

Interpolation between Airy and Poisson statistics for unitary chiral non-Hermitian random matrix ensembles

G. AKEMANN^{1,2} and M. BENDER³

¹Department of Mathematical Sciences & BURSt Research Centre
Brunel University West London, Uxbridge UB8 3PH, United Kingdom

²The Niels Bohr Institute & Niels Bohr International Academy
Blegdamsvej 17, 2100 Copenhagen Ø, Denmark

³Department of Mathematics, Katholieke Universiteit Leuven
Celestijnenlaan 200B, 3001 Leuven, Belgium

March 23, 2010

Abstract

We consider a family of chiral non-Hermitian Gaussian random matrices in the unitarily invariant symmetry class. The eigenvalue distribution in this model is expressed in terms of Laguerre polynomials in the complex plane. These are orthogonal with respect to a non-Gaussian weight including a modified Bessel function of the second kind, and we give an elementary proof for this. In the large n limit, the eigenvalue statistics at the spectral edge close to the real axis are described by the same family of kernels interpolating between Airy and Poisson that was recently found by one of the authors for the elliptic Ginibre ensemble. We conclude that this scaling limit is universal, appearing for two different non-Hermitian random matrix ensembles with unitary symmetry. As a second result we give an equivalent form for the interpolating Airy kernel in terms of a single real integral, similar to representations for the asymptotic kernel in the bulk and at the hard edge of the spectrum. This makes its structure as a one-parameter deformation of the Airy kernel more transparent.

1 Introduction

Random Matrix Theory (RMT) is a rich field of probability theory, with many applications in physics, mathematics and beyond. One of the results that exemplifies this success is the appearance of the so-called Tracy-Widom (TW) distribution, describing the largest eigenvalue of random matrices, [22], in a large range of contexts, including combinatorics, growth processes and random tilings, as reviewed in [23]. The TW distribution function, which can be expressed in terms of a solution to the Painlevé II equation, is defined as a Fredholm determinant of the Airy kernel. This kernel is universal, in the sense that it describes the soft edge eigenvalue scaling limit for a large class of non-Gaussian and non-invariant ensembles (see [23] for references).

In the recent past, non-Hermitian RMT has become an area of intensive research, with applications ranging from the fractional quantum Hall effect [9] to quantum chromodynamics [21], and we refer to [18] for a recent review and more applications. The following question thus naturally arises: what happens to the largest eigenvalue of a random matrix when it is allowed to move out into the complex plane? This question has been answered by one of the authors in [7] for the so-called elliptic Ginibre ensemble with unitary symmetry ($\beta = 2$). This is a Gaussian matrix model generalizing the original complex Ginibre ensemble [14]. It can most conveniently be defined as a two-matrix model of a Hermitian matrix H and an anti-Hermitian matrix A , depending on a non-Hermiticity parameter $\tau \in [0, 1)$, see e.g. [11]. Depending on the way τ is scaled when the matrix size n tends to infinity, a new interpolating behaviour between the Tracy-Widom ($\tau = 1$) and Gumbel distributions was found in [7]. More generally, the limiting two-dimensional eigenvalue point process at the spectral edge interpolates between Airy and Poisson, and is described by a new family of kernels, generalizing the Airy kernel.

In this work we address two questions: how universal is this interpolating Airy kernel, and can its relation to the real Airy kernel be made more transparent?

To partly answer the first question we consider the chiral extension of the elliptic Ginibre ensemble with unitary symmetry, introduced in [19]. Non-Hermitian models with chiral symmetry can typically be formulated as two-matrix models with two complex non-Hermitian matrices (depending again on a non-Hermiticity parameter). In the Gaussian case studied here, the model can be considered as a non-Hermitian generalization of the Wishart-Laguerre ensemble. In all three symmetry classes (real non-symmetric ($\beta = 1$), complex ($\beta = 2$) and quaternion real ($\beta = 4$)), of chiral non-Hermitian RMTs, all eigenvalue correlation functions for finite n can be expressed in terms of a kernel of Laguerre polynomials in the complex plane, see [5, 19, 4] respectively. We will present a proof that, for $\beta = 2$ in the appropriate large n limit, the *same* interpolating Airy kernel as in [7] is found. In that sense the interpolating Airy kernel is universal, appearing for two different symmetry classes of Gaussian non-Hermitian models with unitary invariance. This is not unexpected as it parallels the situation for Hermitian ensembles, where chiral and non-chiral models with the same β share the same soft edge spectral behaviour [10]. Our method is similar to that of [7], and involves the asymptotic analysis of a double contour integral representation of the Laguerre polynomials.

To answer the second question we will show that the interpolating Airy kernel permits a real integral representation as an alternative to the complex double contour integral representation given in [7]. This explicitly reveals the analogy of its structure as a one-parameter deformation of the Airy kernel with the known non-Hermitian generalizations of the sine and Bessel kernels.

In order to put our results in a more general context, let us briefly review the different scaling regimes in non-Hermitian RMT, and what is known about their universality. The first region that was studied was the so-called bulk of the support of the limiting eigenvalue distribution. Here different behaviours occur depending on how the non-Hermiticity parameter scales with n ; in the "weakly non-Hermitian" regime, $n(1 - \tau) =: \hat{\sigma}_n^2 \rightarrow \hat{\sigma}^2 \in (0, \infty)$, a transition takes place between "strongly

non-Hermitian" statistics ($\hat{\sigma}_n^2 \rightarrow \infty$) and what we will call "essentially Hermitian" statistics ($\hat{\sigma}_n^2 \rightarrow 0$), described by the sine kernel, see [11, 12]. Typically, in the strong non-Hermiticity limit, the correlations can be obtained from maximal non-Hermiticity ($\tau = 0$) by a simple rescaling of the eigenvalues, see e.g. [12]. In the strong non-Hermiticity limit, universality in the bulk was proved rigorously for general normal random matrices in the $\beta = 2$ case in [8]. It remains an open problem how to amend the Riemann-Hilbert approach, which has proven so successful in Hermitian RMT, to models with complex eigenvalues, see [16] for a discussion on this. At weak non-Hermiticity a heuristic argument in favour of universality was given in [12] and [2] for iid matrix elements and weight functions with non-Gaussian harmonic potentials respectively.

Chiral models show a different (hard edge) spectral behaviour at the origin. It depends on the finite multiplicity ν of the eigenvalue at the origin, which is kept fixed when n goes to infinity. Again the same transitional regime of weak non-Hermiticity occurs, as identified in [3], following [11]. At the origin in this regime, universality is known to hold in the sense that the same Bessel density in the complex plane is obtained from two different Gaussian one- and two matrix models, see [20] and [19], respectively.

At the edge of the spectrum close to the real axis which is our concern here, the appropriate weakly non-Hermitian scaling limit was identified in [7] (see also [13]). Here the transition occurs in a different regime, $\sigma_n^2 = (1 - \tau_n)n^{1/3} \rightarrow (0, \infty)$, when the bulk and hard edge statistics are still strongly non-Hermitian. Our work is the first argument presented to suggest the universality of the corresponding interpolating Airy kernel, as we obtain it from a second Gaussian model in a different symmetry class.

Let us also mention the decay of the eigenvalue density at the spectral edge in the limit of strong non-Hermiticity. For all three symmetry classes of the elliptic Ginibre ensembles ($\beta = 1, 2, 4$) the density decays as a complementary error function, as was shown in [18] (and references therein). In that sense this behaviour is also universal. Note that this is consistent with the Poisson statistics of the extreme eigenvalues, which is found on the microscopic scale and shifted out from the spectral edge, as opposed to the mesoscopic scale where the decay of the eigenvalue density appears.

Our paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we briefly introduce the model and summarize our results, which are: An elementary proof for the orthogonality of the Laguerre polynomials on \mathbb{C} which is detailed in Section 3 (for the corresponding result for Hermite polynomials, see [9, 18]). An alternative representation of the kernel of Laguerre polynomials as a double contour integral shown in Section 4, which serves as a Lemma to prove the convergence in the scaling limit of the eigenvalue process to the interpolating Airy process in Section 5. Finally, we provide a simple real integral representation of the interpolating Airy kernel as an alternative to the previously known double contour integral form; the proof is given in Section 6. Here we also check that the complementary error function density decay is obtained from the interpolating Airy kernel in the appropriate strongly non-Hermitian scaling limit.

2 Summary of results

We consider a chiral two-matrix model in the unitary symmetry class which is the non-Hermitian extension of the chiral Gaussian unitary ensemble. For any non-negative integer ν , let P and Q be $n \times (n + \nu)$ matrices with iid centered complex Gaussian entries of variance $1/(4n)$,

$$d\tilde{\mathbb{P}}_{n,\nu}(P, Q) = C_{\nu,n} \exp \left[-2n \text{Tr}(PP^\dagger + QQ^\dagger) \right] dP dQ,$$

where dP denotes Lebesgue measure on the space of complex $n \times (n + \nu)$ matrices (identified with $\mathbb{R}^{2n(n+\nu)}$). We are interested in the eigenvalue distribution of the $(2n + \nu) \times (2n + \nu)$ random Dirac

matrix

$$\mathcal{D} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \Phi \\ \Psi & 0 \end{pmatrix} := \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \sqrt{1+\tau} P + \sqrt{1-\tau} Q \\ \sqrt{1+\tau} P^\dagger - \sqrt{1-\tau} Q^\dagger & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \quad (2.1)$$

where $\tau \in [0, 1]$ is a non-Hermiticity parameter. Equivalently, for $\tau \neq 1$, the distribution of \mathcal{D} is given by the probability measure

$$d\tilde{\mathbb{P}}_n^{\tau, \nu}(\mathcal{D}) = \frac{1}{\tilde{\mathcal{Z}}_n^{\tau, \nu}} \exp \left[-\frac{n}{(1-\tau)} \text{Tr} \left(\Phi \Phi^\dagger + \Psi^\dagger \Psi - \tau \left(\Phi \Psi + \Psi^\dagger \Phi^\dagger \right) \right) \right] d\Phi d\Psi, \quad (2.2)$$

with $d\Phi$ and $d\Psi$ denoting Lebesgue measure on the spaces of complex matrices of appropriate dimensions. The spectrum of \mathcal{D} consists of an eigenvalue of multiplicity ν at the origin, and $2n$ complex eigenvalues $\{\pm z_k\}_{k=1}^n$ which come in pairs with opposite sign. Without loss of generality, we choose the z_k to have non-negative real part. With probability 1, the z_k are all distinct and have strictly positive real part, and we consider this situation from now on. Changing variables and integrating out the eigenvectors induces a probability distribution on $\{z_k\}_{k=1}^n$,

