BCR-ABL RESIDUES INTERACTING WITH PONATINIB ARE CRITICAL TO PRESERVE THE TUMORIGENIC POTENTIAL OF THE ONCOPROTEIN
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ABSTRACT

Chronic Myeloid Leukemia patients that fail Tyrosine Kinase Inhibitors (TKIs) often present mutations in the BCR-ABL catalytic domain. We noticed a lack of substitutions involving four amino acids (E286, M318, I360 and D381) that form hydrogen bonds with Ponatinib. We therefore introduced mutations in each of these residues either preserving or altering their physico-chemical properties. We found that E286, M318, I360 and D381 are dispensable for ABL and BCR-ABL protein stability but are critical to preserve catalytic activity. Indeed, only a “conservative” I360T substitution retained kinase proficiency and transforming potential. Molecular Dynamics simulations of BCR-ABL$^{I360T}$ revealed differences in both helix-alphaC dynamics and protein correlated motions consistent with a modified ATP binding pocket. Nevertheless, this mutant remained sensitive to Ponatinib, Imatinib and Dasatinib. These results suggest that changes in the four BCR-ABL residues described here would be selected against by a lack of kinase activity or by maintained responsiveness to TKIs. Interestingly, amino acids equivalent to those identified in BCR-ABL are conserved in 51% of human tyrosine kinases. Hence, these residues may represent an appealing target for the design of pharmacological compounds that would inhibit additional oncogenic tyrosine kinases while avoiding the emergence of resistance due to point mutations

Ponatinib, Imatinib and Dasatinib inhibit BCR-ABL$^{I360T}$ kinase activity suppressing its transforming potential
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INTRODUCTION

Chronic Myeloid Leukemia (CML) has historically represented one of the few “paradigm diseases” in the field of cancer research (1-3). Indeed, CML has been one of the first malignancies associated with a known cytogenetic alteration (i.e. the Philadelphia chromosome) and a specific molecular hallmark (i.e. the BCR-ABL oncoprotein) (4, 5). Furthermore, specific BCR-ABL characteristics (e.g. constitutive tyrosine kinase activity, exclusive cytoplasmic localization) have been ingeniously targeted to devise innovative therapeutic strategies that have ushered the era of tailored cancer treatment (6, 7).

Suppression of BCR-ABL catalytic activity by the Tyrosine Kinase Inhibitor (TKI) Imatinib Mesylate (IM) has dramatically improved the natural history of CML (5). Despite the unparalleled results achieved by this drug, approximately 30% of CML patients fail IM (3). To address this critical issue, second and third generation TKIs (e.g. Dasatinib and Ponatinib) have been generated and tested on IM-resistant individuals, enjoying early clinical success (8, 9). However, more mature data suggest that up to 50% of patients receiving either Dasatinib (DAS) or Ponatinib (PON) will not obtain long-term benefit from these drugs and will progress to the advanced phases of the disease (10, 11).

Multiple mechanisms have been proposed to explain TKIs failure. While this phenomenon can recognize different causes, point mutations in the BCR-ABL kinase domain (KD) are one of the most common reasons underlying TKI resistance (12-15). Substitution of Threonine 315 with an Isoleucine (T315I) represents the most problematic BCR-ABL mutation as alterations of this gatekeeper residue preserve the oncoprotein’s kinase activity while inducing resistance to first and second generation TKIs (16, 17). Furthermore, recent evidence suggests that the T315I substitution is associated with inferior overall and failure-free survival (18).

Since the mechanisms allowing different BCR-ABL mutants to avoid catalytic inhibition by first, second and third generation inhibitors are still partially unresolved, there is a clear need for a structural characterization of the interactions occurring between the BCR-ABL KD and different TKIs. Experimental measures and quantification of the delicate balance of different physico-chemical components are very difficult to obtain and a complete overview of the molecular determinants in the inhibitors binding modes is challenging for this class of flexible proteins. Molecular Dynamics (MD) investigations represent an ideal tool to extract energetic and dynamical features in binding processes and conformational equilibria. In this respect, computational studies
addressing BCR-ABL binding mechanisms can be grouped in three types of approaches: i) studies addressing the BCR-ABL KD alone, aimed at characterizing the equilibrium between the active and inactive conformations (19-21); ii) studies addressing binding to various inhibitors (22-24); and iii) studies evaluating the impact of mutations on BCR-ABL kinase activity (25-30). However, a comparative investigation of the binding modes and dynamics characterizing the interactions between the BCR-ABL kinase and different TKIs is still lacking.

By analyzing all reported BCR-ABL point mutations associated with TKI resistance (12-15), we noticed a lack of amino acidic substitutions involving four residues (E286, M318, I360 and D381) that are known to generate hydrogen bond interactions with PON (31) (Fig. 1A). The same residues (with the addition of T315) also generate hydrogen bonds with IM (32) (Fig. 1B), while T315 and M318 are the only amino acids forming hydrogen bonds with DAS (33) (Fig. 1C). We therefore employed a combined experimental and computational approach to investigate the effects of different mutations on these amino acids. Our results shed light on the molecular details underlying the essential role of E286, M318, I360 and D381 in preserving BCR-ABL kinase activity and oncogenic potential. We also outline some of the fingerprints of the inhibition mechanisms of PON, IM and DAS, offering a rationale for PON-dependent inhibition of all described BCR-ABL point mutations, including T315I. Since we report that 51% of human tyrosine kinases retain residues equivalent to those forming hydrogen bonds between BCR-ABL and PON, our results may aid the design of inhibitory compounds targeting additional kinases involved in the development of other malignancies.
 MATERIALS AND METHODS

Mutagenesis and Constructs
The *BCR-ABL* sequence, cloned in the expression vector pcDNA3.1 (Life Technologies, Grand Island, NY, USA), was mutagenized using the QuikChange II XL Site-Direct Mutagenesis Kit (Agilent Technologies, Santa Clara, CA, USA). Incorporation of each mutation was verified by direct sequencing. Every *BCR-ABL* construct was then amplified by PCR using the indicated forward (FLAG-tag underlined) 5’-
GACTAGTCCACCATGGATTACAAGGATGACGACGATAAAGATGGTGAGACCCTGGGACGCTG-3’ and reverse 5’-CGACGCGTCTACCTCTGCACATTGTAATATTACGCTATGGTGGACCCGGTGCC-3’ primers and cloned in the SpeI and MluI unique sites of the pLEX lentiviral vector (Open Biosystems, Lafayette, CO, USA). To generate *ABL* constructs corresponding to the reported native and mutated *BCR-ABL*, we amplified the first 425 base pairs of the *ABL1A* coding sequence from HL-60 immortalized cells (DSMZ, Braunschweig, Germany) and substituted this amplimer to the *BCR* sequences located upstream of the *ABL* breakpoint. PCR reactions were carried out with the indicated forward (HA-tag underlined) 5’-
CTAGCTAGCGGATCCATGTACCCTACATGTTCCAGATATTGCCTATGTTGGAGATCTGCTGAAGC-3’ and reverse 5’-CTCAGCAGATACTCAGCGGCAT-3’ primers. The ensuing PCR product was initially cloned in the pcDNA 3.1 vector using the NheI (on the forward primer) and KpnI (in the *ABL1A* sequence) restriction sites. Each *ABL* construct was subsequently subcloned in the BamHI and NotI unique sites of the pLEX vector.

