

Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

# Journal of Affective Disorders



journal homepage: www.elsevier.com/locate/jad

# Are subtypes of affective symptoms differentially associated with change in cognition over time: A latent class analysis



Timothy Singham<sup>a</sup>, Rob Saunders<sup>a,b</sup>, Helen Brooker<sup>c</sup>, Byron Creese<sup>c</sup>, Dag Aarsland<sup>d,e</sup>, Adam Hampshire<sup>f</sup>, Clive Ballard<sup>c</sup>, Anne Corbett<sup>c</sup>, Roopal Desai<sup>a</sup>, Joshua Stott<sup>a,\*</sup>

<sup>a</sup> Adapt Lab, Research Department of Clinical, Educational and Health Psychology, University College London, London, UK

<sup>b</sup> Centre for Outcomes Research and Effectiveness, Research Department of Clinical, Educational and Health Psychology, University College London, London, UK

<sup>c</sup> College of Medicine and Health, University of Exeter, UK

<sup>d</sup> Department of Old age Psychiatry, IoPPN, Kings College London, UK

<sup>e</sup> Centre for Age-related research, Stavanger University Hospital, Stavanger, Norway

<sup>f</sup> Department of Brain Sciences, Faculty of Medicine, Imperial College London, UK

Department of Drain Sciences, Faculty of Medicine, Imperial Conege London, OK

#### ARTICLE INFO

Keywords: Latent class analysis Affective symptoms Depression Anxiety Sleep Heterogeneity

## ABSTRACT

*Background:* In the absence of disease-modifying treatments, identifying potential psychosocial risk factors for dementia is paramount. Depression and anxiety have been identified as potential risk factors. Studies however have yielded mixed findings, lending possibility to the fact that potential constellations of co-occurring depression and anxiety symptoms may better explain the link between affective symptoms and cognitive decline. *Methods:* Data from participants (aged 50 and above) of the PROTECT study was used. Latent Class Analysis (LCA) was conducted on 21,684 participants with baseline anxiety and depression measures. Multiple linear regressions models, using a subset of these participants (N = 6136) who had complete cognition data at baseline and at 2-year follow-up, were conducted to assess for associations between class membership and longitudinal changes in cognition.

*Results:* The LCA identified a 5-class solution: "No Symptoms", "Sleep", "Sleep and Worry", "Sleep and Anhedonia", and "Co-morbid Depression and Anxiety". Class membership was significantly associated with longitudinal change in cognition. Furthermore, this association differed across different cognitive measures. *Limitations:* Limitations included significant attrition and a generally healthy sample which may impact

*Limitations:* Limitations included significant attrition and a generally healthy sample which may impact generalisability.

*Conclusions:* Substantial heterogeneity in affective symptoms could explain previous inconsistent findings concerning the association between affective symptoms and cognition. Clinicians should not focus solely on total symptom scores on a *single* affective domain, but instead on the presence and *patterns* of symptoms (even if subclinical) on measures across *multiple* affective domains. Identifying particular subgroups that are at greater risk of poor cognitive outcomes may support targeted prevention work.

#### 1. Introduction

Globally, the number of people living with dementia is expected to triple by the year 2050 (Prince et al., 2016). In the UK, dementia is currently the most common cause of mortality (ONS, 2018). In the absence of any known disease-modifying treatments, identifying modifiable risk factors that could prevent dementia is paramount. Depression and anxiety are two such possible risk factors (Gulpers et al., 2016) and several plausible mechanisms have been suggested such as the hyperactivation of the Hypothalamic-Pituitary-Adrenal (HPA) axis

(Rodrigues et al., 2014) disruptions in the GABAergic system (Wu et al., 2014), Sapolsky's glucocorticoid cascade hypothesis (Sapolsky et al., 1986), and via cardiometabolic risk (John et al., 2021). However, the link between depression and anxiety, and cognitive decline has been inconsistent, as some studies have found significant associations between depression and cognitive decline (Rajan et al., 2014; Chang and Tsai, 2015) and anxiety and cognitive decline (Sinoff and Werner, 2003; Gulpers et al., 2019) but some studies have not found such associations (Bunce et al., 2012; Neubauer et al., 2013; Brailean et al., 2017). Proposed reasons for these inconsistent findings include differences in study

https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2022.04.139

Received 21 January 2022; Received in revised form 14 April 2022; Accepted 24 April 2022 Available online 29 April 2022 0165-0327/© 2022 The Authors. Published by Elsevier B.V. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

<sup>\*</sup> Corresponding author at: 1-19 Torrington Place, Camden, London WC1E 7HB, UK. *E-mail address:* j.stott@ucl.ac.uk (J. Stott).

methodology, design, length of follow-up, and differences in assessment tools (John et al., 2019).

A further explanation may be due to the heterogeneity of mood and anxiety disorders, and different constellations of symptoms may be associated with differences in cognitive decline. For instance, it has been found that Repetitive Negative Thinking (RNT), but not anxiety and depression, has been associated with Tau and Amyloid pathologies, potentially indicating specific markers for cognitive decline (Marchant et al., 2020). Furthermore, such heterogeneity may be particularly salient especially when studying the older population, given that somatic symptoms, which are often measured as part of anxiety (Bártolo et al., 2017) or depression (Lamela et al., 2020) may be a function of normal aging - owing either to physical health conditions or to the side effects of certain medications, rather than a function of anxiety or depression themselves (Therrien and Hunsley, 2012). Put together, such heterogeneity may also account for the mixed findings in the literature, and may imply that subtypes of affective symptoms may be differentially associated with cognitive decline.

A novel approach to exploring the potential heterogeneity in affective symptoms is to identify subgroups of individuals based on different constellations of symptoms. This may be especially relevant given that depression and anxiety each cover a broad range of symptoms, not all of which are required in order to receive a clinical diagnosis, and therefore the presence of different symptoms may be associated with different cognitive profiles. Furthermore, given the number of potential cooccurring symptoms which may make up these disorders, variablecentered approaches may struggle to model all the potential interactions between them and instead more person-centered approaches such as clustering may provide useful alternatives (Smyth et al., 2022). Clustering approaches further benefit from being able to consider multiple potentially interacting variables (such as affective symptoms), and explore differences between identified subgroups on multiple outcome variables (Saunders et al., 2020; Aiken et al., 1991). This is crucial as understanding the potential constellations of co-occurring affective symptoms across both anxiety and depression, especially considering the high degree of co-variance between anxiety and depression symptoms in older adults (Schoevers et al., 2005) might help better explain the nature of the relationship between affective symptoms and cognitive decline (with the additional benefit of identifying subgroups who may be particularly at-risk of cognitive decline). Clustering approaches such as Latent Class Analysis (LCA) have been used to identify subgroups of depression and anxiety symptoms (Rudenstine and Espinosa, 2018; Unick et al., 2009) in the general population, but not exclusively in older adults, or in order to compare subgroups on cognitive decline.

The first aim of the present study was to identify subgroups of adults over the age of 50, based on the presence of self-reported symptoms of depression and anxiety. The second aim of this study was to investigate whether cognitive decline (as measured across four cognitive domains) differs between these subgroups.

# 2. Method

#### 2.1. Study design and participants

Data were taken from the ongoing PROTECT study (www.protectstu dy.org.uk), launched in 2015, with the primary aim of examining agerelated changes to the brain in the context of aging (Huntley et al., 2018). Inclusion criteria for PROTECT include: being over the age of 50, living in the UK having a good understanding of English, and being able to use a computer with internet access. Participants who had a known diagnosis of dementia were excluded from the study. The present study utilised data (N = 24,012) over a 2-year follow-up period, from the 1st wave (baseline; defined as "T0") to the 3rd wave (follow-up; defined as "T2") of data collection. Ethical approval for this study was granted by the UK London Bridge National Research Ethics Committee (Ref: 13/LO/ 1578).

