Effect of stable and unstable load carriage on walking gait variability, dynamic stability and
 muscle activity of older adults.

3

4 Abstract

5 Load carriage perturbs the neuromuscular system, which can be impaired due to ageing. 6 The ability to counteract perturbations is an indicator of neuromuscular function but if the 7 response is insufficient the risk of falls will increase. However, it is unknown how load 8 carriage affects older adults. Fourteen older adults (65±6 years) attended a single visit 9 during which they performed 4 minutes of walking in 3 conditions, unloaded, stable backpack 10 load and unstable backpack load. During each walking trial, 3-dimensional kinematics of the 11 lower limb and trunk movements and electromyographic activity of 6 lower limb muscles 12 were recorded. The local dynamic stability (local divergence exponents), joint angle 13 variability and spatio-temporal variability were determined along with muscle activation magnitudes. Medio-lateral dynamic stability was lower (p=0.018) and step width (p=0.019) 14 and step width variability (p=0.015) were greater in unstable load walking and step width 15 variability was greater in stable load walking (p=0.009) compared to unloaded walking. 16 17 However, there was no effect on joint angle variability. Unstable load carriage increased activity of the Rectus Femoris (p=0.001) and Soleus (p=0.043) and stable load carriage 18 increased Rectus Femoris activity (p=0.006). These results suggest that loaded walking 19 alters the gait of older adults and that unstable load carriage reduces dynamic stability 20 21 compared to unloaded walking. This can potentially increase the risk of falls, but also offers 22 the potential to use unstable loads as part of fall prevention programmes.

23 Keywords

24 Older adults; walking; load carriage; dynamic stability; variability

25

1 Introduction

2 Falls are one of the leading causes of injury and hospital admission (Ambrose et al., 2013), 3 with most falls in older adults occur during walking or other dynamic tasks (Pizzigalli et al., 4 2011). Age related changes in gait are the result of a number of factors including loss of 5 muscle strength, neuromuscular function (Dingwell et al., 2017; Kang and Dingwell, 2008a) 6 and range of motion (Kang and Dingwell, 2008a, 2008b), fear of falling (Maki, 1997) and 7 reduced certainty when selecting kinematic gait patterns (Kurz and Stergiou, 2003). Studies 8 have linked the loss of stability and an increase in variability of gait, particularly in the medio-9 lateral direction, to the risk of falling in older adults (Maki, 1997) and retrospectively differentiated fallers and non-fallers (Toebes et al., 2012). Stability during gait can be 10 affected by walking speed (Callisaya et al., 2012), fatigue (Thomas et al., 2013), 11 perturbations (Oliveira et al., 2012) and load carriage (Kim et al., 2014; Kubinski and 12 13 Higginson, 2012; McGowan et al., 2009).

14 Ageing results in a decline in neuromuscular function including motor neuron death, 15 decreased corticospinal excitability, impaired somatosensory function and deterioration of 16 the neuromuscular junction (Gonzalez-Freire et al., 2014; Manini et al., 2013; Shaffer and 17 Harrison, 2007). This contributes to a decrease in the ratio of muscle strength to mass (Delmonico et al., 2009; Fragala et al., 2015) and neuromuscular noise is increased 18 19 (Dingwell et al., 2017; Roos and Dingwell, 2010) which can lead to errors or inaccuracies in 20 the desired movements. Additional load carriage alters the ratio of muscle strength to the mass that must be moved and controlled requiring greater activation of anti-gravity and 21 22 propulsive muscles and the postural control system to prevent a loss of stability (Arellano et al., 2009). Greater levels of muscle activation result in greater neuromuscular noise in older 23 adults (Singh et al., 2012), therefore loaded walking may increase neuromuscular noise 24 when walking. Arguably, stability is therefore affected more in older adults compared with 25 26 young adults when walking with additional loads. .

1 During loaded walking, young adults show an increased spatio-temporal gait variability, 2 double support time, decreased step length (Dames and Smith, 2015; Demura and Demura, 3 2010; Huang and Kuo, 2014; Qu and Yeo, 2011) and local dynamic stability in the anterior-4 posterior (Liu and Lockhart, 2013), medio-lateral, and vertical directions (Liu and Lockhart, 5 2013; Qu, 2013). Older adults have demonstrated a similar adaptation in spatio-temporal 6 gait variables in loaded conditions with increases in double support time and step width 7 (Kubinski and Higginson, 2012). However, it is unknown whether local dynamic stability is 8 affected by load carriage in older adults.

9 The ability to counteract perturbations and maintain stability is a good indicator of the health of neuromuscular and motor control functions (Hur et al., 2010; Mersmann et al., 2013; 10 Oliveira et al., 2012). Previous research has mainly focused on load carriage of solid, stable 11 12 items to induce a perturbation. However, the use of a liquid, unstable load would add an 13 additional challenge as individuals must not only support the additional load and produce sufficient propulsive forces, but also actively control and correct perturbations from the 14 15 unstable load. An unstable load carried on the trunk may magnify the small natural 16 perturbations that occur during gait which must be controlled to prevent a loss of stability that 17 could eventually lead to a fall. Therefore, unstable load carriage could give a greater insight to the neuromuscular control strategies adopted by older adults when normal gait is 18 19 perturbed than a stable load alone.

