A Study of the effect of aspect ratio on fragmentation of explosively driven cylinders
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Abstract

The work presented in this paper consists of a parametric study of explosively driven fracture and fragmentation of steel cylinders. The effect of cylinder height to wall thickness ratio on the failure mode and fragment shape is studied using a numerical model based on the meshless Smoothed Particle Hydrodynamics (SPH) method. The simulation results are supplemented with experiments with identical charge geometries and materials, to analyse the natural fragmentation behaviour of the different cylinders. Characteristic fragments were softly recovered in a water basin and fragment mass distributions are compared to the simulation results.
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1. Introduction

The effect of cylinder aspect ratio on the fragment shape and failure mode has been observed in electromagnetically driven expansion of aluminium rings [1], and has also been reported for Ti-6Al-4V in [2]. For
The experimental setup consists of placing the hollow steel cylinders over the explosive charge (Fig. 1). The outer diameter $d_o$ and inner diameter $d_i$ of the steel cylinders are the same for all conducted experiments. Keeping the cylinder wall thickness $w$ constant, different cylinder heights $h$ were tested. The cylinder height $h$ was taken as a
multiple of wall thickness $w$, to test the influence of cylinder height to thickness ratio on the size and shape of generated fragment. Four $w/h$ ratios were defined for (Table 1).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>$h/w$</th>
<th>$x_1$</th>
<th>$h$</th>
<th>$x_2$</th>
<th>$x$</th>
<th>$d_i$</th>
<th>$d_o$</th>
<th>$w$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1:1</td>
<td>45.0</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>32.0</td>
<td>86.5</td>
<td>38.1</td>
<td>57.2</td>
<td>9.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2:1</td>
<td>45.0</td>
<td>19.0</td>
<td>32.0</td>
<td>96.0</td>
<td>38.1</td>
<td>57.2</td>
<td>9.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3:1</td>
<td>45.0</td>
<td>28.5</td>
<td>32.0</td>
<td>105.5</td>
<td>38.1</td>
<td>57.2</td>
<td>9.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:1</td>
<td>45.0</td>
<td>95.0</td>
<td>32.0</td>
<td>172.0</td>
<td>38.1</td>
<td>57.2</td>
<td>9.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The cylinders were manufactured from EN 34CrNiMo6 steel. The chemical composition of this steel is shown in Table 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Carbon %</th>
<th>Chrome %</th>
<th>Nickel %</th>
<th>Molybdenum %</th>
<th>HBW</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EN34CrNiMo6</td>
<td>1.6582</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>1.59</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>253</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2.2. Charge dimension

The charge was a cylindrical shaped composition B explosive and placed inside the hollow steel cylinder (Fig. 1). The charge length $x$ is dependent on the cylinder height $h$. The length $x$ resulted from a constant extension $x_1$ to the front, where charge is initiated, the varying cylinder height $h$ and an extension to the back $x_2$. The charge diameter is equivalent to the inner cylinder diameter $d_i$ (Table 1).

![Fig. 1. Charge dimensions](image)

2.3. Position

For soft fragment recovery, the charge was placed at a height $y$ above a water basin (Fig. 2). As a consequence, only a fraction of the totally generated fragment mass is recovered. Due to symmetry conditions it is assumed, that the recovered fragments are good representatives in their size and shape of the characteristic fragments generated in the different tests.

From the charge geometry, the length $l$ of the water surface and the distance of the charge from the water surface,
multiple of wall thickness \( w \), to test the influence of cylinder height to thickness ratio on the size and shape of generated fragment. Four \( \frac{w}{h} \) ratios were defined for (Table 1).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>( h/w )</th>
<th>( h )</th>
<th>( x )</th>
<th>( d_i )</th>
<th>( d_o )</th>
<th>( w )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1:1</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>9.5</td>
<td>32.0</td>
<td>86.5</td>
<td>38.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2:1</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>19.0</td>
<td>32.0</td>
<td>96.0</td>
<td>38.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3:1</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>28.5</td>
<td>32.0</td>
<td>105.5</td>
<td>38.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10:1</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>95.0</td>
<td>32.0</td>
<td>172.0</td>
<td>38.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The cylinders were manufactured from EN 34CrNiMo6 steel. The chemical composition of this steel is shown in Table 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Grade</th>
<th>Number</th>
<th>Carbon %</th>
<th>Chrome %</th>
<th>Nickel %</th>
<th>Molybdenum %</th>
<th>HBW</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>EN34CrNiMo6</td>
<td>1.6582</td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>1.59</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>0.22</td>
<td>253</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 1. Charge dimension