# 2.2. Procedure and data collection

Participants were recruited via a well-publicised media campaign, GP practices and memory clinics. Participants registered interest online, and downloaded a study information sheet. Consent was requested through an approved online platform.

Participants completed a series of online self-report questionnaires annually (at each wave), which included sociodemographic (gender, age, marital status, ethnicity, education level, employment status), lifestyle (smoking history, alcohol consumption, physical activity), and mental health information (history of diagnosed depression/anxiety and current levels of depression and anxiety symptoms) and a battery of four online cognitive measures, each measuring a different cognitive domain - attention, visual working memory, spatial working memory and verbal reasoning. All cognitive measures were based on validated pen and paper versions and adapted for online use (Owen et al., 2010). They have been found to be valid (Corbett et al., 2015) and reliable (Wesnes et al., 2017). Participants were asked to attempt each cognitive measure three times over seven days, ensuring there is at least 24 h before each trial. The scores from successfully completed trials were then averaged to compute a total summary score for each cognitive measure. The cognitive measures took approximately half an hour to complete. Full details on the measures for depression and anxiety symptoms respectively, and the cognitive measures are provided in Table 1.

# 2.3. Statistical analysis

#### 2.3.1. Latent class analysis (LCA)

In the first stage of analysis, LCA was conducted on the combined 16 items of the PHQ-9 and GAD-7, using data from participants who had complete data on all these items at T0. Each of the 16 items were converted into binary indicators to reflect either symptom presence or absence (score of 0 = absence, scores of 1,2 or 3 indicate presence of symptom). This binarisation was performed for two reasons: First, due to the positively skewed distribution on the PHQ-9 and GAD-7 in this dataset, whereby a vast majority of participants scored "0" on most of the items. Second, so as to identify possible subsyndromal symptoms that are commonly missed in the older adult population, but which have been found to be associated with poorer health outcomes (Yuan et al., 2020). A number of model fit statistics were used to determine the optimal class solution, including the Vuong-Lo-Mendell-Rubin Likelihood Ratio test (VLMR-LRT; Lo et al., 2001) the Bootstrap Likelihood Ratio Difference test (B-LRT), Akaike information criterion (AIC), the Bayesian Information Criterion (BIC), sample size adjusted BIC (Vrieze, 2012) and Entropy values.

To identify the best fitting model, a number of model fit criteria were considered. Firstly, the Vuong-Lo-Mendell-Rubin Likelihood Ratio test (VLMR-LRT; Lo et al., 2001) and the Bootstrap Likelihood Ratio Difference test (B-LRT; Geiser, 2013) were performed. Since there was no hypothesis on the precise number of classes, the analysis was conducted starting with a 2-class model, and increasing the number of classes by one until the VLMR-LRT became non-significant. The B-LRT was then used to confirm the K-1 model using a parametric bootstrap procedure (Geiser, 2013). This was complemented by evaluating model fit based on the following commonly-used fit indices: Akaike information criterion (AIC), the Bayesian Information Criterion (BIC), sample size adjusted BIC (Vrieze, 2012) and Entropy values. Smaller values of AIC and BIC, and larger values of Entropy, which reflects better separation between latent classes, indicate better model fit (Nylund et al., 2007). In the case of multiple possible class solutions, model interpretability and clinical relevance were taken into consideration, as recommended in the literature (Nylund et al., 2007). As a class-utility criterion, classes needed to include at least 5% of the sample (Yuan et al., 2020; Spinhoven et al., 2016; Saunders et al., 2019). Since there was no hypothesis as to the number of classes to be identified, the analysis started with a 2-class model, and increased the number of classes whilst considering model

#### Table 1

Complete description of cognitive and affective symptom measures.

Measures	Domain	Description	
Cognitive measures			
Digit Span (DS)	Attention	Participants were asked to	
		remember a sequence of digits	Affe
		that appeared on the screen. If	Pat
		they were able to recall the digits	ν V
		correctly and in the correct	IX
		digit longer in length. If they were	
		incorrect, the next series was one	
		digit shorter in length. The final	
		score was calculated as the mean	
		number of digits in successfully	
		completed trials. Higher scores	
Daired Accession Learning	Vienel	Indicated better performance.	
(PAL)	Working	a series of objects in their	Gei
(1111)	Memory	respective "window locations".	E
	•	They were then asked to	S
		remember the "window location"	
		where they had seen each object	
		appear. If they chose the correct	
		move to the next level, where the	
		number of objects would increase	
		by one. Participants were allowed	
		three attempts at each level. The	
		final score was calculated by the	
		average number of correct object-	
		place associations in successfully	£+ ;.
		been found to be particularly	111 11
		sensitive to learning and memory	lutic
		deficits in Mild Cognitive	into
		Impairment (Fowler et al., 1995)	opti
		and the early stages of	the c
		Alzheimer's disease (Junkkila	use i
		et al., 2012). Higher scores	the
Spatial Working Memory	Spatial	Participants were asked to	sepa
(SWM)	Working	'search' through a series of boxes	was
	Memory	presented on the screen until they	
		found an object (e.g., diamond).	2.3.2
		For the next series, participants	I
		were asked again to find the	cogr
		hidden object, but crucially were	regr
		object would not be in the same	evm
		location. The test was terminated	(mor
		after three errors were made. The	(IIIC) TO
		final score was calculated from	12).
		the mean number of boxes in	aicu
		successfully completed trials.	cogr
		nigher scores indicated better	inclu
Verbal Reasoning (VR)	Verbal	Participants are shown a sentence	orde
	Reasoning	at the bottom of the screen, and a	for t
	-	square and a circle appears above	the s
		this sentence. Participants are	only
		then asked to choose whether	mon
		describes the configuration of the	leng
		circle and the square (e.g., "the	the g
		square is bigger than the circle").	anal
		The total score was calculated	com
		from the number of correct	COOT
		answers provided in 180 s, whilst	dem
		subtracting the number of errors	(2004
		was calculated as the mean score	inclu
		across successfully completed	nnia
		trials. Higher scores indicated	prio
		better performance. Performance	conc
		on this task was found to be	lyses
		strongly correlated with measures	Miit

Fahl	1 ما	(contini	ind)
		<b><i>(())</i></b>	IC.U. I

Measures	Domain	Description
		of general intelligence (Baddeley, 1968).
Affective symptom measures		
Patient Health Questionnaire (PHQ-9, Kroenke et al., 2001)	Depression Symptoms	PHQ-9 consisted of nine items, rated on a scale ranging from 0 to 3 ("0" = not at all; "1" = several days; "2" = more than half the days; "3" = nearly every day). A higher score indicates more severe symptoms. The PHQ-9 has been found to be a valid and reliable scale in the general population (Kroenke et al., 2001) and in the older adult population (
Generalized Anxiety Disorder Scale (GAD-7; Spitzer et al., 2006)	Anxiety Symptoms	GAD-7 consisted of seven items, rated on a scale ranging from 0 to 3 ("0" = not at all; "1" = several days, "2" = more than half the days, "3" = nearly every day). A higher score indicates more severe anxiety symptoms. The GAD-7 has been found to be a valid and reliable measure in the general population (Löwe et al., 2008) and in the older adult population (Wild et al., 2014).

fit information at each stage. In the case of multiple possible class solutions, model interpretability and clinical relevance would be taken into consideration, as recommended (Nylund et al., 2007). Once the optimal class solution was identified, all individuals were allocated to the class to which they had the highest probability of membership to, for use in subsequent analyses. To assess the robustness of the class solution, the sample was also randomly split in half, and LCA was performed separately on these split samples to see if the solution for the full sample was replicated between these smaller splits.