The aim of the present study was to investigate how carriage of stable and unstable loads alters the control of older adults gait using measures of dynamic stability, variability and muscle activation. It was hypothesised that both stable and unstable load carriage would decrease dynamic stability, and increase gait variability and lower limb muscle activation compared to unloaded walking. Furthermore, it was hypothesised that unstable load carriage would have a greater effect on gait dynamic stability, variability and muscle activations compared to stable load carriage.

1 Methods

2 Participants

Fourteen older adults (n females: 7, n males: 7, age: 65±6 years, height: 1.70±0.10 m, mass:
74±13 kg) volunteered to participate in this study. Participants were excluded if they suffered
from neurological conditions such as stroke, Parkinson's disease or dementia. Exclusion
criteria also included visual impairment or lower limb conditions that prevented unaided
walking. The study received ethical approval from the University research ethics committee.
All participants gave written informed consent, were aware of the nature of the study and
their right to withdraw at any time.

10 Procedures

11 All participants attended a single laboratory visit during which they performed 4 minutes of 12 treadmill walking at their unloaded self-selected walking speed (mean speed: 1.2±0.12 m/s) under 3 conditions, unloaded, with a stable load, and an unstable load. Prior to commencing 13 14 measurements participants were familiarised with the treadmill walking. Participants walked for 5 minutes on a motorised treadmill to warm up and determine their self-selected 15 comfortable walking speed, which was achieved by participants manually adjusting the 16 treadmill speed until they reached the speed they deemed to be their normal comfortable 17 18 walking speed. As walking speed has been demonstrated to alter dynamic stability (England 19 and Granata, 2007) and muscle activations (Schmitz et al., 2009) each participants unloaded 20 self-selected speed was used for each load condition to control for effects caused by 21 differences in walking speed.

Both the stable and unstable loads were carried using a backpack with a chest strap and
were equivalent to 15% of the participants' body mass (BM), to the nearest 0.1 kg. In each
condition 3 water-tight containers, with a volume of 3.6 litres each, were placed inside the
backpack (Figure 1). For the stable load, steel weights in denominations of 0.1, 0.5 and 1 kg,
were secured inside to prevent movement, and were evenly distributed between the 3

containers. To form the unstable load a volume of water equivalent to a mass of 7.5% of the
 participants BM was distributed evenly between the 3 containers and steel weights were
 then added to make up the total mass of the backpack to 15% of the participants BM.

4

[Figure 1 here]

5 Participants were fitted with reusable bipolar electrodes with a 2 cm inter-electrode distance 6 (SX230-1000, Biometrics Ltd, UK) to measure the electromyographic (EMG) activity of 6 7 muscles of the left leg, including the Rectus Femoris (RF), Vastus Medialis (VM), Biceps 8 Femoris (BF), Tibialis Anterior (TA), Gastrocnemius Medialis (GM), and Soleus (SOL) and a reference electrode placed over the left radial head. Specific electrode placements are 9 10 outlined in Table 1. Prior to the placement of electrodes, the skin was prepared by shaving 11 the area and cleaning with an alcohol wipe. The reusable electrodes were attached to an 8-12 channel amplifier (range: ±4mV, gain: 1000, impedance: 1MΩ - K800, Biometrics Ltd, UK) before being A/D converted (CA-1000, National Instruments Corp., UK). 13

14

[Table 1 here]

Participants were also fitted with retro-reflective markers (diameter: 15 mm) for the 15 measurement of three-dimensional (3D) kinematics of the lower limb, and movements of the 16 trunk. Marker movements in 3D space were recorded using an 8 camera MAC-Eagle motion 17 analysis system (Motion Analysis Corp., USA). Markers were placed on locations based on 18 19 the modified Helen Hayes marker set (Kadaba et al., 1990) and included a single marker on 20 the sacrum, and markers placed bilaterally over the anterior superior iliac spine, and 21 unilaterally on the left thigh, medial knee epicondyle, lateral knee epicondyle, shank, medial 22 ankle malleolus, lateral ankle malleolus, heel, and base of the great toe (heel and toe markers were placed on the outside of the shoe). In addition, a cluster of 3 markers were 23 24 placed at the top of the sternum to measure the motions of the trunk (Bruijn et al., 2009a; 25 Qu, 2013). Before commencing measurements, marker positions were recorded with

participants stood in the anatomical position to provide reference angles for the hip, knee
 and ankle joints.

