Copyright © 2015 Elsevier Ltd. All rights reserved. This manuscript version is made available under the CC-BY-NC-ND 4.0 license https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/4.0/ (see: https://www.elsevier.com/about/policies/sharing).

1	
2	
3	Nitrogenous Disinfection Byproducts in English Drinking Water Supply Systems:
4	Occurrence, Bromine Substitution and Correlation Analysis
5	
6	
7	
8	Tom Bond ^{1*} , Michael R. Templeton ¹ , Nurul Hana Mokhtar Kamal ¹ , Nigel Graham ¹ and
9	Rakesh Kanda ²
10	
11	
12	1. Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Imperial College London, South
13	Kensington campus, London, SW7 2AZ, UK
14	2. Institute for the Environment, Brunel University London, Uxbridge, UB8 3PH, UK
15	*Corresponding author. Email: <u>t.bond@imperial.ac.uk</u> . Telephone: +44(0)207 594 6018
16	
17	

18 Abstract

Despite the recent focus on nitrogenous disinfection byproducts in drinking water, there is 19 limited occurrence data available for many species. This paper analyses the occurrence of 20 seven haloacetonitriles, three haloacetamides, eight halonitromethanes and cyanogen chloride 21 in 20 English drinking water supply systems. It is the first survey of its type to compare 22 bromine substitution factors (BSFs) between the haloacetamides and haloacetonitriles. 23 24 Concentrations of the dihalogenated haloacetonitriles and haloacetamides were well correlated. Although median concentrations of these two groups were lower in chloraminated 25 26 than chlorinated surface waters, median BSFs for both in chloraminated samples were approximately double those in chlorinated samples, which is significant because of the higher 27 reported toxicity of the brominated species. Furthermore, median BSFs were moderately 28 29 higher for the dihalogenated haloacetamides than for the haloacetonitriles. This indicates that, 30 while the dihalogenated haloacetamides were primarily generated from hydrolysis of the corresponding haloacetonitriles, secondary formation pathways also contributed. Median 31 32 halonitromethane concentrations were remarkably unchanging for the different types of disinfectants and source waters: 0.1 µg·mgTOC⁻¹ in all cases. Cyanogen chloride only 33 occurred in a limited number of samples, yet when present its concentrations were higher 34 than the other N-DBPs. Concentrations of halonitromethanes and cyanogen chloride were not 35 correlated with any other DBPs. 36

37

38 Keywords: haloacetonitriles, haloacetamides, halonitromethanes, cyanogen chloride,
39 bromine incorporation, chloramines

40

41 **1 Introduction**

Nitrogenous disinfection byproducts (N-DBPs), including the haloacetonitriles (HANs), 42 haloacetamides (HAcAms), halonitromethanes (HNMs), cyanogen halides and nitrosamines, 43 have received much research attention in recent years (Mitch et al., 2009, Bond et al., 2011, 44 Shah and Mitch 2011). While these species typically occur at lower concentrations in 45 drinking water than trihalomethanes (THMs, four of which are regulated in the USA, EU and 46 China) and haloacetic acids (HAAs, five of which are regulated in the USA), there are 47 48 concerns that this may be offset by their higher cytotoxicity and genotoxicity (Plewa and Wagner 2009). One option for water utilities aiming to reduce the formation of THMs and 49 50 HAAs is to use chloramines rather than chlorine as the final disinfectant, however, this change can have the effect of increasing the formation of some N-DBPs, notably cyanogen 51 chloride (CNCl) (Krasner et al., 1989) and N-nitrosodimethylamine (NDMA) (Choi and 52 Valentine 2002). Another pertinent factor is that drinking water providers are increasingly 53 54 relying on algal- and wastewater-impacted sources, which tend to be enriched in organic nitrogen compounds known to act as precursor material for many N-DBPs (Mitch et al., 55 2009). In general, there is a limited extent of published occurrence data for many N-DBPs in 56 drinking water, especially the brominated species. The presence of brominated DBPs is of 57 specific interest because brominated DBPs are typically more cytotoxic and genotoxic than 58 their chlorinated analogues (Richardson et al., 2007, Yang and Zhang 2013). 59

The dihaloacetonitriles (DHANs) have been reported from chlorinated water supplies since at least the early 1980s (Oliver 1983, Trehy et al., 1986). A survey of 35 water treatment facilities later that decade included four HANs (DCAN, BCAN, DBAN and TCAN, collectively HAN₄; see Table 1 for abbreviations used), chloropicrin (trichloronitromethane) and cyanogen chloride (Krasner et al., 1989). These N-DBPs were also monitored in 1997-1998 during a survey of USA drinking water treatment plants (DWTPs) undertaken as part of the Information Collection Rule (ICR) (McGuire et al., 2002). The HAcAms are a group of DBPs known to be produced from hydrolysis of the HANs, and
can themselves degrade to the corresponding HAA (Glezer et al., 1999, Reckhow et al.,
2001), as shown below for the dihalogenated species (i.e. the DHANs, DHAcAms and
DHAAs, with X representing a halogen):

71

72
$$X_2C - CN (DHAN) \rightarrow X_2C - C(O)NH_2 (DHAcAm) \rightarrow X_2C - C(O)OH (DHAA)$$
 (1)
73

The HAcAms were first reported in drinking water during the USA survey of 2000-2002 74 75 (Weinberg et al., 2002, Krasner et al., 2006). Up to nine HAcAms have also been investigated in Chinese raw and treated waters (Chu et al., 2013, Chu et al., 2014). Further, a 76 laboratory study used isotopically-labelled monochloramine and model precursors to show 77 78 that HAcAm formation pathways exist which are separate from HAN hydrolysis and that HAcAm formation was promoted by chloramination (Huang et al., 2012). It has also been 79 demonstrated that monochloramine reacts with chloroacetaldehyde to form N,2-80 dichloroacetamide (Kimura et al., 2013). Nonetheless, owing to the paucity of literature 81 comparing concentrations of HAcAms and HANs in real drinking water samples there is still 82 uncertainty regarding whether HAcAms are primarily produced from HAN hydrolysis, or to 83 what extent these, and perhaps other, independent formation pathways also contribute. 84