The charge was a cylindrical shaped composition B explosive and placed inside the hollow steel cylinder (Fig. 1). The charge length \( x \) is dependent on the cylinder height \( h \). The length \( x \) resulted from a constant extension to the front, where charge is initiated, the varying cylinder height \( h \) and an extension to the back \( x_2 \). The charge diameter is equivalent to the inner cylinder diameter \( d_i \) (Table 1).

Fig. 2. Charge position and fragment recovery
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Fig. 3 Fragmentation of cylinders for a) 1:1, b) 1:2, c) 1:3 ratio and d) 1:10 cylinder aspect ratio
see Fig. 2, the expected fragment mass \( m_{\text{exp}} \) projected in the water basin can be calculated.

The recovered mass \( m_{\text{rec}} \) from the fragments found in the water basin is shown in relation to the initial cylinder mass \( m_{\text{cyl}} \) and to \( m_{\text{exp}} \) in Table 3. About an average 67 % from the expected recovered mass \( m_{\text{exp}} \) were recovered, giving an average from the recovered mass \( m_{\text{rec}} \) to the full cylinder mass \( m_{\text{cyl}} \) of about 20 %. The fragments can be observed in the double exposed X-ray images in Fig. 3.

Table 3 Expected and retrieved fragment masses

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>h/w</th>
<th>( y ) [mm]</th>
<th>( l ) [mm]</th>
<th>( \alpha ) [deg]</th>
<th>( m_{\text{cyl}} ) [g]</th>
<th>( m_{\text{exp}} ) [g]</th>
<th>( m_{\text{rec}} ) [g]</th>
<th>Recovered from ( m_{\text{exp}} ) %</th>
<th>Recovered overall %</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>A</td>
<td>1:1</td>
<td>690</td>
<td>1200</td>
<td>48.7</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1:1</td>
<td>720</td>
<td>1200</td>
<td>49.9</td>
<td>106</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2:1</td>
<td>710</td>
<td>1200</td>
<td>49.5</td>
<td>212</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3:1</td>
<td>720</td>
<td>1200</td>
<td>49.9</td>
<td>318</td>
<td>89</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>10:1</td>
<td>710</td>
<td>1200</td>
<td>49.5</td>
<td>1060</td>
<td>293</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

3. Numerical Model

A numerical model was developed using the Smoothed Particle Hydrodynamics (SPH) method to discretise the geometry. Meshless methods, such as Smoothed Particle Hydrodynamics, are of particular interest for the prediction of fragmentation and fracture at high strain rate in metals as they are able to deal with large deformations, and propagation, bifurcation and joining of cracks. The method is also less sensitive to developing cracks along preferential directions (mesh sensitivity) than discretisations based on Finite Element Method (FEM).

The SPH method was developed by Lucy [5], and Gingold and Monaghan [6] for astrophysics problems and later extended to deal with solid mechanics problems by Libersky and Petschek et al. [7][8]. The form of the semi-discretized conservation equations used in this paper are:

\[
< \frac{D \rho_i}{Dt} > = \rho_i \sum_{j=1}^{m} \frac{m_j}{\rho_j} (v_j - v_i) \nabla W(x_i - x_j, \delta)
\]

\[
< \frac{D v_i}{Dt} > = - \sum_{j=1}^{m} \frac{m_j}{\rho_j} \left( \frac{\sigma_j}{\rho_j^2} + \frac{\sigma_i}{\rho_i^2} \right) \nabla W(x_i - x_j, \delta)
\]

\[
< \frac{D E_i}{Dt} > = - \frac{\sigma_i}{\rho_i} \sum_{j=1}^{m} \frac{m_j}{\rho_j} (v_j - v_i) \nabla W(x_i - x_j, \delta)
\]