All EMG and 3D kinematic measurements were synchronised and collected for 3 minutes at sampling frequencies of 1000 Hz and 50 Hz respectively using Cortex software (Motion Analysis Corp., USA), from minutes 2-4 of each trial. The first minute of each trial was used to allow participants to adjust the treadmill walking before commencing measurements. The order in which each condition was presented was counterbalanced and randomised across participants to prevent any order effects. Two minutes of rest were provided between each condition.

10 Gait Variability

The 3D positions of each marker on the lower body were filtered using a dual-pass 2nd order 11 12 Butterworth filter with a cut-off frequency of 10 Hz before joint angles were calculated. 13 Three-dimensional joint angles of the hip, knee and ankle joints were calculated using the Cardan flexion-abduction-internal rotation sequence of rotations. Sagittal, frontal and 14 transverse plane joint rotations were calculated with respect to the angle of each joint whilst 15 standing in the anatomical position. All joint kinematics were calculated using Cortex 16 17 software (Motion Analysis Corp., USA). The minimum vertical position of the marker attached to the heel was used to identify heel-strike gait events (Hreljac and Marshall, 2000; 18 Zeni et al., 2008). The heel-strike events were used to separate individual gait cycles, 19 20 defined as the period from one heel-strike to the next ipsilateral heel-strike. 21 The spatio-temporal variables calculated included the stride time (ST) and step width (SW).

The ST was calculated as the time from one heel-strike to the next ipsilateral heel-strike and SW was calculated as the medio-lateral distance between the positions of the heel marker at heel-strike to that of the next contra-lateral heel-strike. The mean (ST_{MEAN} and SW_{MEAN}) and standard deviation (ST_{SD} and SW_{SD}) were calculated for ST and SW. To quantify the kinematic variability of the hip, knee and ankle in the sagittal, frontal and transverse planes during walking, data for each individual gait cycle were interpolated to 101 data points (0100%). The standard deviation was then calculated across all gait cycles at each normalised
time point. The mean of the standard deviation values (MeanSD) calculated for each
normalised time point was then used to represent the kinematic variability for each joint in
each plane.

6 Dynamic Stability

Dynamic stability was calculated as the local divergence exponent (LDE) from the trunk
markers in the anterio-posterior (LDE_{AP}), medio-lateral (LDE_{ML}) and vertical (LDE_{VT})
directions using the Rosenstein algorithm (Rosenstein et al., 1993). For the calculation of the
LDE, the average position of the 3 markers attached to the sternum for each frame in the
anterior-posterior, medio-lateral and vertical directions was used. The application of this
method to gait has been described in detail previously (e.g. Bruijn et al., 2009; Dingwell et
al., 2001).

Briefly, as accurate calculation of the LDE requires stationary data the first difference of 14 consecutive samples of each averaged trajectory was calculated. To achieve statistical 15 precision, 150 consecutive strides were analysed (Bruijn et al., 2009a). The first differenced 16 17 signal for each direction over the period of 150 strides was interpolated to 15000 data points. A state space for each direction was constructed using a time delay of 10 samples and 18 embedding dimension of 5 (e.g. Bruijn et al., 2009b; England and Granata, 2007; Liu and 19 Lockhart, 2013). The nearest neighbour (points separated by the smallest Euclidean 20 21 distance) for each data point in state space was determined and the Euclidean distance of 22 these points was followed for the length of the series creating as many distance-time series 23 as time points in state space. The divergence curve was calculated as the log of the average 24 of all distance-time series and the LDE was calculated as the slope of the linear fit applied to 25 the period equivalent to the average time for 1 step in each condition. The LDE was

calculated for the period of 0.5 strides as each step presents an opportunity to correct a
 perturbation.

3 Muscle Activations

4 Processing of all EMG signals was performed using custom programmes written in Matlab 5 software (Mathworks Inc., USA). Raw EMG signals were bandpass filtered using a dual-pass 6 2nd order Butterworth filter with a 20-450 Hz cut-off frequency before subtracting the signal 7 mean to correct baseline offsets. The bandpass filtered signal was full-wave rectified and 8 low-pass filtered to produce a linear envelope using a dual-pass 2nd order Butterworth filter with a 10 Hz cut-off frequency. The linear envelope was then normalised as a percentage of 9 10 peak activation of the muscle recorded during unloaded self-selected speed walking. The normalised signals were then separated into individual gait cycles based on the heel-strike 11 events determined by the heel marker and were interpolated to 1001 data points. The EMG 12 13 activity was then averaged across all gait cycles before the mean EMG activity (EMG_{MEAN}) of 14 the average gait cycle was calculated.