#### 2.3.2. Associations between class membership and cognitive outcomes

n the second stage of analysis, changes in cognition (across all four nitive measures) were explored between classes. Multiple linear ession models were constructed to examine whether affective ptom classes were associated with differences in cognitive change asured by subtracting cognition scores at T0 from cognition scores at Cognitive change scores were used because they improve the preion of subsequent cognitive impairment over and above baseline nition scores (Nation et al., 2019). This analysis therefore only uded participants who had complete cognition data at T0 and T2. In er to minimise practice effects, cognitive scores were only computed hose who completed at least two (out of three) trials at T0, and only score from the first (out of three) trial was used at T2. Furthermore, those with a follow-up time period of between 2 years to 2 years 3 ths were included in the analysis so as to ensure homogeneity in the th of time between T0 and T2. The biggest class (anticipated to be group with the least symptoms) was used as the reference class in the ysis, and mean change in cognition for all other classes was pared to mean change in this reference class. In model 1, baseline nition at T0 was included as a covariate. In model 2, the socioographic and lifestyle factors that differed between classes essed by chi-square tests of independence) were additionally uded as covariates, as well as the total number of completed trials r to T2 (ie. across both T0 and T1 (2nd Wave)). The analysis was lucted separately for each of the four cognitive measures. All anas were performed using MPLUS version 8.2 (Muthén & amp and thén, 2017) and R (R Core Team, 2020).

## 3. Results

# 3.1. LCA of affective symptoms

The LCA analysed data from 21,684 participants who had complete data on the PHO-9 and the GAD-7 at T0. Based on the models identified and their fit statistics (see Table 2), a number of potential solutions could be adopted. The VLMR-LRT became non-significant after running the 10-class model, which using this metric would mean that the 9-class model may be the most parsimonious model. However, from the 6class solution onwards, the smallest class size was less than 5% of the total sample, and therefore the 5-class solution was preferred due to its clinical utility and interpretability. This was complemented by a visual inspection of the scree-plot of the BIC and AIC values, both of which confirm that the 5-class solution could be considered a good fit (see Supplementary Fig. 1). Furthermore, analysis on the split-half samples also indicated that the 5-class solution was the optimal solution for both these samples (full details can be found in Supplementary Fig. 2 and Supplementary Table 1).

The item response probabilities, which are the probabilities of the presence of any of the symptoms conditional on latent class membership, for the 5-class model are graphically illustrated below in Fig. 1 (exact probabilities can be found in Supplementary Table 2). The classes described as follows: Class 1 (N = 8790; 40.5%) was labelled as "No symptoms" (Mean number of symptoms = 0.38); Class 2 (N = 5845; 27.0%) was labelled as "Sleep"; Class 3 (N = 2904; 13.4%) was labelled as "Sleep and Worry"; Class 4 (N = 2031; 9.3%) was labelled as "Sleep and Anhedonia"; Class 5 (N = 2114; 9.7%) was labelled as "Co-morbid Depression and Anxiety" (Mean number of symptoms = 11.24). The descriptive statistics of each class and the full sample, are shown below in Table 3.

As shown in Table 3, classes differed by cognition (on all four cognitive measures) at baseline, age, gender, marital status, education level, employment status, and alcohol consumption. These variables were therefore included as covariates in model 2. As expected, the classes also differed regarding the number of PHQ-9 and GAD-7 symptoms, total scores on the PHQ-9 and GAD-7, and history of depression/ anxiety diagnosis.

# 3.2. Association between class and cognitive decline

Only participants who had cognition data (on all four cognitive measures) both at T0 and T2 were included in this analysis. Of the 21,684 participants that were included in the LCA, 17,069 (78.7%) participants had complete cognition data at T0, of whom 6507 (38.1%) participants had cognition data available at T2. Those who did not have cognition data available at T2 were more likely to be younger, nonwhite, GCSE/A-levels/Diploma holders, employed, have lower alcohol consumption, lower baseline scores on spatial working memory, higher rates of history of depression/anxiety diagnosis and higher average number of PHQ-9 symptoms and PHQ-9 total scores (see Supplementary Table 3). Out of these 6507 participants, 371 participants were excluded from the final analysis because the time period between T0 and T2 was

Table 2		
Fit statistics for Latent Class	Analysis $(N - 21)$	684)

greater than 2 years and 3 months or because such information was unavailable. Therefore 6136 participants were included in the final analysis.

The mean change scores between T0 and T2 for all five classes on all four cognitive domains were generally in the positive direction (see Supplementary Table 4 and Supplementary Fig. 3), indicating improvements in cognitive performance, except on spatial working memory, whereby declines in cognitive performance were observed. As shown in Table 4 below, after adjusting for all potential confounders, no significant differences were found between Class 1 (reference group: "No symptoms") and all other classes on attention and verbal reasoning. For spatial working memory, all classes showed significantly greater declines in cognitive performance, as compared to Class 1. For visual working memory, Class 4 ("Sleep and Anhedonia") and Class 5 ("Comorbid Depression and Anxiety") were associated with significantly smaller increases in cognitive performance, as compared to Class 1. Exploratory post-hoc analyses were conducted to assess differences between all other classes (see Supplementary Table 5). We were particularly interested in comparing the "Co-morbid Depression and Anxiety" group with the "Sleep and Anhedonia" group and the "Sleep and Worry" group, in order to assess whether having co-morbid depression and anxiety symptoms was associated with greater cognitive risk compared to having either depression or anxiety symptoms alone. No significant differences were found between the "Co-morbid Depression and Anxiety" group and the "Sleep and Anhedonia" group on all four cognitive domains. However, the "Co-morbid Depression and Anxiety" group experienced significantly smaller increases compared to the "Sleep and Worry" group on verbal reasoning, and significantly greater declines on spatial working memory.

# 4. Discussion

We were the first to investigate a) whether there were distinct subgroups of participants aged 50 and over based on co-occurring depression and anxiety symptoms and b) whether these subgroups were associated with differential cognitive change and thus might confer differential risk of cognitive decline.

We found 5 classes: "No symptoms" (Class 1), "Sleep" (Class 2), "Sleep and Worry" (Class 3), "Sleep and Anhedonia" (Class 4), and "Comorbid Depression and Anxiety" (Class 5). The split sample analysis replicated the 5-class solution, supporting the robustness of the classes identified. The five classes reflect both the distinct nature of depression and anxiety symptoms, and at the same time the degree of heterogeneity within, and comorbidity between, depression and anxiety. These subgroups generally replicate previous findings that measured both depression and anxiety symptoms (Rudenstine and Espinosa, 2018; Unick et al., 2009) except for the identification of a "Sleep" subgroup in the present study. It may be that sleep issues in the absence of other affective symptoms is more common in adults over the age of 50, and older adults typically report more sleep difficulties (Foley et al., 2004).

