electricity, paper, cooling and space	Neither agree nor disagree	
	v. No effects on the arable permanent cropland area (i.e. land capable of being ploughed and used to grow crops	Agree strongly	
	vi. End users endorsement	Agree strongly	
	vii. Maximises the use of existing hardware resource	Agree	
7. Financial	viii. Appropriateness of requested project budget	Agree strongly	

Appendix 1

Main Criteria	Sub criteria	Evaluation (Agree strongly , Agree , Neither agree nor disagree ,Disagree ,Disagree strongly)	Comments
Analysis	ix. Appropriate breakdown of cost	Agree strongly	
	x. Maximum possible lifespan of project design	Agree strongly	
	xi. Project budget adherence to organisational strategic policies	Agree strongly	
	xii. Reasonable provision of financial estimated budget	Agree strongly	
	xiii. Reasonable project operating costs	Neither agree nor disagree	operation cost litter bit higher but is reasonable as compared in market
	xiv. Relevance of requested project budget to organisational initiatives	Agree strongly	
8. Operational Continuity		Agree strongly	
9. Planning	i. Appropriateness of resource requirements	Agree strongly	
	ii. Reasonable estimation of project start date and end date has been made	Agree strongly	all the risk point has been considered with the time of project
	iii. Reasonable planning to measure the project progress has been provided	Agree strongly	
	iv. Appropriateness of project milestone and the timeline of milestones	Agree strongly	

Appendix 1

Main Criteria	Sub criteria	Evaluation (Agree strongly , Agree , Neither agree nor disagree , Disagree ,Disagree strongly)	Comments
	v. Sound technical planning	Agree strongly	
	vi. Need for the delivery of future corporate services	Agree strongly	
	vii. Appropriate project time limits and its adherence to organisational corporate strategy	Agree strongly	
10. Project Commercial Value	i. More project commercial benefits then cost	Agree strongly	
	ii. Clear market need of the project/product	Agree strongly	
	iii. Improves competitive positioning	Agree strongly	
	iv. Right timing	Agree strongly	
11. Social Sustainability	i. Adherence to Human rights standards	Agree strongly	
	ii. Consistency with provincial, sectorial and national environmental priorities	Agree strongly	yes it help the national environment
	iii. Elected government representatives' consultation and agreement	Agree strongly	
	iv. Equal community access to the project facilities	Agree strongly	
	v. Health and safety	Agree strongly	
	vi. Project implementation agencies consultation and agreement obtained	Agree strongly	

Appendix 1

Main Criteria	Sub criteria	Evaluation (Agree strongly , Agree , Neither agree nor disagree , Disagree ,Disagree strongly)	Comments
	vii. Related community consultation and agreement obtained	Agree strongly	
12. Technical Complexity (Fitness)	i. Complexity of solution is appropriate	Agree strongly	
	ii. Achievable degree of reliability requirements	Agree	kind of complex and should be fixed in some part of requirement
	iii. Achievable degree of technical requirements	Agree	
	iv. Achievable skill development requirement	Agree strongly	
13. Protection from Threats and Risks	i. No risk with the availability of resources	Agree strongly	the resources has totally assign with the project
	ii. No risk of any problems occurring in the financial investments	Agree strongly	
	iii. No risks in the project implementation and delivery	Agree strongly	
	iv. Staff has experiences of managing or running such a project	Agree strongly	
	v. No strategic alignment risks	Agree	
	vi. No organisational and management risks	Agree strongly	

Appendix 1

Main Criteria	Sub criteria	Evaluation (Agree strongly , Agree , Neither agree nor disagree ,Disagree ,Disagree strongly)	Comments
	vii. No organisational cultural risks	Agree	

6. SmartLibraryWebsite-AdpIT786

Description: The decision support office seeks to facilitate access to references and scientific research through the creation of a special electronic library website, which enables the employees of the Ministry of Interior and the public in general of Abu Dhabi Police.

Main Criteria	Sub criteria	Evaluation (Agree strongly , Agree , Neither agree nor disagree ,Disagree ,Disagree strongly)	Comments
1. Strategic Alliance (SA):	i. Support to the core strategy / strategic priorities of the organisation		
	ii. Alignment with the organisational mission, vision, and values		