15 Statistics

16 All data were tested for normality using the Shapiro-Wilk test and were normally distributed. When data violated the assumption of sphericity a Greenhouse-Geisser correction was 17 used. To determine the effects of load conditions (unloaded, stable and unstable) on gait 18 19 variability (ST_{MEAN}, ST_{SD}, SW_{MEAN} and SW_{SD}, hip, knee and ankle MeanSD), dynamic stability 20 (LDE_{AP}, LDE_{ML} and LDE_{VT}) and muscle activations (EMG_{MEAN} of all muscles) repeated measures ANOVAs were performed. When significant main effects were present post hoc 21 22 pairwise comparisons with a Bonferonni correction were performed. The α -level of significance was set at p<0.05 for all comparisons. Partial eta squared (η_p^2) was used as an 23 estimate of effect size, values of 0.01, 0.06 and 0.14 were interpreted as small, medium and 24 25 large effects respectively (Cohen, 1969; Richardson, 2011). All statistical analyses were performed using SPSS software (v22, IBM UK Ltd., UK). 26

1 Results

2 Gait Variability

3	An effect of load condition was present for SW _{MEAN} (F(2,26)=5.68, p=0.009, η_p^2 =0.30) and
4	SW _{SD} (F(2,26)=8.53, p=0.001, η_p^2 =0.40). Unstable load walking induced a significantly higher
5	SW_{MEAN} (p=0.019) and SW_{SD} (p=0.015) compared with unloaded walking. In addition, stable
6	load walking induced a significantly higher SW_{SD} compared with unloaded walking
7	(p=0.009). There were no differences between stable and unstable loaded walking. There
8	were no effects for ST _{MEAN} or ST _{SD} (η_p^2 : 0.05 and 0.10 respectively). There were also no
9	effects of load condition on the MeanSD of the hip (sagittal: η_p^2 =0.12, frontal: η_p^2 =0.06 and
10	transverse: η_p^2 =0.10), knee (sagittal: η_p^2 =0.10, frontal: η_p^2 =0.01 and transverse: η_p^2 =0.06) and
11	ankle (sagittal: η_p^2 =0.05, frontal: η_p^2 =0.02 and transverse: η_p^2 =0.09) joints in any rotation plane
12	(Table 2).
13	[Table 2 here]
14	Dynamic Stability
15	An effect of load condition was present for LDE _{ML} (F(2,26)=7.02, p=0.004, η_p^2 =0.35) with a
16	significantly higher LDE $_{ML}$ for unstable load walking compared with unloaded walking
17	(p=0.018), however, stable load walking was not different to either condition (Figure 2).
18	There were no effects for LDE _{AP} and LDE _{VT} (η_p^2 : 0.11 and 0.15 respectively).
19	[Figure 2 here]
20	Muscle Activation

- 21 An effect of load condition was present for EMG_{MEAN} of RF (F(2,26)=8.96, p=0.001, η_p^2 =0.41)
- and SOL (F(1.43,15.89)=5.851, p=0.023, η_p^2 =0.310), both muscles activation were higher for
- unstable load walking compared with unloaded walking (RF: p=0.001 and SOL: p=0.043)
- 24 and RF also increased (p=0.006) between unloaded and stable load walking (Figure 3).

1 There were no effects of load condition for VM, GM or BF (η_p^2 : 0.15, 0.16 and 0.13

2 respectively).

3

[Figure 3 here]

4 Discussion

5 The main findings of this study were that the ML dynamic stability of older adults was 6 reduced when carrying unstable loads compared to unloaded walking. Step width variability 7 was also increased in both loaded conditions compared to unloaded walking and step width 8 was increased when carrying an unstable load compared to unloaded walking. However, 9 joint angle variability was not altered by load carriage. Furthermore, it was found that RF and SOL muscle activation was increased in loaded walking conditions. Combined, these results 10 11 show that load carriage effects the gait of older adults and that unstable loads have effects 12 on dynamical stability compared to unloaded walking that are not present for stable loads, however this study did not find differences between stable and unstable load carriage. 13

14 The present study is the first to demonstrate the effect of unstable load carriage on the 15 dynamic stability of older adults. The increased LDE_{ML} when carrying an unstable load, in the present study, is in agreement with findings in young adults when carrying heavier stable 16 17 loads than those used in the current study (Liu and Lockhart, 2013; Qu, 2013). In addition to 18 accommodating the added inertia, the unstable load required older adults to attenuate movements of the load, which magnified the natural kinematic perturbations that occur 19 during walking (Dingwell and Marin, 2006). However, a reduction in ML dynamic stability was 20 not present in the stable condition, in contrast with previous findings (Liu and Lockhart, 2013; 21 Qu, 2013). A likely explanation is the relatively lower loads used in the present study for 22 older adults, compared to the young population carrying greater loads. It is suggested that 23 the added perturbation caused by unstable loads was responsible for the decline in stability 24 25 rather than the added inertia of a load equivalent to 15% BM.