We also found differential cognitive change between subgroups. Overall, there were increases in cognition scores across the sample, common in repeated neuropsychological testing (Goldberg et al., 2015)

Classes	AIC	BIC	Adjusted BIC	Entropy	Log Likelihood	VLMR-LRT	B-LRT	% in each class			
2	256,958	257,221	257,117	0.904	-128,446	< 0.001	< 0.001	69/31			
3	248,247	248,647	248,488	0.841	-124,074	< 0.001	< 0.001	57/31/12			
4	243,704	244,238	244,026	0.822	-121,785	< 0.001	< 0.001	54/22/13/11			
5	241,349	242,019	241,752	0.774	-120,590	<0.001	< 0.001	41/27/13/9/10			
6	240,413	241,219	240,898	0.771	-120,105	< 0.001	< 0.001	35/30/12/10/9/4			
7	239,749	240,691	240,316	0.773	-119,757	< 0.001	< 0.001	41/24/11/9/7/4/4			
8	239,222	240,300	239,871	0.775	-119,476	< 0.001	< 0.001	36/28/12/7/6/5/4/4			
9	238,816	240,030	239,547	0.760	-119,256	0.002	< 0.001	36/25/9/6/7/6/4/4/4			
10	238,566	239,915	239,378	0.755	-119,114	0.061	< 0.001	36/23/9/7/6/4/4/4/4/3			



**Fig. 1.** LCA plot\* showing item response probabilities for 5-class solution (N = 21,684). <sup>\*</sup>Items are arranged in the same order as in the questionnaires; from PHQ-9 item 1 (near) to GAD-7 item 7 (far).

and likely a function of practice effects/repeated testing in the absence of intervention (Salthouse, 2010) except on the domain of spatial working memory where some declines were observed. A recent systematic review (Jutten et al., 2020) found consistent evidence that less robust practice effects was an indicator of both current cognitive status and future cognitive decline, and that attenuated practice effects were also associated with the presence of biomarkers that were indicative of neurodegeneration. The results of the present study were interpreted in light of this.

The first notable finding was that while there were no significant differences between the "No symptoms" group and all four symptomatic groups on attention and verbal reasoning, there were some differences observed on visual working memory and spatial working memory. This suggests that the affective symptoms assessed in the present study (e.g. sleep, worry, depression) were more likely to be associated with changes on working memory, rather than attention and verbal reasoning.

The second notable finding was that all symptomatic classes were associated with greater declines in cognitive performance compared to the "No symptoms" group on the domain of spatial working memory. This could have been as a result of sleep difficulties, given that it was common across all symptomatic classes. This is consistent with previous research showing sleep disturbances are associated with subsequent diagnosis of dementia (Sabia et al., 2021) and related to specific deficits in the area of working memory in younger (Peng et al., 2020) and older adults (Okuda et al., 2021).

The third notable observation was that anhedonia symptoms seemed to particularly impact performance on visual working memory. This may explain why compared to the "No Symptoms" group, the "Sleep and Anhedonia" group and "Co-morbid Depression and Anxiety" groups, but not the "Sleep" and "Sleep and Worry" groups, were found to have significantly smaller increases on visual working memory. This may also explain the finding that the "Sleep and anhedonia" group experienced significantly smaller increases on visual working memory compared to both the "Sleep" group and even the "Sleep and Worry" group. Interestingly, the "Sleep and Anhedonia" performed even more poorly on visual working memory, even when compared to the "Co-morbid Depression and Anxiety" group. One potential explanation might be that mild anxiety, serves as protective factor and counter-balance to the effects of depression (Bierman et al., 2005) specifically in the area of visual working memory.

The fourth finding was that while there was no evidence to suggest

that those with co-morbid depression and anxiety symptoms were at higher risk of cognitive decline as compared to the "Sleep and Anhedonia" group, there was some evidence to suggest that they were at higher risk compared to the "Sleep and Worry" group on spatial working memory and verbal reasoning, once again suggesting that anhedonia symptoms may be a particularly useful predictor of cognitive decline.

# 4.1. Limitations

While this study does have several strengths including a large sample size giving high statistical power, longitudinal design allowing modelling of change over time, and the use of the PHQ-9 and GAD-7, as findings from this study can be easily applied in mental health services that routinely use these measures, such as UK Improving Access to Psychological Therapies (IAPT) services (Clark, 2018), there are also several limitations. First, the study relied on online self-report data collection which could not be easily independently verified. Second, whilst individuals with a known diagnosis of dementia were excluded, some individuals may already have underlying neuropathology that they were unaware of, given that pre-clinical dementia begins up to 15 years before symptoms are observed (Bilgel et al., 2017). Furthermore, including a measure of pre-morbid cognitive functioning might have been useful for the purposes of assessing whether cognitive decline might already have begun for some participants. Third, findings from this study should be interpreted with caution due to a possible lack of generalisability for the following reasons: Firstly, the high drop-out rate observed in this study over the 2-year period may have resulted in selective attrition. Secondly, the demographics of the sample was highly selective, primarily made up of white (98%) and female (75%) participants. Thirdly, the sample was a generally 'healthy' sample as evidenced by relatively low scores on the affective symptoms measures. Taken together, future research should examine whether these results can be replicated in other samples of differing demographics, and particularly in clinical samples. Furthermore, because of the relatively low scores on the affective symptom measures, the item scores needed to be dichotomised. This may have possibly resulted in a loss of information. Finally, to add to the internal validity of the LCA, future research could consider randomly splitting the sample into half and comparing the LCA findings across the two halves.

Future studies could also aim to replicate the current analysis over a longer follow-up period. First, this would allow for assessing whether

# Table 3

Socio-demographic, lifestyle and clinical characteristics of the five classes.