Appendix 1

Main Criteria	Sub criteria	Evaluation (Agree strongly , Agree , Neither agree nor disagree ,Disagree ,Disagree strongly)	Comments
	iii. Potential for growth or innovative progress		
	iv. Future integration with other projects		
	v. Employees learning and growth		
	vi. Matches corporate culture (e.g. adopting change and re-organisation)		
	vii. Meets shareholder interests		
2. Completion (Success):		Agree strongly	
3. Criticality:		Disagree strongly	
4. Economic Sustainability	i. Clear Community benefits	Neither agree nor disagree	
	ii. Consistency with the National Priorities	Neither agree nor disagree	
	iii. No discrimination to any group	Neither agree nor disagree	
	iv. Provides equal opportunities	Neither agree nor disagree	
	v. More benefits than the costs	Neither agree nor disagree	
	vi. No negative effect on GDP per capita or on local economic opportunities	Neither agree nor disagree	

Appendix 1

Main Criteria	Sub criteria	Evaluation (Agree strongly , Agree , Neither agree nor disagree ,Disagree ,Disagree strongly)	Comments
	vii. Taking benefits from previous projects	Neither agree nor disagree	
5. Efficiency Improvement		Agree strongly	
6. Environment Sustainability	i. Administrators’ consultation and agreement obtained on the environment aspects of the technology	Agree	
	ii. Aligns with the National Green IT Strategy	Agree	
	iii. Supports consolidation of infrastructure and related technologies	Neither agree nor disagree	
	iv. Reasonable consumption of electricity, paper, cooling and space	Neither agree nor disagree	
	v. No effects on the arable permanent cropland area (i.e. land capable of being ploughed and used to grow crops	Agree strongly	
	vi. End users endorsement	Agree	
	vii. Maximises the use of existing hardware resource	Disagree	
7. Financial Analysis	i. Appropriateness of requested project budget	Agree strongly	
	ii. Appropriate breakdown of cost	Agree strongly	
	iii. Maximum possible lifespan of project design	Agree strongly	

Appendix 1

Main Criteria	Sub criteria	Evaluation (Agree strongly , Agree , Neither agree nor disagree , Disagree ,Disagree strongly)	Comments
	iv. Project budget adherence to organisational strategic policies	Agree strongly	
	v. Reasonable provision of financial estimated budget	Agree strongly	
	vi. Reasonable project operating costs	Agree strongly	
	vii. Relevance of requested project budget to organisational initiatives	Agree	
8. Operational Continuity		Agree strongly	
9. Planning	i. Appropriateness of resource requirements	Agree strongly	
	ii. Reasonable estimation of project start date and end date has been made	Agree strongly	
	iii. Reasonable planning to measure the project progress has been provided	Agree strongly	
	iv. Appropriateness of project milestone and the timeline of milestones	Agree strongly	
	v. Sound technical planning	Agree strongly	
	vi. Need for the delivery of future corporate services	Agree strongly	
	vii. Appropriate project time limits and its adherence to organisational corporate strategy	Agree	

Appendix 1

Main Criteria	Sub criteria	Evaluation (Agree strongly , Agree , Neither agree nor disagree ,Disagree ,Disagree strongly)	Comments
10. Project Commercial Value	i. More project commercial benefits then cost	Agree strongly	
	ii. Clear market need of the project/product	Agree strongly	
	iii. Improves competitive positioning	Agree strongly	
	iv. Right timing	Agree	project should should start before
11. Social Sustainability	i. Adherence to Human rights standards	Agree	
	ii. Consistency with provincial, sectorial and national environmental priorities	Neither agree nor disagree	
	iii. Elected government representatives' consultation and agreement	Neither agree nor disagree	
	iv. Equal community access to the project facilities	Neither agree nor disagree	
	v. Health and safety	Neither agree nor disagree	
	vi. Project implementation agencies consultation and agreement obtained	Neither agree nor disagree	
	vii. Related community consultation and agreement obtained	Neither agree nor disagree	
12. Technical	i. Complexity of solution is appropriate	Agree strongly	

Appendix 1

Main Criteria	Sub criteria	Evaluation (Agree strongly , Agree , Neither agree nor disagree ,Disagree ,Disagree strongly)	Comments
Complexity (Fitness)	ii. Achievable degree of reliability requirements	Agree strongly	
	iii. Achievable degree of technical requirements	Agree strongly	
	iv. Achievable skill development requirement	Agree strongly	
13. Protection from Threats and Risks	i. No risk with the availability of resources	Agree strongly	
	ii. No risk of any problems occurring in the financial investments	Agree strongly	
	iii. No risks in the project implementation and delivery	Agree strongly	
	iv. Staff has experiences of managing or running such a project	Agree strongly	
	v. No strategic alignment risks	Agree strongly	
	vi. No organisational and management risks	Agree strongly	
	vii. No organisational cultural risks	Agree	

7. SmsSystemV1.0-AdpLT7823

Description: SMS System - The main objective of the design and development of "management system and sending text messages version 1.0" is to develop an integrated system that provides information and data on short text messages SMS (does not include multimedia messaging MMS) that is sent to the General Command of Abu Dhabi Police level. Thus saving effort and raise the work efficiency and facilitates the rapid liberalization process or re-send SMS messages to individuals or groups.