Cell Culture, Transfection and Lentiviral Transduction
Ba/F3 cells (DSMZ) were maintained in RPMI-1640 medium (Sigma-Aldrich, St. Louis, MO, USA) supplemented with 4 mM L-glutamine, 50 Units/mL penicillin, 50 μg/mL streptomycin (all from Sigma-Aldrich), 10% fetal bovine serum (FBS) (Lonza, Basel, Switzerland) and 10% WEHI-3B conditional medium, as a source of Interleukin 3 (IL-3). WEHI-3B cells (DSMZ) were grown in Iscove’s Modified Dulbecco’s Medium (Sigma-Aldrich), supplemented with 2 mM L-glutamine, 50 Units/mL penicillin, 50 μg/mL streptomycin, 10% FBS and 25 μM 2-mercaptoethanol (Sigma-Aldrich). All cells were grown at 37°C in 5% CO2. For the production of the lentiviral supernatant, transfer vectors (empty vector, native and mutant *ABL* and *BCR-ABL* constructs) were co-transfected with the packaging plasmids in the HEK293T cell line, using the calcium phosphate transfection method (Trans-Lentiviral™ ORF Packaging Kit, Open Biosystems, catalog number: TLP4617). Viral supernatants were harvested 48 hours after transfection. Ba/F3 cells were then
transduced by a double round of spin-infection (90 minutes, 1200 x g at 32°C) in the presence of 1 mL of viral supernatant and 8 µg/mL polybrene (Sigma-Aldrich). Transduced cells were finally selected with 3 µg/mL puromycin (Sigma-Aldrich) for 72 hours.

**Proliferation Assays and Drug Treatments**

1 x 10^4 Ba/F3 cells transduced with empty vector, wild-type or mutant ABL or BCR-ABL constructs were plated in quadruplicates in a 96-well plate in the absence of IL-3. Cell proliferation was assessed after 24, 48 and 72 hours using the ATPlite luminescence kit (Perkin-Elmer, Waltham, MA, USA) following the manufacturer’s instructions. To calculate the IC_{50} values for cellular proliferation of different TKIs, Ba/F3 cells transduced with empty vector, wild-type, T315I and I360T BCR-ABL were plated in triplicate and incubated for 24 hours with logarithmic dilutions of PON (Santa Cruz Biotechnology, Santa Cruz, CA, USA), IM (a gift from Novartis, Basel, Switzerland) and DAS (a gift from Bristol-Myers Squibb, Princeton, NJ, USA). IL-3 was maintained in the culture media of Ba/F3 cells transduced with the empty vector. Proliferation was measured using CellTiter96 Aqueous One Solution Reagent (Promega, Madison, WI, USA). IC_{50} values were calculated using the logistic nonlinear regression included in GraphPad Prism 5.0 (GraphPad Software Inc.) and are reported as the mean of three independent experiments. For evaluation of total tyrosine kinase activity by immunoblots, Ba/F3 cells transduced with BCR-ABL\_WT, BCR-ABL\_T315I or BCR-ABL\_I360T were treated for 24 hours with the IC_{50} concentrations determined for PON, IM and DAS.

**Immunoblots**

Ba/F3 cells expressing empty vector, native or mutant ABL or BCR-ABL proteins were starved of IL-3 for 4 hours (or 24 hours when exposed to TKIs) before centrifugation and suspension in isotonic buffer containing 100 mM NaCl, 25 mM Trizma Base pH 8.5, 2 mM PMSF and 1x protease inhibitor cocktail (Roche, Mannheim, Germany). Proteins were extracted by sonication and analyzed by SDS-PAGE loading 60 µg of cell lysate per lane. Blots were probed using anti-HA (Covance, Princeton, NJ, USA), anti-FLAG (Sigma-Aldrich), anti-phosphotyrosine (clone 4G10 by Millipore, Billerica, MA, USA) and anti-Actin (Sigma-Aldrich) monoclonal antibodies.

**Purification of BCR-ABL proteins and in vitro kinase assays**

BCR-ABL constructs cloned in the pLEX vector were modified to include six histidine codons at the N-terminal to allow protein purification. As a negative control, we generated a kinase inactive (KI) BCR-ABL mutant carrying the K271H substitution (ABL1A numbering) in the ABL kinase domain as previously described (34).
The Ni\textsuperscript{2+}-nitrilotriacetic acid (Ni-NTA) Purification System (Life Technologies) was employed for protein purification under native conditions. BCR-ABL constructs were expressed by transient transfection in HEK293T cells using the calcium phosphate method. After 48 hours, 1 x 10\textsuperscript{7} cells were lysed in 8 mL of 1X Native Binding Buffer, supplemented with 0.5% Triton X-100, 5% glycerol, 0.1 mM PMSF and 2 µg/mL Aprotinin. Lysates were loaded onto pre-equilibrated Ni-NTA agarose columns and purified following the manufacturer’s protocol. Proteins were then eluted in 6 mL of Native Elution Buffer and concentrated up to 100-fold by Spin-X UF Concentrators (Corning, Corning, NY, USA). Concentration of BCR-ABL proteins was determined by SDS PAGE analysis and Coomassie Blue staining using purified bovine serum albumin (BSA, Sigma-Aldrich) as a comparative standard, with quantification carried out by densitometry using the ImageJ software. Detection of kinase activity was performed using the Kinase Glo luminescence assay (Promega) with an ABL peptide substrate (Abltide, SignalChem, Richmond, Canada). Assays were carried out in triplicates, at room temperature, for 1 hour, using 12 nM enzyme, 50 µM ATP and peptide concentrations of 1.56, 3.12, 6.25, 12.5, 25, 50, 100 and 200 µM. Luminescence values were measured using a Victor Wallac microplate reader (Perkin-Elmer) and normalized for background ATP to ADP conversion by omitting peptide substrate from the kinase reaction. Non linear regression fits (GraphPad Prism 5.0) were used to determine V\textsubscript{max}, K\textsubscript{m} and Michaelis-Menten kinetics by plotting velocity as a function of peptide concentration for each mutant. Velocity values are reported as signal to background ratio. K\textsubscript{cat} was obtained from the equation k\textsubscript{cat} = V\textsubscript{max}/[enzyme].