$$d\mathbb{P}_n^{\tau, \nu}(z_1, \dots, z_n) = \frac{1}{\mathcal{Z}_n^{\tau, \nu}} |\Delta_n(z^2)|^2 \prod_{k=1}^n w_n^{\tau, \nu}(z_k^2) \chi_{\{\text{Re } z_k > 0\}} d^2 z_k, \quad (2.3)$$

where $d^2 z = dx dy$ denotes Lebesgue measure in the plane and $\mathcal{Z}_n^{\tau, \nu}$ is a normalizing constant. Here we have introduced the Vandermonde determinant

$$\Delta_n(z) := \prod_{1 \leq j < k \leq n} (z_k - z_j),$$

and the real and positive weight function

$$w_n^{\tau, \nu}(z) := |z|^{\nu+1} \exp \left[\frac{2\tau n \text{Re}(z)}{(1-\tau^2)} \right] K_\nu \left(\frac{2n|z|}{(1-\tau^2)} \right) \quad (2.4)$$

where K_ν is the modified Bessel function of the second kind (also called the MacDonald function). The limiting case $\tau = 1$ reduces the two-matrix model to the standard Hermitian chiral Gaussian unitary ensemble, which is equivalent to the unitary Wishart-Laguerre ensemble of non-negative definite Hermitian matrices PP^\dagger with non-zero eigenvalues $\{z_j^2\}_{j=1}^n$. For details on the model, we refer to [19] with $\mu = \sqrt{(1-\tau)}/\sqrt{(1+\tau)}$, $\mathcal{D}_{II} = i\mathcal{D}$, $\alpha = 2/(1+\tau)$, $A = \sqrt{1+\tau}P$, $B = i\sqrt{1+\tau}Q$, $C = i\Phi$ and $D = i\Psi$.

The eigenvalue measure (2.3) has the structure of a determinantal point process and as was shown in [19], the model can be solved by introducing Laguerre polynomials orthogonal in the complex plane with respect to the weight function (2.4). The orthogonality of the Laguerre polynomials on \mathbb{C} was conjectured in [3], however, the proposed weight is only correct for $\nu = 1/2$. Let L_j^ν denote the ordinary generalized Laguerre polynomial with parameter ν of degree j , defined by the orthogonality relation

$$\int_0^\infty L_j^\nu(x) L_k^\nu(x) x^\nu e^{-x} dx = \frac{(j+\nu)!}{j!} \delta_{jk}.$$

Proposition 1. *For any integers $j, k, \nu \geq 0$ and real numbers $a > b > 0$ the following orthogonality relation holds.*

$$\langle L_j^\nu, L_k^\nu \rangle := \int_{\mathbb{C}} L_j^\nu(cz) L_k^\nu(c\bar{z}) |z|^\nu e^{b \text{Re}(z)} K_\nu(a|z|) d^2 z = h_j^\nu \delta_{jk}, \quad (2.5)$$

with squared norm

$$h_j^\nu = \frac{\pi(j+\nu)!}{a j!} \left(\frac{a}{b}\right)^{2j} \left(\frac{2a}{a^2 - b^2}\right)^{\nu+1} \quad (2.6)$$

and $c := (a^2 - b^2)/(2b)$.

In stating Proposition 1 we have changed back to unsquared variables over the full complex plane and general real parameters $a > b > 0$. The case of interest to us, (2.4), follows by setting $a = 2n/(1 - \tau^2)$, $b = 2\tau n/(1 - \tau^2)$ leading to $c = n/\tau$. While (2.5) and (2.6) were given in [19] as “easy (to) verify”, no formal proof was offered. A first proof of (2.5) was given in [4], Appendix A, by explicit computation of the moments $\int d^2z w_n^{\tau,\nu}(z^2) L_j^\nu(cz^2) \bar{z}^{2k}$ in terms of hypergeometric functions. A more elementary proof of Proposition 1 is presented in Section 3.

An alternative proof could be given exploiting the fact that in this symmetry class the orthogonal polynomials $P_n(z)$ are directly given by the expectation value of a characteristic polynomial $P_n(z) = \mathbb{E}[\det(\mathcal{D} - zI)]$, see [6]. The latter can then be computed explicitly for the Gaussian two-matrix model (2.2) using Grassmannians, along the lines of [5].

In the limit $b \rightarrow 0$ ($\tau \rightarrow 0$) the weight $w(z)$ in (2.4) becomes rotationally invariant and the proof of Proposition 1 is straightforward, replacing the Laguerre polynomials by their leading monic power (and multiplying (2.5) with the appropriate power b^{2j}).

By a standard argument, performing suitable row and column operations on the Vandermonde determinant, all k -point correlation functions R_k of the point process (2.3) can be expressed as determinants of a correlation kernel defined in terms of orthogonal polynomials with respect to $w_n^{\tau,\nu}(z)/|z|$,

$$\begin{aligned} R_k(\zeta_1, \dots, \zeta_k) &:= \frac{1}{\mathcal{Z}_n} \frac{n!}{(n-k)!} \int d^2\zeta_{k+1} \cdots \int d^2\zeta_n |\Delta_n(\zeta^2)|^2 \prod_{j=1}^n w_n^{\tau,\nu}(\zeta_j^2) \chi_{\{\operatorname{Re} \zeta_j > 0\}} \\ &= \det \left[(\mathcal{K}_n^{\nu,\tau}(\zeta_i, \zeta_j))_{1 \leq i, j \leq k} \right], \end{aligned}$$

where, by (2.5),

$$\mathcal{K}_n^{\nu,\tau}(\zeta_1, \zeta_2) = \frac{8n^{2+\nu}}{\pi(1-\tau^2)} (w_n^{\tau,\nu}(\zeta_1^2) w_n^{\tau,\nu}(\zeta_2^2))^{1/2} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{\tau^{2k} k!}{(k+\nu)!} L_k^\nu\left(\frac{n\zeta_1^2}{\tau}\right) L_k^\nu\left(\frac{n\zeta_2^2}{\tau}\right) \quad (2.7)$$

if $\operatorname{Re} \zeta_j > 0$, $j = 1, 2$, and $\mathcal{K}_n^{\nu,\tau}(\zeta_1, \zeta_2) = 0$ otherwise. When taking the large n scaling limit at the edge of the spectrum the following representation will prove very useful.

Lemma 2. *For any integers $n \geq 1$, $\alpha \geq 0$, parameter $\tau \in (0, 1)$ and complex numbers $z_1 \neq 0$ and z_2 the identity*

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{\tau^{2k} k!}{(k+\alpha)!} L_k^\alpha(z_1) L_k^\alpha(z_2) = \frac{\tau^{2n} e^{z_2}}{4\pi^2 z_1^\alpha} \oint_{\gamma_1} du \oint_{\gamma_2} dv \left(\frac{v(u-1)}{(v-1)u} \right)^\alpha \left(\frac{v}{u} \right)^n \frac{e^{z_1 \frac{u}{(u-1)} - z_2 \frac{v}{(v-1)}}}{(\tau^2 v - u)(v-1)(u-1)} \quad (2.8)$$

holds. Here γ_1 is a simple closed contour encircling the point $u = 0$ but not $u = 1$, while γ_2 encircles both the point $v = 1$ and the entire contour γ_1 in such a way that $\tau^2 v - u \neq 0$ for $u \in \gamma_1$ and $v \in \gamma_2$.

Now we state our main result, giving scaling limits for the eigenvalue distribution of \mathcal{D} . We emphasize that the following result holds for any fixed ν . In principle, our method could be extended to cover the case when ν scales with n (see Remark 6), the difficulty here is to identify the global spectral distribution, a non-Hermitian generalization of the Marcenko-Pastur law.

Theorem 3. *Let ν be a fixed non-negative integer. Given a sequence $\{\tau_n\}_{n=1}^\infty \subset [0, 1)$, put $\sigma_n = (2n)^{1/6} \sqrt{1 - \tau_n}$. Let $z_j = x_j + iy_j$, $j = 1, \dots, n$ be the eigenvalues with positive real part of the random Dirac matrix \mathcal{D} , forming a determinantal process with kernel $\mathcal{K}_n^{\nu,\tau_n}$. For the choices of scaling parameters a_n , b_n and c_n specified below, put $\hat{x}_j = (x_j - c_n)/a_n$ and $\hat{y}_j = y_j/b_n$, define the rescaled two-dimensional eigenvalue point process $Z_n^{\tau_n,\nu} = \{(\hat{x}_j, \hat{y}_j)\}_{j=1}^n$, and let $F_n^{\tau_n,\nu}(t) = \mathbb{P}_n^{\tau_n,\nu}[\max_{1 \leq j \leq n} \{\hat{x}_j\} \leq t]$ be the last particle distribution of $Z_n^{\tau_n,\nu}$.*

- (i) Suppose $\sigma_n \rightarrow \sigma \in [0, \infty)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Choose $a_n = (2n)^{-2/3}$, $b_n = \sigma_n(2n)^{-2/3}$ and $c_n = (1 + \tau_n)$. Then $Z_n^{\tau_n, \nu}$ converges weakly to Z_σ^A , a determinantal point process on $\mathbb{C} \cong \mathbb{R}^2$ with correlation kernel

$$\mathcal{K}_\sigma^A(\zeta_1, \zeta_2) := \frac{1}{4\pi^{5/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}+i\delta} du \int_{\mathbb{R}+i\delta} dv \frac{e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\sigma u + \eta_1)^2 + \frac{i}{3}u^3 + i\xi_1 u - \frac{1}{2}(\sigma v + \eta_2)^2 + \frac{i}{3}v^3 + i\xi_2 v}}{i(u+v)}, \quad (2.9)$$

where $\zeta_j = \xi_j + i\eta_j$, and $F_n^{\tau_n, \nu}(t)$ converges to the last particle distribution $F_\sigma(t)$ of Z_σ^A .

- (ii) Suppose $\sigma_n \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Put $\hat{\tau}_n = (1 + \tau_n)/2$ and choose

$$a_n = \sqrt{\hat{\tau}_n} \frac{\sigma_n}{\sqrt{6 \log \sigma_n}} (2n)^{-2/3}, \quad (2.10)$$

$$b_n = \hat{\tau}_n^{-1/4} \frac{\sigma_n^{5/2}}{(6 \log \sigma_n)^{1/4}} (2n)^{-2/3}, \quad (2.11)$$

and

$$c_n = (1 + \tau_n) + a_n \left(3 \log \sigma_n - \frac{5}{4} \log(6 \log \sigma_n) - \log(2\pi \hat{\tau}_n^{3/4}) \right). \quad (2.12)$$

Then $Z_n^{\tau_n, \nu}$ converges weakly to a Poisson process on \mathbb{R}^2 with intensity $\pi^{-1/2} e^{-\xi - \eta^2}$, and $F_n^{\tau_n, \nu}(t) \rightarrow e^{-e^{-t}}$, the Gumbel distribution.