85 Chloropicrin (trichloronitromethane) has been observed in drinking water since the early days 86 of DBP research (Merlet et al., 1985). In the 2000-2002 USA nationwide DBP survey, which sampled 12 DWTPs receiving high precursor loads (measured in terms of high bromide 87 and/or total organic carbon (TOC)), a total of eight HNMs were monitored, though typically 88 89 only 4-5 at individual DWTPs (Weinberg et al., 2002, Krasner et al., 2006). Six HANs, five HNMs and CNCl were also included in a survey of 11 USA DWTPs receiving waters which 90 were algal and/or wastewater impacted (Mitch et al., 2009). In their study, Hu et al., (2010) 91 included all nine HNM group members, while investigating the formation potential of five 92

natural under laboratory conditions. They found chloropicrin 93 waters and bromodichloronitromethane (BDCNM) were the most commonly encountered species. There 94 have been fewer surveys to investigate the occurrence of N-DBPs in drinking waters outside 95 of North America, although four HANs have been monitored in various parts of Europe 96 (Goslan et al., 2009, Goslan et al., 2014). 97

This paper presents the results of a survey of N-DBPs in 20 English drinking water supply 98 99 systems. In contrast to many previous DBP surveys, samples were taken from downstream distribution systems as well as from the DWTP itself, allowing for an assessment of N-DBP 100 101 speciation and concentration trends in distribution. The N-DBPs quantified comprised seven HANs, three HAcAms, eight HNMs and CNCl (Table 1). The selected water supply systems 102 included a variety of disinfection methods and source water types (Table S1). THM₄ and 103 104 HAA9 were measured in two and one of the four seasonal sampling rounds, respectively. NDMA and other nitrosamines were excluded, as this group has previously been the subject 105 of separate surveys in the UK (Dillon et al., 2008, Templeton and Chen 2010). 106

107

108 2 Methods

109 **2.1 Sampling approach**

Water supply systems selected for sampling included those with DWTPs using ozone, UV 110 disinfection, chlorine and chloramines for disinfection (Table S1). Six supply systems applied 111 112 chloramination in the distribution system, while the rest applied chlorination. Eight treatment works received water from a lowland catchment, five from an upland catchment and seven 113 treated groundwater. Twelve treatment works received water from eutrophic sources (a 114 possible source of organic nitrogen), five had an elevated bromide concentration in the source 115 waters (defined as > 150 μ g·L⁻¹, which is considered high in the context of England), and five 116 had elevated THM levels (defined as $> 50 \ \mu g \cdot L^{-1}$ in finished water). 117

Sampling was undertaken quarterly from December 2011 to December 2012. Samples were 118 collected at two locations in each DWTP (pre-disinfection and final treated water) plus three 119 sites from within the distribution system, chosen to represent near, middle, and distant parts 120 of the distribution system from the DWTP. There was no blending nor booster 121 chlor(am)ination in any of the distribution systems during the course of the sampling. 122 Samples were collected in glass bottles of 1-L (semi-volatile method) or 60-mL (volatile 123 124 method) capacity. Prior to sampling 100 mg of ammonium chloride (or 6 mg for the volatile method) was added to each bottle. Ammonium chloride is recommending in USEPA method 125 126 551.1 as a dechlorination agent when analysing HANs and chloropicrin (USEPA 1995). All samples from the same water supply systems were collected in duplicate on the same day, 127 stored at below 4°C, extracted within 72 hours and analysed within 15 days of collection. 128

In addition to the analysed N-DBPs (Table 1), four regulated trihalomethanes (THM₄) were measured in Sampling Rounds 1 and 4, and nine haloacetic acids (HAA₉) were measured in Sampling Round 4. Initially the intention was also to quantify two other HAcAms (2chloroacetamide and 2-bromoacetamide) and cyanogen bromide, but the data for these compounds was not included because of low analytical recovery. Tribromonitromethane (bromopicrin) was excluded due to the difficulty in obtaining an analytical standard.

135 2.2 N-DBP analyses

Standards were purchased from either Sigma Aldrich (UK) or CanSyn Chem (Canada), except for CNCl, which was synthesized based on the procedure of Wu et al. (1998). N-DBPs were extracted into methyl tert-butyl ether (MTBE), using two modified versions of USEPA method 551.1 (USEPA 1995) followed by analysis using gas chromatography – mass spectrometry (GC-MS). Extended versions of these methods, as well as method detection limits (MDLs), are provided in the supplementary data. CNCl, chloroacetonitrile (CAN), and trichloroacetonitrile (TCAN) were extracted using the volatile method, while remaining

compounds were extracted using the semi-volatile method. For the latter, 400 ±1 mL of 143 sample was adjusted to pH 4.5 - 5.0, spiked with pre-diluted internal standard solution (d₄-144 1,2 – dichlorobenzene), before 50 g of NaCl and 50 mL MTBE was added. The sample was 145 then shaken for one hour at 200 rpm. For the Analytical Quality Control (AQC) blank and 146 spike sample, ultrapure water was spiked with an N-DBP standard solution. After shaking, 147 samples were allowed to rest for approximately two minutes, before the upper solvent layer 148 149 was removed into a 60 mL vial, ensuring as little water as possible was transferred. The vial was left in a freezer overnight, then filtered using MTBE prewashed glass wool. The 50 mL 150 151 extract was concentrated using a concentrator and a nitrogen blow down apparatus, before 2 µL was injected into a GC-MS (Agilent 6890 GC and Agilent 5973 MS) equipped with a 152 Rtx5 Amine 30 m x 0.25 mm column. For the volatile method, a similar procedure was 153 followed, except 15 g NaCl and a J&W DB-624, 30 m x 0.25 mm diameter column were used 154 and the GC conditions varied (refer to the supplementary data). 155

156 **2.3** Other water quality parameters and statistical analysis

157 For THM₄, a United Kingdom Accreditation Service (UKAS) headspace – gas

158 chromatography electron capture detector (GC-ECD) method was used, based on USEPA

159 Method 524.2 (1992). Meanwhile, HAA₉ were analysed using a modified version of USEPA

160 Method 552.3 (2003) with quantification by GC-MS. Further information is available in the

supplementary data. In addition to the DBPs analysed, eight water quality parameters (Table

162 S6) were measured using standard procedures (APHA et al., 2005). Pearson product-moment

163 correlation coefficients (r), which take values between -1 (total negative correlation) and 1

164 (total negative correlation), were calculated in Microsoft Excel and used to define linear

165 relationships between the measured DBPs.

