

1 Running Head: Perceptions of Emotional Abuse

2

3

4

5

6

7

8 Perceptions of emotional abuse in the coach-athlete relationship in youth sport: The influence of
9 competitive level and outcome

10

11 **Misia Gervis, Daniel Rhind & Amber Luzar**

12 Brunel University, London

13

14

15

16

17

18 Submitted for publication in: *International Journal of Sports Science and Coaching*

19 Submitted on July 11th, 2014

20 Revisions submitted on December 23rd, 2014

21 Further revisions submitted on April 8th, 2016

22

23

Abstract

Emotional abuse has been highlighted as a key issue within the youth sport context. The present study investigated how perceptions of emotional abuse are influenced by situational factors. Two hundred and eight participants (107 athletes and 101 coaches) were shown a series of vignettes depicting emotionally abusive behaviour by a coach towards a 14 year old athlete. Differences in perceptions were explored in relation to the level of competition (elite, county and club) and performance outcome (successful/unsuccessful) depicted in the vignette. Participants rated each vignette on a 5 point scale in terms of the extent to which the coach's behaviour had an impact on the athlete's performance and wellbeing as well as the perceived commonality and acceptability of the behaviour. Two-way ANOVAs revealed that competitive level and performance outcome, both as main effects and as an interaction, significantly influenced perceptions. These findings can inform policy and practice to change attitudes and behaviours which support and justify emotionally abusive behaviours in youth sport contexts.

14

Keywords: Elite, Wellbeing, safeguarding, child protection

16

17

1 this setting. For the purposes of this research, youth sport refers to organised sport in which the
2 participants are under the age of 18. In the UK, organised sport broadly takes place at the club
3 level (e.g., competing with other clubs at the local level), the county level (e.g., competing at a
4 higher level than club athletes against the best in the county) and elite level (e.g., competing
5 against the best in the country).

6 Previous research on emotional abuse of athletes in the coach-athlete relationship has
7 reported these harmful experiences as common practice in the sport environment and an accepted
8 method of athlete development [4, 6]. Although normalized in the context of youth sport,
9 experience of emotional abuse can lead to a number of negative outcomes for athlete well-being
10 [7, 8]. Given that the first step in preventing emotional abuse may be the recognition of such
11 behaviours as problematic, research is merited to explore how people perceive such behaviour
12 and the factors which influence such perceptions.

13 The theoretical process model of emotional abuse proposes that the precursor to the
14 development of any emotional problems would be a negative emotional response to the coach
15 behaviour[7]. If an athlete is constantly experiencing negative emotional responses this would
16 render him/her more vulnerable to developing emotional problem symptoms. The model also
17 suggests that there is a link between both negative emotional responses and emotional problem
18 symptoms to an athlete's perception of his/her own performance. Consequently, an athlete will
19 report that frequent negative coach behaviour has a perceived detrimental effect on their sporting
20 performance and their wellbeing[7].

21 The existing research on emotional abuse in sport has employed qualitative techniques to
22 interview victims [2, 4, 8, 9]. For example, Stirling and Kerr interviewed 14 retired athletes from
23 a range of different sports regarding their experiences of emotional abuse [10]. The athletes

1 described perceived psychological effects (e.g., low mood, anger and anxiety), training effects
2 (e.g., increased or decreased motivation, reduced enjoyment) and performance effects (e.g., both
3 decrements and enhancements).

4 Stirling and Kerr conducted further qualitative research with 18 athletes who had
5 experienced emotional abuse to identify the ways in which it was initiated and sustained [9].
6 Stirling and Kerr reported that emotional abuse was perpetuated by a perception of it being
7 necessary to facilitate athletic success, the benevolence of the coach, exposure to other athletes'
8 emotionally abusive experiences, a lack of intervention from third-party observers, and culturally
9 accepted violence in the sport environment[9]. This body of work has highlighted the
10 significance of emotional abuse as an issue for athletes in youth sport.

