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# 1. INTRODUCTION

The long-run relationship between bond yields and prices first noticed by Gibson (1923), has become known in the literature as Gibson’s paradox. Numerous studies have been carried out and have failed to account for it: Friedman and Schwartz (1976) in fact defined it as an “empirical phenomenon without a theoretical explanation”. The original study by Gibson (1923) examined the long-run correlation between the cost of living and bond yields (consols) in Britain. Fisher (1930) focused on expected inflation to explain the difference between nominal and real interest rates and resolve the paradox. By contrast, Keynes (1930) interpreted it in terms of the so-called Keynes’ effect: in his opinion, long-term nominal interest rates move together with the natural rate of interest (with a lag), which accounts for the co-movement between interest rates and prices. The Harrod-Keynes effect, Clayton et al. (1971) links inflation to the real as opposed to the nominal rate. Other studies emphasise the role of the gold standard, Barsky and Summers (1988) or of changes in monetary policy as in Cogley et al. (2011). Shiller and Siegel (1977) investigate the relationship between long-term nominal and real interest rate fluctuations.

The present study analyses Gibson’s paradox in the case of the Netherlands. In contrast to earlier contributions, such as Fields (1984) and Fase (1972), it uses a long span of data for the period 1800-2012, and adopts a very general nonlinear framework based on spectral analysis as well as considering 73 potential explanatory variables. Singular Spectrum Analysis and related models have been used in this study in order to address possible non-stationarity and structural breaks issues in this context.

The rest of the paper is structured as follows. Section 2 presents the data and outlines the methodology. Section 3 discusses the empirical findings. Section 4 provides some concluding remarks.

# 2. DATA AND METHODOLOGY

## 2.1 Data sources and description

This study uses a data set including 73 variables that could potentially be relevant to explaining Gibson’s paradox in the Netherlands over the period 1800-2012. The series have been obtained from following sources: Zanden and Riel (2000), Zanden and Lindblad (1989), Smits et al. (2000), Hart et al. (2010),

van Bochove and Huitker (1987),

Netherland (2010),

(Netherland, 2012), CBS - Historical series - Publications (2013), International Institute of Social History (2013), Homer and Sylla (2011), Jacobs and Smits (2001), Barro and Ursúa, (2008), Officer and Williamson (2013), Bos (2008), Groote et al. (1996), Homepage Statistics - De Nederlandsche Bank (2013), van den End (2011).

In some cases observations were missing for the periods 1800-1813, 1913-1917, 1939-1946. In such cases the SSA Reconstruction/Prediction filter (Kspectra program 3.4) has been used to fill the gaps, following Harvey (1990), Hamilton (1994), and Priestley (1981). Samples and variable descriptions are listed in Table A1 in the Appendix. In the end only 15 of the 73 variables were included in the empirical model, on the basis of data availability, theoretical considerations and pre-processing analysis (PCA screening).

**2.2 Descriptive analysis**

Co-movement between long-term interest rates (LR - yields on Consols) and consumer prices (CPI – consumer price index 1995=100) as described in Gibson (1923) is clearly present in the Netherlands (see Figure 1). However, a break occurred after WWII. An even stronger correlation can be seen between short-term rates and prices (see Figure 2).

**Insert Figure 1 around here**

**Insert Figure 2 around here**

Further evidence is provided by Table 1, which reports the correlation coefficients for different sub-samples. It would suggest that Gibson’s paradox only existed in the 19th century. However, such a conclusion, based on static sample correlations, would be misleading. In fact the rolling window correlation shown in Figure 3, which provides a dynamic picture, supports the existence of Gibson’s paradox in the Netherlands.

**Insert Figure 3 around here**

It is clear from this figure that changes in monetary policy regimes and the adoption of the gold standard by themselves cannot explain the observed Gibson’s paradox. A bimetallic silver/gold standard regime was present in the Netherlands in the period 1815-1848, whilst the classical gold standard regime was in place in 1875-1913, was suspended in 1914-1925, readopted in 1926-1936, and then abandoned. Gibson’s paradox was present in the Netherlands both under the classical gold regime and when this regime was abandoned or suspended. Another policy regime change (i.e., Netherlands joining EMU) had a large impact on the price-interest link: from 1999 bond yields declined rapidly while prices remained stable.