	Total <sup>a</sup>	Class 1 <sup>a</sup>	l <sup>a</sup> Class 2 <sup>a</sup> Class 3 <sup>a</sup>		Class 4 <sup>a</sup>	Class 5 <sup>a</sup>	$X^2/F \& p$ -value <sup>b,‡</sup>
	N = 21,684 (%)	N = 8790 (40.5%)	N = 5845 (27.0%)	N = 2904 (13.4%)	N = 2031 (9.3%)	N = 2114 (9.7%)	
Socio-demographic characteristics							
Gender							
Female	15,773 (73.7)	6034 (69.6)	4348 (75.4)	2376 (82.8)	1386 (69.4)	1629 (78.1)	$X^{2}(4) = 248.58, p < .001$
Male	5619 (26.3)	2639 (30.4)	1421 (24.6)	492 (17.2)	611 (30.6)	456 (21.9)	
Ethnicity							2
White	21,004 (98.2)	8527 (98.3)	5665 (98.2)	2812 (98.0)	1964 (98.3)	2036 (97.6)	$X^2$ (4) = 4.80, p = .31
Non-White	388 (1.8)	146 (1.7)	104 (1.8)	56 (2.0)	33 (1.7)	49 (2.4)	
Marital status							2
Married/Civil partnership/Cohabiting	15,951 (74.6)	6670 (76.9)	4290 (74.4)	2169 (75.6)	1394 (69.8)	1428 (68.5)	$X^{2}(4) = 91.32, p < .001$
Widowed/Divorced/Single	5441 (25.4)	2003 (23.1)	1479 (25.6)	699 (24.4)	603 (30.2)	657 (31.5)	
Education							
GCSE/A-levels/Diploma	10,205 (47.7)	4110 (47.4)	2715 (47.1)	1329 (46.3)	959 (48.0)	1092 (52.4)	$X^{2}(4) = 21.75, p < .001$
Graduate	11,187 (52.3)	4563 (52.6)	3054 (52.9)	1539 (53.7)	1038 (52.0)	993 (47.6)	
Employment							
Employed	9891 (46.2)	3551 (40.9)	2691 (46.6)	1484 (51.7)	1029 (51.5)	1136 (54.5)	$X^{2}(4) = 212.68, p < .001$
Unemployed	11,501 (53.8)	5122 (59.1)	3078 (53.4)	1383 (48.3)	968 (48.5)	949 (45.5)	
Age at baseline Mean (SD)	61.30 (7.21)	62.22 (7.13)	61.33 (7.34)	60.51 (6.96)	60.41 (7.27)	59.32 (6.85)	F(4,21,387) = 92.47, p < .001
Lifestyle characteristics							
Alcohol consumption							2
<once td="" week<=""><td>8565 (39.5)</td><td>3222 (36.7)</td><td>2362 (40.4)</td><td>1114 (38.4)</td><td>858 (42.3)</td><td>1009 (47.8)</td><td><math>X^{2}(4) = 99.91, p &lt; .001</math></td></once>	8565 (39.5)	3222 (36.7)	2362 (40.4)	1114 (38.4)	858 (42.3)	1009 (47.8)	$X^{2}(4) = 99.91, p < .001$
>once/week	13,101 (60.5)	5559 (63.3)	3481 (59.6)	1788 (61.6)	1171 (57.7)	1102 (52.2)	
Smoking history							2
No	8838 (55.0)	3687 (55.6)	2374 (55.1)	1227 (55.5)	771 (53.5)	779 (52.4)	$X^2$ (4) = 6.65, $p = .155$
Yes	7235 (45.0)	2940 (44.4)	1934 (44.9)	984 (44.5)	669 (46.5)	708 (47.6)	
Physical activity							2
No	5323 (32.0)	2163 (31.8)	1423 (32.0)	691 (30.3)	502 (33.0)	544 (34.6)	$X^2$ (4) = 8.48, $p = .075$
Yes	11,297 (68.0)	4632 (68.2)	3028 (68.0)	1588 (69.7)	1019 (67.0)	1030 (65.4)	
Baseline clinical characteristics							
DS Mean (SD)	7.41 (1.54)	7.46 (1.50)	7.41 (1.41)	7.39 (1.43)	7.36 (1.45)	7.23 (1.36)	F(4,17,064) = 9.08, p < .001
PAL Mean (SD)	4.53 (0.74)	4.55 (0.74)	4.53 (0.73)	4.51 (0.75)	4.55 (0.71)	4.47 (0.72)	F(4,17,064) = 5.04, p < .001
SWM Mean (SD)	7.58 (2.10)	7.66 (2.09)	7.63 (2.04)	7.50 (2.09)	7.57 (2.09)	7.24 (2.27)	F(4,17,064) = 14.91, p < .001
VR Mean (SD)	32.54 (9.19)	32.23 (9.11)	32.90 (9.20)	32.48 (9.04)	32.92 (9.36)	32.62 (9.52)	F(4,17,064) = 4.57, p = .001
PHQ-9 no. of symptoms Mean (SD)	2.03 (2.02)	0.35 (0.48)	2.13 (0.85)	2.23 (1.19)	4.60 (1.27)	6.01 (1.41)	F(4,21,679) = 21,961, p < .001
GAD-7 no. of symptoms Mean (SD)	1.31 (1.91)	0.03 (0.18)	0.59 (0.73)	3.67 (1.20)	1.50 (1.12)	5.26 (1.12)	F(4,21,679) = 28,364, p < .001
PHQ-9 total score Mean (SD)	2.67 (3.23)	0.42 (0.67)	2.64 (1.49)	2.79 (1.86)	5.87 (2.75)	8.83 (4.26)	F(4,21,679) = 9779, p < .001
GAD-7 total score Mean (SD)	1.56 (2.64)	0.03 (0.19)	0.61 (0.80)	4.00 (1.83)	1.61 (1.31)	7.07 (3.54)	F(4,21,679) = 13,298, p < .001
History of depression/anxiety diagnosis							
No	13,608 (71.6)	6604 (79.9)	3761 (71.6)	1546 (64.2)	1067 (61.9)	630 (46.9)	$X^{2}(4) = 826.77, p < .001$
Yes	5386 (28.4)	1664 (20.1)	1491 (28.4)	861 (35.8)	656 (38.1)	714 (53.1)	
Attrition							2
Cognition only available at T0	10,562 (61.9)	4237 (60.8)	2897 (62.5)	1385 (60.5)	998 (63.4)	1045 (65.4)	$X^{2}(4) = 16.32, p = .003$
Cognition available at T0 and T2	6507 (38.1)	2735 (39.2)	1738 (37.5)	905 (39.5)	577 (36.6)	552 (34.6)	

<sup>a</sup> Absolute number of participants down the column do not always add up to column total because of missing values.

<sup>b</sup> X<sup>2</sup> test statistics are presented for categorical variables, *F*- test statistics for continuous variables.

<sup>‡</sup> p < .05 indicated statistically significant between-class differences.

different affective classes are associated with different trajectories of cognitive decline over a longer time period, and additionally whether they predict conversion to mild cognitive impairment or dementia. Second, longer follow-ups will be able to assess whether latent class membership remains static or is more dynamic, as this may have implications for their associated cognitive trajectories. Additionally, having multiple measurement points (rather than just two) in future research would increase the reliability of the measurement of cognition.

# 5. Conclusions

This study demonstrates that there is heterogeneity within affective symptoms experienced in a sample of adults aged 50 and above, and class membership was differentially associated with changes in cognition across different cognitive measures over a 2-year period. Overall, the observed heterogeneity within co-occurring symptoms of depression and anxiety may be one explanation for the mixed findings in the literature concerning the link between anxiety and/or depression, and cognition.

Given the considerable heterogeneity within affective symptoms, and that even sub-clinical symptoms, which are common in the older adult population but are often overlooked (Laborde-Lahoz et al., 2015), appear to have a longitudinal impact on cognition, clinicians should therefore be encouraged not just to focus solely on total symptom scores on a single affective domain (i.e. depression or anxiety) that meet clinical cut-offs, but to instead look for the presence of patterns of symptoms (even if sub-clinical) on measures across multiple affective domains (i.e. depression and anxiety). Second, these findings could help to identify particular at-risk groups of cognitive decline. For example, given the established link between the PAL task and Alzheimer's disease (Junkkila et al., 2012), it could be suggested that the "Sleep" group may be at less risk of Alzheimer's disease compared to when sleep difficulties occur in the context of anhedonia ("Sleep and Anhedonia" group). Furthermore, if deficits in certain cognitive measures are found to be more predictive of certain types of neurodegenerative disease (e.g., Lewy body disease, frontotemporal dementia), then affective profiles more highly associated with those cognitive measures could be targeted for early intervention or diagnosis. It should be noted however that because cognition was used as an outcome, rather than dementia diagnosis, any conclusions in relation to neurodegenerative disease is made tentatively.

#### Table 4

Main reg	gression analy	vsis assessing	associations	between	class mer	nbership	and cos	gnitive chang	ze over 2-	vear follow u	o by	v cogniti	ve mea	sure
	gi cooroni annai	,010 0000000000000000000000000000000000	, abbootationo	Detricon	cittoo intoi	nooronnp	and coy	June Content	$ \mathbf{v} \mathbf{v} \mathbf{v} \mathbf{v} \mathbf{v} \mathbf{v} \mathbf{v} \mathbf{v}$	jour romon u	, .,	cogine	····	our c