11 **Perceptions of abuse**

12 The desire to achieve sporting excellence can result in young athletes being pushed
13 physiologically and psychologically to their limits and beyond [11]. This consequently makes the
14 difference between training and abuse difficult to distinguish [3]. This ensures that perceptions of
15 emotional abuse are likely to be on a continuum with many grey areas [12]. Such perceptions are
16 likely to be influenced by a range of factors.

17 Previous studies outside of sport have revealed that the perception of abuse is influenced
18 by several important factors related to the situation and the individuals involved [13-15]. For
19 example, in Bornstein et al.'s (2007) study, a sample of 199 young adults were presented with a
20 series of vignettes which described sexually abusive behaviour between an adult and a child.
21 Participants rated each vignette on a series of variables including the degree of trauma as well as
22 the severity and believability of the event. Perceptions were found to be influenced by the gender

1 of the victim and the perpetrator, type of abuse (physical, relatively mild sexual and relatively
2 severe sexual) and relationship type (parental or baby sitter).

3 The limited research in sport which has investigated the factors which influence
4 perceptions has focused on sexual harassment. For example, a survey study was conducted to
5 explore perceptions of ambiguous coaching behaviours [16]. The research investigated the
6 factors which influenced participant's perceptions. This study found that perceptions of sexual
7 harassment in the coach-athlete relationship were influenced by factors such as, age, gender,
8 power and role. There remains a lack of research which has explored influencing factors in
9 relation to emotional abuse in sport.

10 **The Present Study**

11 The existing research on emotional abuse in youth sport has focused on experiences. The
12 present study makes a significant contribution through investigating the factors which may
13 influence perceptions. In the present study an exploratory design was employed using vignettes
14 to explore how perceptions may be shaped by the competitive level and performance outcome
15 depicted in the scenario. Firstly, the influence of competitive level will be investigated as
16 emotional abuse has been found to be experienced more by those involved at the more elite level
17 [5]. Secondly, the influence on perceptions was explored with respect to whether the
18 performance outcome was portrayed as successful or unsuccessful. This was selected as it has
19 been argued that 'the ends can justify the means' in relation to the use of emotional abuse in
20 youth sport [7].

21 We explored perceptions of the fictitious emotional abuse in terms of how common it is
22 perceived to be (RQ1), the perceived impact that it is having on performance (RQ2), the
23 perceived impact it is having on wellbeing (RQ3) and the perceived acceptability of the

1 behaviour (RQ4). It is hypothesised that the situational factors will have a significant influence
2 for each of these variables. It is important to note that performance and well-being are not being
3 directly measured. Instead, the vignettes used different depictions of the competitive level and
4 performance outcome. Such research is important as it can inform education programmes and
5 interventions designed to problematize the issue of emotional abuse in sport and enhance the
6 experience of young people within the sporting context. This is particularly important in light of
7 the potential impact of abuse [17-19]. It is now acknowledged that key stakeholders (e.g.,
8 coaches, parents, administrators) have a responsibility to promote and protect the psychological
9 wellbeing of young athletes [20]. This can be facilitated through developing an understanding as
10 to how abusive behaviours are perceived within the sporting context as this can then inform
11 associated policies and education programmes.

12 **Method**

13 **Vignette Development**

14 Ethical approval was obtained for this research from the University's ethical advisory
15 committee prior to data collection. A series of vignettes were developed based on real accounts
16 in previous research [2, 4] which described a coach's emotionally abusive behaviour from the
17 perspective of a 14 year old athlete. The athlete was described as 14 years old across all vignettes
18 such that another variable of athlete age was not introduced. This helped to limit the overall
19 number of vignettes viewed by the participants. This pool of possible vignettes were
20 administered to a sample of 15 coaches (6 females and 9 males; M age = 32.7 years; M
21 experience = 7.4 years) and 15 athletes (8 females and 7 males; M age = 19.2; M experience =
22 4.8 years) along with Stirling and Kerr's [3: p.182] definition of emotional abuse:

1 “A pattern of deliberate non-contact behaviours by a person within a critical relationship
2 role that has the potential to be harmful. Acts of emotional abuse include physical
3 behaviours, verbal behaviours, and acts of denying attention and support. These acts have
4 the potential to be spurning, terrorizing, isolating, exploiting/corrupting, or deny
5 emotional responsiveness, and may be harmful to an individual's affective, behavioural,
6 cognitive or physical well-being.”