1 The increased SW_{MEAN}, SW_{SD} and LDE_{ML} with unstable loads compared to unloaded walking found in the present study suggest that the control of ML stability is reduced, but not the 2 3 control of AP stability. A possible explanation is that humans are mechanically less stable in 4 the ML than the AP direction when walking (Bauby and Kuo, 2000; Rankin et al., 2014; 5 Schrager et al., 2009). It has been demonstrated that in the AP direction an individual is able 6 to rely on passive dynamic properties with limited need for neural feedback control for 7 stability during walking, however, in the ML direction active control is necessary (Bauby and 8 Kuo, 2000; Rankin et al., 2014). An alternative explanation is that the orientation of the 9 unstable load configuration, with the long axis oriented in the ML direction, will result in 10 greater movements of the load in the ML compared to AP and VT directions. The load configuration used will therefore provide greater perturbation in the ML direction than the AP 11 12 or VT directions.

In loaded conditions, greater muscle output is required, as indicated by the greater RF and 13 SOL muscle activation in the present study. It has been demonstrated that the role of the 14 15 SOL and RF during gait is different compared to GM and VM, with the SOL contributing 16 more to resisting gravity and forward propulsion than GM (Cronin et al., 2013). It is therefore 17 reasonable to assume that the SOL would contribute more than GM to resist the added load. The role of the RF as a biarticular muscle is to transfer mechanical energy from the hip to 18 19 knee (Annaswamy et al., 1999), which could lead to a different response in loaded 20 conditions to that of VM. It is also possible to assume that a larger sample size would result 21 in a significant alteration in VM, GM and BF activation given the medium-large effect sizes present (η_p^2 : 0.15, 0.16 and 0.13 respectively). 22

Despite the changes to SW in both loaded conditions and LDE_{ML} when carrying an unstable load there was no change in the MeanSD of any joint or plane of motion. The effect of load carriage on joint kinematic variability has not been demonstrated previously, however, it has been demonstrated that load carriage of 30% BM did not have an effect on sagittal plane joint local dynamic stability (Arellano et al., 2009) and range of motion (Browning et al., 2007; Holt et al., 2003) during walking in young adults. The findings suggest that joint level
 variability may be more rigidly controlled when walking on a treadmill than trunk stability or
 step width (Arellano et al., 2009).

Older adult fallers have lower dynamic stability, i.e. larger LDE values, and greater gait
variability in the ML direction than age matched non-fallers (Maki, 1997; Toebes et al.,
2012). Walking with an unstable load could recreate conditions of increased fall risk in
healthy older adults that are found in those with a higher risk of falling, but can be performed
in a controlled environment. Consequently, there could be positive effects of training with
unstable loads. Future research should therefore focus on the safety and effect of unstable
load walking as part of an intervention to reduce falls in healthy older adults.

There were some limitations of the current study. The use of a treadmill limits the external 11 12 validity of the findings and may also impact upon the natural variability and dynamics of 13 walking as speed is consistent, as is the support surface and position on the treadmill (Kang 14 and Dingwell, 2008b). However, use of a treadmill provides the possibility to analyse a large 15 number of continuous strides that would not be possible during overground walking. The 16 analysis of continuous gait is important for measures of kinematic variability and dynamic 17 stability (Bruijn et al., 2009a; Dingwell and Marin, 2006) and so was accepted for the advantages gained in understanding the dynamics of continuous gait. Another possible 18 limitation is that the speed was the same for each condition. Whilst using the same speed 19 20 provides consistency between conditions, in reality individuals decrease their walking speed 21 under loaded conditions (Salem et al., 2001).

In conclusion, the findings of this study suggest that in healthy, active older adults load
carriage of 15% BM increases step width variability and activation of antigravity and
propulsive muscles in the lower limb. In addition, unstable loads decrease ML dynamic
stability compared to unloaded walking, a change that is not present when carrying stable

- 1 loads. However, neither loaded condition altered the variability of hip, knee and ankle
- 2 kinematics.
- 3 Conflicts of Interest
- 4 None.
- 5

6 References

- Ambrose, A.F., Paul, G., Hausdorff, J.M., 2013. Risk factors for falls among older adults: A
 review of the literature. Maturitas 75, 51–61.
- 9 Annaswamy, T.M., Giddings, C.J., Della Croce, U., Kerrigan, D.C., 1999. Rectus femoris: Its
- 10 role in normal gait. Arch. Phys. Med. Rehabil. 80, 930–934. doi:10.1016/S0003-

11 9993(99)90085-0

- 12 Arellano, C.J., Layne, C.S., O'Connor, D.P., Scott-Pandorf, M., Kurz, M.J., 2009. Does load
- 13 carrying influence sagittal plane locomotive stability? Med. Sci. Sports Exerc. 41, 620–
- 14 627. doi:10.1249/MSS.0b013e31818a0ea4
- 15 Bauby, C.E., Kuo, A.D., 2000. Active control of lateral balance in human walking. J.
- 16 Biomech. 33, 1433–1440. doi:10.1016/S0021-9290(00)00101-9
- 17 Browning, R.C., Modica, J.R., Kram, R., Goswami, A., 2007. The effects of adding mass to
- the legs on the energetics and biomechanics of walking. Med. Sci. Sports Exerc. 39,
- 19 515–525. doi:10.1249/mss.0b013e31802b3562
- 20 Bruijn, S.M., van Dieën, J.H., Meijer, O.G., Beek, P.J., 2009a. Statistical precision and
- sensitivity of measures of dynamic gait stability. J. Neurosci. Methods 178, 327–333.
- 22 doi:10.1016/j.jneumeth.2008.12.015
- 23 Bruijn, S.M., van Dieën, J.H., Meijer, O.G., Beek, P.J., 2009b. Is slow walking more stable?