Measures	Class comparisons	Model 1 <sup>a</sup>			Model 2 <sup>b</sup>	Model 2 <sup>b</sup>			
	(ref class: Class 1)	Coeff	95% CI	p-value <sup>‡</sup>	Coeff	95% CI	p-value <sup>‡</sup>		
Digit Span (DS)	1v2 ("Sleep")	0.005	(-0.074,0.085)	0.895	0.001	(-0.079,0.080)	0.988		
	1v3 ("Sleep & Worry")	-0.042	(-0.141, 0.058)	0.413	-0.061	(-0.160, 0.039)	0.234		
	1v4 ("Sleep & Anhedonia")	0.024	(-0.095,0.142)	0.696	0.016	(-0.103, 0.135)	0.795		
	1v5 ("Depression & Anxiety")	-0.086	(-0.209,0.036)	0.165	-0.091	(-0.214, 0.032)	0.146		
Paired Associate Learning (PAL)	1v2 ("Sleep")	-0.017	(-0.072, 0.037)	0.538	-0.031	(-0.085, 0.024)	0.268		
	1v3 ("Sleep & Worry")	-0.034	(-0.104, 0.037)	0.348	-0.070	(-0.141, 0.001)	0.052		
	1v4 ("Sleep & Anhedonia")	-0.137	(-0.220,-0.053)	0.001	-0.161	(-0.245, -0.077)	< 0.001		
	1v5 ("Depression & Anxiety")	-0.058	(-0.144, 0.028)	0.189	-0.091	(-0.179,-0.004)	0.042		
Spatial Working Memory (SWM)	1v2 ("Sleep")	-0.128	(-0.277, 0.020)	0.090	-0.163	(-0.311,-0.015)	0.031		
	1v3 ("Sleep & Worry")	-0.154	(-0.345, 0.037)	0.114	-0.243	(-0.434,-0.052)	0.013		
	1v4 ("Sleep & Anhedonia")	-0.170	(-0.400, 0.061)	0.149	-0.258	(-0.489,-0.028)	0.028		
	1v5 ("Depression & Anxiety")	-0.472	(-0.709, -0.235)	< 0.001	-0.569	(-0.809, -0.330)	< 0.001		
Verbal Reasoning (VR)	1v2 ("Sleep")	0.128	(-0.271, 0.527)	0.528	0.024	(-0.369,0.416)	0.905		
	1v3 ("Sleep & Worry")	0.490	(-0.015,0.996)	0.057	0.217	(-0.286, 0.720)	0.397		
	1v4 ("Sleep & Anhedonia")	-0.174	(-0.777,0.429)	0.572	-0.239	(-0.840,0.363)	0.437		
	1v5 ("Depression & Anxiety")	-0.529	(-1.151,0.094)	0.096	-0.593	(-1.218,0.032)	0.063		

 $\label{eq:CI} CI = Confidence \ Intervals; \ Coeff = Unstandardised \ Beta \ Coefficients.$ 

<sup>a</sup> Model 1 compares classes controlling for baseline cognition at T0.

<sup>b</sup> Model 2 additionally controls for gender, marital status, education, employment status, age, alcohol consumption, and total number of trials completed prior to T2 (ie. across T0 and T1).

<sup> $\ddagger$ </sup> p < .05 indicated statistically significant between-class differences.

#### Author contributions

**Study concept and design:** Dr. Timothy Singham, Dr. Rob Saunders, Dr. Josh Stott, Dr. Helen Brooker, Dr. Byron Creese, Dr. Dag Aarsland, Dr. Adam Hampshire, Dr. Clive Ballard, Dr. Anne Corbett.

Data Acquisition: Dr. Helen Brooker, Dr. Byron Creese, Dr. Dag Aarsland, Dr. Adam Hampshire, Dr. Clive Ballard, Dr. Anne Corbett.

**Data Analysis and Interpretation of Data:** Dr. Timothy Singham, Dr. Rob Saunders, Dr. Josh Stott, Dr. Roopal Desai, Dr. Helen Brooker.

**Drafting of the initial manuscript:** Dr. Timothy Singham, Dr. Rob Saunders, Dr. Josh Stott.

**Final approval of manuscript:** Dr. Timothy Singham, Dr. Rob Saunders, Dr. Josh Stott, Dr. Helen Brooker, Dr. Roopal Desai, Dr. Byron Creese, Dr. Dag Aarsland, Dr. Adam Hampshire, Dr. Clive Ballard, Dr. Anne Corbett.

# Funding

Funding Sources for Dr. Joshua Stott: Alzheimer's Society (AS PG 18 013), NIHR (NIHR130914), Dunhill Medical Trust (RPGF1910\191), ESRC-NIHR (ES/S010467/1).

Funding Sources for Dr. Roopal Desai: Alzheimer's Society grant (AS-CTF-14-005).

#### Declaration of competing interest

Dr. Clive Ballard reports grants and personal fees from Acadia pharmaceutical company, AARP, Addex, Axosome Biogen, Biohaven, Eli Lilly, Exciva, Enterin, Lundbeck, Janssen, Orion, Otsuka, Sunovion, Suven, Roche; grants and personal fees from Novo Nordisk, Synexus; and honoraria from Harvard University, outside the submitted work.

Dr. Helen Brooker is a former employee of Wesnes Cognition, who have developed and supplied some of the tests used in this paper. She has also carried out Consultancy work for Exeter university on the development of the PROTECT Platform and owns her own cognitive tests.

Dr. Adam Hampshire is the owner of Future Cognition, who helped develop some of the tests used in this paper.

Dr. Dag Aarsland has received research support and/or honoraria from Astra-Zeneca, H. Lundbeck, Novartis Pharmaceuticals, Evonik, Roche Diagnostics, and GE Health, and served as paid consultant for H. Lundbeck, Eisai, Heptares, Mentis Cura, Eli Lilly, Cognetivity, Enterin, Acadia, and Biogen.

#### Acknowledgements

This paper represents independent research coordinated by the University of Exeter and King's College London, and is funded in part by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Biomedical Research Centre at South London and Maudsley NHS Foundation Trust and King's College London. This research was also supported by the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Collaboration for Leadership in Applied Health Research and Care South West Peninsula and the National Institute for Health Research (NIHR) Exeter Clinical Research Facility. The views expressed are those of the author(s) and not necessarily those of the NHS, the NIHR, or the Department of Health.

# Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2022.04.139.

#### References

- Aiken, L.S., West, S.G., Reno, R.R., 1991. Multiple Regression: Testing and Interpreting Interactions. SAGE.
- Baddeley, A.D., 1968. A 3 min reasoning test based on grammatical transformation. Psychon. Sci. 10, 341–342. https://doi.org/10.3758/BF03331551.
- Bártolo, A., Monteiro, S., Pereira, A., 2017. Factor structure and construct validity of the generalized anxiety disorder 7-item (GAD-7) among Portuguese college students. Cad. Saude Publica. 33, e00212716 https://doi.org/10.1590/0102-311x00212716.
- Bierman, E.J.M., Comijs, H.C., Jonker, C., Beekman, A.T.F., 2005. Effects of anxiety versus depression on cognition in later life. Am. J. Geriatr. Psychiatry 13, 686–693. https://doi.org/10.1176/appi.aigp.13.8.686.
- Bilgel, M., Koscik, R.L., An, Y., Prince, J.L., Resnick, S.M., Johnson, S.C., Jedynak, B.M., 2017. Temporal order of Alzheimer's disease-related cognitive marker changes in BLSA and WRAP longitudinal studies. J. Alzheimers Dis. 59, 1335–1347. https://doi. org/10.3233/JAD-170448.
- Brailean, A., Aartsen, M.J., Muniz-Terrera, G., Prince, M., Prina, A.M., Comijs, H.C., Huisman, M., Beekman, A., 2017. Longitudinal associations between late-life depression dimensions and cognitive functioning: a cross-domain latent growth curve analysis. Psychol. Med. 47, 690–702. https://doi.org/10.1017/ S003329171600297X.
- Bunce, D., Batterham, P.J., Mackinnon, A.J., Christensen, H., 2012. Depression, anxiety and cognition in community-dwelling adults aged 70 years and over. J. Psychiatr. Res. 46, 1662–1666. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpsychires.2012.08.023.
- Chang, S.L., Tsai, A.C., 2015. Gender differences in the longitudinal associations of depressive symptoms and leisure-time physical activity with cognitive decline in ≥57year-old taiwanese. Prev. Med. 77, 68–73. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. ypmed.2015.05.001.