Structures Preparation, Molecular Dynamics Simulations and Energetic Analysis

Molecular Dynamics (MD) simulations were performed on the human wild-type ABL KD, and the T315I, I360T and I360R mutants. For the ABL\textsuperscript{WT}, ABL\textsuperscript{T315I} and ABL\textsuperscript{I360T} systems simulations were also performed in complex with PON, IM and DAS. Starting structures for the mutants were derived by in silico mutagenesis with MODELLER v9.8 (35). The following structures were downloaded from the Protein Data Bank (36): inactive or “closed” conformation with PON (PDB: 3OXZ (31)), inactive conformation with IM (PDB: 2HYY (37)) and active or “open” conformation with DAS (PDB: 2GQG (33)). Unbound forms were generated by manual removal of the inhibitors. Missing residues were rebuilt with MODELLER v9.8. The GROMACS 4.0 program (38) was used to prepare the initial system coordinates, run the MD simulations, and analyze the resulting trajectories. Simulations were performed using the ffamber99sb (39) porting of the AMBER parm99 force field (40), the TIP3P model for water (41) and the General AMBER Force Field (GAFF) (42) with the AM1-BCC charges (43) for the inhibitors. The systems were minimized and then gradually heated to 300 K with potential restraints on the solute performing several steps of equilibration (44). After equilibration, the resulting structures were used as a starting point for production of 50 ns MD simulations. Secondary structure analysis
was performed with DSSP (45). The extent of correlated motions was estimated from the correlation matrices on C\(^\alpha\) positions and represented as correlation webs (46). The energetic analysis was performed with the Molecular Operating Environment 2012.10 (MOE). The Pose Rescoring method of the Dock module was used to calculate the free energy of binding for each simulated system in complex with the three TKIs. Representative structures were extracted by cluster analysis (47) from each MD trajectory and validated with the MOE Structure Preparation module. Average values calculated over the representative structures of the three largest clusters were reported. The GBVI/WSA dG scoring function with the Amber12EHT force field was used (48). In \textit{silico} mutagenesis scanning was performed with the Residue Scan method of the Protein Design module. The representative structure of the largest cluster in the MD trajectory of ABL\(^{WT}\) was used. Sequence-based predictions of the effect of each mutation were performed with the web servers PROVEAN (49), SIFT (50) and polyphen-2 (51). Images were generated with VMD 1.8.5 (52) and PyMOL 1.2 (Schrodinger Inc).

\textbf{Multiple sequence alignment of Tyrosine Kinase proteins}

Kinase domain sequences were downloaded from the website http://kinase.com. The human tyrosine kinase subset (90 sequences) was extracted and aligned using T-Coffee (53).
RESULTS

PON binds to the inactive conformation of the BCR-ABL catalytic pocket by generating hydrogen bonds with four amino acids: E286, M318, I360 and D381 (Fig. 1A) (31). Interestingly, these residues do not undergo substitutions in patients failing TKI therapy (12-15). We therefore decided to generate point mutations in these sites and investigate their effect on the expression and kinase activity of both ABL and BCR-ABL. To this end, we engineered eight ABL and eight BCR-ABL constructs displaying two alternative substitutions in E286, M318, I360 and D381. The inserted mutations were deemed conservative if they preserved the physical/chemical properties of the amino acid found in the wild-type sequence, or non-conservative if they altered these characteristics (Table 1). ABL and BCR-ABL constructs displaying the wild-type sequence or bearing the T315I substitution were used as controls.

E286, M318, I360 and D381 are dispensable for ABL and BCR-ABL protein stability but are critical to preserve BCR-ABL catalytic activity

As point mutations in E286, M318, I360 and D381 have never been reported in CML patients failing a TKI, we hypothesized that substitutions in any of these residues might alter protein stability. To address this issue, we initially employed the above-indicated ABL constructs that were lentivirally transduced in the Ba/F3 cell line. We found that all ABL mutants were expressed at levels comparable to the wild-type protein and were devoid of catalytic activity (Fig. 2A) and transforming potential (Fig. 2B). Expectedly, the ABL T315I control represented the only exception to these findings as this protein showed high kinase proficiency thereby allowing Ba/F3 proliferation and survival in the absence of IL-3 (54) (Fig. 2A, B).

Since wild-type ABL displays very low tyrosine phosphorylation, we could not investigate the influence of each substitution in modulating catalytic activity. We therefore repeated the same experiments employing the corresponding BCR-ABL constructs, exploiting the oncoprotein’s constitutive kinase activity (2). While again all mutants were expressed at levels comparable to those of BCR-ABL WT, any substitution involving residues E286, M318 and D381 completely abrogated their kinase proficiency. The conservative I360T substitution was the only tested variation capable of maintaining BCR-ABL tyrosine phosphorylation (Fig. 2C). Accordingly, only Ba/F3 cells expressing BCR-ABL I360T survived and proliferated after IL-3 withdrawal, albeit at lower levels than those reported for BCR-ABL WT and BCR-ABL T315I (Fig. 2D).

To further confirm these results we also performed an in vitro kinase assay using purified BCR-ABL mutants and a previously reported synthetic peptide substrate (55). The Michaelis-Menten parameters describing
substrate binding ($K_m$), maximum rate ($V_{\text{max}}$) and catalytic constant rate ($K_{\text{cat}}$) were determined using nonlinear regression fits. A KI BCR-ABL was included in the experiments as a negative control (34). As expected, seven of eight BCR-ABL mutants generated $V_{\text{max}}$ values similar to the KI protein, indicating complete lack of phosphorylation of the synthetic substrate (Fig. 2E, F). On the contrary, BCR-ABL$^{I360T}$ maintained its kinase activity, although at lower levels than BCR-ABL$^{WT}$. However, the low $K_m$ value displayed by this mutant suggests that minimal substrate concentrations are sufficient to saturate its catalytic activity (Fig. 2F).

We complemented the experimental validation with an *in silico* screening of the expected effects of mutations on these positions (Table 2). Three sequence-based methods (PROVEAN, SIFT, polyphen-2) consistently predicted the majority of the substitutions as deleterious (labeled ‘Not Tolerated’ in SIFT or ‘damaging’ in polyphen-2). A few cases were predicted as deleterious by two of three methods and only selected substitutions in I360 were suggested as possibly tolerated. Sequence-based methods predict the expected effect on both stability and function. To isolate the contribution from protein structure destabilization we performed an analysis of the mutants using MOE. The difference in free energy of folding ($\Delta\Delta G$) of the mutants versus the wild-type are also reported in Table 2. Substitutions on position M318 and I360 are generally destabilizing ($\Delta\Delta G > 1$ kcal/mol in bold in Table 2), whereas changes on E286 and D381 do not impact stability, suggesting that they are deleterious for their effect on function.

Overall, these results offer a solid rationale for the observed lack of mutations on residues E286, M318 and D381 in CML patients failing TKI treatment. Indeed, both conservative and non-conservative substitutions of these amino acids would result in loss of BCR-ABL catalytic activity, thus compromising its transforming potential. In contrast, a conservative substitution in position 360 appeared to preserve BCR-ABL kinase functionality.

**Molecular Dynamics simulations of BCR-ABL mutants I360T and I360R reveal differences in the helix-$\alpha C$ dynamics and in the protein correlated motions**

In an effort to better understand the structural and dynamical differences between the KDs of the kinase-proficient I360T and the kinase-deficient I360R, we analyzed the MD trajectories of both BCR-ABL mutants and compared them to the wild-type oncoprotein. In particular, we investigated the correlation between the motions of the different parts of the protein. This was then mapped onto the protein structures using correlation webs, where residues that move together are connected by a line (Fig 3A, B, C top panels). Correlated motions were previously shown to be critical for the activation of the ABL TK domain (26).