Table 1

Coefficients of Correlation Between LR and CPI, log CPI in The Netherlands 1800-2012

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Periods | LR and CPI | LR and logCPI | Gibson paradox existence |
| 1800-1850 | 0.73\* | 0.74\* | YES |
| 1850-1900 | 0.79\* | 0.80\* | YES |
| 1900-1950 | 0.11\* | 0.23\* | YES |
| 1950-2012 | -0.12\* | 0.09\* | Until 2009 and after NO |

\*At the 5% confidence level

Source: Author’s calculation

Gibson’s paradox is clearly observable in the Netherlands from the beginning of the 19th century till the 1970s. Then it started becoming less important, completely disappearing (with the correlation between LR and CPI becoming negative) after 2008. The correlation coefficients for different sub-samples (see Table 1) indicate that it was present in various sub-periods, and therefore the significant correlation coefficient is not just due to the big size of the sample.

## 2.3 Statistical framework

Spectral techniques are used in this study to examine the correlation between LR and CPI. A moving window correlation coefficient is calculated to establish whether or not there is a Gibson’s paradox in the Dutch economy, as a (significant) positive coefficient would indicate. Spectral analysis allows to decompose the LR series into different frequency bands. The estimated spectrum shows periodicity and oscillations in long-term bond yields. Three different spectral measures are used here, namely Squared Coherency, Gain and the Phase spectrum.

The Coherency spectrum is calculated as in Priestley (1981)

 (1)

where is coherency at frequency , represents the co-spectrum and is the quadrature spectrum. Coherency has the same interpretation as R2 in the time domain and measures the proportion of the variance of LR at a given frequency explained by the variance of LCPI (the logarithm of the consumer price index). A value around 0.50 is thought to be relatively small, whilst values above 0.75 are considered significant.

The Gain (spectrum) statistic has the same interpretation as the regression coefficient in the linear regression, see Engle (1976)

 (2)

*Gxy (θ)* being the gain, the cross-amplitude spectrum and the input spectrum.

High gain values (0.8-1.0) associated with high coherence values (>0.75) would indicate that fluctuations in prices were transmitted to long-term bond yields.

The Phase spectrum *φxy (ω)* shows the lead/lag relationship between LR and LCPI and can be expressed in the form as in Warner (1998)

 . (3)

At high frequencies (ω), when the squared coherency is largest, the phase spectrum has positive values, suggesting that price changes lead to LR changes.

Nonlinearity tests (not reported here), specifically the BDS test - Broock et al. (1996) and one of its variants, Kočenda (2001) detect nonlinear behaviour in the LR, CPI and LCPI series. For this reason, SSA (Singular Spectrum Analysis) and MSSA (Multichannel Singular Spectrum Analysis) techniques are used in this study. SSA detects trends, oscillatory patterns and noise in the series. The single channel SSA involves two main steps: decomposition and reconstruction. Decomposition is obtained by embedding the original time series (LR, LCPI) into lagged vector sequences of the form (trajectory matrix), Golyandina and Zhigljavsky (2013)

 . (4)

A singular value decomposition of the trajectory matrix (4) has the form X = X1 + … +X*d* with. The diagonal averaging method is applied to reconstruct the original LR time series as the sum of the identified principal components. The Eigentriple grouping takes the form  with the input series LR decomposed as

 (5)

with n = 1,2,…,N, Golyandina and Zhigljavsky (2013).

Singular spectrum analysis is used to decompose the seriesand to isolate the trend, periodic and oscillatory components in the bond yields series. Since the relationship between LR and LCPI is the focus of the analysis, SSA has to be extended to the multichannel singular spectrum. The trajectory matrix in this case takes the following form Golyandina and Zhigljavsky (2013):

  (6)

with the Toeplitz “grand” block matrix, Ghil et al. (2002)

 . (7)

The following covariance matrix is used to identify and extract the spatial temporal structure (the principal patterns in time as well as in space) of the underlying stochastic process:

. (8)