The interpolating Airy kernel (2.9) was derived as the scaling limit for the corresponding non-chiral Gaussian ensemble in [7]. We show that this kernel has an alternative real integral representation. To clarify the analogy with the transitional Bessel and sine kernels below, we consider the scaling limit corresponding to $b_n = a_n = (2n)^{-2/3}$ rather than $b_n = \sigma_n a_n = \sigma_n(2n)^{-2/3}$ in the first part of Theorem 3; for $\sigma > 0$, define the rescaled version

$$\begin{aligned} \hat{\mathcal{K}}_\sigma^A(\zeta_1, \zeta_2) &:= \frac{1}{\sigma} \mathcal{K}_\sigma^A(\xi_1 + i\eta_1/\sigma, \xi_2 + i\eta_2/\sigma) \\ &= \frac{e^{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}(\eta_1^2 + \eta_2^2)}}{4\pi^{5/2}\sigma} \int_{\mathbb{R}+i\delta} du \int_{\mathbb{R}+i\delta} dv \frac{e^{-\frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 u^2 + \frac{i}{3}u^3 + i\zeta_1 u - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 v^2 + \frac{i}{3}v^3 + i\bar{\zeta}_2 v}}{i(u+v)} \end{aligned} \quad (2.13)$$

of the kernel (2.9).

Proposition 4. *The interpolating Airy kernel has the real integral representation*

$$\hat{\mathcal{K}}_\sigma^A(\zeta_1, \zeta_2) = \frac{e^{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}(\eta_1^2 + \eta_2^2) + \frac{1}{6}\sigma^6 + \frac{\sigma^2}{2}(\zeta_1 + \bar{\zeta}_2)}}{\sigma\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^\infty dt e^{t\sigma^2} \text{Ai}(\zeta_1 + \sigma^4/4 + t) \text{Ai}(\bar{\zeta}_2 + \sigma^4/4 + t), \quad (2.14)$$

where $\zeta_j = \xi_j + i\eta_j$ and Ai is the Airy function.

The convergence of the integral in (2.14) can easily be seen from the large argument asymptotics of the Airy function,

$$\text{Ai}(z) = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{e^{-\frac{2}{3}z^{3/2}}}{z^{1/4}} (1 + o(1)), \quad (2.15)$$

as $z \rightarrow \infty$ with $\text{Arg}(z)$ bounded away from π .

In the limit $\sigma \rightarrow 0$ the integral in (2.14) becomes elementary (see (2.37) in [17] for this integral form),

$$\mathcal{K}_\sigma^A(\zeta_1, \zeta_2) \xrightarrow{\sigma \rightarrow 0} \frac{e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\eta_1^2 + \eta_2^2)}}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^\infty dt \text{Ai}(\xi_1 + t) \text{Ai}(\xi_2 + t) = \frac{e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\eta_1^2 + \eta_2^2)}}{\sqrt{\pi}} \mathcal{K}^A(\xi_1, \xi_2),$$

where \mathcal{K}^A is the Airy kernel. Proposition 4 completes the picture of the known non-Hermitian generalizations

$$\mathcal{K}_\sigma^S(\zeta_1, \zeta_2) = \frac{e^{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}(\eta_1^2 + \eta_2^2)}}{\sigma \pi^{3/2}} \int_0^1 dt e^{-t^2 \sigma^2} \cos(t(\zeta_1 - \zeta_2)), \quad (2.16)$$

[12] of the sine kernel \mathcal{K}^S and

$$\mathcal{K}_\sigma^{B,\nu}(\zeta_1, \zeta_2) = \frac{|\zeta_1 \zeta_2|^{\nu+1}}{2\pi \sigma^2 (\zeta_1 \bar{\zeta}_2)^\nu} \sqrt{K_\nu \left(\frac{|\zeta_1|^2}{4\sigma^2} \right) K_\nu \left(\frac{|\zeta_2|^2}{4\sigma^2} \right)} e^{\frac{1}{8\sigma^2}(\xi_1^2 + \xi_2^2)} \int_0^1 dt t e^{-2t^2 \sigma^2} J_\nu(t\zeta_1) J_\nu(t\zeta_2), \quad (2.17)$$

[3, 19] of the Bessel kernel $\mathcal{K}^{B,\nu}$, in the bulk and at the hard edge of the spectrum, respectively. These are obtained in the limit when $\hat{\sigma} = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sqrt{(1 - \tau_n)n} \in (0, \infty)$, and are both given as simple one-parameter deformations of the ordinary sine and Bessel kernels, which are recovered in the essentially Hermitian limit,

$$\begin{aligned} \pi \hat{\sigma} \mathcal{K}_\sigma^S(\pi \xi_1 + i \hat{\sigma} \eta_1, \pi \xi_2 + i \hat{\sigma} \eta_2) &\xrightarrow{\hat{\sigma} \rightarrow 0} \frac{e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\eta_1^2 + \eta_2^2)}}{\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{\sin(\pi(\xi_1 - \xi_2))}{\pi(\xi_1 - \xi_2)} = \frac{e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\eta_1^2 + \eta_2^2)}}{\sqrt{\pi}} \mathcal{K}^S(\xi_1, \xi_2), \\ \sqrt{2} \hat{\sigma} \mathcal{K}_\sigma^{B,\nu}(\xi_1 + i \sqrt{2} \hat{\sigma} \eta_1, \xi_2 + i \sqrt{2} \hat{\sigma} \eta_2) &\xrightarrow{\hat{\sigma} \rightarrow 0} \frac{e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\eta_1^2 + \eta_2^2)}}{\sqrt{\pi}} \sqrt{|\xi_1 \xi_2|} \frac{J_\nu(\xi_1) \xi_2 J_{\nu+1}(\xi_2) - J_\nu(\xi_2) \xi_1 J_{\nu+1}(\xi_1)}{\xi_1^2 - \xi_2^2} \\ &= \frac{e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\eta_1^2 + \eta_2^2)}}{\sqrt{\pi}} \mathcal{K}^{B,\nu}(\xi_1, \xi_2), \end{aligned}$$

where we have rescaled the imaginary part with a factor $\hat{\sigma}$ to get a finite limit, analogously with the definition (2.9) of \mathcal{K}_σ^A . Note that the bulk and hard edge transitions occur in a different regime $(1 - \tau_n) \asymp n^{-1}$ compared to the soft edge $(1 - \tau_n) \asymp n^{-1/3}$.

Remark 5. From the representation (2.14) it is also transparent that our results are consistent with the expected error function decay of the eigenvalue density at the spectral edge when $n \rightarrow \infty$; the density of rescaled eigenvalues $\hat{z}_j := \sqrt{2n}(1 - \tau_n)^{-1/2}(z_j - (1 + \tau_n))$ is given by

$$\rho_n(\zeta) := \frac{(1 - \tau_n)}{2n} \mathcal{K}_n^{\nu, \tau_n} \left((1 + \tau_n) + \sqrt{\frac{(1 - \tau_n)}{2n}} \zeta, (1 + \tau_n) + \sqrt{\frac{(1 - \tau_n)}{2n}} \zeta \right) \rightarrow \frac{1}{2\pi(1 + \tau)} \operatorname{erfc}(\xi), \quad (2.18)$$

where $\zeta = \xi + i\eta$, $\tau = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tau_n$, and $\operatorname{erfc}(u) = \frac{2}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_u^\infty dt e^{-t^2}$ is the complementary error function. See Section 6 for a sketch of this argument.

3 Proof of Proposition 1

In this section we present an elementary proof of the orthogonality of the Laguerre polynomials in the complex plane, Proposition 1, using induction in ν . We will make use of known recursions for the Laguerre polynomials and modified Bessel functions which are of depth 2, so we first show the base cases $\nu = 0$ and $\nu = 1$.

To that end we will use an integral representation for the modified Bessel function of the second kind, ([15], 8.432.6),

$$K_\nu(x) = \frac{1}{2} \left(\frac{x}{2} \right)^\nu \int_0^\infty \frac{dt}{t^{\nu+1}} e^{-t - x^2/(4t)}, \quad (3.1)$$

as well as a complex contour integral representation for the generalized Laguerre polynomials

$$L_j^\nu(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_\gamma du \frac{e^{-xu/(1-u)}}{(1-u)^{\nu+1} u^{j+1}} \quad (3.2)$$

where the contour γ encircles the point $u = 0$ but not $u = 1$. We will choose γ to be a circle of radius $r < b/a$, centered at the origin. For $\nu = 0$, (3.2) is given for instance in [24], equation 13.53. Alternatively this relation can easily be derived using the generating function for Laguerre polynomials. The case $\nu = 1$ (and indeed any positive integer ν , although not needed here) then follows easily using the identity $L_n^{\nu+1}(x) = -L_{n+1}^{\nu}(x)$.

Inserting the representations (3.1) and (3.2) with $\nu = 0$ into (2.5) gives

$$\begin{aligned} \langle L_j^0, L_k^0 \rangle &= \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dy e^{bx} \int_0^{\infty} \frac{dt}{2t} e^{-t - \frac{a^2}{4t}(x^2+y^2)} \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^2} \oint_{\gamma} du \frac{e^{-c(x+iy)u/(1-u)}}{(1-u)u^{j+1}} \oint_{\gamma} dv \frac{e^{-c(x-iy)v/(1-v)}}{(1-v)v^{k+1}} \\ &= \frac{1}{2(2\pi i)^2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dy \int_0^{\infty} dt \oint_{\gamma} du \oint_{\gamma} dv \frac{e^{-tC - \frac{a^2}{4t}(x+tA)^2 - \frac{a^2}{4t}(y+tB)^2}}{t(1-u)u^{j+1}(1-v)v^{k+1}}, \end{aligned} \quad (3.3)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} A &= \frac{2}{a^2} \left(c \left(\frac{u}{1-u} + \frac{v}{1-v} \right) - b \right), \\ B &= \frac{2ic}{a^2} \left(\frac{u}{1-u} - \frac{v}{1-v} \right), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$C = 1 - \frac{a^2}{4}(A^2 + B^2) = \frac{(a^2 - b^2)}{a^2(1-u)(1-v)} \left(1 - \frac{a^2}{b^2}uv \right)$$

depend only on the variables u and v . Note that $\text{Re}(C) > 0$ for any u, v on γ since $|u| = |v| = r < b/a < 1$, by our choice of γ . This means that we can apply Fubini's theorem to calculate first the Gaussian integrals in x and y and then the convergent t -integral. Inserting all this into (3.3) gives

$$\begin{aligned} \langle L_j^0, L_k^0 \rangle &= \frac{2\pi}{(a^2 - b^2)} \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^2} \oint_{\gamma} \frac{du}{u^{j+1}} \oint_{\gamma} \frac{dv}{v^{k+1}} \frac{1}{(1 - \frac{a^2}{b^2}uv)} \\ &= \frac{2\pi}{(a^2 - b^2)} \left(\frac{a^2}{b^2} \right)^k \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{\gamma} \frac{du}{u^{j-k+1}} \\ &= \frac{2\pi}{(a^2 - b^2)} \left(\frac{a^2}{b^2} \right)^k \delta_{jk} \\ &= h_j^0 \delta_{jk}, \end{aligned}$$

proving the base case $\nu = 0$.