We found that the correlated motions of the BCR-ABL$^{I360T}$ KD were mostly located at the bottom of the catalytic pocket. On the contrary the N-terminal of helix-$\alpha C$ is not coupled in its motion to the N-lobe as in the
wild-type domain. This provides more freedom of motion to helix-αC that shifts upward and towards the outside (Fig. 3A, B, top panels). We then analyzed the conformational changes and stability of helix-αC by plotting its time evolution along the sequence. We observed that, in BCR-ABL$^{1360T}$, helix-αC maintained its structure despite retaining the modified orientation for the rest of the simulated time (Fig. 3B, bottom panel, red arrow). BCR-ABL$^{1360R}$ presented a strong decrease in correlated motions compared to both the wild-type oncoprotein and the I360T variant (Fig. 3C, top panel). The I360R mutant also showed an upward shift of helix-αC that appeared to partially unwind for a 6 ns interval in the middle of the simulation (Fig. 3C, bottom panel, red arrow).

Overall, our results detected differences in the dynamics of BCR-ABL$^{1360T}$ and BCR-ABL$^{1360R}$ that could be related to the diverse catalytic activity of these mutants. However, it remained to be established if different TKIs would successfully abrogate the catalytic activity of BCR-ABL$^{1360T}$.

The persistence of some hydrogen bond interactions is critical for successful inhibition of BCR-ABL$^{1360T}$ kinase activity by TKIs

To investigate whether PON would suppress BCR-ABL$^{1360T}$ kinase activity we simulated this mutant’s KD in complex with the drug, and analyzed the average distance of the residues involved in hydrogen bond interactions during the simulations. Since BCR-ABL$^{1360T}$ has never been reported in the literature, we also analyzed this mutant in complex with IM and DAS. Additional simulations were performed with the KDs of BCR-ABL$^\text{WT}$ and BCR-ABL$^{T315I}$ used as controls. The time evolution of the Root Mean Square Deviation on the C$^\alpha$ atoms indicated that all systems rapidly reached equilibrium and remained stable during the simulated time (Supplemental Fig. S1).

PON binds the inactive conformation of the BCR-ABL$^\text{WT}$ kinase establishing four hydrogen bonds with E286, M318, I360 and D381 (31) (Fig. 4A). These interactions remained stable during the simulation with the exception of the transient binding with E286 (Fig. 4A). Since PON does not form hydrogen bonds with Threonine 315, its mutation to Isoleucine should not affect drug binding (56). Indeed, in our BCR-ABL$^{T315I}$-PON system, the drug maintained persistent interactions with M318, I360 and D381, while again binding to E286 was transient (Fig. 4B). Moreover, PON’s linear ethynyl linker helped the compound to skirt the steric hindrance phenomenon caused by I315. In the BCR-ABL$^{1360T}$-PON system, loss of the hydrogen bond with I360 coupled with the weak interaction with E286 did not affect the drug’s ability to bind this mutant (Fig. 4C). Hence, our simulations suggested that PON should effectively suppress the catalytic activity of the I360T mutant.
Like PON, IM binds the BCR-ABL KD in the inactive conformation generating hydrogen bond interactions with the four residues described above (E286, M318, I360, D381) plus the gatekeeper Threonine in position 315 (32, 57) (Fig. 4D). Our analysis showed that these interactions persisted during the entire BCR-ABL\textsuperscript{WT} simulation, with small oscillations of the drug’s tail that caused one short increase of the distance from I360 in the middle of the simulated time (Fig. 4D). In the BCR-ABL\textsuperscript{T315I}-IM system, the drug adapted to the mutagenized catalytic pocket thanks to a slightly outward displacement, thus avoiding the increased steric hindrance caused by the Isoleucine side-chain. These changes can be appreciated by comparing the differences in IM structure depicted in Fig. 4D, E. This spatial rearrangement alleviated the clash of bulky residues. However, in the simulation, the drug lost three of five hydrogen bond interactions compromising optimal protein binding. Indeed, aside from the loss of the hydrogen bond with Threonine 315 because of its substitution with Isoleucine, we observed displacements of the interactions with E286 and I360 (Fig. 4E). Analysis of BCR-ABL\textsuperscript{T315I} in complex with IM revealed that all hydrogen bond interactions previously shown for the wild-type system were maintained over time, with the exception of the interaction with the mutagenized I360 residue. Insertion of a Threonine at this site caused a slight change in the conformation of the activation loop resulting in a missing hydrogen bond with the tail of the drug (Fig. 4F). However, IM binding appeared stable despite this alteration.

Unlike PON, DAS binds the BCR-ABL catalytic pocket in the active conformation forming three hydrogen bond interactions: one with T315 and two with M318 (33) (Fig. 4G). Hydrogen bonds analysis of BCR-ABL\textsuperscript{WT} in complex with DAS showed that these three points of contact were preserved over the entire simulation (Fig. 4G). Both biological and clinical evidences have demonstrated that DAS is unable to inhibit the T315I gatekeeper mutation (58, 59). Simulation of BCR-ABL\textsuperscript{T315I} in complex with DAS showed the expected loss of the critical interaction with I315 (33) (Fig. 4H). In this case the hydrophobic pocket of the BCR-ABL KD plays a key role in anchoring the phenyl ring of DAS through hydrophobic interactions with several amino acids. Four residues (M290, V299, I313 and F382) all located in this region (33), showed an increased distance from the inhibitor in the BCR-ABL\textsuperscript{T315I}-DAS system, becoming unavailable for hydrophobic interactions (Supplemental Fig. S2). Thus, the loss of DAS binding to BCR-ABL\textsuperscript{T315I} can mainly be attributed to changes in the hydrophobic anchoring of the drug. However, DAS did not lose any hydrogen bond interactions with BCR-ABL\textsuperscript{T315I}, implying effectiveness of this drug in inhibiting the kinase activity of the I360T mutant (Fig. 4I).

In addition to the MD simulations, we also estimated the free energy of binding (⊗G) for each of the TKIs with the simulated systems. The ⊗G values were calculated with MOE and are reported in Table 3, together with their corresponding p\(K_i\) values. Results are generally consistent with our simulations: PON shows effective binding in all three systems, DAS has a decreased ability to bind BCR-ABL\textsuperscript{T315I}, while IM maintains a strong
binding to BCR-ABL\textsuperscript{1360T}. The apparent inconsistency of the values for IM:T315I and I360T:DAS can be explained considering that the approximate free energy calculation included in MOE cannot accurately describe contributions from significant conformational changes in the oncoprotein. From previous studies (54) we know that this is the case for the destabilization of the interaction of the gatekeeper mutant with IM. It is possible that conformational changes also play a role in modulating DAS binding affinity for the previously uncharacterized I360T mutant.

In summary, our computational analyses suggest that BCR-ABL\textsuperscript{1360T} should be responsive to PON, IM and DAS.

**Ponatinib, Imatinib and Dasatinib inhibit BCR-ABL\textsuperscript{1360T} kinase activity suppressing its transforming potential**

To ascertain the validity of our computational analysis on BCR-ABL\textsuperscript{1360T} we initially exposed Ba/F3 cells expressing this construct to increasing concentrations of PON, IM and DAS after IL-3 deprivation. Calculation of the IC\textsubscript{50} values for cellular proliferation in response to each TKI confirmed our computational predictions, as the I360T mutant was sensitive to each drug displaying inferior IC\textsubscript{50} rates than the wild-type oncoprotein (Fig. 6A, B and C, left panels, Fig. 6D). We next analyzed total tyrosine phosphorylation levels after a 24 hour exposure to the three inhibitors and found that BCR-ABL\textsuperscript{1360T} catalytic activity was efficiently suppressed by each TKI (Fig. 5A, B and C, right panels). Finally, our experiments also confirmed that PON was the only effective compound for the treatment of BCR-ABL\textsuperscript{T315I} (Fig. 5A), whereas the wild-type oncoprotein was responsive to all TKIs.