7 This group confirmed that the behaviour described adequately fitted this definition and
8 that the depicted behaviour was at equivalent levels of severity. Thus, prior to any contextual
9 information being added, the six basic scenarios were agreed to constitute emotional abuse of
10 comparable levels.

11 Subsequently, contextual information was added to enhance the realism of the stories and
12 to explore how perceptions may change based on the two situational variables. The first of these
13 was competition which was described as being at one of three levels: club level (e.g., competing
14 with other clubs at the local level), the county level (e.g., competing at a higher level than club
15 athletes against the best in the county) and elite level (e.g., competing against the best in the
16 country). The performance outcome was given as successful (i.e., in relation to a performance
17 outcome such as winning a competition) or unsuccessful (i.e., in relation to underperformance
18 and not achieving goals). Again the final scenarios were shown to the 15 coaches and 15 athletes.
19 After reading each vignette they were asked to indicate the competitive level depicted and
20 whether there was a successful or unsuccessful performance outcome achieved. All responses
21 were 100% accurate. The gender of the coach and athlete were counter-balanced to remove any
22 gender effect. Dummy scenarios were also included to disguise the purpose of the study. These
23 depicted acceptable coaching behaviour as well as other forms of abuse.

1 **Participants**

2 Participants were recruited through making announcements in lectures. In order to
3 participate, an individual had to be over 18 and currently involved in sport as either a coach or an
4 athlete. All participants also had to have experience of youth sport involving 14 year old athletes
5 (either as an athlete aged 14 or as the coach of a 14 year old athlete). A convenience sample of
6 208 (106 males and 102 females) undergraduate university students were recruited to take part in
7 the study. Of these, 107 were currently athletes and 101 were coaches. Participants were
8 involved in both team ($N = 107$) and individual ($N = 101$) sports. They represented the full range
9 of competitive levels: international ($n = 24$; 11.54%); national ($n = 35$; 16.83%); county ($n = 75$;
10 36.05%); club ($n = 44$; 21.15%) and recreational ($n = 16$; 7.69%); with $n = 14$; 6.73% not
11 reporting their competitive level). Similar samples have been employed to examine perceptions
12 of sexual abuse in sport [16]. Participants were invited to one of eight different data collection
13 sessions which took place in a classroom.

14 **Data Collection**

15 At the start of each session, the aims and nature of the study were iterated and then a
16 demonstration of the data collection process was provided. Participants indicated their responses
17 via a Personal Response System (PRS), operating with Interwrite Response software (Version
18 1.0.0, Banxia software Ltd, Kendal). Participants' responses to each of the questions was
19 transmitted with their unique radio ID and automatically recorded by the PRS receiver. The
20 participants' informed consent and demographic information was obtained via questions each
21 presented on separate slides of a PowerPoint presentation. The demographic information
22 included gender, current sporting involvement (e.g., coach or athlete), sport type, and
23 competitive level.

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23

The series of vignettes were then displayed. Participants were given 1 minute to read each vignette. Each vignette was followed by four questions which asked participants to give ratings on five point scales regarding: 1. How common is this coach's behaviour in youth sport? (1 = very common, 2 = common, 3 = likely to happen, 4 = rare, 5 = very rare), 2. What impact is the coach's behaviour having on the athlete's performance? (1 = very positive, 2 = positive, 3 = neutral, 4 = negative 5 = very negative), 3. What impact is the coach's behaviour having on the athlete's wellbeing? (1 = very positive, 2 = positive, 3 = neutral, 4 = negative, 5 = very negative); and 4. How acceptable is this coach's behaviour? (1 = very acceptable, 2 = acceptable, 3 = neutral, 4 = negative, 5 = very unacceptable). Participants were given 15 seconds to respond to each question. They simply pressed the button which corresponded to their perception. There was then a one minute break and hence the data collection for each vignette took a total of three minutes.