The result for $\nu = 1$ follows along the same lines and we only give some intermediate steps. A straightforward calculation using integration by parts shows that, as long as $\text{Re}(C) > 0$,

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dy \int_0^{\infty} dt \frac{(x^2 + y^2)}{t^2} e^{-Ct - \frac{a^2}{4t}(x+tA)^2 - \frac{a^2}{4t}(y+tB)^2} = \frac{4\pi}{a^2 C} \left(\frac{4}{a^2} + \frac{A^2 + B^2}{C} \right) = \frac{16\pi}{a^4 C^2}, \quad (3.4)$$

implying that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle L_j^1, L_k^1 \rangle &= \frac{a}{4} \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^2} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dx \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} dy \int_0^{\infty} dt \oint_{\gamma} du \oint_{\gamma} dv \frac{(x^2 + y^2) e^{-tC - \frac{a^2}{4t}(x+tA)^2 - \frac{a^2}{4t}(y+tB)^2}}{t^2(1-u)^2 u^{j+1} (1-v)^2 v^{k+1}} \\ &= \frac{4a\pi}{(a^2 - b^2)^2} \frac{1}{(2\pi i)^2} \oint_{\gamma} \frac{du}{u^{j+1}} \oint_{\gamma} \frac{dv}{v^{k+1}} \frac{1}{(1 - (a/b)^2 uv)^2} \\ &= \frac{4a\pi}{(a^2 - b^2)^2} \left(\frac{a^2}{b^2} \right)^j (j+1) \delta_{jk} \\ &= h_j^1 \delta_{jk}, \end{aligned}$$

since

$$\frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{\gamma} \frac{dv}{v^{k+1}(1 - (a/b)^2 uv)^2} = \text{Res}_{v=0} \left(v^{-k-1} \left(\sum_{j=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{a^2 u}{b^2} \right)^j v^j \right)^2 \right) = \sum_{j=0}^k \left(\frac{a^2 u}{b^2} \right)^k = (k+1) \left(\frac{a^2 u}{b^2} \right)^k.$$

Next we can make the induction step using the recurrence relation for the modified Bessel functions of the second kind, ([15], 8.486.10),

$$xK_{\nu+1}(x) = xK_{\nu-1}(x) + 2\nu K_{\nu}(x), \quad (3.5)$$

as well as the following two recurrences for Laguerre polynomials, ([15], 8.974.3, 8.971.2 and 8.971.5 respectively)

$$L_n^{\nu+1}(x) = \sum_{m=0}^n L_m^{\nu}(x), \quad (3.6)$$

and

$$xL_n^{\nu+1}(x) = \nu L_n^{\nu}(x) - (n+1)L_{n+1}^{\nu-1}(x) = \nu \sum_{m=0}^n L_m^{\nu-1}(x) - (n+1)L_{n+1}^{\nu-1}(x). \quad (3.7)$$

Suppose (2.5) holds for a fixed ν and $\nu-1$ and every j, k . Without loss of generality we consider $j \geq k$. By equations (3.5) through (3.7) and the induction hypothesis,

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle L_j^{\nu+1}, L_k^{\nu+1} \rangle \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{C}} d^2 z \, e^{b \text{Re}(z)} L_j^{\nu+1}(cz) L_k^{\nu+1}(c\bar{z}) \left(\frac{1}{a} 2\nu |z|^{\nu} K_{\nu}(a|z|) + |z|^2 |z|^{\nu-1} K_{\nu-1}(a|z|) \right) \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{C}} d^2 z \, e^{b \text{Re}(z)} \left[\frac{2\nu}{a} \left(\sum_{m=0}^j L_m^{\nu}(cz) \right) \left(\sum_{n=0}^k L_n^{\nu}(c\bar{z}) \right) |z|^{\nu} K_{\nu}(a|z|) \right. \\ & \quad \left. + \frac{1}{c^2} \left(\nu \sum_{m=0}^j L_m^{\nu-1}(cz) - (j+1)L_{j+1}^{\nu-1}(cz) \right) \left(\nu \sum_{n=0}^k L_n^{\nu-1}(c\bar{z}) - (k+1)L_{k+1}^{\nu-1}(c\bar{z}) \right) |z|^{\nu-1} K_{\nu-1}(a|z|) \right] \\ &= \frac{2\nu}{a} \sum_{m=0}^j \sum_{n=0}^k \langle L_m^{\nu}, L_n^{\nu} \rangle + \frac{\nu^2}{c^2} \sum_{m=0}^j \sum_{n=0}^k \langle L_m^{\nu-1}, L_n^{\nu-1} \rangle - \frac{\nu(j+1)}{c^2} \sum_{n=0}^k \langle L_{j+1}^{\nu-1}, L_n^{\nu-1} \rangle \\ & \quad - \frac{\nu(k+1)}{c^2} \sum_{m=0}^j \langle L_m^{\nu-1}, L_{k+1}^{\nu-1} \rangle + \frac{(j+1)(k+1)}{c^2} \langle L_{j+1}^{\nu-1}, L_{k+1}^{\nu-1} \rangle \\ &= \frac{2\nu}{a} \sum_{n=0}^k h_n^{\nu} + \frac{\nu^2}{c^2} \sum_{n=0}^k h_n^{\nu-1} - \frac{(k+1)\nu}{c^2} h_{k+1}^{\nu-1} (1 - \delta_{jk}) + \frac{(k+1)^2}{c^2} h_{j+1}^{\nu-1} \delta_{jk}. \end{aligned} \quad (3.8)$$

Note that the last two terms contribute only when $j > k$ and $j = k$ respectively. Inserting the

expressions (2.6) we obtain for the two sums

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{2\nu}{a} \sum_{n=0}^k h_n^\nu + \frac{\nu^2}{c^2} \sum_{n=0}^k h_n^{\nu-1} &= \frac{2\nu}{a} \sum_{n=0}^k \frac{\pi(n+\nu)!}{a n!} \frac{a^{2n}}{b^{2n}} \left(\frac{2a}{a^2 - b^2} \right)^{\nu+1} \\
&\quad + \frac{\nu^2 4b^2}{(a^2 - b^2)^2} \sum_{n=0}^k \frac{\pi(n+\nu-1)!}{a n!} \frac{a^{2n}}{b^{2n}} \left(\frac{2a}{a^2 - b^2} \right)^\nu \\
&= \frac{4\pi\nu(2a)^\nu}{a(a^2 - b^2)^{\nu+2}} \sum_{n=0}^k \frac{(n+\nu-1)!}{n!} \frac{a^{2n}}{b^{2n}} ((n+\nu)a^2 - nb^2) \\
&= \frac{4\pi\nu(2a)^\nu}{(a^2 - b^2)^{\nu+2}} \frac{(k+\nu)!}{k!} \frac{a^{2k+1}}{b^{2k}} \\
&= \frac{(k+1)\nu}{c^2} h_{k+1}^{\nu-1}
\end{aligned}$$

after telescoping the sum. Thus (3.8) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle L_j^{\nu+1}, L_k^{\nu+1} \rangle &= \frac{(k+1)\nu}{c^2} h_{k+1}^{\nu-1} (1 - (1 - \delta_{jl})) + \frac{(k+1)^2}{c^2} h_{k+1}^{\nu-1} \delta_{jk} \\
&= \frac{(k+1)}{c^2} (k+1+\nu) h_{k+1}^{\nu-1} \delta_{jk} \\
&= \frac{\pi(k+\nu+1)!}{a k!} \left(\frac{a}{b} \right)^{2k} \left(\frac{2a}{a^2 - b^2} \right)^{\nu+2} \delta_{jk} \\
&= h_k^{\nu+1} \delta_{jk}
\end{aligned}$$

which concludes the proof.

4 Proof of Lemma 2

In this section we prove the alternative complex contour integral representation for the kernel, Lemma 2. To that end we will derive two different contour integral representations for the Laguerre polynomials, and insert them into (2.8). This will obviously break the symmetry in the arguments z_1 and z_2 .

The first integral representation follows starting from [1], 22.10.7,

$$L_k^\alpha(z) = \frac{e^z}{2\pi i z^\alpha} \oint_{\gamma'} dw \frac{w^{k+\alpha} e^{-w}}{(w-z)^{k+1}}. \quad (4.1)$$

valid for any integers k, α and $z \neq 0$ (for simplicity we exclude non-integer indices α to avoid branch cuts). The contour γ' includes the pole at $w = z$ but not the origin $w = 0$.

Now make the change of variables $v = w/(w-z)$, $dv = -z/(w-z)^2 dw$, giving rise to

$$L_k^\alpha(z) = \frac{e^z}{2\pi i} \oint_{\gamma_2} dv \frac{v^{k+\alpha} e^{-zv/(v-1)}}{(v-1)^{\alpha+1}}. \quad (4.2)$$

Together with the sign from the Jacobian and the inversion from the Möbius transformation, the contour of integration is mapped to a positively oriented contour encircling the pole at $v = 1$ but not the origin $v = 0$. Without loss of generality it can be chosen subject to the second condition in Lemma 2 and thus taken as γ_2 .