Thus, PON, IM and DAS all successfully inhibited BCR-ABL\textsuperscript{1360T} oncogenic activity, as predicted by the hydrogen bond interactions analysis (Fig. 4). These data also explain why the I360T substitution has never been observed in CML patients unresponsive to TKIs as, in spite of the transforming potential of this mutant, BCR-ABL\textsuperscript{1360T} remains sensitive to multiple kinase inhibitors.
DISCUSSION

Over 100 point mutations involving more than 60 amino acidic residues have been associated with TKI resistance in CML patients (12-15). However, no study has ever reported amino acidic substitutions involving E286, M318, I360 and D381, four residues of the BCR-ABL kinase that generate hydrogen bond interactions with PON (31). In this manuscript we present biological and computational data demonstrating that these four amino acids play a pivotal role in preserving BCR-ABL transforming activity. Indeed, our results suggest that - with the exception of the I360T substitution - both conservative and non-conservative mutations in E286, M318, I360 and D381 abolish BCR-ABL kinase activity. These findings explain the lack of point mutations in these four amino acidic residues, as any change in these sites would be selected against by either lack of catalytic activity or maintained responsiveness to TKI treatment, as we observed with BCR-ABL\textsuperscript{I360T}. These findings are also corroborated by sequencing data derived from 95 CML patients that either failed IM or achieved suboptimal responses according to the 2009 European Leukemia Net criteria (60). In these analyses we detected the E286K mutation in one subject, three substitutions at the M318 residue (M318T-V-I) in three patients, and three amino acidic replacements at the I360 residue (I360T-L-S) in five further patients. All substitutions were found in a small percentage of clones (\(<10\%\)) and none were detected when sequencing the same patients at a later time (Stella S and Vigneri P, unpublished data).

Since the I360T mutation preserved BCR-ABL catalytic activity, we investigated this amino acidic substitution from a computational standpoint, comparing it to the corresponding non-conservative I360R mutation devoid of kinase activity. MD simulations of these two mutants revealed major differences in correlated motions and in the dynamics of helix-\alphaC as compared to wild-type BCR-ABL. I360T displayed strong correlations in the region between the N- and C-lobes of the BCR-ABL catalytic region. We also detected a significant upward shift of helix-\alphaC, which is allowed more freedom and is partially displaced from the core of the domain, with an ensuing tendency in widening the access to the binding cavity. These results suggest a modified arrangement of the ATP pocket that could compromise ATP binding and would be compatible with the reduced kinase activity of this mutant. More dramatic changes were detected in the dynamics of helix-\alphaC in BCR-ABL\textsuperscript{I360R} as in this mutant the helix is looser and has a tendency to unwind. In addition, the domain has lost the inter-lobe communication known to be critical for activation (26). This is in line with the drastic reduction of kinase activity observed for this mutant. In addition, hydrogen bond interaction analyses followed by biochemical and survival assays demonstrated that PON, IM and DAS successfully inhibit BCR-ABL\textsuperscript{I360T}. 


Hydrogen bond analyses also contributed to explain the structural reasons underlying the resistance of the T315I gatekeeper mutation to IM and DAS. The common hypotheses advocated to explain IM and DAS failure in suppressing BCR-ABL\textsuperscript{T315I} is that this substitution mediates drug resistance through a steric hindrance mechanism (61). However, by studying the dynamics of the inhibitor bound systems in-silico, we found that a slightly outward displacement of both IM and DAS allowed these drugs to adapt to the T315I catalytic pocket, thus circumventing the increased steric hindrance caused by the bulky Isoleucine side-chain (Fig. 4D, E). Indeed, the steric hindrance generated by the T315I mutation does not lead to complete obstruction of the binding cavity and the flexibility of the drug structure allows for a suitable rearrangement around the bulkier side-chain, unlike cases in which more rigid molecules cannot fit into partially (or totally) occluded pockets. This is generally not visible from a single experimental structure, but requires the simulation of the system to estimate the degree of flexibility of both drug and protein. We therefore investigated other mechanisms contributing to the lack of efficacy of IM and DAS on BCR-ABL\textsuperscript{T315I}. In accordance with previous reports, we found that loss of hydrogen bonds between IM and E286, T315 (replaced by an Isoleucine) and I360 in the BCR-ABL\textsuperscript{T315I} KD played a pivotal role in IM failure against the T315I mutation (30). However, loss of hydrogen bonds was not sufficient to explain BCR-ABL\textsuperscript{T315I} resistance to DAS as only the interaction between T315 and DAS was compromised in the T315I mutant simulations. Upon further investigation we detected a perturbation in the dynamics of amino acidic residues that form hydrophobic interactions with the drug. These alterations are likely to factor heavily in the lack of DAS binding to the T315I mutant.

Further computational investigations of BCR-ABL\textsuperscript{T315I} revealed a net enhancement of correlated motions within the N- and C-lobes as compared to the wild-type oncoprotein (Fig. 6A, B). The mutation affects the dynamics of the two lobes modifying the access to and the shape of the binding site. The correlations also involve helix-\(\alpha\)C (which undergoes a partial unwinding) and the activation loop, suggesting that the T315I mutation dynamically perturbs several structural elements crucially involved in drug binding (Fig. 6B). This observation is in line with previous suggestions about the main role of gatekeeper mutations in orchestrating an effective communication between the two lobes (26) and in stabilizing the hydrophobic spine (17, 54). Simulation of the BCR-ABL\textsuperscript{T315I}-PON system showed that, aside from the effects ascribed to the ethynyl linker in bypassing the steric hindrance (31), three pivotal features enabled this drug to inhibit BCR-ABL\textsuperscript{T315I}: i) as in the wild-type domain, PON showed intermittent interactions with E286, which is often dislocated from its natural position when helix-\(\alpha\)C is destabilized; ii) the drug maintained the same hydrogen bond interactions and the same average distance with all residues involved in hydrophobic contacts in the BCR-ABL\textsuperscript{WT}-PON simulation; iii) there were only minor differences between the BCR-ABL\textsuperscript{WT}-PON and BCR-ABL\textsuperscript{T315I}-PON systems in terms of correlated motions.
(Fig. 6C, D), implying that PON seems to “revert” the dynamics of the T315I mutant to those of BCR-ABL wild-type.