Overall there were 16 vignettes (i.e., 2 x club-successful, 2 x club-unsuccessful, 2 x county-successful, 2 x county-unsuccessful, 2 x elite-successful, 2 x elite-unsuccessful, 2 x dummy scenarios and 2 x scenarios depicting physical abuse). The dummy scenarios depicted a supportive coach who was communicating with the athlete in an encouraging manner. The physical abuse vignettes described a coach hitting an athlete. These dummy and physical abuse scenarios were included to add some diversity. Data collection took approximately 60 minutes. After completion, all participants were verbally debriefed regarding the specific purpose of the study in terms of the variables of interest and thanked for their participation.

Data Analysis

1 outcome, $F(2) = 11.41$, $p < .01$. Specifically, competitive level had a significant effect when the
2 performance outcome was unsuccessful. The impact on wellbeing was perceived to be
3 significantly more negative for club athletes ($M = 4.72$) than county athletes ($M = 4.26$) which in
4 turn was significantly more negative than the elite athletes ($M = 4.00$). In contrast, competitive
5 level had no effect for a successful outcome (club $M = 4.14$, county $M = 3.96$ and elite $M =$
6 4.02).

7 Insert Table 3 near here

8 **Perceived Acceptability**

9
10 On a scale of 1 (very acceptable) - 5 (very unacceptable) on average the scenarios were
11 rated as having a mean of 4.09 ($SD=0.86$). Therefore, the behaviour was perceived as being
12 unacceptable. The behaviour was most unacceptable for the unsuccessful club athlete and most
13 acceptable for the successful club athlete. A two-way ANOVA showed a main effect for
14 performance outcome, $F(1) 21.46$, $p < .01$. However, competitive level did not have a significant
15 effect. There was a significant interaction effect between competitive level and outcome, $F(2)$
16 11.22 , $p < .01$. Further analysis revealed that at both the county level (successful $M = 3.81$;
17 unsuccessful $M = 4.23$) and at the club level (successful $M = 3.89$; unsuccessful $M = 4.62$), the
18 behaviour was viewed as significantly more unacceptable in the unsuccessful condition.
19 However, for the elite scenario, the manipulation of the performance outcome had no significant
20 effect (successful $M = 4.02$; unsuccessful $M = 3.95$).

21 **Discussion**

22 The aim of the present study was to explore how situational factors depicted in a
23 fictitious scenario influence perceptions of emotional abuse in relation to how common it is

1 perceived to be (RQ1), the perceived impact that it is having on performance (RQ2), the
2 perceived impact it is having on wellbeing (RQ3) and the perceived acceptability of the
3 behaviour (RQ4). Overall, competitive level and performance outcome were both found to
4 significantly influence participants' perceptions. This supports previous research through
5 illustrating that an abusive scenario is judged based on the context as a whole rather than simply
6 considering the specific behaviours being enacted [16-17].

7 In terms of RQ1, the emotionally abusive behaviour was perceived to be more common
8 in the unsuccessful condition as one progressed through the competitive levels. As a result, it
9 was perceived to be most common for the unsuccessful elite athlete. Perhaps it is the case that
10 emotionally abusive coaching is viewed as what is required to achieve peak performance at this
11 level. For the successful condition, the behaviour was viewed as being most common for the
12 county athlete. Brackenridge has suggested that athletes may be most vulnerable when reaching
13 the stage of imminent achievement, which may explain this finding [21]. When these results are
14 combined with research which has highlighted how emotional abuse can become normalized in
15 elite youth sport [9], one can argue that protecting potential and current elite young athletes
16 within such contexts is a key challenge for policy makers and practitioners. These findings
17 support previous research that has indicated that emotionally abusive behaviour is experienced
18 more by those competing at the higher competitive levels [5].