Main Criteria	Sub criteria	Evaluation (Agree strongly, Agree , Neither agree nor disagree ,Disagree ,Disagree strongly)	Comments
1. Strategic Alliance (SA):	i. Support to the core strategy / strategic priorities of the organisation		
	ii. Alignment with the organisational mission, vision, and values		
	iii. Potential for growth or innovative progress		

Appendix 1

Main Criteria	Sub criteria	Evaluation (Agree strongly, Agree , Neither agree nor disagree ,Disagree ,Disagree strongly)	Comments
	iv. Future integration with other projects		
	v. Employees learning and growth		
	vi. Matches corporate culture (e.g. adopting change and re-organisation)		
	vii. Meets shareholder interests		
2. Completion (Success):		Agree strongly	
3. Criticality:		Disagree	integrate with other system is critical
4. Economic Sustainability	i. Clear Community benefits	Agree strongly	
	ii. Consistency with the National Priorities	Agree strongly	internal project for using SMS service
	iii. No discrimination to any group	Agree strongly	
	iv. Provides equal opportunities	Agree strongly	
	v. More benefits than the costs	Agree strongly	cost might go higher if miss used

Appendix 1

Main Criteria	Sub criteria	Evaluation (Agree strongly, Agree , Neither agree nor disagree ,Disagree ,Disagree strongly)	Comments
	vi. No negative effect on GDP per capita or on local economic opportunities	Agree strongly	
	vii. Taking benefits from previous projects	Agree strongly	
5. Efficiency Improvement		Agree strongly	
6. Environment Sustainability	i. Administrators' consultation and agreement obtained on the environment aspects of the technology	Agree strongly	
	ii. Aligns with the National Green IT Strategy	Agree strongly	
	iii. Supports consolidation of infrastructure and related technologies	Agree strongly	
	iv. Reasonable consumption of electricity, paper, cooling and space	Agree strongly	some paper work need before using system such as the number notin HR system
	v. No effects on the arable permanent cropland area (i.e. land capable of being ploughed and used to grow crops	Agree strongly	
	vi. End users endorsement	Agree strongly	it is used by different department that why we can not get endorsement by end users
	vii. Maximises the use of existing hardware resource	Agree strongly	

Appendix 1

Main Criteria	Sub criteria	Evaluation (Agree strongly, Agree , Neither agree nor disagree ,Disagree ,Disagree strongly)	Comments
7. Financial Analysis	i. Appropriateness of requested project budget	Agree strongly	
	ii. Appropriate breakdown of cost	Agree strongly	
	iii. Maximum possible lifespan of project design	Agree	
	iv. Project budget adherence to organisational strategic policies	Agree	
	v. Reasonable provision of financial estimated budget	Agree strongly	
	vi. Reasonable project operating costs	Agree strongly	
	vii. Relevance of requested project budget to organisational initiatives	Agree	
8. Operational Continuity		Agree strongly	
9. Planning	i. Appropriateness of resource requirements	Agree strongly	
	ii. Reasonable estimation of project start date and end date has been made	Agree strongly	
	iii. Reasonable planning to measure the project progress has been provided	Agree strongly	
	iv. Appropriateness of project milestone and the timeline of milestones	Agree strongly	

Appendix 1

Main Criteria	Sub criteria	Evaluation (Agree strongly, Agree , Neither agree nor disagree ,Disagree ,Disagree strongly)	Comments
	v. Sound technical planning	Agree strongly	
	vi. Need for the delivery of future corporate services	Agree	
	vii. Appropriate project time limits and its adherence to organisational corporate strategy	Agree strongly	
10. Project Commercial Value	i. More project commercial benefits then cost	Neither agree nor disagree	higher cost but has some benefits in different area
	ii. Clear market need of the project/product	Agree	
	iii. Improves competitive positioning	Agree strongly	
	iv. Right timing	Agree	
11. Social Sustainability	i. Adherence to Human rights standards	Agree strongly	
	ii. Consistency with provincial, sectorial and national environmental priorities	Agree strongly	
	iii. Elected government representatives' consultation and agreement	Agree strongly	
	iv. Equal community access to the project facilities	Agree strongly	
	v. Health and safety	Agree strongly	
	vi. Project implementation agencies consultation and	Agree strongly	