The critical issue stemming from our results concerns the implications of the reported findings for future therapeutic developments. CML patients unresponsive to TKI treatment sometimes develop point mutations involving multiple residues within the BCR-ABL KD (12-15, 62). It should be emphasized that amino acidic substitutions engaging different residues of a catalytic domain are not a prerogative of the ABL kinase, as identical phenomena (including mutations of the gatekeeper Threonine) have been reported in patients diagnosed with lung cancer or gastro-intestinal tumors failing Epidermal Growth Factor Receptor or c-KIT inhibitors, respectively (63, 64). Two different approaches have been pursued to address these problems. Several companies have synthesized irreversible TKIs that, unlike reversible inhibitors (e.g. IM), generate covalent bonds with specific amino acidic residues (usually Cysteines but also Serines, Threonines or Lysines) of their substrate(s). While highly effective, irreversible TKIs have often been associated with increased (sometimes limiting) toxicities that have hindered their clinical development (65). An alternative approach has been to design compounds that do not interact with the critical gatekeeper residue of the substrate kinase (66). The caveat of this method is that - theoretically - amino acidic substitutions in residues other than the gatekeeper could induce resistance to these drugs. However, to date, no single point mutations have been associated with resistance to PON. Our data suggest that the lack of mutations causing PON failure derives from the fact that this inhibitor forms hydrogen bonds with four critical residues (E286, M318, I360 and D381) of the BCR-ABL KD that, if mutated, would compromise the transforming ability of the oncoprotein. Hence, changes in any of the above-mentioned four residues would be selected against by a lack of kinase activity or by maintained responsiveness to TKIs (BCR-ABL_{1360I}). Interestingly, when we analyzed the twelve known PON biological targets (9, 67), we found that these tyrosine kinases conserved the ABL E, I/V and D residues but displayed different substitutions in place of M318 (Fig. 7A). These results suggest a structural scenario in which E286, M318, I360 and D381 are “untouchable” residues for the ABL kinase, but only E, I and D are critical for the remaining PON targets as the Methionine in position 318 can be replaced by either an Alanine or a Cysteine.

Remarkably, residues equivalent to ABL E286, M318, I360 and D381 are conserved in 46 of 90 (51%) human tyrosine kinases including both receptor and non-receptor proteins (Fig. 7B). Forty-two of these 46 tyrosine kinases were tested for PON responsiveness in a previously published in vitro kinase assay and 25 of 42 (59%) were efficiently inhibited by the drug (IC_{50} < 20 nM) (9). While we can’t offer a detailed explanation as to why PON lacks efficacy on the remaining 17 kinases, several observations should be kept in mind. Specifically: i)
while a sequence alignment suggests that E, M, I and D residues are preserved in these TKs, a large-scale Molecular Dynamics study would be necessary to confirm that these amino acids conserve a similar role in protein flexibility compared to the ABL KD, in line with recent evidence that selective inhibition of TKs could also be connected to differences in their intrinsic dynamics (19, 22); ii) since our current structural information is mostly limited to the catalytic domain of multiple tyrosine kinases, we can not account for the significant modifications that other portions of the protein may exert on the catalytic pocket, heavily affecting PON binding; iii) likewise, the shape and orientation of the drug binding pocket of each TK may present subtle differences that could partially or completely abrogate its interaction with PON. Hence, preservation of E, M, I and D residues in positions equivalent to those of the ABL KD appears to be necessary but not sufficient to predict PON binding to other TKs.

In summary, we report here the identification of four amino acidic residues (E286, M318, I360 and D381) that are critical for BCR-ABL catalytic activity and leukemogenic potential. We also find that these amino acids are conserved in several human tyrosine kinases and are likely to represent unmodifiable residues that contribute to the proper structural folding and enzymatic activity of these proteins. Small molecule inhibitors targeting these amino acids in other tyrosine kinases might be of great clinical interest to avoid the emergence of resistance due to point mutations.
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FIGURE LEGENDS

**Figure 1.** Cartoon showing the amino acids within the BCR-ABL catalytic domain that generate hydrogen bonds with different TKIs. Structural representation of PON (brown; PDB accession number: 3OXZ) (A), IM (orange; PDB accession number: 2HYY) (B) and DAS (blue; PDB accession number: 2GQG) (C) fitted inside the BCR-ABL KD (top panels). In lower panels, amino acidic residues generating hydrogen bonds with each drug are highlighted with the corresponding color.

**Figure 2.** I360T is the only substitution that maintains BCR-ABL catalytic activity and transforming potential. 

*A*) Cell lysates from Ba/F3 cells lentivirally transduced with HA-tagged ABL constructs displaying the specified mutations were blotted using anti-HA (top panel), anti-phosphotyrosine (middle panel) or anti-Actin (bottom panel) antibodies. Wild-type (WT) and T315I constructs were used as controls. EV = empty vector. Actin levels confirmed equal protein loading in each lane. 

*B*) The same cells were also employed to perform proliferation assays for 24, 48 or 72 hours. Numbers represent average ± standard deviations of three separate experiments performed in quadruplicates. Results are expressed as relative luminescence fold increase with cells plated at baseline arbitrarily set at 1.

*C, D*) Identical experiments were repeated on Ba/F3 cells transduced with FLAG-tagged BCR-ABL mutants that reproduce the amino acidic substitutions generated for ABL. Anti-FLAG immunoblots confirmed protein expression of each construct.

*E*) *In vitro* kinase reactions of BCR-ABL mutants were performed in the presence of increasing concentrations of the Abltide synthetic substrate. Velocity is plotted versus peptide concentrations in a Michaelis-Menten graph. KI BCR-ABL was used as negative control. Velocity values are expressed as relative luminescence fold increase, with background calculated by omitting substrate addition in the reaction. Results shown represent average ± standard deviations of three separate experiments performed in triplicates.

**Figure 3.** I360T and I360R kinase domains display differences in correlated motions and helix-αC dynamics compared to ABL wild-type. Correlation webs calculated from 50 ns production runs for ABL<sup>WT</sup> (A), ABL<sup>I360T</sup> (B) and ABL<sup>I360R</sup> (C) KDs are shown as a cartoon representation with the DFG motif indicated in red and the activation loop in blue. Residues with correlated motions are connected by a line. Only correlations greater than 0.6 were reported. The time evolution of the secondary structure elements during the molecular dynamics simulations is shown below each cartoon. The simulation time is reported on the x-axis while the protein
residues index is specified on the y-axis. Residues belonging to helix-αC are designated by a red arrow. Secondary structures were attributed with DSSP (45): α-helixes (blue), β-sheets (red), turns (yellow), bends (green), B-bridges (black), coils (white), 3-helixes (gray).

**Figure 4.** Variations in hydrogen bond interactions modulate TKI efficacy on BCR-ABL\textsuperscript{I360T}. Average distances of hydrogen bond interactions between PON (A, B, C), IM (D, E, F) or DAS (G, H, I) and the indicated residues. Results were computed for BCR-ABL\textsuperscript{WT} and for the T315I and I360T mutants. Top panels show time evolution of the distances between inhibitors and residues. Lines were colored according to the tint of each amino acid (blue E286, orange T315, black M318, green I360 and red D381). Bottom panels are a snapshot collected after 35 ns of the corresponding simulation, with inhibitor structure shown in liquorice and weak (>3.5 Å, red) or strong (<3.5 Å, blue) hydrogen bonds represented as dashed lines.

**Figure 5.** BCR-ABL\textsuperscript{I360T} catalytic activity is suppressed by multiple TKIs. A, B, C) To calculate IC\textsubscript{50} values for the specified TKIs, Ba/F3 cells transduced with empty vector (EV) (●), BCR-ABL\textsuperscript{WT} (■), BCR-ABL\textsuperscript{T315I} (▲) or BCR-ABL\textsuperscript{I360T} (○) were treated for 24 hours with increasing concentrations of PON, IM or DAS. Values shown are expressed as fold decrease, with untreated cells arbitrarily set at 100 (left panels). To verify BCR-ABL inhibition, cell lysates from Ba/F3 cells expressing the indicated mutants were blotted using an anti-phosphotyrosine (p-Tyr) antibody (right panels). D) Average IC\textsubscript{50} values derived from three independent experiments performed in triplicates.