To prove the second integral representation,

$$\frac{k!L_k^\alpha(z)}{(k+\alpha)!} = \frac{1}{2\pi i(-z)^\alpha} \oint_{\gamma_1} du \frac{(1-u)^{\alpha-1}}{u^{k+1+\alpha}} e^{-zu/(1-u)}, \quad (4.3)$$

with γ_1 as in the statement of the Lemma, we use induction over α . The base $\alpha = 0$ is given by (3.2),

$$L_k^0(z) = \frac{1}{2\pi i} \oint_{\gamma_1} du \frac{e^{-zu/(1-u)}}{(1-u)u^{k+1}}.$$

Using the known recurrence relation for Laguerre polynomials (e.g. [15], 8.971.4)

$$zL_k^{\alpha+1}(z) = (k+\alpha+1)L_k^\alpha(z) - (k+1)L_{k+1}^\alpha(z), \quad (4.4)$$

we can establish the induction step. Suppose (4.3) holds for a fixed α and every k . Then for any $k \geq 0$ and $z \neq 0$

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{k!L_k^{\alpha+1}(z)}{(k+\alpha+1)!} &= \frac{k!L_k^\alpha(z)}{z(k+\alpha)!} - \frac{(k+1)!L_{k+1}^\alpha(z)}{z(k+\alpha+1)!} \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i z(-z)^\alpha} \oint_{\gamma_1} du \frac{(1-u)^{\alpha-1}}{u^{k+1+\alpha}} e^{-zu/(1-u)} \left(1 - \frac{1}{u}\right) \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i(-z)^{\alpha+1}} \oint_{\gamma_1} du \frac{(1-u)^\alpha}{u^{k+1+\alpha+1}} e^{-zu/(1-u)}, \end{aligned}$$

where we used the induction hypothesis in the first line.

Combining the two representations (4.2) and (4.3) gives

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{\tau^{2k} k!}{(k+\alpha)!} L_k^\alpha(z_1) L_k^\alpha(z_2) &= \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{\tau^{2k} e^{z_2}}{(2\pi i)^2 (-z_1)^\alpha} \oint_{\gamma_2} dv \frac{v^{k+\alpha} e^{-z_2 v/(v-1)}}{(v-1)^{\alpha+1}} \oint_{\gamma_1} du \frac{(1-u)^{\alpha-1}}{u^{k+1+\alpha}} e^{-z_1 u/(1-u)} \\ &= \frac{e^{z_2}}{(2\pi i)^2 z_1^\alpha} \oint_{\gamma_1} du \oint_{\gamma_2} dv \left(\frac{v(u-1)}{(v-1)u} \right)^\alpha \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{u} \left(\frac{\tau^2 v}{u} \right)^k \frac{e^{-z_2 \frac{v}{v-1} - z_1 \frac{u}{1-u}}}{(v-1)(1-u)}. \end{aligned} \quad (4.5)$$

After computing the geometric sum,

$$\sum_{k=0}^{n-1} \frac{1}{u} \left(\frac{\tau^2 v}{u} \right)^k = \frac{1}{(\tau^2 v - u)} \left(\frac{\tau^2 v}{u} \right)^n - \frac{1}{(\tau^2 v - u)}, \quad (4.6)$$

we only have to show that the second term in (4.6) does not contribute to (4.5) in order to arrive at the desired result (2.8). To see this, we change variables $v = y/(y-1)$, $dv = 1/(y-1)^2 dy$, thereby mapping γ_2 to a new contour γ'_2 which will not enclose the pole at $y = u/(u-\tau^2)$ since γ_2 does by definition, and the Möbius transformation $v \mapsto y$ involves an inversion. The second term of the integral in (4.5) with respect to y then becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \oint_{\gamma_2} dv \left(\frac{v}{v-1} \right)^\alpha \frac{e^{-z_2 \frac{v}{v-1}}}{(\tau^2 v - u)(v-1)} &= \oint_{\gamma'_2} dy \frac{y^\alpha e^{-z_2 y}}{(\tau^2 y/(y-1) - u)(y/(y-1) - 1)(1-y)^2} \\ &= \oint_{\gamma'_2} dy \frac{y^\alpha e^{-z_2 y}}{(y(\tau^2 - u) + u)} = 0, \end{aligned}$$

by Cauchy's theorem.

5 Proof of Theorem 3

To prove our main result, we show that (a kernel equivalent to) the correlation kernel $\mathcal{K}_n^{\nu, \tau_n}$ of the eigenvalue point process, appropriately rescaled, converges point-wise to the limiting kernel associated with the sequence $\{\tau_n\}$ in the statement of the theorem. The convergence of processes and last particle distributions then follows from a dominated convergence argument, see [7]. We will assume that τ_n is bounded away from 0; the case $\tau_n \rightarrow 0$ reduces to the (simpler) radially symmetric case where the kernel can be expressed in terms of monomials rather than Laguerre polynomials; our results remain valid in this case. The analysis is very similar to what was done in great detail in [7] for the case of the elliptic Ginibre ensemble, so for clarity we will skip some technical details and refer to those calculations to give an idea of how the present analysis can easily be made completely rigorous. Our strategy is to use Lemma 2 to represent $\mathcal{K}_n^{\nu, \tau}$ and find its large n asymptotics by steepest descent analysis of the integrals.

It is known that the mean eigenvalue density of \mathcal{D} is asymptotically constant in the ellipse $\{(\xi, \eta) : \xi^2/(1 + \tau_n)^2 + \eta^2/(1 - \tau_n)^2 \leq 1\}$. We are interested in the scaling limit around the spectral edge on the positive real axis, so we define the new scaling parameter $\delta_n := c_n^2 - (1 + \tau_n)^2 \ll 1$. The choice of parameters will be determined by the analysis, however in order to estimate orders of magnitudes of error terms, we will assume when needed that the parameters scale correctly with n , that is

$$a_n \asymp \frac{\sigma_n}{\sqrt{\log \sigma_n}} n^{-2/3},$$

and

$$b_n \asymp \frac{\sigma_n^{5/2}}{(\log \sigma_n)^{1/4}} n^{-2/3},$$

and

$$\delta_n \asymp \sigma_n \sqrt{\log \sigma_n} n^{-2/3}$$

if $\sigma_n \rightarrow \infty$ and $a_n \asymp n^{-2/3}$, $b_n \asymp \sigma_n n^{-2/3}$, $\delta_n \equiv 0$ otherwise.

Using Lemma 2 to represent the kernel (2.7) and the large argument asymptotics of the modified Bessel function of the second kind ([1], 9.7.2),

$$K_\nu(z) = \sqrt{\frac{\pi}{2z}} e^{-z} (1 + o(1)),$$

the kernel $\mathcal{M}_n^{\nu, \tau_n}(\zeta_1, \zeta_2) := a_n b_n \mathcal{K}_n^{\nu, \tau_n}(z_1, z_2)$ of the rescaled eigenvalue process $Z_n^{\tau_n, \nu}$ can be written

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}_n^{\nu, \tau_n}(\zeta_1, \zeta_2) &= \frac{\sqrt{|z_1||z_2|} n^{3/2} a_n b_n}{\pi^{5/2} \sqrt{(1 - \tau_n^2)}} \left(\frac{\tau_n |z_1| |z_2|}{z_1^2} \right)^\nu \exp \left[n \left(2 \log \tau_n + \frac{\bar{z}_2^2}{\tau_n} - \frac{(|z_1|^2 + |z_2|^2 - \tau_n \operatorname{Re}(z_1^2 + z_2^2))}{(1 - \tau_n^2)} \right) \right] \\ &\quad \times \oint_{\gamma_1} dw_1 \oint_{\gamma_2} dw_2 \left(\frac{w_2(w_1 - 1)}{(w_2 - 1)w_1} \right)^\nu \frac{e^{n \left(f_n(w_2) - f_n(w_1) - \frac{w_2(\alpha_2 - i\beta_2)}{\tau_n(w_2 - 1)} + \frac{w_1(\alpha_1 + i\beta_1)}{\tau_n(w_1 - 1)} \right)}}{(\tau_n^2 w_2 - w_1)(1 - w_1)(1 - w_2)} (1 + o(1)), \end{aligned} \quad (5.1)$$

where $z_j := c_n + a_n \xi_j + i b_n \eta_j$,

$$\begin{cases} \alpha_j := \operatorname{Re}(z_j^2) - c_n^2 = 2c_n a_n \xi_j + a_n^2 \xi_j^2 - b_n^2 \eta_j^2 \\ \beta_j := \operatorname{Im}(z_j^2) = 2b_n \eta_j (c_n + a_n \xi_j), \end{cases} \quad (5.2)$$

and where we have defined

$$f_n(w) = -\frac{c_n^2}{\tau_n} \frac{w}{w - 1} + \log w, \quad (5.3)$$

with the branch cut of the logarithm chosen along the positive real axis, in order that f_n be analytic in neighbourhoods of its saddle points.

The dominating contribution to the integral will come from saddle points of f_n , given by $f'_n(w) = 0$, or

$$w^2 + \left(\frac{c_n^2 - 2\tau_n}{\tau_n} \right) w + 1 = 0,$$

which has two real solutions, $w_- < -1 < w_+ < 0$.

Remark 6. Note that, more generally, if ν scales with n , $\nu = \alpha n$, the integral can be evaluated in terms of saddle points of the function $g_n(w) = f_n(w) + \alpha(\log w - \log(w-1))$. In principle the analysis can be carried out for this case as well, however the edge of the spectrum c_n then moves on a global scale and is only implicitly determined, which makes the calculations more difficult. However, the present analysis does apply for any fixed ν .

Explicitly, the two saddle points are given by

$$w_{\pm} = 1 - \frac{c_n^2}{2\tau_n} \left(1 \mp \sqrt{1 - \frac{4\tau_n}{c_n^2}} \right),$$

or, when expanded in $\delta_n/(1-\tau_n)^2 \ll 1$,

$$w_- = -\frac{1}{\tau_n} - \frac{\delta_n}{\tau_n(1-\tau_n^2)} \left(1 - \tau_n^2(1+\tau_n) \frac{\delta_n}{(1-\tau_n)^2} (1+o(1)) \right) \quad (5.4)$$

and

$$w_+ = -\tau_n + \frac{\delta_n \tau_n}{(1-\tau_n^2)} \left(1 - (1+\tau_n) \frac{\delta_n}{(1-\tau_n)^2} (1+o(1)) \right) \quad (5.5)$$

respectively. Since

$$f''_n(w) = -\frac{2c_n^2}{\tau_n(w-1)^3} - \frac{1}{w^2}, \quad (5.6)$$

we find that

$$f''_n(w_-) = \frac{\tau_n^2(1-\tau_n)}{(1+\tau_n)} \left(1 - \frac{2(1-\tau_n-\tau_n^2)}{(1+\tau_n)^2} \frac{\delta_n}{(1-\tau_n)^2} (1+o(1)) \right) > 0 \quad (5.7)$$

and

$$f''_n(w_+) = -\frac{(1-\tau_n)}{\tau_n^2(1+\tau_n)} \left(1 + \frac{2(1+\tau_n-\tau_n^2)}{(1+\tau_n)^2} \frac{\delta_n}{(1-\tau_n)^2} (1+o(1)) \right) < 0, \quad (5.8)$$

whereas $|f'''_n(w_{\pm})| \asymp 1$. Note that, here and in the following, correction terms depending on δ_n are identically zero unless $\sigma_n \rightarrow \infty$.