19 For RQ2, the behaviour was perceived to have a positive impact on performance in the
20 successful condition for all athletes. Interestingly, the most positive ratings were associated with
21 the club athlete which suggests that emotional abuse is viewed as beneficial for performance,
22 even at the more recreational levels. In contrast, the behaviour was viewed to be having a
23 negative impact on performance in the unsuccessful condition, particularly for the county and

1 club athletes. Therefore, the performance outcome appeared to be particularly important when
2 participants were judging the impact of the behaviour on performance.

3 Athletes and coaches can succumb to the traditional sporting adage ‘no pain, no gain’
4 [12]. It may be that the emotionally abusive coaching, when associated with a successful
5 outcome, is interpreted as a justification for the behaviour. Sporting success is often determined
6 by the performance outcome and therefore the notion of ‘the end justifies the means’ is often
7 instilled in the beliefs of many coaches and athletes. Consequently, emotional abuse is
8 ‘legalized’ by sport and hidden behind sporting success [4]. Particularly in elite sport, it is the
9 performance which is acknowledged and the methods in achieving such performances are often
10 disregarded [4].

11 In terms of RQ3, the emotionally abusive behaviour was perceived to have a negative
12 impact on well-being in all conditions. However, there were some interesting differences. In the
13 unsuccessful condition, the impact became increasingly less negative as one progressed up
14 through the competitive levels. As a result, it was perceived to be least negative for the
15 unsuccessful elite athlete. For the successful condition, the behaviour was viewed as being least
16 negative for the county athlete, which echoes the findings for RQ1 above. Again this supports
17 Brackenridge’s [21] argument that athletes may be most vulnerable at this stage. Stirling and
18 Kerr have identified that athletes report a range of negative outcomes for their well-being as a
19 result of emotional abuse [10]. Whilst the participants in this research did perceive the behaviour
20 as having a negative impact on well-being, the extent of this negativity did vary which may
21 result in certain athletes being more vulnerable.

22 For RQ4, the emotionally abusive behaviour was perceived to be unacceptable in all
23 conditions. However, as with RQ3, there were some interesting differences. In the unsuccessful

1 condition, the behaviour became increasingly more acceptable as one progressed up through the
2 competitive levels. As a result, it was perceived to be less unacceptable for the unsuccessful elite
3 athlete. For the club and county athletes, the behaviour was viewed as being more acceptable
4 when associated with a successful outcome. Interestingly, the performance outcome did not
5 influence perceptions of acceptability for the elite scenario. It may be that the elite athlete is
6 viewed as having achieved success due to competing at this high level. This may override any
7 influence of an unsuccessful event.

8 A possible explanation for many of these findings may come from Bandura's concept of
9 moral disengagement [22]. This concerns the process of convincing oneself that ethical standards
10 do not apply in given circumstances. This helps to circumvent the negative emotions of
11 perpetrating or witnessing behaviours which would normally be viewed as immoral. These
12 mechanisms can focus on the outcome of the behaviour, such as moral justification (e.g., the
13 ends justifies the means). In other words, the emotional abuse is justified if it is perceived to
14 have enhanced performance and contributed to the winning of a competition. Other mechanisms
15 of moral disengagement focus on responsibility. For instance, diffusion of responsibility
16 concerns a perception that everyone is coaching this way and hence it is acceptable. Finally,
17 moral disengagement can focus on the victim of the abuse. For example, a perceiver may argue
18 that the child athlete chooses to attend the training and to continue participation and hence it
19 cannot be abusive if the athlete maintains their involvement. Providing information regarding the
20 competitive level or performance outcome may facilitate a disengagement on the part of the
21 participant from the moral aspect of their ratings. Fundamentally, an adult emotionally abusing a
22 young person is not ethical. However, sport may provide a context within which people can
23 morally disengage when they view behaviour which would be viewed as immoral in many other

1 contexts. This explanation is purely an assertion at this stage which merits further empirical
2 investigation.