166

167

168 **3 Results and Discussion**

169 **3.1** Overview of water quality from selected supply systems

Median total organic carbon (TOC) and bromide concentrations in final waters from the 170 DWTPs (i.e. post disinfection but pre-distribution system) were 1.7 mg.L⁻¹ and 48 μ g·L⁻¹, 171 respectively (Table S7). Both these parameters are important factors in DBP formation and 172 were far lower in each case than respective raw water values - 5.8 mg.L⁻¹ and 120 μ g·L⁻¹ -173 from the USA survey of 2000-2002 (Krasner et al., 2006), where DWTPs were specifically 174 selected because they received high precursor loadings. This likely explains why occurrence 175 of THM₄ was slightly lower in the English waters with a median concentration of 20 μ g·L⁻¹ 176 in final waters (Table S7), versus 31 μ g·L⁻¹ in the USA (Krasner et al., 2006). However, 177 HAA₉ exhibited the opposite trend, with the median concentration being 48 μ g·L⁻¹ in final 178 water in the current study (Table S7) and 34 μ g·L⁻¹ in the USA survey (Krasner et al., 2006). 179 The major contributor to HAA9 formation were the trihaloacetic acids (THAAs), which had a 180 median concentration of 26 μ g·L⁻¹ in final waters. 181

Note that only DBP concentrations above the respective minimum detection limit (MDL) 182 have been reported and used in the data analysis, which may therefore represent a 183 conservative estimation of typical DBP concentrations in English drinking waters. Another 184 important factor to note when comparing DBP data between multiple surveys are differences 185 in disinfection protocols. In England water companies generally maintain chlorine at <0.5 186 $mg \cdot L^{-1}$ in distribution systems (DWI 2010), whereas in the USA up to 4 $mg \cdot L^{-1}$ (as either free 187 chlorine or chloramines) is permitted (USEPA 1998). This is emphasised by the median free 188 chlorine concentrations in final water and distribution samples - 0.5 and 0.2 mg·L⁻¹, 189 respectively - from the current study (Table S7). In contrast, chlorine concentrations in final 190 waters from five DWTPs in the USA 2000-2002 survey which maintained a free chlorine 191 residual during distribution ranged from $1.69 - 4.0 \text{ mg} \cdot \text{L}^{-1}$ (Weinberg et al., 2002). 192

3.2 Haloacetonitriles (HANs)

Three dihalogenated HANs - DCAN, BCAN and DBAN - were the most frequently recorded 194 N-DBPs, being detected above MDLs in 496, 534 and 513 samples, respectively, out of 759 195 samples analysed in total (Table 2). The median concentration of DCAN in all disinfected 196 samples was 0.9 µg·L⁻¹ (Table 2), whereas Krasner at al. (2006) reported an equivalent value 197 of 1.0 μ g·L⁻¹ in final waters. The maximum DCAN concentration of 4.4 μ g·L⁻¹ (Table 2) was 198 the same as reported by Goslan et al. (2009) in Scottish drinking water and much lower than 199 the maximum of 12.0 μ g·L⁻¹ reported in the USA (Krasner et al., 2006). All the measured 200 201 HANs, as well as the other N-DBPs recorded, occurred at levels well below the World Health Organisation (WHO) guidelines of 20 μ g·L⁻¹ and 70 μ g·L⁻¹ for DCAN and DBAN, 202 respectively (WHO 2011). TCAN was not recorded at all in the survey at levels above the 203 MDLs, while DBCAN was only recorded in 46 samples, up to a maximum of 0.7 µg·L^{-1,} 204 illustrating trihalogenated HANs are rare in drinking water at detectable levels. 205

Median and maximum concentrations for the sum of HAN₄ were respectively 2.8 and 12.1 $\mu g \cdot L^{-1}$ in all disinfected samples, in comparison to equivalent values of 3.0 and 14.0 $\mu g \cdot L^{-1}$ in the USA (Krasner et al., 2006). Thus, HAN₄ occurred at similar concentrations as have been reported in surveys in other countries. Median concentrations of HAN₄ increased slightly in distribution, relative to final water concentrations, from 2.6 to 2.8 $\mu g \cdot L^{-1}$ respectively (Table 2), while the maximum HAN₄ concentration recorded came from the distribution sample of a supply system disinfected with ozonation-chlorination (Table S8).

Although CAN and BAN were only recorded above MDLs in respectively 9 and 22 samples, they reached maxima of 1.9 and $3.8 \ \mu g \cdot L^{-1}$ in distribution (Table 2). Occurrence of these two monohalogenated HANs was not linked to high concentrations of other HANs, as shown by the absence of any notable correlations involving CAN and BAN (Table S9). Concentrations of the HANs were linked to those of the HAAs, although not the THMs, with correlation coefficients of r = 0.66 and 0.36, respectively (Table 3). Relationships between HANs and HAcAms are discussed in Section 3.4.