Appendix 1

Main Criteria	Sub criteria	Evaluation (Agree strongly, Agree , Neither agree nor disagree ,Disagree ,Disagree strongly)	Comments
	agreement obtained		
	vii. Related community consultation and agreement obtained	Agree strongly	
12. Technical Complexity (Fitness)	i. Complexity of solution is appropriate	Agree strongly	
	ii. Achievable degree of reliability requirements	Agree strongly	
	iii. Achievable degree of technical requirements	Agree strongly	
	iv. Achievable skill development requirement	Agree strongly	
13. Protection from Threats and Risks	i. No risk with the availability of resources	Agree	some resources has some task with other project
	ii. No risk of any problems occurring in the financial investments	Agree strongly	
	iii. No risks in the project implementation and delivery	Agree strongly	
	iv. Staff has experiences of managing or running such a project	Agree strongly	
	v. No strategic alignment risks	Agree strongly	
	vi. No organisational and management risks	Agree strongly	
	vii. No organisational cultural risks	Agree	

8. StatisticsPortsSecuritySystem-AdpLT7801

Description: Statistics ports security system - The creation of new mechanisms of action and amendments to the system to facilitate and speed up the system for different procedures, the user serve and facilitate his work, and ensure the integration of data and easy reference.

Main Criteria	Sub criteria	Evaluation (Agree strongly , Agree , Neither agree nor disagree ,Disagree ,Disagree strongly)	Comments
1. Strategic Alliance (SA):	i. Support to the core strategy / strategic priorities of the organisation		
	ii. Alignment with the organisational mission, vision, and values		
	iii. Potential for growth or innovative progress		
	iv. Future integration with other projects		
	v. Employees learning and growth		
	vi. Matches corporate culture (e.g. adopting change and re-organisation)		
	vii. Meets shareholder interests		
2. Completion (Success):		Agree	
3. Criticality:		Agree	large system which make it critical

Appendix 1

Main Criteria	Sub criteria	Evaluation (Agree strongly , Agree , Neither agree nor disagree ,Disagree ,Disagree strongly)	Comments
4. Economic Sustainability	i. Clear Community benefits	Neither agree nor disagree	
	ii. Consistency with the National Priorities	Neither agree nor disagree	
	iii. No discrimination to any group	Neither agree nor disagree	
	iv. Provides equal opportunities	Neither agree nor disagree	
	v. More benefits than the costs	Neither agree nor disagree	
	vi. No negative effect on GDP per capita or on local economic opportunities	Disagree strongly	
	vii. Taking benefits from previous projects	Neither agree nor disagree	
5. Efficiency Improvement		Agree strongly	
6. Environment Sustainability	i. Administrators' consultation and agreement obtained on the environment aspects of the technology	Agree	
	ii. Aligns with the National Green IT Strategy	Agree	
	iii. Supports consolidation of infrastructure and related technologies	Neither agree nor disagree	some server based in other department
	iv. Reasonable consumption of electricity, paper, cooling and space	Neither agree nor disagree	

Appendix 1

Main Criteria	Sub criteria	Evaluation (Agree strongly , Agree , Neither agree nor disagree ,Disagree ,Disagree strongly)	Comments
	v. No effects on the arable permanent cropland area (i.e. land capable of being ploughed and used to grow crops	Agree strongly	
	vi. End users endorsement	Agree	
	vii. Maximises the use of existing hardware resource	Agree	
7. Financial Analysis	i. Appropriateness of requested project budget	Agree strongly	
	ii. Appropriate breakdown of cost	Agree strongly	
	iii. Maximum possible lifespan of project design	Agree strongly	
	iv. Project budget adherence to organisational strategic policies	Agree	
	v. Reasonable provision of financial estimated budget	Agree strongly	
	vi. Reasonable project operating costs	Agree	
	vii. Relevance of requested project budget to organisational initiatives	Agree strongly	
8. Operational Continuity		Agree	
9. Planning	i. Appropriateness of resource requirements	Agree	
	ii. Reasonable estimation of project start date and end date has been made	Agree	

Appendix 1

Main Criteria	Sub criteria	Evaluation (Agree strongly , Agree , Neither agree nor disagree , Disagree ,Disagree strongly)	Comments
	iii. Reasonable planning to measure the project progress has been provided	Agree	
	iv. Appropriateness of project milestone and the timeline of milestones	Agree	
	v. Sound technical planning	Agree strongly	
	vi. Need for the delivery of future corporate services	Disagree strongly	
	vii. Appropriate project time limits and its adherence to organisational corporate strategy	Agree strongly	
10. Project Commercial Value	i. More project commercial benefits then cost	Agree	
	ii. Clear market need of the project/product	Neither agree nor disagree	internal project for security impact
	iii. Improves competitive positioning	Disagree strongly	will not be available in market
	iv. Right timing	Agree	
11. Social Sustainability	i. Adherence to Human rights standards	Neither agree nor disagree	some personal data might be viewed by authorize people
	ii. Consistency with provincial, sectorial and national environmental priorities	Neither agree nor disagree	
	iii. Elected government representatives' consultation and agreement	Neither agree nor disagree	