3 The present study also opens up many avenues for further research. There is clear
4 potential to employ the approach used in this study to explore the role of other factors in shaping
5 perceptions of abuse (e.g., the gender and age of the coach and athlete being described).
6 Perceptions of other forms of abuse could also be studied including sexual harassment and abuse
7 as well as physical abuse [1]. This method could also be replicated with other key stakeholders
8 such as parents and sports administrators.

9 There are a number of limitations to the present research which must be acknowledged.
10 Although all efforts were taken to ensure the realism of the scenarios, they remained written
11 stories on a slide. Perceptions may have been different if the same behaviour had been witnessed
12 in reality and the participant was actually involved in the situation. Furthermore the impact on
13 performance and well-being was only assessed as a perception and no actual measures were
14 taken. Thus the findings are limited to perceived impacts as opposed to any actual effects. The
15 fictitious scenarios may have contributed to moral disengagement in the sense that no one is
16 really being abused and hence there is no actual victim. Furthermore, due to the nature of data
17 collection, only quantitative ratings were recorded. The underlying rationale behind these
18 perceptions can only be inferred and merit investigation in further research. Participants were
19 also university students who were involved in sport as a coach or an athlete and hence the
20 findings cannot be confidently generalized beyond this group. Due to their involvement in sport,
21 they may well have received training which could have contributed to socially desirable ratings.
22 Replications of this study using different samples is required to assess the reliability of these
23 findings in different groups.

1 In conclusion, this study makes an important contribution through considering
2 perceptions of emotional abuse within the youth sport context. In the drive towards increasing
3 performance and participation, it is key that the sport community advocates safe performance
4 and safe participation. Through understanding the situational factors that influence coaches' and
5 athletes' perceptions of emotional abuse, the sports community should be better equipped to
6 develop future initiatives for abuse prevention in this environment.

7

8

References

1. Raakman E, Dorsch K & Rhind, DJA. (2010) The Development of a Typology of Abusive Coaching Behaviours Within Youth Sport. *Int J Sports Sci Coach*; 5; 503-515.
2. Stirling AE & Kerr GA. (2009) Abused athletes' perceptions of the coach-athlete relationship. *Sport in Soc*; 12; 227–239. doi: 10.1080/17430430802591019.
3. Stirling AE & Kerr GA. (2008) Defining and categorizing emotional abuse in sport. *Euro J Sport Sci*; 8; 173–181. doi: 10.1080/17461390802086281
4. Gervis M & Dunn N. (2004) The emotional abuse of elite child athletes by their coaches. *Child Abuse Rev*; 13; 215–223. doi: 10.1002/car.843
5. Alexander K, Stafford A, & Lewis R. (2011) *The Experiences of Children Participating in Organized Sport in the UK*. NSPCC: London.
6. Stirling AE & Kerr GA (2007) Elite female swimmers experiences of emotional abuse across time. *J Emotional Abuse*; 7; 89-113.
7. Gervis M. (2010). From concept to model: A new model to understand the process of emotional abuse in elite child sport. In C Brackenridge & DJA Rhind (Eds.). *Elite Child Athlete Welfare: International Perspectives*. London: Brunel University Press.
8. Stirling AE (2013) Understanding the use of emotionally abusive coaching practices. *Int J Sports Sci Coach*; 8; 625-639.
9. Stirling AE & Kerr G. (2014) Initiating and Sustaining Emotional Abuse in the Coach-Athlete Relationship: An Ecological Transactional Model of Vulnerability. *J Aggression Maltreatment Trauma*; 23; 116-125.
10. Stirling AE & Kerr G. (2013) The perceived effects of elite athletes' experiences of emotional abuse in the coach-athlete relationship. *Int J Sport Ex Psych*; 11; 87-100.