220 **3.3 Haloacetamides (HAcAms)**

Respective median concentrations for the two dihalogenated HAcAms - DCAcAm and 221 DBAcAm - were 0.6 and 0.7 μ g·L⁻¹, with equivalent maxima being 4.5 and 5.1 μ g·L⁻¹ (Table 222 2). For comparison, DCAcAm concentrations from a pre-chloramination DWTP in China 223 reached a maximum of 1.8 μ g·L⁻¹ (Chu et al., 2011). Interestingly, TCAcAm (n=203) was 224 detected far more commonly than TCAN (n=0), albeit only at concentrations of up to 1.7 225 $\mu g \cdot L^{-1}$. MDLs for TCAN (0.5 $\mu g \cdot L^{-1}$; Table S5) were slightly higher than for TCAcAm (0.1-226 0.3 μ g·L⁻¹; Table S5), which partly explains this difference, though there were still 50 227 TCAcAm samples $\ge 0.5 \ \mu g \cdot L^{-1}$ as TCAN (the maximum TCAN MDL). Furthermore, the 228 median sum of DCAcAm and DBAcAm in samples where TCAcAm was present above the 229 MDL was 1.4 μ g·L⁻¹, versus an equivalent value of 1.1 μ g·L⁻¹ in samples without TCAcAm. 230 This reveals TCAcAm that tended to occur more in samples with higher concentrations of the 231 dihalogenated HAcAms. Table 3 supports this, and suggests its occurrence was also linked to 232 that of DCAN, given that there were weak correlation coefficients, r = 0.55 and 0.61, between 233 TCAcAm and DCAN, and between TCAcAm and DCAcAm, respectively. TCAcAm and 234 TCAN were also undetectable in most water samples at the aforementioned Chinese pre-235 chloramination DWTP (Chu et al., 2011). Median, seventy-fifth percentile and maximum 236 concentrations of both DCAcAm and DBAcAm (and the sum of HAcAms) all increased 237 slightly in distribution, relative to final water concentrations (Table 2). Seventy-fifth 238 percentile and maximum concentrations of TCAcAm were also higher in distribution than in 239 final waters. As with the HANs, HAcAm concentrations were moderately correlated with 240 HAA₉ and THAAs, respective correlations coefficients being r = 0.58 and 0.64. Neither 241 group exhibited any notable correlations with other groups of DBPs (Table 3). 242

243 **3.4 Relationships between HANs and HAcAms**

There has been debate in recent literature about whether the HANs and HAcAms share common precursors and formation routes, or alternatively whether the HAcAms are also generated independently from the HANs. In the current study there were strong correlations between DCAN and DCAcAm, between DBAN and DBAcAm, and between the HAcAms and HANs, of r = 0.77, 0.90 and 0.76, respectively (Table 3). These coefficients are consistent with the premise that HAcAms are predominantly generated from hydrolysis of HANs.

251 Huang et al. (2012) found that HAcAms were associated with chloramination, rather than chlorination, of various natural organic matter types. In the selected supply systems the 252 pattern of HANs and HAcAms generated by the different disinfection methods was similar 253 (Figure 1). The highest concentrations of both groups were from waters disinfected using 254 ozone-chlorine, which is not something highlighted previously. However, when the DBPs 255 were normalised against organic carbon, i.e. by plotting them in µg·mgTOC⁻¹, then it 256 becomes apparent this is mainly an artefact of the ozone-chlorine DWTPs receiving waters 257 with high precursor loadings (Figure 1). 258

Moreover, for both groups of DBPs, TOC-normalised median concentrations tended to be 259 higher in chlorinated rather than chloraminated waters. In chlorinated waters, median HAN 260 concentrations ranged from 1.4 $\mu g \cdot m g TOC^{\text{-1}}$ in lowland chlorinated waters to 2.2 261 µg·mgTOC⁻¹ in the single DWTP using UV-chlorination as the disinfection method. In 262 comparison, median HAN concentrations from lowland ozone-chloramine and upland 263 chloramine DWTPs were 1.1 and 0.5 µg·mgTOC⁻¹, respectively (Figure 1). The distribution 264 system using chloramines to disinfect a groundwater was atypical, as it generated slightly 265 higher amounts of HANs, on a central tendency basis, than the chlorinated groundwaters: 1.7 266 and 1.6 µg·mgTOC⁻¹, respectively, with both lower than the UV-chlorine disinfected 267

groundwater (Figure 1). These data demonstrate that HAN and HAcAm formation (in $\mu g \cdot m g TOC^{-1}$) from the (chlorinated and chloraminated) groundwaters was comparable to that from the chlorinated surface waters.

Although the occurrence of HAcAms present a similar pattern as the HANs, the median concentrations of the HAcAms were consistently lower, representing from 25-63% of the median concentrations (in μ g·mgTOC⁻¹) of the HANs (Figure 1). Thus, except for the groundwaters, when comparing across the same water categories, median HAcAm concentrations were lower in the chloraminated than the chlorinated distribution systems.

3.5 Bromine incorporation into DBPs

Bromine substitution factors (BSFs) for DBAN + DCAN versus DBAcAm + DCAcAm are plotted in Figure 2. BSFs measure the proportion of bromine incorporated into a group of DBPs, relative to the total amount of substituted chlorine and bromine (with concentrations of halogen and DBPs in moles). As calculated by Hua and Reckhow (2012) they always vary between 0 (only the chlorinated member of the relevant group recorded) and 1 (only the brominated member recorded):

283

Bromine substitution factor (BSF) =
$$[DBP-Br]/[DBP-(Cl+Br)]$$
 (2)

285

There were trend lines with R^2 values of 0.60 and 0.85 in chloraminated and chlorinated waters, respectively (Figure 2), or of 0.80 when all samples were analysed together. Figure 2 also shows a higher number of DBAcAm + DCAcAm data points with a BSF of 1.0. This indicates that the amount of bromine incorporation in DBAcAm + DCAcAm was higher than in DBAN + DCAN. Table 4 confirms this, as median BSF values in all chlorinated samples were 0.30 for DBAN + DCAN and 0.36 for DBAcAm + DCAcAm. In chloraminated samples BSFs were dramatically higher for both groups: 0.60 and 0.70, respectively. To the authors' knowledge, this is the first time that HAcAm and HAN BSFs have been compared indrinking water.