To test for the statistical significance of the identified oscillatory channels (the extracted spectral components) a Monte Carlo test (MC-SSA) of Allen and Smith (1996) against the red noise null hypothesis following AR(1) is performed, for more details see Ghil and Yiou (1996), Ghil and Taricco (1997). It takes the following form:

 (9)

where *X0* is the mean of the process, *a1* the constant and *σ* the variance under the assumption of a Gaussian white noise process *ξ*. To check the robustness of the MSSA results (i.e., the statistical significance of the identified oscillations), a Granger causality test based on singular spectrum analysis is carried out following Hassani et al. (2010):

 (10)

with  representing the mean square forecast error from the univariate SSA, and  including *XT* and *YT+*1 (the lagged differenced series) being the mean square forecast error from MSSA. If , *YT+*1 Granger causes *XT* , whilst if  there is no association between *XT* and *YT+*1. Bivariate Granger causality (forecasting feedback) exists if both  and . Theforecasting efficiency of the MSSA modelis assessed by the means of the Mariano and Diebold (1995) test specified as in Hassani et al. (2010) :

 (11)

with  being the sample mean of the vector *Dt* and  the autocovariance of *Dt* .

Several empirical issues have to be addressed in this context. For instance, Groth and Ghil (2011) highlight the difficulty of identifying the oscillation patterns when the corresponding eigenvalues are similar in size. The VARIMAX rotation for the MSSA algorithm is used here to address this issue.

The number of observations (*n*) for all series *n* = 213 satisfies the condition set by Granger and Hatanaka (1964) for the minimum *n*. Since spectral analysis (Toeplitz structure) assumes stationarity of the series, all of them were centered, normalised (with the mean removed and divided by the standard deviation) and differenced to achieve stationarity. Following Granger and Hatanaka (1964), Elsner and Tsonis (1996), Golyandina et al. (2010), an optimal window size should be chosen applying the general rule m = Nt/2, Nt/3, Nt/4. The MSSA specification was introduced by Hassani and Mahmoudvand (2013) and the SSA-based causality test by Hassani et al. (2012). The optimal window size has been chosen applying the rule of thumb for SSA as in Hassani et al. (2011) and Hassani et al. (2012). For the Multivariate Singular Spectrum Analysis the window size has been selected following Hassani and Mahmoudvand (2013).

# 3. EMPIRICAL RESULTS

**3.1 Spectral analysis**

The Phase spectrum between LR and LCPI is displayed in Figure 4, which suggests that prices lead long-term interest rates. However, the negative co-spectrum values point to LR leading LCPI.

**Insert Figure 4 around here**

The Coherency function (see Figure 5) shows the degree to which LR can be represented as a linear function of CPI. It displays the squared conference function, which is equivalent to the Adjusted R2 in the time domain. It implies a high correlation between LR and LCPI as expected from theory, with the maximum frequency domain correlation at 2-3 years (the period is calculated as the inverse of frequency band). The strongest correlation between LR and LCPI is found for 1-7 years; then it decays slowly, reaching a minimum after 10 years. It is noteworthy that the correlation coefficient is bigger in the long run than after two years, suggesting long memory in both series. The coherence is above 0.8 for short periods (higher frequencies), then drops before reverting back to 0.8 in the long run. The Coherency function indicates that LR and LCPI are highly correlated both in the short and long run.

**Insert Figure 5 around here**

The Gain factor, equivalent to the regression coefficient in the time domain, is higher for the log of the price level (LCPI) than for LR (see Figure 6). The high coherence implies that changes in LR transmitted to LCPI are magnified 1-2 times, whilst in the opposite direction the corresponding percentage is only 20-100%.

**Insert Figure 6 around here**

The results from spectral analysis can be summarised as follows:

1. The coherence between LR and LCPI is high implying that movements in one series have a strong and long lasting effect on the other, with LCPI affecting LR in particular.
2. The gain coefficient is higher for LCPI than for LR, indicating that movements in the former have a larger impact on the latter than vice versa.
3. The phase angle is close to zero and 2π, therefore LR and LCPI are simultaneously affecting each other both in the short and long run.