#### T. Singham et al.

- Clark, D.M., 2018. Realising the mass public benefit of evidence-based psychological therapies: the IAPT program. Annu. Rev. Clin. Psychol. 14, 159-183. https://doi. v-clinpsy-050817-084833 10.1146/annur
- Corbett, A., Owen, A., Hampshire, A., Grahn, J., Stenton, R., Dajani, S., Burns, A. Howard, R., Williams, N., Williams, G., Ballard, C., 2015. The effect of an online cognitive training package in healthy older adults: an online randomized controlled trial. J. Am. Med. Dir. Assoc. 16, 990-997. https://doi.org/10.1016/j iamda.2015.06.014
- Foley, D., Ancoli-Israel, S., Britz, P., Walsh, J., 2004. Sleep disturbances and chronic disease in older adults: results of the 2003 National Sleep Foundation sleep in America survey. J. Psychosom. Res. 56, 497–502. https://doi.org/10.1016 ipsychores.2004.02.010.
- Fowler, K.S., Saling, M.M., Conway, E.L., Semple, J.M., Louis, W.J., 1995. Computerized delayed matching to sample and paired associate performance in the early detection of dementia. Appl. Neuropsychol. 2, 72-78. https://doi.org/10.1207 s15324826an0202 4.

Geiser, C., 2013. Data Analysis With Mplus. Guilford Press, New York, NY, US.

Goldberg, T.E., Harvey, P.D., Wesnes, K.A., Snyder, P.J., Schneider, L.S., 2015. Practice effects due to serial cognitive assessment: implications for preclinical Alzheimer's disease randomized controlled trials. Alzheimers Dement. 1, 103-111. https://doi. org/10.1016/j.dadm.2014.11.003

Gulpers, B., Ramakers, I., Hamel, R., Köhler, S., Oude Voshaar, R., Verhey, F., 2016. Anxiety as a predictor for cognitive decline and dementia: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Am. J. Geriatr. Psychiatry 24, 823-842. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. jagp.2016.05.015.

Gulpers, B.J.A., Oude Voshaar, R.C., van Boxtel, M.P.J., Verhey, F.R.J., Köhler, S., 2019. Anxiety as a risk factor for cognitive decline: a 12-year follow-up cohort study. Am. J. Geriatr. Psychiatry 27, 42-52. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jagp.2018.09.006

- Huntley, J., Corbett, A., Wesnes, K., Brooker, H., Stenton, R., Hampshire, A., Ballard, C., 2018. Online assessment of risk factors for dementia and cognitive function in healthy adults. Int. J. Geriatr. Psychiatry 33. https://doi.org/10.1002/gps.4790 e286-e293.
- John, A., Patel, U., Rusted, J., Richards, M., Gaysina, D., 2019. Affective problems and decline in cognitive state in older adults: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Psychol. Med. 49, 353-365. https://doi.org/10.1017/S0033291718001137
- John, A., Desai, R., Richards, M., Gaysina, D., Stott, J., 2021. Role of cardiometabolic risk in the association between accumulation of affective symptoms across adulthood and mid-life cognitive function: national cohort study. Br. J. Psychiatry 218, 254-260. doi.org/10.1192/bip.2020.123
- Junkkila, J., Oja, S., Laine, M., Karrasch, M., 2012. Applicability of the CANTAB-PAL computerized memory test in identifying amnestic mild cognitive impairment and Alzheimer's disease. Dement. Geriatr. Cogn. Disord. 34, 83-89. https://doi.org/ 10.1159/000342116.
- Jutten, R.J., Grandoit, E., Foldi, N.S., Sikkes, S.A.M., Jones, R.N., Choi, S., Lamar, M.L., Louden, D.K.N., Rich, J., Tommet, D., Crane, P.K., Rabin, L.A., 2020. Lower practice effects as a marker of cognitive performance and dementia risk: a literature review. Alzheimers Dement. Diagn. Assess. Dis. Monit. 12 https://doi.org/10.1002 dad2 12055
- Kroenke, K., Spitzer, R.L., Williams, J.B.W., 2001. The PHQ-9. J. Gen. Intern. Med. 16, 606-613 https://doi.org/10.1046/i.1525-1497.2001.016009606
- Laborde-Lahoz, P., El-Gabalawy, R., Kinley, J., Kirwin, P.D., Sareen, J., Pietrzak, R.H., 2015. Subsyndromal depression among older adults in the USA: prevalence, comorbidity, and risk for new-onset psychiatric disorders in late life. Int. J. Geriatr. Psychiatry 30, 677–685. https://doi.org/10.1002/gps.4204. Lamela, D., Soreira, C., Matos, P., Morais, A., 2020. Systematic review of the factor
- structure and measurement invariance of the patient health questionnaire-9 (PHQ-9) and validation of the portuguese version in community settings. J. Affect. Disord. 276, 220-233. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2020.06.066.
- Lo, Y., Mendell, N.R., Rubin, D.B., 2001. Testing the number of components in a normal
- mixture. Biometrika 88, 767–778. https://doi.org/10.1093/biomet/88.3.767. Löwe, B., Decker, O., Müller, S., Brähler, E., Schellberg, D., Herzog, W., Herzberg, P.Y., 2008. Validation and standardization of the generalized anxiety disorder screener (GAD-7) in the general population. Med. Care 46, 266–274.
- Marchant, N.L., Lovland, L.R., Jones, R., Binette, A.P., Gonneaud, J., Arenaza-Urquijo, E. M., Chételat, G., Villeneuve, S., 2020. Repetitive negative thinking is associated with amyloid, tau, and cognitive decline. Alzheimers Dement. 16, 1054-1064. https:// doi.org/10.1002/alz.12116.
- Muthén & amp, Muthén, 2017. Mplus user's guide: statistical analysis with latent variables, user's guide [WWW Document]. URL. Muthén & Muthén (accessed www.statmodel.com/html ug.shtml. 8.3.21), https://y
- Nation, D.A., Ho, J.K., Dutt, S., Han, S.D., Lai, M.H.C., Initiative, for the A.D.N., 2019. Neuropsychological decline improves prediction of dementia beyond Alzheimer's disease biomarker and mild cognitive impairment diagnoses. J. Alzheimers Dis. 69, 1171-1182. https://doi.org/10.3233/JAD-180525
- Neubauer, A.B., Wahl, H.-W., Bickel, H., 2013. Depressive symptoms as predictor of dementia versus continuous cognitive decline: a 3-year prospective study. Eur. J. Ageing 10, 37-48. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10433-012-0246-4.
- Nylund, K.L., Asparouhov, T., Muthén, B.O., 2007. Deciding on the number of classes in latent class analysis and growth mixture modeling: a Monte Carlo simulation study. Struct. Equ. Model. Multidiscip. J. 14, 535-569. https://doi.org/10.1 10705510701575396
- Okuda, M., Noda, A., Iwamoto, K., Nakashima, H., Takeda, K., Miyata, S., Yasuma, F., Ozaki, N., Shimouchi, A., 2021. Effects of long sleep time and irregular sleep-wake rhythm on cognitive function in older people. Sci. Rep. 11, 7039. https://doi.org/ 10.1038/s41598-021-85817-y.