The finding that median DBAcAm + DCAcAm BSFs were respectively 20 and 17% higher in chlorinated and chloraminated waters than median DBAN + DCAN BSFs is consistent with the presence of two (or more) pathways contributing to HAcAm formation, one (or more) of which had a higher level of bromine substitution than in HANs. Nonetheless, the strong correlations noted above between DCAN + DCAcAm and between DBAN + DBAcAm, as well the comparable impact of different disinfection methods; indicate that these were of secondary importance to HAN hydrolysis.

Median THM BSFs also increased between chlorinated and chloraminated samples, from 0.29 to 0.39, respectively (Table 4). In contrast, median BSFs for the dihaloacetic acids (DHAAs) were uniform between the two disinfectants (Table 4). This is congruent with the model developed by Duirk and Valentine (2007), which showed that chlorine incorporated into DHAAs more effectively than bromine during chloramination.

The enhanced BSFs calculated for the chloraminated waters - manifest for the DHANs, 307 dihaloacetamides (DHAcAms) and THMs - are linked to multiple factors. The first is that the 308 chloraminated waters had typically higher bromide levels: the median concentration being 60 309 $\mu g \cdot L^{-1}$, versus 29 $\mu g \cdot L^{-1}$ in chlorinated samples (Table 4). Secondly, small amounts of free 310 chlorine present during chloramination, rather than the chloramines themselves, may be 311 important to the generation of chloramination DBPs (Cowman and Singer 1996). The 312 simultaneous presence of chloramines, bromamines, bromochloroamine, free chlorine and 313 free bromine during chloramination in the presence of bromide makes identifying the active 314 oxidation and halogenation agents in DBP formation intractable (Diehl et al., 2000, Duirk and 315 Valentine 2007). Nonetheless, chlorination BSFs are known to peak at low chlorine doses 316 (Hua and Reckhow 2012), when the bromine/chlorine ratio is highest, such as occurring 317

when small amounts of free chlorine are present during chloramination. However, other 318 authors have demonstrated that monochloramine, rather than free chlorine, is predominantly 319 responsible for DBP formation during chloramination. Notably, experiments undertaken with 320 isotopically-labelled monochloramine by Yang et al. (2010) demonstrated that, during 321 monochloramination of two amino acids and Suwannee River natural organic matter, the 322 majority of the nitrogen in the DCAN formed originated from monochloramine, rather than 323 324 the organic precursor. Another study used a kinetic model to propose that bromochloramine and monobromamine were predominantly responsible for brominated DBP formation during 325 326 the chloramination of simulated drinking waters, whereas hypobromous acid only accounted for a minor amount (Zhai et al., 2014). The dramatic increase in BSFs for dihalogenated 327 HANs and HAcAms upon chloramination highlights the presence of pathways with increased 328 bromine incorporation relative to those operating during chlorination. It is established that 329 330 both chlorination and chloramination of amino acids leads to either aldehyde or nitrile formation and can ultimately generate DHANs, DHAcAms and DHAAs (Yang et al., 2010). 331 Based on the product distribution during model compound experiments, chloramination 332 favours aldehyde formation over nitrile formation (Yang et al., 2010, Bond et al., 2014b), so 333 this is likely to be a relevant mechanism. 334

In Table 4, BSFs are shown for Round 4 of sampling separately, since this was the only 335 round to incorporate both THMs and HAAs and as bromide concentrations were lower than 336 337 from across the whole survey. During Round 4 median bromide concentrations were 22 and 34 μ g·L⁻¹ in chlorinated and chloraminated samples respectively, versus equivalent values of 338 29 and 60 μ g·L⁻¹ across the whole survey (Table 4). The order of median chlorination BSFs 339 in Round 4 for DBP groups where all the chloro- and/or bromo- species were quantified was 340 THAAs > DHAAs > DHANs > THMs. For comparison, BSFs during chlorination of a single 341 raw water between pH 5 and 7 were in the order DHANs > THMs & DHAAs > THAAs (Hua 342

and Reckhow 2012). Another study using a raw water showed that maxima BSFs were in the
order of THMs > DHAAs and THAAs (Bond et al., 2014a). This demonstrates that relative
BSFs for different DBP groups can vary, which is linked to study-specific differences in
precursor characteristics and oxidation conditions.

Overall, with the exception of DHAAs, BSFs were always higher in chloraminated rather than chlorinated samples. This pattern was most striking for DCAN + DBAN and DCAcAm + DBAcAm, where median BSFs were respectively 100 and 94% higher in chloraminated than in chlorinated samples. The higher bromine incorporation in chloraminated water supply systems is significant because of the higher cytotoxicity and genotoxicity of brominated DBPs than the corresponding chlorinated species (Plewa and Wagner 2009).

353 **3.6 Halonitromethanes (HNMs)**

HNMs of any description were observed less frequently than the HANs and HAcAms, with 354 only BNM and chloropicrin found in over 100 samples (Table 2). Concentrations of DHNMs 355 and THNMs were always close to MDLs, which contributes to the sum of HNMs being 356 generally low. Median and maximum concentrations for the sum of HNMs were 0.2 and 7.0 357 $\mu g \cdot L^{-1}$ (Table 2). In contrast, Krasner et al. (2006), reported equivalent values of 3.0 and 10.0 358 $\mu g \cdot L^{-1}$. Part of the explanation for this difference is that tribromonitromethane (bromopicrin) 359 was not included in the current study, as it was in the USA survey, albeit only being observed 360 at low concentrations. Further, median bromide concentrations in final waters were 48 μ g·L⁻¹ 361 (Table S7), compared with 120 μ g·L⁻¹ in raw waters of the 12 US DWTPs (Krasner et al., 362 2006). Therefore, bromopicrin concentrations are unlikely to have exceeded those in the USA 363 and it can be supposed that overall HNM concentrations were lower in the English waters. 364 A similar finding can be reached by comparing chloropicrin concentrations, where respective 365

median and maximum values were 0.2 and 0.5 μ g·L⁻¹ in the current study, lower than the values of 0.4 and 2.0 μ g·L⁻¹ in the USA survey of 2000-2002 (Krasner et al., 2006), which were in turn less than the 0.5 and 7.6 μ g·L⁻¹ in the USA survey of impacted DWTPs (Mitch et al., 2009) (Table 2). Moreover, Mitch et al. (2009) reported a maximum of 2.0 μ g·L⁻¹ for the sum of three DHNMs, versus 0.5 μ g·L⁻¹ in the current study.