Appendix 1

Main Criteria	Sub criteria	Evaluation (Agree strongly , Agree , Neither agree nor disagree ,Disagree ,Disagree strongly)	Comments
	iv. Equal community access to the project facilities	Neither agree nor disagree	
	v. Health and safety	Neither agree nor disagree	
	vi. Project implementation agencies consultation and agreement obtained	Neither agree nor disagree	
	vii. Related community consultation and agreement obtained	Neither agree nor disagree	
12. Technical Complexity (Fitness)	i. Complexity of solution is appropriate	Agree	right solution has been made
	ii. Achievable degree of reliability requirements	Agree	it had been fixed in requerment
	iii. Achievable degree of technical requirements	Agree	
	iv. Achievable skill development requirement	Agree	
13. Protection from Threats and Risks	i. No risk with the availability of resources	Agree strongly	the resources have been indicated for the project
	ii. No risk of any problems occurring in the financial investments	Agree strongly	
	iii. No risks in the project implementation and delivery	Neither agree nor disagree	
	iv. Staff has experiences of managing or running such a project	Agree strongly	

Appendix 1

Main Criteria	Sub criteria	Evaluation (Agree strongly , Agree , Neither agree nor disagree ,Disagree ,Disagree strongly)	Comments
	v. No strategic alignment risks	Agree strongly	
	vi. No organisational and management risks	Neither agree nor disagree	might organization structure be change in the future
	vii. No organisational cultural risks	Agree	

9. TrafficLicencingSysV4.0-AdpTR7531

Description: Federal Traffic and Licensing system version 4 - Improvements bugs fixes with the system according to the requirements of departments.

Appendix 1

Main Criteria	Sub criteria	Evaluation (Agree strongly , Agree , Neither agree nor disagree ,Disagree ,Disagree strongly)	Comments
1. Strategic Alliance (SA):	i. Support to the core strategy / strategic priorities of the organisation		
	ii. Alignment with the organisational mission, vision, and values		
	iii. Potential for growth or innovative progress		
	iv. Future integration with other projects		
	v. Employees learning and growth		
	vi. Matches corporate culture (e.g. adopting change and re-organisation)		
	vii. Meets shareholder interests		
2. Completion (Success):		Neither agree nor disagree	few resources might effect the project success
3. Criticality:		Agree	it is federal system
4. Economic Sustainability	i. Clear Community benefits	Agree strongly	
	ii. Consistency with the National Priorities	Agree strongly	
	iii. No discrimination to any group	Agree strongly	
	iv. Provides equal opportunities	Agree strongly	

Appendix 1

Main Criteria	Sub criteria	Evaluation (Agree strongly , Agree , Neither agree nor disagree ,Disagree ,Disagree strongly)	Comments
	v. More benefits than the costs	Agree strongly	
	vi. No negative effect on GDP per capita or on local economic opportunities	Agree strongly	
	vii. Taking benefits from previous projects	Agree strongly	
5. Efficiency Improvement		Agree strongly	all project in IT are efficiency
6. Environment Sustainability	i. Administrators' consultation and agreement obtained on the environment aspects of the technology	Agree strongly	
	ii. Aligns with the National Green IT Strategy	Agree strongly	yes all paper work has been changed to electronic
	iii. Supports consolidation of infrastructure and related technologies	Agree strongly	
	iv. Reasonable consumption of electricity, paper, cooling and space	Agree strongly	
	v. No effects on the arable permanent cropland area (i.e. land capable of being ploughed and used to grow crops	Agree strongly	
	vi. End users endorsement	Agree strongly	
	vii. Maximises the use of existing hardware resource	Agree strongly	
7. Financial	i. Appropriateness of requested project budget	Agree strongly	

Appendix 1

Main Criteria	Sub criteria	Evaluation (Agree strongly , Agree , Neither agree nor disagree ,Disagree ,Disagree strongly)	Comments
Analysis	ii. Appropriate breakdown of cost	Agree strongly	
	iii. Maximum possible lifespan of project design	Agree strongly	
	iv. Project budget adherence to organisational strategic policies	Agree strongly	
	v. Reasonable provision of financial estimated budget	Agree strongly	
	vi. Reasonable project operating costs	Agree	
	vii. Relevance of requested project budget to organisational initiatives	Agree strongly	
	8. Operational Continuity		Agree strongly
9. Planning	i. Appropriateness of resource requirements	Agree	
	ii. Reasonable estimation of project start date and end date has been made	Agree	plane might be change
	iii. Reasonable planning to measure the project progress has been provided	Agree	
	iv. Appropriateness of project milestone and the timeline of milestones	Agree	some late might be in
	v. Sound technical planning	Agree strongly	