Overall, the spectral analysis provides evidence of strong correlation between LR and LCPI (i.e., of a Gibson’s paradox in the Netherlands), but gives no information on causality linkages. A Multichannel Singular Spectrum Causality (MSSA) test, outlined in the next section, is carried out to shed light on causation.

## 3.2 Multichannel Singular Spectrum Analysis

On the basis of the Cochrane-Orcutt AR(1) procedure and logit regression results (not reported here) we select 15 variables (statistically most significant) from the pool of total 73 variables examined in this study. Selected variables areCPI, DEBT, VOL, EXPSTIR, GOLDSIL, TURNOVER, RORK2, UN, M1, EXPP, DR, NIR6, EXP3, PPG (see table A1). VOL stands for gold price volatility and is a proxy for the gold standard regime shift, with no effect on the price-interest rate link. DR is the DNB bank discount rate (primary credit rate) and is a proxy for inflation policy regime shifts, which appear to have a significant impact instead. Overall, the MSSA model confirms that Gibson’s paradox was still present in the Netherlands after the II World War, in fact until 2008 (see Figure 3). The substantial fall in bond yields that occurred from 1978 was in fact accompanied by a fall in prices (until 1999). The loss of monetary policy independence in January 1999 could be a plausible explanation for the disappearance of Gibson’s paradox after 2008.

**Insert Figure 7 around here**

Figure 7 shows the Monte Carlo singular spectral analysis (SSA) for the transformed LR series. It is clear that there are no components significantly different from the simulated red noise. The analysis is done for M (window length) = 50,100 with confidence intervals representing 2.5 (lower tick) and 97.5 (upper tick) red noise percentiles. Monte Carlo significance tests did not detect any significant deterministic and oscillatory patterns in the transformed LR series. Dutch bond yields cannot be distinguished from a red noise AR(1) process since the eigenvalues of LR lie within the red noise process bound. Since no significant oscillatory pair (extracted spectral components) outside the red noise bound has been found, the null hypothesis of transformed LR series following a red noise process, i.e. an AR(1) one, cannot be rejected. Thus, movements in LR cannot be explained by trend, periodic or oscillatory components in the series. This implies that the MSSA analysis of the bivariate relationship between LR and LCPI is necessary to describe the LR dynamics.

**Insert Figure 8 around here**

Figure 8 shows the statistically significant oscillatory patterns in LR and LCPI around the frequency 0.18-0.20 indicating a possible five-year cycle. Since they do not repeat themselves over time, they cannot be defined as cycles in Gibson’s paradox. The calculated eigenvalue pairs are not statistically significant for either short or high frequencies. This implies that changes in LR and LCPI during the 1-4 year period and > 6 periods have no significant impact on the relationship between LR and LCPI. Variations in Gibson’s paradox can be explained by fluctuations in interest rates and prices over the 5-5.5 year’s period. Changes in the cost of living have no direct and immediate effect on the long-term interest rates. This is in accordance with the results of Mises and Greaves (2011). The eigenvalues functions for LR and LCPI (not displayed here) in fact slowly increase from 0, reaching a maximum after 5.5 years and slowly decaying thereafter.

The MSSA analysis once again supports the existence of Gibson’s paradox in the Netherlands, identifying 5-5.5 year oscillations in LR and LCPI. The results are presented in Table 2, which displays the identified principal (spectral) components and the associated total variance explained by the significant eigenvalues pairs.

Table 2

*Multivariate SSA: variable-based results (m=50)*

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Series | Frequency | Power | % of variance  Explained | % of cumulative variance  Explained |
| LR\* | 0.003 | 61.33 | 9.18 | 9.18 |
| LCPI | 0.018 | 16.51 | 2.47 | 11.65 |
| DEBT | 0.035 | 13.63 | 2.04 | 13.69 |
| VOL | 0.082 | 13.52 | 2.02 | 15.71 |
| EXPSTIR\* | 0.111 | 13.46 | 2.01 | 17.72 |
| GOLDSIL | 0.03 | 13.01 | 1.95 | 19.67 |
| TURNOVER | 0.081 | 12.96 | 1.94 | 21.61 |
| RORK2\* | 0.111 | 12.02 | 1.8 | 22.69 |
| UN | 0.019 | 11.68 | 1.75 | 24.44 |
| M1\* | 0.174 | 11.22 | 1.68 | 26.12 |
| EXPP\* | 0.174 | 10.77 | 1.61 | 27.73 |
| DR\* | 0.202 | 10.59 | 1.58 | 29.31 |
| NIR6\* | 0.202 | 10.53 | 1.58 | 30.89 |
| EXP3\* | 0.136 | 10.39 | 1.56 | 32.45 |
| PPG\* | 0.136 | 10.05 | 1.50 | 33.95 |