1	J. Biomech. 42, 1506–1512. doi:10.1016/j.jbiomech.2009.03.047
2	Callisaya, M.L., Blizzard, L., McGinley, J.L., Srikanth, V.K., 2012. Risk of falls in older people
3	during fast-walking – The TASCOG study. Gait Posture 36, 510–515.
4	Cohen, J., 1969. Statistical power analysis for the behavioural sciences. Academic Press,
5	New York.
6	Cronin, N.J., Avela, J., Finni, T., Peltonen, J., 2013. Differences in contractile behaviour
7	between the soleus and medial gastrocnemius muscles during human walking. J. Exp.
8	Biol. 216, 909–914. doi:10.1242/jeb.078196
9	Dames, K.D., Smith, J.D., 2015. Effects of load carriage and footwear on spatiotemporal
10	parameters, kinematics, and metabolic cost of walking. Gait Posture 42, 122–126.
11	doi:10.1016/j.gaitpost.2015.04.017
12	Delmonico, M.J., Harris, T.B., Visser, M., Park, S.W., Conroy, M.B., Velasquez-mieyer, P.,
13	Boudreau, R., Manini, T.M., Nevitt, M., Newman, A.B., Goodpaster, B.H., 2009.
14	Longitudinal study of muscle strength , quality , and adipose tissue. Am. J. Clin. Nutr.
15	90, 1579–1585. doi:10.3945/ajcn.2009.28047
16	Demura, T., Demura, S., 2010. Relationship among gait parameters while walking with
17	varying loads. J. Physiol. Anthropol. 29, 29–34. doi:10.2114/jpa2.29.29
18	Dingwell, J.B., Cusumano, J.P., Cavanagh, P.R., Sternad, D., 2001. Local Dynamic Stability
19	Versus Kinematic Variability of Continuous Overground and Treadmill Walking. J.
20	Biomech. Eng. 123, 27. doi:10.1115/1.1336798
21	Dingwell, J.B., Marin, L.C., 2006. Kinematic variability and local dynamic stability of upper
22	body motions when walking at different speeds. J. Biomech. 39, 444–52.
23	doi:10.1016/j.jbiomech.2004.12.014
24	Dingwell, J.B., Salinas, M.M., Cusumano, J.P., 2017. Increased gait variability may not imply

- 1 impaired stride-to-stride control of walking in healthy older adults. Gait Posture 55, 131–
- 2 137. doi:10.1016/j.gaitpost.2017.03.018
- England, S., Granata, K., 2007. The influence of gait speed on local dynamic stability of
 walking. Gait Posture 25, 172–178. doi:10.1016/j.gaitpost.2006.03.003
- 5 Fragala, M., Kenny, A., Kuchel, G., 2015. Muscle Quality in Aging: a Multi-Dimensional
- Approach to Muscle Functioning with Applications for Treatment. Sport. Med. 45, 641–
 658. doi:10.1007/s40279-015-0305-z
- 8 Gonzalez-Freire, M., de Cabo, R., Studenski, S.A., Ferrucci, L., 2014. The neuromuscular
- 9 junction: Aging at the crossroad between nerves and muscle. Front. Aging Neurosci. 6,
- 10 1–11. doi:10.3389/fnagi.2014.00208
- 11 Holt, K.G., Wagenaar, R.C., LaFiandra, M.E., Kubo, M., Obusek, J.P., 2003. Increased
- 12 musculoskeletal stiffness during load carriage at increasing walking speeds maintains
- 13 constant vertical excursion of the body center of mass. J. Biomech. 36, 465–471.
- 14 doi:10.1016/S0021-9290(02)00457-8
- 15 Hreljac, A., Marshall, R.N., 2000. Algorithms to determine event timing during normal
- 16 walking using kinematic data. J. Biomech. 33, 783–786. doi:10.1016/S0021-
- 17 9290(00)00014-2
- Huang, T.-W.P., Kuo, A.D., 2014. Mechanics and energetics of load carriage during human
 walking. J. Exp. Biol. 217, 605–613. doi:10.1242/jeb.091587
- Hur, P., Duiser, B. a., Salapaka, S.M., Hsiao-Wecksler, E.T., 2010. Measuring robustness of
- the postural control system to a mild impulsive perturbation. IEEE Trans. Neural Syst.
- 22 Rehabil. Eng. 18, 461–467. doi:10.1109/TNSRE.2010.2052133
- 23 Kadaba, M.P., Ramakrishnan, H.K., Wootten, M.E., 1990. Measurement of lower extremity
- kinematics during level walking. J. Orthop. Res. 8, 383–392. doi:10.1007/978-1-4471-