Appendix 1

Main Criteria	Sub criteria	Evaluation (Agree strongly , Agree , Neither agree nor disagree ,Disagree ,Disagree strongly)	Comments
	vi. Need for the delivery of future corporate services	Agree strongly	
	vii. Appropriate project time limits and its adherence to organisational corporate strategy	Agree strongly	
10. Project Commercial Value	i. More project commercial benefits then cost	Agree strongly	
	ii. Clear market need of the project/product	Agree strongly	
	iii. Improves competitive positioning	Agree strongly	
	iv. Right timing	Agree strongly	
11. Social Sustainability	i. Adherence to Human rights standards	Agree strongly	help customer to finish there service easily
	ii. Consistency with provincial, sectorial and national environmental priorities	Agree strongly	
	iii. Elected government representatives' consultation and agreement	Agree strongly	
	iv. Equal community access to the project facilities	Agree strongly	they are level of security
	v. Health and safety	Agree strongly	
	vi. Project implementation agencies consultation and agreement obtained	Agree strongly	

Appendix 1

Main Criteria	Sub criteria	Evaluation (Agree strongly , Agree , Neither agree nor disagree ,Disagree ,Disagree strongly)	Comments
	vii. Related community consultation and agreement obtained	Agree strongly	
12. Technical Complexity (Fitness)	i. Complexity of solution is appropriate	Agree	it has been consider all kind of solution
	ii. Achievable degree of reliability requirements	Agree	is complex system can not be reliable in requirement
	iii. Achievable degree of technical requirements	Agree strongly	
	iv. Achievable skill development requirement	Agree strongly	
13. Protection from Threats and Risks	i. No risk with the availability of resources	Disagree strongly	they is strong risk because most of the team are working with e-service project which some task will be late
	ii. No risk of any problems occurring in the financial investments	Agree strongly	
	iii. No risks in the project implementation and delivery	Disagree	
	iv. Staff has experiences of managing or running such a project	Agree strongly	
	v. No strategic alignment risks	Agree strongly	
	vi. No organisational and management risks	Disagree strongly	might some order from

Appendix 1

Main Criteria	Sub criteria	Evaluation (Agree strongly , Agree , Neither agree nor disagree ,Disagree ,Disagree strongly)	Comments
			management come to change the plane of project
	vii. No organisational cultural risks	Neither agree nor disagree	some team are working alone in some part without considering the project target

10. VisitorReceptionSystem-AdpIT431

Description: The proposed new mechanism will totally rely on the availability of the identity card with the references. The card will be inserted into the reader to pull the data into the system. This will ensure less manual input as the data will be emerging from the card which include name, nationality, photograph, signature and telephone and other available data.

Main Criteria	Sub criteria	Evaluation (Agree strongly , Agree , Neither agree nor disagree ,Disagree ,Disagree strongly)	Comments
---------------	--------------	---	----------

Appendix 1

Main Criteria	Sub criteria	Evaluation (Agree strongly , Agree , Neither agree nor disagree ,Disagree ,Disagree strongly)	Comments
1. Strategic Alliance (SA):	i. Support to the core strategy / strategic priorities of the organisation		
	ii. Alignment with the organisational mission, vision, and values		
	iii. Potential for growth or innovative progress		
	iv. Future integration with other projects		
	v. Employees learning and growth		
	vi. Matches corporate culture (e.g. adopting change and re-organisation)		
	vii. Meets shareholder interests		
2. Completion (Success):		Agree strongly	
3. Criticality:		Disagree	
4. Economic Sustainability	i. Clear Community benefits	Neither agree nor disagree	
	ii. Consistency with the National Priorities	Neither agree nor disagree	
	iii. No discrimination to any group	Neither agree nor disagree	
	iv. Provides equal opportunities	Agree strongly	