We will choose the contours so that γ_1 passes through w_+ and γ_2 through w_- and are both tangent to vertical lines, giving the directions of steepest descent, by (5.7) and (5.8). Computing the asymptotics of the kernel, the main contribution will come from neighbourhoods of the saddle points, so neglecting small contributions away from the saddle points we can take the contours of integration as the lines $w_+ + i\mathbb{R}$ and $w_- + i\mathbb{R}$ respectively and change variables $w_1 - w_+ = ix$, $w_2 - w_- = iy$. By expanding in powers of x and y , we can find the asymptotics of the integral in (5.1). Before proceeding with the saddle point approximation, we calculate asymptotics of the constant and subleading terms at the saddle points.

We note immediately that, provided τ_n is bounded away from 0, the factor

$$\left(\frac{w_2(w_1-1)}{(w_2-1)w_1} \right)^{\nu}$$

in the integrand is approximately constant, taking the value

$$\left(\frac{w_-(w_+-1)}{(w_--1)w_+}\right)^\nu = \tau_n^{-\nu}(1+o(1))$$

which cancels the pre-factor

$$\left(\frac{\tau_n|z_1||z_2|}{z_1^2}\right)^\nu = \tau_n^\nu(1+o(1)),$$

so that the limiting kernel will be independent of ν . Furthermore,

$$(w_1-1)(w_2-1) = \frac{(1+\tau_n)^2}{\tau_n}(1+o(1)),$$

and the remaining factor in the denominator becomes

$$\tau_n^2 w_2 - w_1 = \tau_n^2 x - y - \frac{2\tau_n \delta_n}{(1-\tau_n^2)}(1+o(1)).$$

The leading order term of the exponent is

$$f_n(w_-) - f_n(w_+) = -2\log \tau_n - 2\pi i - \frac{c_n^2(1-\tau_n)}{\tau_n(1+\tau_n)} - \frac{\delta_n^2}{(1+\tau_n)^3(1-\tau_n)}(1+o(1)).$$

We will also need expansions of the sub-leading terms,

$$\frac{w}{(w-1)} = \frac{w_-}{(w_- - 1)} - \frac{1}{(w_- - 1)^2}ix + \mathcal{O}(x^2),$$

where

$$\frac{w_-}{(w_- - 1)} = \frac{1}{1+\tau_n} + \frac{\tau_n \delta_n}{(1+\tau_n)^3(1-\tau_n)}(1+o(1)),$$

and similarly

$$\frac{w_+}{(w_+ - 1)} = \frac{\tau_n}{1+\tau_n} - \frac{\tau_n \delta_n}{(1+\tau_n)^3(1-\tau_n)}(1+o(1)).$$

Collecting all the terms independent of the variables of integration from these calculations, and the exponential pre-factor, gives

$$\begin{aligned} & 2\log \tau_n + \frac{\bar{z}_2^2}{\tau_n} - \frac{|z_1|^2 + |z_2|^2 - \tau_n(\operatorname{Re} z_1^2 + \operatorname{Re} z_2^2)}{(1-\tau_n^2)} + f_n(w_-) - f_n(w_+) + \frac{w_+(\alpha_1 + i\beta_1)}{\tau_n(w_+ - 1)} - \frac{w_-(\alpha_2 - i\beta_2)}{\tau_n(w_- - 1)} \\ &= -\frac{\delta_n(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)}{(1+\tau_n)^3(1-\tau_n)}(1+o(1)) - \frac{(\beta_1^2 + \beta_2^2)}{2c_n^2(1-\tau_n^2)}(1+\mathcal{O}(a_n)) - \frac{\delta_n^2}{(1+\tau_n)^3(1-\tau_n)}(1+o(1)) \\ &+ C_n(\beta_1 - \beta_2) - 2\pi i + o(n^{-1}), \end{aligned} \tag{5.9}$$

for some constant C_n . The correlation kernel $\mathcal{M}_n^{\nu, \tau_n}(\zeta_1, \zeta_2)$ can be replaced by any kernel of the form $e^{g_n(\zeta_1) - g_n(\zeta_2)} \mathcal{M}_n^{\nu, \tau_n}(\zeta_1, \zeta_2)$ without affecting the underlying point process since the determinants giving the correlation functions remain the same; we will thus consider the equivalent kernel $e^{-C_n(\beta_1 - \beta_2)} \mathcal{M}_n^{\nu, \tau_n}(\zeta_1, \zeta_2)$, which we still call $\mathcal{M}_n^{\nu, \tau_n}$ with a slight abuse of notation. Inserting (5.9) into (5.1) gives

$$\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{M}_n^{\nu, \tau_n}(\zeta_1, \zeta_2) \\
&= \frac{\tau_n n^{3/2} a_n b_n e^{-\frac{n\delta_n^2}{(1+\tau_n)^3(1-\tau_n)}}}{\pi^{5/2}(1+\tau_n)^{3/2}\sqrt{(1-\tau_n)}} \exp \left[-n \left(\frac{\delta_n(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)}{(1+\tau_n)^3(1-\tau_n)} + \frac{(\beta_1^2 + \beta_2^2)}{2c_n^2(1-\tau_n^2)} \right) \right] \\
& \times \int_{\mathbb{R}} dx \int_{\mathbb{R}} dy e^{\frac{n(f_n(w_- + iy) - f_n(w_-) - f_n(w_+ + ix) + f_n(w_+)) + \frac{iy(\alpha_2 - i\beta_2)}{\tau_n(w_- - 1)^2} - \frac{ix(\alpha_1 + i\beta_1)}{\tau_n(w_+ - 1)^2}}{i(x - \tau_n^2 y) + \frac{2\tau_n \delta_n}{(1-\tau_n^2)}}} (1 + o(1)), \quad (5.10)
\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\frac{1}{(w_- - 1)^2} = \frac{\tau_n^2}{(1 + \tau_n)^2} \left(1 - \frac{2\delta_n}{(1 + \tau_n)^2(1 - \tau_n)} (1 + o(1)) \right), \quad (5.11)$$

and

$$\frac{1}{(w_+ - 1)^2} = \frac{1}{(1 + \tau_n)^2} \left(1 + \frac{2\tau_n \delta_n}{(1 + \tau_n)^2(1 - \tau_n)} (1 + o(1)) \right). \quad (5.12)$$

5.1 Case $\sigma_n \rightarrow \sigma < \infty$

In this case we may choose $\delta_n = 0$. This means that, in order to get a convergent integral in (5.10), we have to shift the contours of integration slightly into the complex plane. For the details of these considerations, we refer to the very similar arguments in [7]; here we will formally integrate over the real line to clarify the presentation. Since τ_n tends to 1 in this regime,

$$f_n'''(w_{\pm}) = f_n'''(-1)(1 + o(1)) = -\frac{1}{2}(1 + o(1)).$$

After a change of variables, $y = 2(2n)^{-1/3}t$, $x = 2(2n)^{-1/3}s$, in the integral in (5.10), (5.7) and (5.8) give

$$n(f_n(w_- + iy) - f_n(w_-)) = -\frac{1}{2}\sigma_n^2 t^2 + \frac{i}{3}t^3 + \mathcal{O}(n^{-1/3}t^4),$$

and

$$n(f_n(w_+ + ix) - f_n(w_+)) = -\frac{1}{2}\sigma_n^2 s^2 - \frac{i}{3}s^3 + \mathcal{O}(n^{-1/3}s^4).$$

In the remaining expressions, we may directly insert $\tau_n = 1$ and (5.10) becomes

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{M}_n^{\nu, \tau_n}(\zeta_1, \zeta_2) &= \frac{a_n b_n n^{4/3}}{2^{2/3} \pi^{5/2} \sigma_n} \exp \left[-\frac{n}{16(1 - \tau_n)} (\beta_1^2 + \beta_2^2) \right] \\
&\times \int_{\mathbb{R}} ds \int_{\mathbb{R}} dt \frac{e^{-\frac{1}{2}\sigma_n^2(t^2 + s^2) + \frac{i}{3}(t^3 - s^3) + i2^{-4/3}n^{2/3}((\alpha_2 - i\beta_2)t - (\alpha_1 + i\beta_1)s)}}{i(s - t)} (1 + o(1)).
\end{aligned}$$

Recalling the definition (5.2) of our auxiliary variables α_j and β_j , and choosing $a_n = (2n)^{-2/3}$ and $b_n = \sigma_n(2n)^{-2/3}$ gives

$$\mathcal{M}_n^{\nu, \tau_n}(\zeta_1, \zeta_2) = \frac{1}{4\pi^{5/2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}} ds \int_{\mathbb{R}} dt \frac{e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\sigma_n t - \eta_2)^2 + \frac{i}{3}t^3 + i\xi_2 t - \frac{1}{2}(\sigma_n s - \eta_1)^2 - \frac{i}{3}s^3 - i\xi_1 s}}{i(s - t)} (1 + o(1)) \rightarrow \mathcal{K}_{\sigma}^A(\zeta_1, \zeta_2)$$

as claimed.

For the weak convergence of point processes and convergence of the last particle distribution to follow, it now suffices that there for every ξ_0 is a uniform bound $B_{\sigma, \xi_0}(\zeta) > |\mathcal{M}_n^{\nu, \tau_n}(\zeta, \zeta)|$ whenever $\text{Re}(\zeta) > \xi_0$, such that $\int_{\text{Re}(\zeta) > \xi_0} d^2\zeta B_{\sigma, \xi_0}(\zeta) < \infty$. It can be shown that there is such a bound of the form $B_{\sigma, \xi_0}(\zeta) = C_{\sigma, \xi_0} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\xi + \eta^2)}$. The argument parallels that of [7], and the details are omitted here.