- 1 11. Brackenridge C & Rhind DJA. (2010) *Elite Child Athlete Welfare: International*
2 *Perspectives*. London: Brunel University Press.
- 3 12. Reynolds MJ & Taylor MC (2002) Perceptions of abuse in coaching. In D Hemphill & C
4 Symons (Eds.), *Gender, sexuality and sport: A dangerous mix* (pp. 31-38). Petersham:
5 Walla Walla Press.
- 6 13. Bornstein BH, Kaplan DL & Perry AR. (2007) Child abuse in the eyes of the beholder:
7 Lay perceptions of child sexual and physical abuse. *Child Abuse Neglect; 31; 375–391*.
8 doi:10.1016/j.chiabu.2006.09.007.
- 9 14. Goldsmith RE & Freyd JJ. (2005) Awareness of emotional abuse. *J Emotional Abuse; 5;*
10 *95–123*. doi: 10.1300/J135v05n01_04.
- 11 15. Pozzulo JD, Dempsey JL & Crescini C. (2010) Factors affecting juror decisions in
12 historic child sexual abuse cases involving continuous memories. *Crim Just Behavior;*
13 *37; 951–964*. doi: 10.1177/0093854810373587.
- 14 16. Hassall CE, Johnston LH, & Bringer JD. (2002) Coach and athlete perceptions of
15 ambiguous behaviours and sexual harassment. *J Sport Ped; 8; 1-21*.
- 16 17. Gibb BE, Butler AC, & Beck JS. (2003) Childhood abuse, depression, and anxiety in
17 adult psychiatric outpatients. *Depression Anxiety; 17; 226–228*. doi: 10.1002/da.10111.
- 18 18. Harkness KL & Wildes JE. (2002) Childhood adversity and anxiety versus dysthymia co-
19 morbidity in major depression. *Psych Med; 32; 1239–1249*. doi:
20 10.1017/S0033291702006177.
- 21 19. Springer KW, Sheridan J, Kuo D & Carnes M. (2007) Long-term physical and mental
22 health consequences of childhood physical abuse: Results from a large population-based

1 sample of men and women. *Child Abuse Neglect*; 31; 517–530.

2 doi:10.1016/j.chiabu.2007.01.003.

3 20. International Olympic Committee (2008) Training the elite child athlete. *Brit J Sports*

4 *Med*; 42; 163-164.

5 21. Brackenridge C. (2001). *Spoil Sports*. London, Routledge.

6 22. Bandura A. (1999) Moral disengagement and the perpetration of inhumanities.

7 *Personality Soc Psych Rev*; 3; 193-209.

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

1 **Table 1: The perceived commonality of the behaviour**

Competitive			
Level	Performance	Mean	Std. Deviation
<i>Elite</i>	Successful	3.27*	0.99
	Unsuccessful	3.06	1.02
County	Successful	3.08	0.98
	Unsuccessful	3.30*	1.09
Club	Successful	3.18	0.99
	Unsuccessful	3.59*	1.02

2 *= This indicates that a significant difference was found at $p < .01$

3

4 **Table 2: The perceived impact on performance**

Competitive			
Level	Performance	Mean	Std. Deviation
<i>Elite</i>	Successful	2.82	1.25
	Unsuccessful	3.82*	0.87
County	Successful	2.96	1.02
	Unsuccessful	4.45	0.72
Club	Successful	2.61*	1.16
	Unsuccessful	4.59	0.75

5 *= This indicates that a significant difference was found at $p < .01$

6

7

8

9

1 **Table 3: The perceived impact on wellbeing**

Competitive			
Level	Performance	Mean	Std. Deviation
<i>Elite</i>	Successful	4.28	0.75
	Unsuccessful	4.00	0.72
County	Successful	3.96	0.84
	Unsuccessful	4.26*	0.75
Club	Successful	4.14	0.80
	Unsuccessful	4.72*	0.56

2 *= This indicates that a significant difference was found at $p < .01$

3
4
5
6
7

7 **Table 4: The perceived acceptability of the behaviour**

Competitive			
Level	Performance	Mean	Std. Deviation
<i>Elite</i>	Successful	4.02	0.90
	Unsuccessful	3.95	0.95
County	Successful	3.81	0.87
	Unsuccessful	4.23*	0.76
Club	Successful	3.89	0.92
	Unsuccessful	4.62*	0.72

8 *= This indicates that a significant difference was found at $p < .01$

9
10
11
12