**Figure 6.** Ponatinib “reverts” the dynamics of the BCR-ABL\textsuperscript{T315I} catalytic domain to those of the wild-type oncoprotein. Correlation webs were calculated over 50 ns production runs and reported on the cartoon representation of BCR-ABL\textsuperscript{WT} (A) and BCR-ABL\textsuperscript{T315I} (B), alone or complexed (C, D) with PON. Only correlations greater than 0.6 were included.

**Figure 7.** BCR-ABL amino acidic residues E286, M318, I360 and D381 are conserved in multiple human tyrosine kinases. A) Table including the twelve known PON targets, that summarizes the position of the conserved residues in the specified protein kinases. An Alanine or a Cysteine are always found in place of BCR-ABL M318. Isoleucine is replaced by a Valine in 4 of 12 proteins. This conservative substitution does not influence the interaction with the inhibitor, since the hydrogen bond generated with PON involves the backbone oxygen of I360 and can be reproduced by the equivalent oxygen atom in the Valine backbone. B) List of 46 human tyrosine kinases displaying residues equivalent to E286, M318, I360 and D381 identified in the BCR-
ABL KD. Isoleucine in position 360 is replaced by a Valine in 29 of 46 tyrosine kinases. The reported proteins were efficiently inhibited by PON (IC$_{50}$ <20nM) in a previously published in vitro kinase assay, with the exception of the underlined kinases (IC$_{50}$ >20nM). Proteins in italics have not been tested.
Table 1. *Conservative (C) and non-conservative (NC) substitutions inserted in the BCR-ABL catalytic domain*

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>AMINO ACID</th>
<th>ABL POSITION</th>
<th>(C) MUTATION</th>
<th>(NC) MUTATION</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Glutamic Acid (E)</td>
<td>286</td>
<td>Aspartic Acid (D)</td>
<td>Arginine (R)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Methionine (M)</td>
<td>318</td>
<td>Threonine (T)</td>
<td>Lysine (K)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Isoleucine (I)</td>
<td>360</td>
<td>Threonine (T)</td>
<td>Arginine (R)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aspartic Acid (D)</td>
<td>381</td>
<td>Glutamic Acid (E)</td>
<td>Arginine (R)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Letters in parenthesis refer to the corresponding single letter code for each amino acidic residue. Amino acid positions specified above are derived from the human ABL1A protein sequence (NCBI accession number NP_005148.2). However, in the manuscript we attribute these residues to the BCR-ABL sequence maintaining the ABL numbering.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>PROVEAN</th>
<th>SIFT</th>
<th>polyphen-2</th>
<th>MOE ΔΔG (kcal/mol)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>E286A</td>
<td>Deleterious</td>
<td>Not Tolerated</td>
<td>damaging</td>
<td>-2.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E286C</td>
<td>Deleterious</td>
<td>Not Tolerated</td>
<td>damaging</td>
<td>-5.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E286D</td>
<td>Deleterious</td>
<td>Not Tolerated</td>
<td>damaging</td>
<td>-1.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E286F</td>
<td>Deleterious</td>
<td>Not Tolerated</td>
<td>damaging</td>
<td>-3.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E286G</td>
<td>Deleterious</td>
<td>Not Tolerated</td>
<td>damaging</td>
<td>-1.39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E286H</td>
<td>Deleterious</td>
<td>Not Tolerated</td>
<td>damaging</td>
<td>-0.62</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E286I</td>
<td>Deleterious</td>
<td>Not Tolerated</td>
<td>damaging</td>
<td>-3.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E286J</td>
<td>Deleterious</td>
<td>Not Tolerated</td>
<td>damaging</td>
<td>1.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E286K</td>
<td>Deleterious</td>
<td>Not Tolerated</td>
<td>damaging</td>
<td>-4.52</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M318A</td>
<td>Deleterious</td>
<td>Not Tolerated</td>
<td>damaging</td>
<td>1.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M318B</td>
<td>Deleterious</td>
<td>Not Tolerated</td>
<td>damaging</td>
<td>4.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M318C</td>
<td>Deleterious</td>
<td>Not Tolerated</td>
<td>damaging</td>
<td>4.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M318D</td>
<td>Deleterious</td>
<td>Not Tolerated</td>
<td>damaging</td>
<td>3.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M318E</td>
<td>Deleterious</td>
<td>Not Tolerated</td>
<td>damaging</td>
<td>9.37</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M318F</td>
<td>Deleterious</td>
<td>Not Tolerated</td>
<td>damaging</td>
<td>-0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M318G</td>
<td>Deleterious</td>
<td>Not Tolerated</td>
<td>damaging</td>
<td>3.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M318H</td>
<td>Deleterious</td>
<td>Not Tolerated</td>
<td>damaging</td>
<td>3.55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M318I</td>
<td>Deleterious</td>
<td>Not Tolerated</td>
<td>damaging</td>
<td>7.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M318J</td>
<td>Deleterious</td>
<td>Not Tolerated</td>
<td>damaging</td>
<td>2.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M318K</td>
<td>Deleterious</td>
<td>Not Tolerated</td>
<td>damaging</td>
<td>1.88</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M318L</td>
<td>Deleterious</td>
<td>Not Tolerated</td>
<td>damaging</td>
<td>5.32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M318M</td>
<td>Deleterious</td>
<td>Not Tolerated</td>
<td>damaging</td>
<td>2.96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I360A</td>
<td>Deleterious</td>
<td>Not Tolerated</td>
<td>damaging</td>
<td>0.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I360B</td>
<td>Deleterious</td>
<td>Not Tolerated</td>
<td>damaging</td>
<td>3.05</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I360C</td>
<td>Deleterious</td>
<td>Not Tolerated</td>
<td>damaging</td>
<td>1.85</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>amino acid</td>
<td>type</td>
<td>tolerance</td>
<td>substitution</td>
<td>score</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I360F</td>
<td>deleterious</td>
<td>not tolerated</td>
<td>damaging</td>
<td>1.18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I360G</td>
<td>deleterious</td>
<td>not tolerated</td>
<td>damaging</td>
<td>2.71</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I360H</td>
<td>deleterious</td>
<td>not tolerated</td>
<td>damaging</td>
<td>3.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I360K</td>
<td>deleterious</td>
<td>not tolerated</td>
<td>damaging</td>
<td>1.49</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I360L</td>
<td>neutral</td>
<td>not tolerated</td>
<td>possibly damaging</td>
<td>-0.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I360M</td>
<td>deleterious</td>
<td>not tolerated</td>
<td>damaging</td>
<td>0.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I360N</td>
<td>deleterious</td>
<td>not tolerated</td>
<td>damaging</td>
<td>1.13</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I360P</td>
<td>deleterious</td>
<td>not tolerated</td>
<td>damaging</td>
<td>-0.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I360Q</td>
<td>deleterious</td>
<td>not tolerated</td>
<td>damaging</td>
<td>2.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I360R</td>
<td>deleterious</td>
<td>not tolerated</td>
<td>damaging</td>
<td>1.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I360S</td>
<td>deleterious</td>
<td>not tolerated</td>
<td>damaging</td>
<td>0.76</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I360T</td>
<td>deleterious</td>
<td>not tolerated</td>
<td>damaging</td>
<td>1.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I360V</td>
<td>neutral</td>
<td>tolerated</td>
<td>possibly damaging</td>
<td>-0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I360W</td>
<td>deleterious</td>
<td>not tolerated</td>
<td>damaging</td>
<td>1.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I360Y</td>
<td>deleterious</td>
<td>not tolerated</td>
<td>damaging</td>
<td>-0.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D381A</td>
<td>deleterious</td>
<td>not tolerated</td>
<td>damaging</td>
<td>-2.34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D381C</td>
<td>deleterious</td>
<td>not tolerated</td>
<td>damaging</td>
<td>-4.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D381E</td>
<td>deleterious</td>
<td>not tolerated</td>
<td>damaging</td>
<td>-0.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D381F</td>
<td>deleterious</td>
<td>not tolerated</td>
<td>damaging</td>
<td>-5.21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D381G</td>
<td>deleterious</td>
<td>not tolerated</td>
<td>damaging</td>
<td>-1.47</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D381H</td>
<td>deleterious</td>
<td>not tolerated</td>
<td>damaging</td>
<td>-1.51</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D381I</td>
<td>deleterious</td>
<td>not tolerated</td>
<td>damaging</td>
<td>-4.73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D381K</td>
<td>deleterious</td>
<td>not tolerated</td>
<td>damaging</td>
<td>0.91</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D381L</td>
<td>deleterious</td>
<td>not tolerated</td>
<td>damaging</td>
<td>-4.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D381M</td>
<td>deleterious</td>
<td>not tolerated</td>
<td>damaging</td>
<td>-4.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D381N</td>
<td>deleterious</td>
<td>not tolerated</td>
<td>damaging</td>
<td>-2.58</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D381P</td>
<td>deleterious</td>
<td>not tolerated</td>
<td>damaging</td>
<td>-6.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D381Q</td>
<td>deleterious</td>
<td>not tolerated</td>
<td>damaging</td>
<td>-2.33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D381R</td>
<td>deleterious</td>
<td>not tolerated</td>
<td>damaging</td>
<td>-1.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D381S</td>
<td>deleterious</td>
<td>not tolerated</td>
<td>damaging</td>
<td>-3.11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D381T</td>
<td>deleterious</td>
<td>not tolerated</td>
<td>damaging</td>
<td>-2.68</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D381V</td>
<td>deleterious</td>
<td>not tolerated</td>
<td>damaging</td>
<td>-4.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D381W</td>
<td>deleterious</td>
<td>not tolerated</td>
<td>damaging</td>
<td>-3.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>D381Y</td>
<td>deleterious</td>
<td>not tolerated</td>
<td>damaging</td>
<td>-4.66</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 3. Binding free energies and inhibition constants for the different TKIs bound to the simulated mutants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>ΔG (kcal/mol)</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>pK_i</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>PON</td>
<td>IM</td>
<td>DAS</td>
<td>PON</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WT</td>
<td>-13.8</td>
<td>-13.5</td>
<td>-12.5</td>
<td>10.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>T315I</td>
<td>-13.4</td>
<td>-14.0</td>
<td>-10.0</td>
<td>9.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I360T</td>
<td>-13.1</td>
<td>-16.0</td>
<td>-10.6</td>
<td>9.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