Source: Author’s calculations

Notes. \* patterns significantly (p < .05) different from Monte Carlo (1000) simulated red noise

Table 2 confirms the multivariate and complex nature of Gibson’s paradox (see also Figure 9). Of the 15 spectral components, 4 eigenvalue pairs passed the Monte Carlo test. The price level (LCPI) and unemployment (UN) eigenvalue pair is only weakly significant with eigenvalues towards the upper bounds of the confidence interval. Autoregressive (long memory) movements in bond yields explain 9.18% (partial variance) of the total variance. The pairs EXPSTIR and RORK2 account for 3.81% of the total variance of the series. Short-term interest rates and returns on capital are clearly distinguishable from the AR(1) red noise process. The DEBT and GOLDSIL eigenvalue pair instead fail to pass a red noise Monte Carlo test (i.e., they are not significantly different from the red noise), and the same holds for the VOL and TURNOVER eigenvalue pair. The other pairs (M1 and EXPP; DR and NIR6; EXP3 and PPG) capture 3.29%, 3.16% and 3.06% respectively of the total variance and in all cases are significantly different from the simulated red noise at the 95% confidence level.

**Insert Figure 9 around here**

LCPI and UN are not statisticallysuggesting that some important oscillatory components might have been left out; therefore, an MSSA model with a different windows size (m=100) is also estimated following Golyandina et al. (2010) and the general rule m=N/2. As expected, choosing a bigger window size results in more significant oscillatory patterns being identified (see Figure 10).

**Insert Figure 10 around here**

Both the LR and LCPI oscillations now appear to be significantly different from the simulated red noise process. VOL and EXPSTIR are now weakly significant, as are the other previously identified significant eigenvalues pairs (implying rejections of the red noise null hypothesis), as can be seen from Table 3.

Estimating MSSA with different window sizes leads to the same conclusion. Overall, there is clear evidence that Gibson’s paradox in the Netherlands is a multivariate phenomenon that can be explained by a large number of variables. Nine (9) PCA components (statistically significant eigenvalues) were identified with an MSSA (m=100) model explaining 34.58% of the total variance.

Table 3

*Multivariate SSA: variable-based results (m=100)*

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Series | Frequency | Power | % of variance  explained | % of cumulative variance  explained |
| LR\* | 0.000 | 74.53 | 6.03 | 6.03 |
| LCPI\* | 0.003 | 52.91 | 4.28 | 10.31 |
| DEBT | 0.02 | 27.66 | 2.24 | 12.55 |
| VOL | 0.081 | 27.25 | 2.21 | 14.76 |
| EXPSTIR | 0.082 | 27.15 | 2.20 | 16.96 |
| GOLDSIL | 0.031 | 25.02 | 2.02 | 18.98 |
| TURNOVER | 0.032 | 24.64 | 1.99 | 20.97 |
| RORK2\* | 0.111 | 24.12 | 1.95 | 22.92 |
| UN | 0.021 | 23.82 | 1.93 | 24.85 |
| M1\* | 0.125 | 20.77 | 1.68 | 26.53 |
| EXPP\* | 0.176 | 20.31 | 1.64 | 28.17 |
| DR\* | 0.176 | 20.19 | 1.63 | 29.8 |
| NIR6\* | 0.202 | 19.73 | 1.60 | 31.4 |
| EXP3\* | 0.109 | 19.67 | 1.59 | 32.99 |
| PPG\* | 0.203 | 19.65 | 1.59 | 34.58 |

Source: Author’s calculations

Notes. \* patterns significantly (p < .05) different from Monte Carlo (1000) simulated red noise

The patterns emerging from the table above were reconstructed in the time domain using MSSA for tracing a limit cycle. The identified cycle has a period of 5-5.5 years and captures 34.58% of the total variance. The significant oscillatory components approximate well the dynamical behaviour of LR (see Figure 11).