5.2 Case $\sigma_n \rightarrow \infty$

This case requires a subtle choice of scaling parameters, to be determined by the analysis. Change variables, $t = \sqrt{n f_n''(w_-)} y$ and $s = \sqrt{-n f_n''(w_+)} x$. The imaginary part of the denominator in (5.10) becomes

$$x - \tau_n^2 y = i \tau_n \sqrt{\frac{(1 + \tau_n)}{n(1 - \tau_n)}} (1 + o(1))(s - t),$$

so using the asymptotics (5.11) and (5.12), and noting that

$$\frac{1}{2c_n^2(1 - \tau_n^2)} = \frac{1}{2(1 + \tau_n)^3(1 - \tau_n)} \left(1 - \delta_n \frac{1}{(1 + \tau_n)^2} \right) (1 + o(1)),$$

we can write (5.10) as

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathcal{M}_n^{\nu, \tau_n}(\zeta_1, \zeta_2) \\ &= \frac{n a_n b_n e^{-\frac{n \delta_n^2}{(1 + \tau_n)^3(1 - \tau_n)}}}{\pi^{5/2}(1 - \tau_n^2)} \exp \left[-n \left(\frac{\delta_n(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2)}{(1 + \tau_n)^3(1 - \tau_n)} + \frac{(\beta_1^2 + \beta_2^2)}{2(1 + \tau_n)^3(1 - \tau_n)} \left(1 - \delta_n \frac{1}{(1 + \tau_n)^2} \right) \right) \right] \\ & \times \int_{\mathbb{R}} ds \int_{\mathbb{R}} dt \frac{e^{-\frac{1}{2}(t^2 + s^2) + A_n s + B_n t}}{\rho_n + i(s - t)} (1 + o(1)), \end{aligned} \quad (5.13)$$

where

$$\begin{aligned} A_n &:= \frac{\sqrt{n}}{\tau_n \sqrt{-f_n''(w_+)}(w_+ - 1)^2} (\beta_1 - i\alpha_1), \\ B_n &:= \frac{\sqrt{n}}{\tau_n \sqrt{f_n''(w_-)}(w_- - 1)^2} (\beta_2 + i\alpha_2), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\rho_n := \frac{2\delta_n \sqrt{n}}{\sqrt{(1 - \tau_n^2)}(1 + \tau_n)} > 0.$$

We note that $\rho_n \asymp \sqrt{\log \sigma_n} \gg 1$, by assumption on σ_n . Expanding, we find that

$$\text{Im}(A_n - B_n) = -(\alpha_1 + \alpha_2) \frac{\sqrt{n}}{\sqrt{(1 - \tau_n)}(1 + \tau_n)^{3/2}} (1 + o(1)) \ll \rho_n,$$

and

$$\text{Re}(A_n - B_n) = (\beta_1 - \beta_2) \frac{\sqrt{n}}{\sqrt{(1 - \tau_n)}(1 + \tau_n)^{3/2}} (1 + o(1)) \asymp \sigma_n^{3/2} (\log \sigma_n)^{3/4} \gg \rho_n,$$

for $\beta_1 \neq \beta_2$. To calculate the integral in (5.13), we write the denominator as an integral and then compute the Gaussian integrals in s and t , giving

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{R}} ds \int_{\mathbb{R}} dt \frac{e^{-\frac{1}{2}(t^2 + s^2) + A_n s + B_n t}}{\rho_n + i(s - t)} &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} ds \int_{\mathbb{R}} dt \int_0^\infty du e^{-\frac{1}{2}(t^2 + s^2) + A_n s + B_n t - u(\rho_n + i(s - t))} \\ &= 2\pi \int_0^\infty du e^{\frac{1}{2}(A_n - iu)^2 + \frac{1}{2}(B_n + iu)^2 - u\rho_n} \\ &= 2\pi e^{\frac{1}{2}(A_n^2 + B_n^2)} \int_0^\infty du e^{-u^2 - u(\rho_n + i(A_n - B_n))} \\ &= \frac{2\pi e^{\frac{1}{2}(A_n^2 + B_n^2)}}{(\rho_n + i(A_n - B_n))} \left(1 + \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{1}{\rho_n^2}\right) \right) \end{aligned} \quad (5.14)$$

where the last integral is calculated using integration by parts and a suitable splitting of the integral. Inserting (5.14) into (5.13) leads to some cancellation, and we are left with

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{M}_n^{\nu, \tau_n}(\zeta_1, \zeta_2) &= \frac{\sqrt{(1+\tau_n)}\sqrt{n}a_nb_ne^{-\frac{n\delta_n^2}{(1+\tau_n)^3(1-\tau_n)}}}{\pi^{3/2}\sqrt{(1-\tau_n)}\delta_n(1+\frac{i}{2\delta_n}(\beta_1-\beta_2))} \\ &\quad \times \exp\left[-n\left(\frac{\delta_n(\alpha_1+\alpha_2)}{(1+\tau_n)^3(1-\tau_n)} + \frac{(1+\tau_n^2)\delta_n(\beta_1^2+\beta_2^2)}{2(1+\tau_n)^5(1-\tau_n)^3}\right)\right](1+o(1)) \end{aligned} \quad (5.15)$$

where we have conjugated away a factor of the form $e^{C_n(\alpha_2\beta_2-\alpha_1\beta_1)}$ coming from (5.14). In terms of the original variables, the exponential terms of (5.15) become

$$n\left(\frac{\delta_n(\alpha_1+\alpha_2)}{(1+\tau_n)^3(1-\tau_n)} + \frac{(1+\tau_n^2)\delta_n(\beta_1^2+\beta_2^2)}{2(1+\tau_n)^5(1-\tau_n)^3}\right) = \frac{(2n)^{4/3}\delta_na_n}{(1+\tau_n)^2\sigma_n^2}(\xi_1+\xi_2) + \frac{\delta_n(2n)^2b_n^2}{2(1+\tau_n)}(\eta_1^2+\eta_2^2) + o(1). \quad (5.16)$$

Now we choose a_n and b_n so that the coefficients in the right hand side of (5.16) tend to finite limits, say $1/2$, giving

$$a_n = \frac{(1+\tau_n)^2\sigma_n^2}{2^{7/3}n^{4/3}\delta_n}, \quad (5.17)$$

and

$$b_n = \frac{\sqrt{(1+\tau_n)}\sigma_n^3}{2n\sqrt{\delta_n}}. \quad (5.18)$$

Inserting into (5.15), we now choose δ_n so that we get a finite limit for the pre-factor, say

$$\frac{2^{1/6}\sqrt{(1+\tau_n)}n^{2/3}a_nb_ne^{-\frac{n\delta_n^2}{(1+\tau_n)^3(1-\tau_n)}}}{\pi^{3/2}\sigma_n\delta_n} = \frac{(1+\tau_n)^3}{2^{19/6}\pi^{3/2}}\frac{\sigma_n^4}{n^{5/3}\delta_n^{5/2}}\exp\left[-\frac{2^{1/3}}{(1+\tau_n)^3}\frac{n^{4/3}\delta_n^2}{\sigma_n^2}\right] \rightarrow \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}}. \quad (5.19)$$

It is easy to check that the choice

$$\delta_n = \frac{(1+\tau_n)^{3/2}}{2^{1/6}}\frac{\sigma_n}{\sqrt{6\log\sigma_n}}n^{-2/3}\left(3\log\sigma_n - \frac{5}{4}\log(6\log\sigma_n) - \log\left(2^{1/4}\pi(1+\tau_n)^{3/4}\right)\right) \quad (5.20)$$

satisfies (5.19). Note that this leads to a finite, non-zero limit for the kernel only on the diagonal, $\eta_1 = \eta_2$; if $\eta_1 \neq \eta_2$, we have $|1+i(\beta_1-\beta_2)/(2\delta_n)| \gg 1$, implying that $\mathcal{M}_n^{\nu, \tau_n}(\zeta_1, \zeta_2) \rightarrow 0$. By definition,

$$c_n = (1+\tau_n)\sqrt{1+\frac{\delta_n}{(1+\tau_n)^2}},$$

but since $a_n \gg \delta_n^2$, we may expand in δ_n , neglect the second order term and choose

$$c_n \equiv (1+\tau_n)\left(1+\frac{\delta_n}{2(1+\tau_n)^2}\right)$$

Substituting back the leading term of (5.20) into (5.17) and (5.18), we can thus choose a_n and b_n given by (2.10) and (2.11) in the statement of the theorem. With these choices, we get that

$$\mathcal{M}_n^{\nu, \tau_n}(\zeta_1, \zeta_2) \rightarrow \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}}e^{-\eta_1^2-\xi_1}\delta_{\zeta_1, \zeta_2},$$

the kernel of a two-dimensional Poisson process with intensity $\pi^{-1/2}e^{-\eta^2-\xi}$.

Again, it can be shown that there is a uniform integrable bound $B_{\xi_0}(\zeta) = C_{\xi_0}e^{-\frac{1}{2}(\xi+\eta^2)} > |\mathcal{M}_n^{\nu, \tau_n}(\zeta, \zeta)|$ for $\text{Re}(\zeta) > \xi_0$, proving the convergence of the point process and last particle distribution to that of the limiting process.

6 Real integral representation of the interpolating Airy kernel

6.1 Proof of Proposition 4

The proof of the alternative representation (2.14) is a simple matter of verification. Starting from (2.13),

$$\hat{\mathcal{K}}_\sigma^A(\zeta_1, \zeta_2) = \frac{e^{-\frac{1}{2\sigma^2}(\eta_1^2 + \eta_2^2)}}{4\pi^{5/2}\sigma} \int_\Gamma du \int_\Gamma dv \int_0^\infty dt e^{it(u+v) - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 u^2 + \frac{i}{3}u^3 + i\zeta_1 u - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 v^2 + \frac{i}{3}v^3 + i\bar{\zeta}_2 v}, \quad (6.1)$$

where we have written the denominator as a convergent integral. Putting $z_j := \zeta_j + \sigma^4/4$, the exponent in (6.1) can be trivially rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned} & it(u+v) - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 u^2 + \frac{i}{3}u^3 + i\zeta_1 u - \frac{1}{2}\sigma^2 v^2 + \frac{i}{3}v^3 + i\bar{\zeta}_2 v \\ &= it(u+v) - \frac{\sigma^6}{12} + \frac{\sigma^2}{2}(z_1 + \bar{z}_2) \\ & \quad + iz_1 \left(u + i\frac{\sigma^2}{2}\right) + \frac{i}{3} \left(u + i\frac{\sigma^2}{2}\right)^3 + i\bar{z}_2 \left(v + i\frac{\sigma^2}{2}\right) + \frac{i}{3} \left(v + i\frac{\sigma^2}{2}\right)^3. \end{aligned}$$

Using the well known integral representation of the Airy function,

$$\text{Ai}(x) = \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_\Gamma du e^{ixu + \frac{i}{3}u^3}$$

we obtain (2.14), upon substituting $q = u + i\frac{\sigma^2}{2}$ and $p = v + i\frac{\sigma^2}{2}$.

6.2 Decay of density

The asymptotic eigenvalue density when $\sigma_n \rightarrow \infty$ can be computed directly as the large n limit of the density, as expressed in (2.18), by an appropriate steepest descent analysis of $\mathcal{K}_n^{\nu, \tau_n}$. We will instead give a simple heuristic argument by calculating the large σ limit of the density of the interpolating Airy process, after rescaling by a factor σ , and recover the same expected decay of the density. Roughly speaking this amounts to saying that the same limit is obtained on the one hand if $\sigma_n \rightarrow \infty$ with n , and on the other if the large n limit is taken with σ remaining constant, and then letting σ tend to infinity.