1	5451-8	100
---	--------	-----

2	Kang, H.G., Dingwell, J.B., 2008a. Effects of walking speed, strength and range of motion on
3	gait stability in healthy older adults. J. Biomech. 41, 2899–2905.
4	doi:10.1016/j.jbiomech.2008.08.002
5	Kang, H.G., Dingwell, J.B., 2008b. Separating the effects of age and walking speed on gait
6	variability. Gait Posture 27, 572–7. doi:10.1016/j.gaitpost.2007.07.009
7	Kim, SG., Nam, CW., Yong, MS., 2014. The effect of increase in baggage weight on
8	elderly women's lower extremity muscle activation during gait. Arch. Gerontol. Geriatr.
9	59, 574–576. doi:10.1016/j.archger.2014.07.015
10	Kubinski, A.J., Higginson, J.S., 2012. Strategies used during a challenging weighted walking
11	task in healthy adults and individuals with knee osteoarthritis. Gait Posture 35, 6–10.
12	doi:10.1016/j.gaitpost.2011.07.012
13	Kurz, M.J., Stergiou, N., 2003. The aging humans neuromuscular system expresses less
14	certainty for selecting joint kinematics during gait. Neurosci. Lett. 348, 155–158.
15	doi:10.1016/S0304-3940(03)00736-5
16	Liu, J., Lockhart, T.E., 2013. Local dynamic stability associated with load carrying. Saf.
17	Health Work 4, 46–51. doi:10.5491/SHAW.2013.4.1.46
18	Maki, B.E., 1997. Gait changes in older adults: predictors of falls or indicators of fear? J. Am.
19	Geriatr. Soc. 45, 313-320. doi:10.1007/s00702-007-0764-y
20	Manini, T., Hong, S., Clark, B.C., 2013. Aging and muscle: a neuron's perspective. Curr Opin
21	Clin Nutr Metab Care 16, 21–26. doi:10.1097/MCO.0b013e32835b5880.Aging
22	McGowan, C.P., Kram, R., Neptune, R.R., 2009. Modulation of leg muscle function in
23	response to altered demand for body support and forward propulsion during walking. J.
24	Biomech. 42, 850–856. doi:10.1016/j.jbiomech.2009.01.025

1	Mersmann, F., Bohm, S., Bierbaum, S., Dietrich, R., Arampatzis, A., 2013. Young and old
2	adults prioritize dynamic stability control following gait perturbations when performing a
3	concurrent cognitive task. Gait Posture 37, 373–377.
4	doi:10.1016/j.gaitpost.2012.08.005
5	Oliveira, a. S.C., Gizzi, L., Kersting, U.G., Farina, D., 2012. Modular organization of balance
6	control following perturbations during walking. J. Neurophysiol. 108, 1895–1906.
7	doi:10.1152/jn.00217.2012
8	Pizzigalli, L., Filippini, A., Ahmaidi, S., Jullien, H., 2011. Prevention of falling risk in elderly
9	people: the relevance of muscular strength and symmetry of lower limbs in postural
10	stability. J. Strength Cond. Res. 25, 567–574.
11	Qu, X., 2013. Effects of cognitive and physical loads on local dynamic stability during gait.
12	Appl. Ergon. 44, 455–458. doi:10.1016/j.apergo.2012.10.018
13	Qu, X., Yeo, J.C., 2011. Effects of load carriage and fatigue on gait characteristics. J.
14	Biomech. 44, 1259–1263. doi:10.1016/j.jbiomech.2011.02.016
15	Rankin, B.L., Buffo, S.K., Dean, J.C., 2014. A neuromechanical strategy for mediolateral foot
16	placement in walking humans. J. Neurophysiol. 112, 374–383.
17	doi:10.1152/jn.00138.2014
18	Richardson, J.T.E., 2011. Eta squared and partial eta squared as measures of effect size in
19	educational research. Educ. Res. Rev. 6, 135–147. doi:10.1016/j.edurev.2010.12.001
20	Roos, P.E., Dingwell, J.B., 2010. Influence of simulated neuromuscular noise on movement
21	variability and fall risk in a 3D dynamic walking model. J. Biomech. 43, 2929–35.
22	doi:10.1016/j.jbiomech.2010.07.008
23	Rosenstein, M.T., Collins, J.J., De Luca, C.J., 1993. A practical method for calculating
24	largest Lyapunov exponents from small data sets. Phys. D Nonlinear Phenom. 65, 117–

1 134. doi:10.1016/0167-2789(93)90009-P

Salem, G.J., Wang, M.Y., Azen, S.P., Young, J.T., Greendale, G. a., 2001. Lower-extremity
kinetic response to activity program dosing in older adults. J. Appl. Biomech. 17, 103–
112.