**Insert Figure 11 around here**

Figure 11 shows the reconstructed LR series using dominant principal components (oscillatory patterns) identified previously with the MSSA model (see table 3). The reconstruction based on the MSSA components *1*(LR), *2*(LCPI), *3*(RORK2), *4*(M1), *5*(EXPP), *6*(DR), *7*(NIR6), *8*(EXP3), *9*(PPG) explains well the fluctuations in long-term bond yields. Changes in prices and lagged interest rates account for most of the variance of the LR series. The return on capital and money supply eigenvalues do not differ significantly from other dominant eigenvalues. In fact, they capture approximately a similar percentage of the total variance. The expected profit rate, expected inflation and the natural interest rate oscillatory movements account for some of the fluctuations in bond yields. Changes in purchasing power and the DNB official discount rate also play a role. Comparing the reconstructed series with the original pre-processed LR series (see Figure 11), several points emerge. Structural shocks, breaks in the series such as the creation of De Netherlands Bank (1814), followed by the 1831 Belgium crisis, the industrial development phase starting in 1848, the prosperity phase starting in 1920 the end of the golden age in 1974, the first and the second crisis under the EMS regime (1981 and 1990 respectively), explain some of the fluctuations in bond yields. On the whole, the identified principal components track well their behaviour over the period 1800-2012.

## 3.2 Multivariate Spectral Granger Causality Analysis

Table 4 shows the results of the multivariate spectral Granger causality analysis. Both unidirectional and bidirectional feedback between the series is examined. Evidence of bidirectional feedback is found in four cases, namely between LR and LCPI, LR and M1, LR and DR, LR and EXP3.

Table 4

*Multivariate Spectral Granger Causality Analysis*

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| *Causality relation* |  |  |
| *MSSA forecast MSE of LR (LCPI as second series)* | *(LCPI → LR) 0.99\** | *(LR → LCPI) 0.81\** |
| MSSA forecast MSE of LR (RORK2 as second series) | (RORK2 → LR) 0.99\* | (LR → RORK2) 1.15 |
| *MSSA forecast MSE of LR (M1 as second series)* | *(M1 → LR) 0.99\** | *(LR → M1) 0.29\** |
| MSSA forecast MSE of LR (EXPP as second series) | (EXPP → LR) 1.00 | (LR → EXPP) 0.80\* |
| *MSSA forecast MSE of LR (DR as second series)* | *(DR → LR) 0.95\** | *(LR → DR) 0.98\** |
| MSSA forecast MSE of LR (NIR6 as second series) | (NIR6 → LR) 1.01 | (LR → NIR6) 0.18\* |
| *MSSA forecast MSE of LR (EXP3 as second series)* | *(EXP3 → LR) 0.98\** | *(LR → EXP3) 0.89\** |
| MSSA forecast MSE of LR (PPG as second series) | (PPG → LR) 0.98\* | (LR → PPG) 1.70 |

Source: Author’s calculations

*Notes.* (X → Y) X Granger cause *Y* and(Y → X) Y Granger cause X, results statistically significant at 5% level.

*MSE – mean squared forecast error,* Granger causality multivariate spectral criterion, \* Granger cause

For example, using extra information from the LCPI series (MSSA) improves the accuracy of the one-step ahead forecast compared to the univariate forecast (SSA). The same holds for the return on capital, money supply, the official discount DNB rate, expected inflation and purchasing power. As a check of the spectral Granger causality test results, the Mariano and Diebold (1995) statistical test is then applied (see Table 5).