The HNMs recorded at the highest concentrations in the current study were actually the two 371 monohalogenated species, CNM and BNM, at 3.5 and 3.8 μ g·L⁻¹ respectively (Table 2), with 372 these maxima coming from the same sample. This situation was somewhat atypical, as 373 appearances of CNM and BNM were not strongly correlated with one another (Table 3). In 374 fact, there were no notable correlation coefficients between any of the individual HNM 375 376 species (Table 3). More broadly, the sum of the HNMs was also not correlated with any other DBPs, except for CNM (Table 3), showing that the HNMs did not share do not share key 377 precursors and formation pathways with the other DBPs monitored. Previous research has 378 shown chloropicrin was stable in the presence of free chlorine or monochloramine at pH 5, 379 whereas in the presence of monochloramine or free chlorine at pH 9 it had a half-life of ~ 3 380 days (Joo and Mitch, 2007). In the current study, there was no trend for concentrations of 381 HNMs to decline in distribution. Median concentrations of BNM, DCNM and chloropicrin 382 were the same in both final waters and distribution samples, while median concentrations of 383 DBNM increased slightly and those of CNM decreased slightly (Table 2). 384

On a central tendency basis, TOC-normalised concentrations of HNMs were remarkably unchanging with different types of disinfectants and source waters, being $0.1 \ \mu g \cdot m g TOC^{-1}$ in all cases (Figure 3). For comparison, Lee et al., (2007) reported that equal amounts of chloropicrin were found upon chlorination and chloramination of natural organic matter fractions, while chloropicrin yields were slightly higher from chlorination than chloramination of nitrogen-rich isolates (Dotson et al., 2009).

However, differences are evident in the seventy-fifth percentile and maximum concentrations of HNMs (plotted as μ g·mgTOC⁻¹), with the former being highest in the only UV-chlorine

16

disinfected distribution system, a groundwater, while the maximum came from a distribution sample of another groundwater-fed supply system. It is known that oxidation with ozone (Hoigne and Bader 1988, Hu et al., 2010) or UV irradiation (Reckhow et al., 2010, Shah et al., 2011) prior to chlorination enhances HNM formation. The former was not evident from the ozonation-chlorination English distribution systems (Figure 3), presumably because they contained lower amounts of HNM precursor material and/or due to differences in operational parameters during ozonation.

400 **3.7 Cyanogen chloride (CNCl)**

401 Occurrences of CNCl were erratic, since it was only recorded above MDLs in 148 out of 759 402 samples analysed, yet median and maximum concentrations were higher than the other N-403 DBPs, at 4.5 and 18.4 μ g·L⁻¹, respectively (Table 2). Its concentrations were not correlated 404 with any of the other DBPs (Table 3), indicating a disparate group of precursors was involved 405 in its formation.

On a central tendency basis the highest CNCl concentrations amongst the different types of 406 sources waters and disinfectants were formed in the chloraminated groundwater, where a 407 median value of 4.3 μ g·mgTOC⁻¹ was recorded (Figure 4). This agrees with other research 408 demonstrating that CNCl formation was enhanced in chloraminated water, something noted 409 from the 1980s onwards (Krasner et al., 1989). Nonetheless, high concentrations were also 410 generated on occasion in chlorinated waters, as shown by a median value of 3.5 μ g·mgTOC⁻¹ 411 412 in chlorinated upland waters and the highest concentration from any sample (in $\mu g \cdot m g TOC^{-1}$) being from a chlorinated lowland water (Figure 4). However, when DBP formation was 413 reported in µg·L⁻¹, the maximum concentration of CNCl came from a chloraminated 414 distribution system (Table S8). Median, seventy-fifth percentile and maximum concentrations 415 of CNCl all increased slightly in distribution, relative to final water concentrations: from 4.4, 416 7.5 and 12.6 to 4.6, 8.0 and 18.4 μ g·L⁻¹, respectively (Table 2). 417

418

419 4 Conclusions

This study determined, for the first time, the occurrence of seven HANs, three HAcAms, eight HNMs and CNCl in 20 English drinking water supply systems. New knowledge regarding the correlations between N-DBPs groups and their bromine substitution arose, including:

Bromine substitution into haloacetonitriles and haloacetamides was higher in chloraminated waters than in chlorinated waters. Median BSFs for DCAN + DBAN
and for DCAcAm + DBAcAm were respectively 100 and 94% higher in chloraminated than in chlorinated samples. Thus, although HAN and HAcAm formation was overall lower in chloraminated waters, a dramatic shift to more brominated species occurred.

Bromine substitution was higher in haloacetamides than in haloacetonitriles. Median
 DBAcAm + DCAcAm BSFs were respectively 20 and 17% higher in chlorinated and
 chloraminated waters than median DBAN + DCAN BSFs.

Relationships between concentrations of DCAN and DCAcAm and between DBAN
and DBAcAm showed correlation coefficients of r = 0.77 and 0.90, respectively.
Overall, this study indicates that dihalogenated HAcAms were primarily generated
from hydrolysis of the corresponding HAN, though secondary formation pathways
also contributed. In addition, TCAcAm was detected far more frequently than TCAN.

HNMs and CNCl were not correlated with any of the other DBP groups included in
 this study, suggesting disparate groups of precursors where involved in their
 formation than the other DBPs monitored.