Appendix 1

Main Criteria	Sub criteria	Evaluation (Agree strongly , Agree , Neither agree nor disagree ,Disagree ,Disagree strongly)	Comments
	v. More benefits than the costs	Neither agree nor disagree	
	vi. No negative effect on GDP per capita or on local economic opportunities	Neither agree nor disagree	
	vii. Taking benefits from previous projects	Neither agree nor disagree	
5. Efficiency Improvement		Agree strongly	
6. Environment Sustainability	i. Administrators' consultation and agreement obtained on the environment aspects of the technology	Agree	
	ii. Aligns with the National Green IT Strategy	Agree	
	iii. Supports consolidation of infrastructure and related technologies	Neither agree nor disagree	
	iv. Reasonable consumption of electricity, paper, cooling and space	Agree	stile some process used paper work
	v. No effects on the arable permanent cropland area (i.e. land capable of being ploughed and used to grow crops	Agree strongly	
	vi. End users endorsement	Agree	
	vii. Maximises the use of existing hardware resource	Neither agree nor disagree	
7. Financial	i. Appropriateness of requested project budget	Agree strongly	

Appendix 1

Main Criteria	Sub criteria	Evaluation (Agree strongly , Agree , Neither agree nor disagree , Disagree ,Disagree strongly)	Comments
Analysis	ii. Appropriate breakdown of cost	Agree strongly	
	iii. Maximum possible lifespan of project design	Agree	
	iv. Project budget adherence to organisational strategic policies	Agree	
	v. Reasonable provision of financial estimated budget	Agree strongly	
	vi. Reasonable project operating costs	Agree strongly	
	vii. Relevance of requested project budget to organisational initiatives	Agree strongly	
	8. Operational Continuity		Agree strongly
9. Planning	i. Appropriateness of resource requirements	Agree	
	ii. Reasonable estimation of project start date and end date has been made	Agree strongly	
	iii. Reasonable planning to measure the project progress has been provided	Agree strongly	
	iv. Appropriateness of project milestone and the timeline of milestones	Agree strongly	
	v. Sound technical planning	Agree	the reader might effect in the project

Appendix 1

Main Criteria	Sub criteria	Evaluation (Agree strongly , Agree , Neither agree nor disagree ,Disagree ,Disagree strongly)	Comments
	vi. Need for the delivery of future corporate services	Agree strongly	
	vii. Appropriate project time limits and its adherence to organisational corporate strategy	Agree	
10. Project Commercial Value	i. More project commercial benefits then cost	Agree	
	ii. Clear market need of the project/product	Agree strongly	
	iii. Improves competitive positioning	Agree strongly	
	iv. Right timing	Agree strongly	
11. Social Sustainability	i. Adherence to Human rights standards	Agree	
	ii. Consistency with provincial, sectorial and national environmental priorities	Neither agree nor disagree	
	iii. Elected government representatives' consultation and agreement	Neither agree nor disagree	
	iv. Equal community access to the project facilities	Neither agree nor disagree	
	v. Health and safety	Neither agree nor disagree	
	vi. Project implementation agencies consultation and agreement obtained	Agree	
	vii. Related community consultation and agreement obtained	Neither agree nor disagree	

Appendix 1

Main Criteria	Sub criteria	Evaluation (Agree strongly , Agree , Neither agree nor disagree ,Disagree ,Disagree strongly)	Comments
12. Technical Complexity (Fitness)	i. Complexity of solution is appropriate	Agree strongly	
	ii. Achievable degree of reliability requirements	Agree strongly	
	iii. Achievable degree of technical requirements	Agree strongly	
	iv. Achievable skill development requirement	Agree strongly	
13. Protection from Threats and Risks	i. No risk with the availability of resources	Agree strongly	
	ii. No risk of any problems occurring in the financial investments	Agree strongly	
	iii. No risks in the project implementation and delivery	Agree strongly	
	iv. Staff has experiences of managing or running such a project	Agree strongly	
	v. No strategic alignment risks	Agree strongly	
	vi. No organisational and management risks	Agree strongly	
	vii. No organisational cultural risks	Agree	

11. Condition Notebook V2-AdpLT9765D

Description: Condition Notebook-Second Edition-The provision of a new issue of conditions notebook system through the addition of the organizational structure of the Ministry of Interior to the system, including making a federal system can be used in all of the Ministry of Interior of the United Arab Emirates organizational units

Main Criteria	Sub criteria	Evaluation (Agree strongly , Agree , Neither agree nor disagree ,Disagree ,Disagree strongly)	Comments
1. Strategic Alliance (SA):	i. Support to the core strategy / strategic priorities of the organisation		
	ii. Alignment with the organisational mission, vision, and values		
	iii. Potential for growth or innovative progress		
	iv. Future integration with other projects		
	v. Employees learning and growth		
	vi. Matches corporate culture (e.g. adopting change and re-organisation)		
	vii. Meets shareholder interests		
2. Completion (Success):		Agree strongly	
3. Criticality:		Disagree strongly	