- Schmitz, A., Silder, A., Heiderscheit, B., Mahoney, J., Thelen, D.G., 2009. Differences in
 lower-extremity muscular activation during walking between healthy older and young
 adults. J. Electromyogr. Kinesiol. 19, 1085–1091. doi:10.1016/j.jelekin.2008.10.008
- Schrager, M., Kelly, V., Price, R., Ferrucci, L., Shumway-Cook, A., 2009. The effects of age
 on medio-lateral stability during normal and narrow base walking. Gait Posture 28, 466–
- 10 471. doi:10.1016/j.gaitpost.2008.02.009.The
- Shaffer, S.W., Harrison, A.L., 2007. Aging of the somatosensory system: a translational
 perspective. Phys. Ther. 87, 193–207. doi:10.2522/ptj.20060083
- 13 Singh, N.B., König, N., Arampatzis, A., Heller, M.O., Taylor, W.R., 2012. Extreme levels of
- noise constitute a key neuromuscular deficit in the elderly. PLoS One 7, e48449.
- 15 doi:10.1371/journal.pone.0048449
- Thomas, K.S., VanLunen, B.L., Morrison, S., 2013. Changes in postural sway as a function
 of prolonged walking. Eur. J. Appl. Physiol. 113, 497–508. doi:10.1007/s00421-0122456-z
- 19 Toebes, M.J.P., Hoozemans, M.J.M., Furrer, R., Dekker, J., van Dieën, J.H., 2012. Local
- 20 dynamic stability and variability of gait are associated with fall history in elderly
- 21 subjects. Gait Posture 36, 527–31. doi:10.1016/j.gaitpost.2012.05.016
- 22 Zeni, J. a., Richards, J.G., Higginson, J.S., 2008. Two simple methods for determining gait
- events during treadmill and overground walking using kinematic data. Gait Posture 27,
- 24 710–714. doi:10.1016/j.gaitpost.2007.07.007

1 Tables

2 Table 1. Electrode placements for the 6 lower limb muscles studied.

Muscle	Electrode position				
Rectus Femoris	50% along the line from the anterior superior iliac spine to the				
	superior border of the patella				
Vastus Medialis	80% along the line between the anterior superior iliac spine and the				
	joint space in front of the anterior border of the medial ligament				
Biceps Femoris	50% along the line between the ischial tuberosity and the lateral				
	epicondyle of the tibia				
Tibialis Anterior	33% along the line between the tip of the fibula and the tip of the				
	medial malleolus				
Gastrocnemius	Most prominent bulge of the muscle				
Medialis					
Soleus	66% along the line between the medial epicondyle of the femur and				
	the medial malleolus				

3

4

1 Table 2. Mean ± standard deviation (SD) values for all spatio-temporal and joint angle gait

2	variabilitv	(MeanSD)	variables	under	each	load	condition.
~	vanasinty	(mounde)	vanabioo	anaor	00011	louu	oonanion.

		Unloaded	Stable	Unstable
Step Width (mm)	Mean	73±34	88±24	97±20*
	SD	22±6	27±5*	31±6*
Stride Time (s)	Mean	1.07±0.09	1.07±0.06	1.08±0.08
	SD	0.04±0.05	0.02±0.01	0.02±0.01
Hip MeanSD (°)	Sagittal	2.7±1.9	4.0±2.3	3.0±2.7
	Frontal	1.8±1.5	1.6±0.6	2.0±1.8
	Transverse	3.4±2.9	4.1±2.8	4.5±5.9
Knee MeanSD (°)	Sagittal	3.2±1.7	4.5±3.3	4.3±3.7
	Frontal	1.8±2.1	1.5±1.5	3.8±2.1
	Transverse	2.3±2.2	4.0±3.2	6.1±4.6
Ankle MeanSD (°)	Sagittal	2.0±0.9	2.7±1.7	6.7±4.4
	Frontal	2.0±2.2	1.9±1.8	3.1±3.1
	Transverse	2.3±1.9	1.9±1.1	4.8±3.3

3 * indicates that the value is significantly greater than the unloaded condition

4

1 Figure Captions

2 Figure 1. Illustration of the position of containers inside the backpack. Each container held

3 either steel weights for the stable condition or steel weights and water for the unstable

4 condition, distributed evenly between the 3 containers.

5

Figure 2. Mean \pm standard deviation values for local divergence exponent (LDE) values in the anterio-posterior (LDE_{AP}), medio-lateral (LDE_{ML}) and vertical (LDE_{VT}) directions under each load condition.

9

Figure 3. Mean ± standard deviation values for the average muscle activity (EMG_{MEAN}) of all tested muscles and the coactivation index (CI) of all tested muscle pairs under each load

12 condition.

13 * indicates value is significantly greater than the unloaded condition