pK_i are calculated as -log K_d, where K_d is the dissociation constant derived from the corresponding ΔG of binding.
Figure 1
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>$K_m$ (µM)</th>
<th>$V_{max}$ (pmol/min)</th>
<th>$K_{cat}$ (min$^{-1}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>BCR-ABL$^{WT}$</td>
<td>5.650 ± 0.959</td>
<td>2.736 ± 0.106</td>
<td>0.228 ± 0.008</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BCR-ABL$^{K_i}$</td>
<td>0.147 ± 0.092</td>
<td>1.152 ± 0.024</td>
<td>0.096 ± 0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BCR-ABL$^{E286D}$</td>
<td>0.025 ± 0.102</td>
<td>1.094 ± 0.030</td>
<td>0.091 ± 0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BCR-ABL$^{E286R}$</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>0.956 ± 0.022</td>
<td>0.079 ± 0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BCR-ABL$^{M318T}$</td>
<td>0.110 ± 0.089</td>
<td>1.051 ± 0.022</td>
<td>0.087 ± 0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BCR-ABL$^{M318K}$</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1.079 ± 0.028</td>
<td>0.089 ± 0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BCR-ABL$^{I360T}$</td>
<td>0.039 ± 0.083</td>
<td>1.448 ± 0.030</td>
<td>0.120 ± 0.003</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BCR-ABL$^{I360R}$</td>
<td>0.123 ± 0.090</td>
<td>1.080 ± 0.022</td>
<td>0.090 ± 0.001</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BCR-ABL$^{D381E}$</td>
<td>0.00</td>
<td>1.005 ± 0.025</td>
<td>0.083 ± 0.002</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BCR-ABL$^{D381R}$</td>
<td>0.041 ± 0.095</td>
<td>1.072 ± 0.025</td>
<td>0.089 ± 0.002</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 3
**A**

% Cell Proliferation vs. Ponatinib nM

**B**

% Cell Proliferation vs. Imatinib nM

**C**

% Cell Proliferation vs. Dasatinib nM

**D**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Ponatinib (nM)</th>
<th>Imatinib (nM)</th>
<th>Dasatinib (nM)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EV</td>
<td>1131.00</td>
<td>8392.00</td>
<td>&gt;1000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BCR-ABL&lt;sup&gt;WT&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>3.13</td>
<td>1439.00</td>
<td>5.83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BCR-ABL&lt;sup&gt;T315I&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>72.23</td>
<td>6475.00</td>
<td>&gt;1000.00</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BCR-ABL&lt;sup&gt;I360T&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>0.53</td>
<td>21.15</td>
<td>0.69</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>A</td>
<td>B</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td>-------------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>E</td>
<td>M</td>
<td>IV</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ABL</td>
<td>286</td>
<td>318</td>
<td>1360</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FGFR1</td>
<td>531</td>
<td>A 564</td>
<td>I 620</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FGFR2</td>
<td>534</td>
<td>A 567</td>
<td>I 623</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FGFR3</td>
<td>525</td>
<td>A 558</td>
<td>I 614</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FGFR4</td>
<td>520</td>
<td>A 553</td>
<td>I 609</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>FLT3</td>
<td>661</td>
<td>C 694</td>
<td>V 808</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kit</td>
<td>640</td>
<td>C 673</td>
<td>I 789</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDGFRA</td>
<td>644</td>
<td>C 677</td>
<td>V 815</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PDGFRB</td>
<td>651</td>
<td>C 684</td>
<td>V 823</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RET</td>
<td>775</td>
<td>A 807</td>
<td>V 871</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VEGFR1</td>
<td>878</td>
<td>C 912</td>
<td>I 1019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VEGFR2</td>
<td>885</td>
<td>C 919</td>
<td>I 1025</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VEGFR3</td>
<td>896</td>
<td>C 930</td>
<td>I 1034</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 7