Appendix 1

Main Criteria	Sub criteria	Evaluation (Agree strongly , Agree , Neither agree nor disagree ,Disagree ,Disagree strongly)	Comments
4. Economic Sustainability	i. Clear Community benefits	Neither agree nor disagree	
	ii. Consistency with the National Priorities	Disagree	
	iii. No discrimination to any group	Neither agree nor disagree	
	iv. Provides equal opportunities	Disagree	
	v. More benefits than the costs	Disagree	
	vi. No negative effect on GDP per capita or on local economic opportunities	Disagree strongly	
	vii. Taking benefits from previous projects	Disagree strongly	some implementation are not specially MOI part
5. Efficiency Improvement		Agree strongly	
6. Environment Sustainability	i. Administrators' consultation and agreement obtained on the environment aspects of the technology	Neither agree nor disagree	
	ii. Aligns with the National Green IT Strategy	Neither agree nor disagree	
	iii. Supports consolidation of infrastructure and related technologies	Disagree strongly	
	iv. Reasonable consumption of electricity, paper, cooling and space	Neither agree nor disagree	

Appendix 1

Main Criteria	Sub criteria	Evaluation (Agree strongly , Agree , Neither agree nor disagree ,Disagree ,Disagree strongly)	Comments
	v. No effects on the arable permanent cropland area (i.e. land capable of being ploughed and used to grow crops	Agree strongly	
	vi. End users endorsement	Agree	used by different departments which it has larger users
	vii. Maximises the use of existing hardware resource	Disagree strongly	
7. Financial Analysis	i. Appropriateness of requested project budget	Agree strongly	
	ii. Appropriate breakdown of cost	Agree	
	iii. Maximum possible lifespan of project design	Agree	
	iv. Project budget adherence to organisational strategic policies	Agree	
	v. Reasonable provision of financial estimated budget	Agree strongly	
	vi. Reasonable project operating costs	Agree strongly	
	vii. Relevance of requested project budget to organisational initiatives	Agree	
8. Operational Continuity		Agree strongly	
9. Planning	i. Appropriateness of resource requirements	Agree strongly	

Appendix 1

Main Criteria	Sub criteria	Evaluation (Agree strongly , Agree , Neither agree nor disagree ,Disagree ,Disagree strongly)	Comments
	ii. Reasonable estimation of project start date and end date has been made	Agree strongly	
	iii. Reasonable planning to measure the project progress has been provided	Agree strongly	
	iv. Appropriateness of project milestone and the timeline of milestones	Agree strongly	
	v. Sound technical planning	Agree strongly	
	vi. Need for the delivery of future corporate services	Agree	
	vii. Appropriate project time limits and its adherence to organisational corporate strategy	Agree	
	10. Project Commercial Value	i. More project commercial benefits then cost	Agree strongly
ii. Clear market need of the project/product		Agree	
iii. Improves competitive positioning		Agree strongly	
iv. Right timing		Neither agree nor disagree	version one has been publish before
11. Social Sustainability	i. Adherence to Human rights standards	Neither agree nor disagree	
	ii. Consistency with provincial, sectorial and national environmental priorities	Neither agree nor disagree	

Appendix 1

Main Criteria	Sub criteria	Evaluation (Agree strongly , Agree , Neither agree nor disagree ,Disagree ,Disagree strongly)	Comments
	iii. Elected government representatives’ consultation and agreement	Neither agree nor disagree	
	iv. Equal community access to the project facilities	Disagree strongly	most of them have equal level
	v. Health and safety	Neither agree nor disagree	
	vi. Project implementation agencies consultation and agreement obtained	Disagree	
	vii. Related community consultation and agreement obtained	Neither agree nor disagree	
12. Technical Complexity (Fitness)	i. Complexity of solution is appropriate	Agree	considering MOI structure make system more complex
	ii. Achievable degree of reliability requirements	Agree	
	iii. Achievable degree of technical requirements	Agree strongly	
	iv. Achievable skill development requirement	Agree strongly	
13. Protection from Threats and Risks	i. No risk with the availability of resources	Agree strongly	
	ii. No risk of any problems occurring in the financial investments	Agree strongly	
	iii. No risks in the project implementation and delivery	Agree strongly	

Appendix 1

Main Criteria	Sub criteria	Evaluation (Agree strongly , Agree , Neither agree nor disagree ,Disagree ,Disagree strongly)	Comments
	iv. Staff has experiences of managing or running such a project	Agree strongly	
	v. No strategic alignment risks	Agree	
	vi. No organisational and management risks	Agree strongly	
	vii. No organisational cultural risks	Agree	