- ONS, 2018. Dementia and Alzheimer's disease deaths including comorbidities [WWW Document]. URL. England and Wales, Office for National Statistics (accessed 8.3.21). https://www.ons.gov.uk/peoplepopulationandcommunity/birthsdeathsandmarri ages/deaths/bulletins/dementiaandalzheimersdiseasedeathsincludingcomorbidi tiesenglandandwales/2019registrations.
- Owen, A.M., Hampshire, A., Grahn, J.A., Stenton, R., Dajani, S., Burns, A.S., Howard, R. J., Ballard, C.G., 2010. Putting brain training to the test. Nature 465, 775-778. https://doi.org/10.1038/nature09042.
- Peng, Z., Dai, C., Ba, Y., Zhang, L., Shao, Y., Tian, J., 2020. Effect of sleep deprivation on the working memory-related N2-P3 components of the event-related potential waveform. Front. Neurosci. 14, 469. https://doi.org/10.3389/fnins.2
- Prince, M., Comas-Herrera, A., Knapp, M., Guerchet, M., Karagiannidou, M., 2016. World Alzheimer report 2016: improving healthcare for people living with dementia: coverage, quality and costs now and in the future [WWW document]. URL. htt p://www.alz.co.uk/ (accessed 6.2.21).
- R Core Team, 2020. R: the R Project for statistical computing [WWW document]. URL. https://www.r-project.org/ (accessed 8.3.21).
- Rajan, K.B., Wilson, R.S., Skarupski, K.A., de Leon, C.M., Evans, D.A., 2014. Gene behavior interaction of depressive symptoms and the apolipoprotein E £4 allele on cognitive decline. Psychosom. Med. 76, 101-108. https://doi.org/10.1097/ PSY 000000000000029
- Rodrigues, R., Petersen, R.B., Perry, G., 2014. Parallels between major depressive disorder and alzheimer's disease: role of oxidative stress and genetic vulnerability. Cell. Mol. Neurobiol. 34, 925-949. https://doi.org/10.1007/s10571-014-0074-
- Rudenstine, S., Espinosa, A., 2018. Latent comorbid depression and anxiety symptoms across sex and race/ethnic subgroupings in a national epidemiologic study. J. Psychiatr. Res. 104, 114-123. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jpsychires.2018.07.005.
- Sabia, S., Fayosse, A., Dumurgier, J., van Hees, V.T., Paquet, C., Sommerlad, A., Kivimäki, M., Dugravot, A., Singh-Manoux, A., 2021. Association of sleep duration in middle and old age with incidence of dementia. Nat. Commun. 12, 2289. https://doi. org/10.1038/s41467-021-22354-2.
- Salthouse, T.A., 2010. Influence of age on practice effects in longitudinal neurocognitive change. Neuropsychology 24, 563-572. https://doi.org/10.1037/a0019026.
- Sapolsky, R.M., Krey, L.C., McEwen, B.S., 1986. The neuroendocrinology of stress and aging: the glucocorticoid Cascade Hypothesis\*. Endocr. Rev. 7, 284-301. https:// doi.org/10.1210/edry-7-3-284
- Saunders, R., Buckman, J.E.J., Cape, J., Fearon, P., Leibowitz, J., Pilling, S., 2019. Trajectories of depression and anxiety symptom change during psychological therapy. J. Affect. Disord. 249, 327-335. https://doi.org/10.1016/j. iad.2019.02.043.
- Saunders, R., Buckman, J.E.J., Pilling, S., 2020. Latent variable mixture modelling and individual treatment prediction. Behav. Res. Ther. 124, 103505 https://doi.org/ 10.1016/i.brat.2019.103505
- Schoevers, R.A., Deeg, D.J.H., van Tilburg, W., Beekman, A.T.F., 2005. Depression and generalized anxiety disorder: co-occurrence and longitudinal patterns in elderly patients. Am. J. Geriatr. Psychiatry 13, 31-39. https://doi.org/10.1097/00019442-
- Sinoff, G., Werner, P., 2003. Anxiety disorder and accompanying subjective memory loss in the elderly as a predictor of future cognitive decline. Int. J. Geriatr. Psychiatry 18, 951-959. https://doi.org/10.1002/gps.1004.
- Smyth, N., Buckman, J., Naqvi, S.A., Aguirre, E., Cardoso, A., Pilling, S., Saunders, R., 2022. Understanding differences in mental health service use by men: an intersectional analysis of routine data. Soc. Psychiatry Psychiatr. Epidemiol. https:// doi.org/10.1007/s00127-022-02256-4. Advance online publication. doi:10.1007/ \$00127-022-02256-4
- Spinhoven, P., Batelaan, N., Rhebergen, D., van Balkom, A., Schoevers, R., Penninx, B. W., 2016. Prediction of 6-yr symptom course trajectories of anxiety disorders by diagnostic, clinical and psychological variables. J. Anxiety Disord. 44, 92-101. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.janxdis.2016.10.011.
- Spitzer, R.L., Kroenke, K., Williams, J.B.W., Löwe, B., 2006. A brief measure for assessing generalized anxiety disorder: the GAD-7. Arch. Intern. Med. 166, 1092-1097. https://doi.org/10.1001/archinte.166.10.1092
- Therrien, Z., Hunsley, J., 2012. Assessment of anxiety in older adults: a systematic review of commonly used measures. Aging Ment. Health 16, 1-16. https://doi.org 10.1080/13607863.2011.602960
- Unick, G.J., Snowden, L., Hastings, J., 2009. Heterogeneity in comorbidity between major depressive disorder and generalized anxiety disorder and its clinical consequences. J. Nerv. Ment. Dis. 197, 215-224. https://doi.org/10.1097 NMD 0b013e31819d954f.
- Vrieze, S.I., 2012. Model selection and psychological theory: a discussion of the differences between the Akaike information criterion (AIC) and the Bayesian information criterion (BIC). Psychol. Methods 17, 228-243. https://doi.org 10.1037/a002712
- Wesnes, K.A., Brooker, H., Ballard, C., McCambridge, L., Stenton, R., Corbett, A., 2017. Utility, reliability, sensitivity and validity of an online test system designed to monitor changes in cognitive function in clinical trials. Int. J. Geriatr. Psychiatry 32. https://doi.org/10.1002/gps.4659 e83-e92.
- Wild, B., Eckl, A., Herzog, W., Niehoff, D., Lechner, S., Maatouk, I., Schellberg, D., Brenner, H., Müller, H., Löwe, B., 2014. Assessing generalized anxiety disorder in elderly people using the GAD-7 and GAD-2 scales: results of a validation study. Am. J. Geriatr. Psychiatr. Genotyping 22, 1029–1038.
- Wu, K.-Y., Hsiao, I.-T., Chen, C.-S., Chen, C.-H., Hsieh, C.-J., Wai, Y.-Y., Chang, C.-J., Tseng, H.-J., Yen, T.-C., Liu, C.-Y., Lin, K.-J., 2014. Increased brain amyloid deposition in patients with a lifetime history of major depression: evidenced on 18F-

# T. Singham et al.

florbetapir (AV-45/Amyvid) positron emission tomography. Eur. J. Nucl. Med. Mol.

- Imaging 41, 714–722. https://doi.org/10.1007/s00259-013-2627-0. Yuan, Y., Min, H.S., Lapane, K.L., Rothschild, A.J., Ulbricht, C.M., 2020. Depression symptoms and cognitive impairment in older nursing home residents in the USA: a latent class analysis. Int. J. Geriatr. Psychiatry 35, 769–778. https://doi.org/ 10.1002/gps.5301.
- Zhang, H., Wang, S., Wang, L., Yi, X., Jia, X., Jia, C., 2020. Comparison of the Geriatric Depression Scale-15 and the Patient Health Questionnaire-9 for screening depression in older adults. Geriatr. Gerontol. Int. 20, 138-143. https://doi.org/10.1111/ ggi.13840.