Table 5

*Diebold-Mariano Test Statistics for h Step Ahead Forecast (h=40)*

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| *Forecasting Method* | *Test Statistics* | P-value |
| SSA of LR | 1.424 | - |
| SSA of LR against MSSA of LR (LCPI as second series) | 1.423\* | 0.92 |
| SSA of LR against MSSA of LR (RORK2 as second series) | 1.416\* | 0.47 |
| SSA of LR against MSSA of LR (M1 as second series) | 1.442 | 0.53 |
| SSA of LR against MSSA of LR (EXPP as second series) | 1.438 | 0.36 |
| SSA of LR against MSSA of LR (DR as second series) | 1.404\* | 0.05 |
| SSA of LR against MSSA of LR (NIR6 as second series) | 1.457 | 0.009 |
| SSA of LR against MSSA of LR (EXP3 as second series) | 1.403\* | 0.27 |
| SSA of LR against MSSA of LR (PPG as second series) | 1.406\* | 0.22 |

Source: Author’s calculations

Notes. Null hypothesis – forecast accuracy of prediction methods is equal

MSSA forecasting method provides better forecasts, \* gives higher predictive accuracy

The test results show that the MSSA-based forecasts outperform the SSA-based ones (lower MSE), supporting the conclusions from the multivariate spectral Granger causality analysis. Table 5 presents the results that are statistically significant at the 5% level. For long term interest rates on consols, our model gives better prediction for the LR dynamics 1 steps ahead (1 year), and suggests that long-term interest rate dynamics are highly influenced by other macroeconomic variables.

# 4. CONCLUSIONS

This paper investigates Gibson’s paradox in the Netherlands over the period 1800-2012 using nonlinear spectral methods (namely, SSA and MSSA) and a large set of potential explanatory variables, unlike previous studies considering at most two. The fifteen variables included in the selected model explain 35% of the total variance in bond yields over the period examined, providing strong evidence of the existence of the paradox in the Netherlands, as already documented by Fields (1984), Fase (1972) (whilst the opposite conclusion was reached by Ram (1987). An even higher percentage of the variance could have been explained if variables such as the DNB gold reserves could have been included, but unfortunately the relevant data are only available from 1875. Although policy regime changes (such as the adoption of the classical gold standard or the loss of central bank independence when the Netherlands joined EMU) appear to affect the relationship, as also argued by Cogley et al. (2011) in the case of the US), they cannot account for it since it appears to be present under all the various regimes

The empirical results indicate the existence of a 5-5.5 year oscillatory pattern in long-term bond yields in the Netherlands. They also suggest that Gibson’s paradox is a very complex phenomena, that cannot easily be explained by exogenous shocks (Keynes’ effect, Fishers’ effect, Summers’ effect, Wicksell’s effect) or standard liquidity preference, loanable funds and rational expectations models. Nonlinearities are clearly an important feature of this relationship which should be modelled explicitly to understand its dynamic properties.
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Appendix

Table A1 *Time Series Data List and Model Variables Description*

|  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- |
| Time Series ID | Sample | Variable description |
| LR | 1800-2012 | Long-term interest rate yield on Consols (government bonds) in % |
| CPI | 1800-2012 | Consumer prices index 1995=100 |
| EXPSTIR | 1800-2012 | Expected Short-term interest rate on capital market in % measured using univariate unobserved component filter |
| DEBT | 1800-2012 | Total debt/GDP ratio |
| EXP3 | 1800-2012 | Expected inflation calculated as in (Barsky, 1987) |
| NIR6 | 1800-2012 | Natural interest rate calculated with multivariate Hodrick-Prescott filter λ=6.25 as in  (González, Melo, Rojas and Rojas, 2010) |
| RORK2 | 1800-2012 | Real rate of return on capital calculated as in (Caselli and Feyrer, 2007) |
| PPG | 1800-2012 | Purchasing power (guilder) |
| TURNOVER | 1800-2012 | Change in business inventories % of GDP |
| M1 | 1800-2012 | Money supply (mln guilder, current prices) |
| EXPP | 1800-2012 | Expected rate of profitability measured using multivariate unobserved component filter with three explanatory variable (wage index, productivity, stocks) |
| UN | 1800-2012 | Unemployment rate in percent |
| GOLDSIL | 1800-2012 | Gold/Silver Price Ratio (ounces of silver per ounce of gold) |
| VOL | 1800-2012 | Gold price volatility measured using GORCH (2,3) model – conditional standard deviation |
| DR | 1800-2012 | DNB bank discount rate (primary credit rate) in percent |

Source: Study data sources and author’s calculations