441

442 **5** Acknowledgements

The Department for Environment, Food and Rural Affairs (Defra) and the Drinking Water Inspectorate (DWI) for England and Wales are gratefully acknowledged for funding this work, as part of project DWI 70/2/268. Severn Trent Services conducted the sample analyses described, and the participating water companies are thanked for providing supply system information and facilitating sampling. The first author also acknowledges the support of the Imperial College London Junior Research Fellowship Scheme. The third author received scholarship funding through the Malaysia-Imperial Doctoral Programme.

450

451 6 References

- 452 APHA, AWWA and WEF, 2005. Standard Methods for the Examination of Water and Wastewater,
- 453 American Public Health Association, Washington, DC.
- 454 Bond, T., Huang, J., Graham, N.J.D. and Templeton, M.R., 2014a. Examining the interrelationship
- 455 between DOC, bromide and chlorine dose on DBP formation in drinking water A case study.
- 456 Science of The Total Environment 470–471(0), 469-479.
- Bond, T., Huang, J., Templeton, M.R. and Graham, N., 2011. Occurrence and control of nitrogenous
 disinfection by-products in drinking water A review. Water Research 45(15), 4341-4354.
- 459 Bond, T., Mokhtar Kamal, N.H., Bonnisseau, T. and Templeton, M.R., 2014b. Disinfection by-product
- 460 formation from the chlorination and chloramination of amines. Journal of Hazardous Materials461 278(0), 288-296.
- Choi, J. and Valentine, R.L., 2002. Formation of N-nitrosodimethylamine (NDMA) from reaction of
 monochloramine: a new disinfection by-product. Water Research 36(4), 817-824.
- 464 Chu, W.-H., Gao, N.-Y., Deng, Y., Templeton, M.R. and Yin, D.-Q., 2011. Formation of nitrogenous
- disinfection by-products from pre-chloramination. Chemosphere 85(7), 1187-1191.
- Chu, W., Gao, N., Yin, D. and Krasner, S.W., 2013. Formation and speciation of nine haloacetamides,
 an emerging class of nitrogenous DBPs, during chlorination or chloramination. Journal of Hazardous
 Materials 260(0), 806-812.
- 469 Chu, W., Gao, N., Yin, D., Krasner, S.W. and Mitch, W.A., 2014. Impact of UV/H2O2 pre-oxidation on
- the formation of haloacetamides and other nitrogenous disinfection byproducts during chlorination.
- 471 Environmental Science & Technology 48(20), 12190-12198.
- 472 Cowman, G.A. and Singer, P.C., 1996. Effect of bromide ion on haloacetic acid speciation resulting
- 473 from chlorination and chloramination of aquatic humic substances. Environ. Sci. Technol. 30(1), 16-474 24.
- Diehl, A.C., Speitel, G.E., Symons, J.M., Krasner, S.W., Hwang, S.J. and Barrett, S.E., 2000. DBP
- 476 formation during chloramination. Journal American Water Works Association 92(6), 76-90.
- 477 Dillon, G., Blake, S., Rumsby, P., Rockett, L., Hall, T., Jackson, P. and Rawlinson, A., 2008. NDMA -
- 478 concentrations in drinking water and factors affecting its formation, Defra Final Report by WRc.
- 479 Duirk, S.E. and Valentine, R.L., 2007. Bromide oxidation and formation of dihaloacetic acids in
- 480 chloraminated water. Environmental Science & Technology 41(20), 7047-7053.
- 481 DWI, 2010. Drinking Water Inspectorate. Chlorine. <u>http://dwi.defra.gov.uk/consumers/advice-</u>
- 482 <u>leaflets/chlorine.pdf</u>, Accessed.
- 483 Glezer, V., Harris, B., Tal, N., Iosefzon, B. and Lev, O., 1999. Hydrolysis of haloacetonitriles: Linear
- 484 free energy relationship, kinetics and products. Water Research 33(8), 1938-1948.

- 485 Goslan, E.H., Krasner, S.W., Bower, M., Rocks, S.A., Holmes, P., Levy, L.S. and Parsons, S.A., 2009. A
- 486 comparison of disinfection by-products found in chlorinated and chloraminated drinking waters in487 Scotland. Water Research 43 (18), 4698-4706.
- 488 Goslan, E.H., Krasner, S.W., Villanueva, C.M., Carrasco Turigas, G., Toledano, M.B., Kogevinas, M.,