Changing variables $t = \sigma v$ in the integral in (2.14), we obtain

$$\hat{\rho}_\sigma(\zeta) := \sigma^2 \hat{\mathcal{K}}_\sigma^A(\sigma\zeta, \sigma\zeta) = \frac{\sigma^2 e^{\frac{1}{6}\sigma^6 + \sigma^3 \xi - \eta^2}}{\sqrt{\pi}} \int_0^\infty dv e^{v\sigma^3} \text{Ai}(Re^{i\theta}) \text{Ai}(Re^{-i\theta}),$$

where $R = \sigma \sqrt{(\sigma^3/4 + v + \xi)^2 + \eta^2}$ and $\tan \theta = \eta(\sigma^3/4 + v + \xi)^{-1}$. Using the large argument asymptotics of the Airy function, (2.15), this can be written

$$\hat{\rho}_\sigma(\zeta) = \frac{e^{\frac{1}{6}\sigma^6 + \sigma^3 \xi - \eta^2}}{2\pi^{3/2}} \int_0^\infty dv e^{v\sigma^3 - \frac{4}{3}R^{3/2} \cos(\frac{3\theta}{2})} (1 + o(1)). \quad (6.2)$$

Since $\theta \ll 1$, we can expand in θ , and replace the highest order term with its constant value $\theta_0 = 4\eta/\sigma^3$, leading to the approximate integrand $e^{g_\sigma(v)}$, where

$$g_\sigma(v) = v\sigma^3 - \frac{\sigma^6}{6} \left(1 + \frac{4(v + \xi)}{\sigma^3}\right)^{3/2} \left(1 - \frac{6\eta^2}{\sigma^6}\right),$$

defined for $v > -\sigma^3/4 - \xi$. For fixed ζ , g_σ attains its maximum at $v_0 \approx -\xi$, which stays of order 1 as $\sigma \rightarrow \infty$. Since $g''_\sigma(v)$ is also of order 1 for $v \ll \sigma$, and $g'''_\sigma(v) = \mathcal{O}(\sigma^{-3})$, expanding around $v = 0$ up to second order in v will give the main contribution to the integral in (6.2). Neglecting terms of order σ^{-1} , we calculate $g_\sigma(0) = -\sigma^6/6 - \sigma^3\xi + \eta^2 - \xi^2$, $g'_\sigma(0) = -2\xi$, and $g''_\sigma(0) = -2$, which gives

$$\hat{\rho}_\sigma(\zeta) \rightarrow \frac{e^{\frac{1}{6}\sigma^6 + \sigma^3\xi - \eta^2}}{2\pi^{3/2}} \int_0^\infty dv e^{-\frac{\sigma^6}{6} - \sigma^3\xi + \eta^2 - \xi^2 - 2\xi v - v^2} = \frac{1}{2\pi^{3/2}} \int_0^\infty dv e^{-(\xi+v)^2} = \frac{1}{4\pi} \operatorname{erfc}(\xi)$$

as $\sigma \rightarrow \infty$.

7 Open problems

There are several open problems left for future work. First of all, regarding universality, it would be very interesting to show that the interpolating kernel we have found also appears in the scaling limit for models with more general non-Gaussian weight functions, for instance the class of harmonic potentials.

A second question is regarding the other symmetry classes $\beta = 1, 4$, where we expect different interpolating Airy kernels, generalizing the corresponding Airy kernels for real eigenvalues. Perhaps the relations between the corresponding kernels pointed out recently in [5] could open a simple way to obtain these.

A somewhat more ambitious task is to investigate whether or not the relation between the Tracy-Widom distribution and the Painlevé II solution has an analogue in the complex plane. It is possible that this will require a better understanding of the corresponding Riemann-Hilbert problem mentioned earlier.

Acknowledgments: This work has been supported partly by European Network ENRAGE MRTN-CT-2004-005616 (G.A.). The second author was supported by K.U. Leuven research grant OT/08/33, and the Belgian Interuniversity Attraction Pole P06/02. We would like to thank to organizers of the CRM workshop “Random matrices, related topics and applications” in Montreal where this collaboration was initiated. We are also indebted to Boris Khoruzhenko for useful discussions and sharing the manuscript [18] prior to publication.

References

- [1] Abramowitz, M., Stegun, I.E.: *Handbook of Mathematical Functions*, Dover Publications Inc., New York, 1965
- [2] Akemann, G.: Microscopic universality of complex matrix model correlation functions at weak non-Hermiticity. *Phys. Lett.* **B547**, 100–108 (2002) [arXiv:hep-th/0206086]
- [3] Akemann, G.: Microscopic correlation functions for the QCD Dirac operator with chemical potential. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **89**, 072002 (2002) [arXiv:hep-th/0204068];
The solution of a chiral random matrix model with complex eigenvalues. *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **36**, 3363–3378 (2003) [arXiv:hep-th/0204246]
- [4] Akemann, G.: The complex Laguerre symplectic ensemble of non-Hermitian matrices. *Nucl. Phys.* **B730**, 253–299 (2005) [arXiv:hep-th/0507156]

- [5] Akemann, G., Phillips, M.J., Sommers, H.-J.: Characteristic polynomials in real Ginibre ensembles. *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **42**, 012001 (2009) [arXiv:0810.1458v1 [math-ph]]; The chiral Gaussian two-matrix ensemble of real asymmetric matrices. *J. Phys. A: Math. Theor.* **43**, 0852111 (2010) [arXiv:0911.1276v1 [hep-th]]
- [6] Akemann G., Vernizzi, G.: Characteristic polynomials of complex random matrix models. *Nucl. Phys.* **B660**, 532–556 (2003) [arXiv:hep-th/0212051]
- [7] Bender, M: Edge scaling limits for a family of non-Hermitian random matrix ensembles. *Probab. Theory Relat. Fields*, **147**, 241–271 (2010) [arXiv:0808.2608v1 [math.PR]]
- [8] Berman, R.J.: Determinantal point processes and fermions on complex manifolds: Bulk universality, arXiv:0811.3341v1 [math.CV]
- [9] Di Francesco, P., Gaudin, M., Itzykson C., Lesage, F.: Laughlin’s wave functions, Coulomb gases and expansions of the discriminant. *Int. J. Mod. Phys. A***9**, 4257–4351 (1994) [hep-th/9401163]
- [10] Forrester, P. J.: The spectrum edge of random matrix ensembles. *Nucl. Phys.* **B402**, 709–728 (1993)
Forrester P.J., Nagao T., Honner G.: Correlations for the orthogonal-unitary and symplectic-unitary transitions at the hard and soft edges. *Nucl. Phys.* **B553**, 601–643 (1999) [arXiv:cond-mat/9811142]
Forrester, P.J., Frankel, N.E., Garoni, T.M.: Asymptotic form of the density profile for Gaussian and Laguerre random matrix ensembles with orthogonal and symplectic symmetry. *J. Math. Phys.* **46**, 103301 (2005) [arXiv:math-ph/0508031v1]
- [11] Fyodorov, Y.V., Khoruzhenko, B.A., Sommers, H.-J.: Almost-Hermitian random matrices: eigenvalue density in the complex plane. *Phys. Lett. A***226**, 46–52 (1997) [cond-mat/9606173]; Almost Hermitian Random Matrices: Crossover from Wigner-Dyson to Ginibre Eigenvalue Statistics. *Phys. Rev. Lett.* **79**, 557–560 (1997) [cond-mat/9703152]
- [12] Fyodorov, Y.V., Khoruzhenko, B.A., Sommers, H.-J.: Universality in the random matrix spectra in the regime of weak non-Hermiticity. *Ann. Inst. Henri Poincaré* **68**, 449–489 (1998) [chao-dyn/9802025]
- [13] Garcia-Garcia, A.M., Nishigaki, S.M., Verbaarschot, J.J.M.: Critical statistics for non-Hermitian matrices. *Phys. Rev.* **E66**, 016132 (2002) [arXiv:cond-mat/0202151v1 [cond-mat.dis-nn]]
- [14] Ginibre, J.: Statistical ensembles of complex, quaternion, and real matrices. *J. Math. Phys.* **6**, 440–449 (1964)
- [15] Gradshteyn, I.S., Ryzhik, I.M.: *Table of Integrals, Series and Products*. 6th Edition, Academic Press, London, 2000
- [16] Its A.R., Takhtajan, L.A.: Normal matrix models, dbar-problem, and orthogonal polynomials on the complex plane, arXiv:0708.3867v1 [math.CA]
- [17] Johansson, K.: Random matrices and determinantal processes. In: *Mathematical Statistical Physics: Lecture Notes of the Les Houches Summer School 2005*, A. Bovier, F. Dunlop, A. van Enter, F. den Hollander, J. Dalibard, eds., Elsevier, 2006, pp 1-56. arXiv:math-ph/0510038v1

- [18] Khoruzhenko, B.A., Sommers, H.-J.: Non-Hermitian Random Matrix Ensembles. To appear in: *Oxford Handbook of Random Matrix Theory*, Oxford University Press, arXiv:0911.5645v1 [math-ph]
- [19] Osborn, J.C.: Universal results from an alternate random matrix model for QCD with a baryon chemical potential. Phys. Rev. Lett. **93**, 222001 (2004) [hep-th/0403131]
- [20] Splittorff, K., Verbaarschot, J.J.M.: Factorization of correlation functions and the replica limit of the Toda lattice equation. Nucl. Phys. **B 683**, 467–507 (2004) [arXiv:hep-th/0310271]
- [21] Stephanov, M.A.: Random matrix model of QCD at finite density and the nature of the quenched limit. Phys. Rev. Lett. **76**, 4472-4475 (1996) [arXiv:hep-lat/9604003]
- [22] Tracy, C.A., Widom H.: Level-Spacing Distributions and the Airy Kernel. Phys. Lett. B **305**, 115-118 (1993) [arXiv:hep-th/9210074v1];
Level-Spacing Distributions and the Airy Kernel. Commun. Math. Phys. **159**, 151–174 (1994) [arXiv:hep-th/9211141v1]
- [23] Tracy, C.A., Widom H.: Distribution functions for largest eigenvalues and their applications. Proceedings of the ICM, Beijing 2002, vol. 1, 587–596 (2008) [arXiv:math-ph/0210034v2]
- [24] Weber H.J., Arfken, G.B.: *Essential mathematical methods for Physicists*, Academic Press, San Diego, 2004