489 Stephanou, E.G., Cordier, S., Gražulevičiene⁻, R., Parsons, S.A. and Nieuwenhuijsen, M.J., 2014.

- 490 Disinfection by-product occurrence in selected European waters. Journal of Water Supply: Research
- 491 and Technology—AQUA 63(5), 379–390.
- 492 Hoigne, J. and Bader, H., 1988. The formation of trichloronitromethane (chloropicrin) and
- 493 chloroform in a combined ozonation/chlorination treatment of drinking water. Water Research494 22(3), 313-319.
- Hu, J., Song, H., Addison, J.W. and Karanfil, T., 2010. Halonitromethane formation potentials in
 drinking waters. Water Research 44(1), 105-114.
- 497 Hua, G. and Reckhow, D.A., 2012. Evaluation of bromine substitution factors of DBPs during
- 498 chlorination and chloramination. Water Research 46(13), 4208-4216.
- 499 Huang, H., Wu, Q.-Y., Hu, H.-Y. and Mitch, W.A., 2012. Dichloroacetonitrile and dichloroacetamide
- 500 can form independently during chlorination and chloramination of drinking Waters, model organic
- 501 matters, and wastewater effluents. Environmental Science & Technology 46(19), 10624-10631.
- 502 Kimura, S.Y., Komaki, Y., Plewa, M.J. and Mariñas, B.J., 2013. Chloroacetonitrile and N,2-
- dichloroacetamide formation from the reaction of chloroacetaldehyde and monochloramine in
 water. Environmental Science & Technology 47(21), 12382-12390.
- 505 Krasner, S.W., McGuire, M.J., Jacangelo, J.G., Patania, N.L., Reagan, K.M. and Marco Aieta, E., 1989.
- 506 Occurrence of disinfection by-products in US drinking water. Journal of the American Water Works507 Association 81(8), 41-53.
- 508 Krasner, S.W., Weinberg, H.S., Richardson, S.D., Pastor, S.J., Chinn, R., Sclimenti, M.J., Onstad, G.D.
- and Thruston, A.D., 2006. Occurrence of a new generation of disinfection byproducts. Environmental
 Science & Technology 40(23), 7175-7185.
- 511 Lee, W., Westerhoff, P. and Croué, J.-P., 2007. Dissolved organic nitrogen as a precursor for
- 512 chloroform, dichloroacetonitrile, N-nitrosodimethylamine, and trichloronitromethane.
- 513 Environmental Science & Technology 41(15), 5485-5490.
- 514 McGuire, M.J., McLain, J.L. and Obolensky, A., 2002. Information Collection Rule Data Analysis.
- 515 Merlet, N., Thibaud, H. and Dore, M., 1985. Chloropicrin formation during oxidative treatments in
- the preparation of drinking water. Science of The Total Environment 47(Dec), 223-228.
- 517 Mitch, W.A., Krasner, S.W., Westerhoff, P. and Dotson, A., 2009. Occurrence and Formation of
- 518 Nitrogenous Disinfection By-Products, Water Research Foundation, Denver, CO, USA.
- 519 Oliver, B.G., 1983. Dihaloacetonitriles in drinking water: algae and fulvic acid as precursors.
- 520 Environmental Science & Technology 17(2), 80-83.
- 521 Plewa, M.J. and Wagner, E.D., 2009. Mammalian Cell Cytotoxicity and Genotoxicity of Disinfection
- 522 By-Products, Water Research Foundation.
- 523 Reckhow, D.A., Linden, K.G., Kim, J., Shemer, H. and Makdissy, G., 2010. Effect of UV treatment on
- 524 DBP formation. Journal American Water Works Association 102(6), 100-113.
- 525 Reckhow, D.A., Platt, T.L., MacNeill, A.L. and McClellan, J.N., 2001. Formation and degradation of
- 526 dichloroacetonitrile in drinking waters. Journal of Water Supply Research and Technology-Aqua
- 527 50(1), 1-13.
- 528 Richardson, S.D., Plewa, M.J., Wagner, E.D., Schoeny, R. and DeMarini, D.M., 2007. Occurrence,
- 529 genotoxicity, and carcinogenicity of regulated and emerging disinfection by-products in drinking
- water: A review and roadmap for research. Mutation Research/Reviews in Mutation Research636(1–3), 178-242.
- 532 Shah, A.D., Dotson, A.D., Linden, K.G. and Mitch, W.A., 2011. Impact of UV disinfection combined
- 533 with chlorination/chloramination on the formation of halonitromethanes and haloacetonitriles in
- drinking water. Environmental Science & Technology 45(8), 3657-3664.

- 535 Shah, A.D. and Mitch, W.A., 2011. Halonitroalkanes, halonitriles, haloamides, and N-nitrosamines: A
- critical review of nitrogenous disinfection byproduct formation pathways. Environmental Science &
 Technology 46(1), 119-131.
- 538 Templeton, M. and Chen, Z., 2010. NDMA and seven other nitrosamines in Selected UK drinking
- 539 water supply systems. Journal of Water Supply: Research and Technology AQUA 59, 277-283.
- 540 Trehy, M.L., Yost, R.A. and Miles, C.J., 1986. Chlorination byproducts of amino acids in natural
- 541 waters. Environmental Science & Technology 20(11), 1117-1122.
- 542 USEPA, 1992. Method 524.2. Measurement of purgeable organic compounds in water by capillary
- 543 column gas chromatography/mass spectrometry. Revision 4.0., U.S. Environmental Protection
- 544 Agency, Cincinnati, Ohio, USA.
- 545 USEPA, 1995. Method 551.1. Determination of chlorination disinfection byproducts, chlorinated
- solvents and halogenated pesticides/herbicides in drinking water by liquid-liquid extraction and gas
 chromotography with electron capture detection. Revision 1.0, Cincinnati, Ohio.
- 548 USEPA, 1998. Stage 1 Disinfectant and Disinfection Byproduct Rule. Agency, U.S.E.P. (ed).
- 549 USEPA, 2003. Method 552.3: Determination of haloacetic acids and dalapon in drinking water by
- 550 liquid-liquid microextraction, derivatization, and gas chromatography with electron capture
- 551 detector, USEPA 815-B-03-002, Cincinnati, Ohio, USA.
- Weinberg, H.S., Krasner, S.W., Richardson, S.D. and Thruston, A.D., 2002. The Occurrence of
- 553 Disinfection By-Products (DBPs) of Health Concern in Drinking Water: Results of a Nationwide DBP
- 554 Occurrence Study, U.S. Environmental Protection Agency, National Exposure Research Laboratory,
- 555 Athens, GA.
- 556 WHO, 2011. Guidelines for Drinking-Water Quality, fourth edition
- 557 World Health Organization (WHO).
- 558 Wu, W.W., Chadik, P.A. and Schmidt, C.J., 1998. An in situ synthesis of cyanogen chloride as a safe 559 and economical aqueous standard. Water Research 32(9), 2865-2869.
- 560 Yang, M. and Zhang, X., 2013. Comparative developmental toxicity of new aromatic halogenated
- 561 DBPs in a chlorinated saline sewage effluent to the marine polychaete Platynereis dumerilii.
- 562 Environmental Science & Technology 47(19), 10868-10876.
- 563 Yang, X., Fan, C., Shang, C. and Zhao, Q., 2010. Nitrogenous disinfection byproducts formation and
- nitrogen origin exploration during chloramination of nitrogenous organic compounds. WaterResearch 44(9), 2691-2702.
- 566 Zhai, H., Zhang, X., Zhu, X., Liu, J. and Ji, M., 2014. Formation of brominated disinfection byproducts
- 567 during chloramination of drinking water: new polar species and overall kinetics. Environmental
- 568 Science & Technology 48(5), 2579-2588.

569