The in-house developed SPH solver [9],[10] uses a central difference to integrate these conservation equations in time. The cylinder’s constitutive behavior was modelled using a modification of the Johnson-Cook strain rate and temperature dependent elasto-plasticity model:

\[
\sigma = \left( A + B \varepsilon_{pl}^m \right) \left( 1 + C \ln \left( \frac{\dot{\varepsilon}}{\dot{\varepsilon}_0} \right) + \left( \frac{\dot{\varepsilon}}{\dot{\varepsilon}_0} \right)^{m-1} \right) (1 - T^m)
\]

This model was combined with a Lemaitre damage model:

\[
\dot{D} = \begin{cases} \left( -\frac{Y}{S} \right)^\nu \dot{\varepsilon}_{pl} & \text{if } \dot{\varepsilon}_{pl} \geq \dot{\varepsilon}_{\text{threshold}} \\ 0 & \text{if } \dot{\varepsilon}_{pl} < \dot{\varepsilon}_{\text{threshold}} \end{cases}
\]
with

\[-Y = \frac{\sigma_{eq}^2}{2E(1 - D)^2} \left( \frac{2}{3} (1 + \nu) + 3(1 - 2\nu) \left( \frac{-p}{\sigma_{eq}} \right)^2 \right)\]  \hspace{1cm} (3)

and a Grüneisen equation-of-state (EOS) [11]. A, B, C, D, E, m, n, S, t are material parameters. Using this type of material model for the cylinder material is required because during the fragmentation process the cylinder is subjected to very complex loading. This loading consists of a combination of shockwaves and tensile hoop stresses due to the interaction with the explosive and the resulting high strain rate radial expansion, adiabatic heating and damage development leading to fractures. Material parameters for 4340 steel, the AISI equivalent of the EN 34CrNiMo6 studied in this paper, were used. The explosive was modelled using a high-explosive burn model with a JWL equation-of-state [10]. All models used a discretization with 10 particles through the cylinder wall resulting in approximately 67000, 205000, 242000 and 463000 particles for the 1:1, 1:2, 1:3 and 1:10 ratios respectively.

4. Results

The simulation results are processed to identify individual fragments and their mass (see Fig. 4). In Fig. 4 each fragment calculated is given a different color, and the fragments are shown in their initial undeformed configuration.

Fig. 4 Numerically predicted fragments for a) 1:1, b) 1:2, c) 1:3 and d) 1:10 cylinder aspect ratio
It can be seen that for the 1:1 and 1:2 aspect ratios the fractures mostly run along the full length of the cylinder, while for the 1:3 the numerical model predicts a fracture in the tangential direction, resulting in two fragments along the length of the cylinder. The recovered fragments from this test showed that many fragments still covered the whole height of the cylinder (see X-ray image in Fig. 3c). For the 1:10 aspect ratio the cylinder fragments in elongated fragments, with some more compact fragments towards the ends of the cylinder. These more compact fragments are also visible on the X-ray image in Fig. 3d.

Fig. 5 shows the distribution of fragment mass. The abscissa of the graph plot the fragment mass $M$, and the ordinates are the number of fragments with a mass less than fragment mass $M$. The predicted fragment mass distributions follow the same trends as the experimental results, except for the 1:1 aspect ratio where the simulation over predicts the fragment mass. The simulation results predict that for the 1:1, 1:2, 1:3 and 1:10 aspect ratios the largest fragments are 13.3, 14.5, 13.4, 11.8g. With the exception of the 1:1 ratio this compares well the experiments 3.7, 10.4, 13.3 and 13.3.

Fig. 5  Fragment mass results for a) 1:1, b) 1:2, c) 1:3 and d) 1:10 cylinder aspect ratio
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5. Conclusions

The fragmentation of EN 34CrNiMo6 steel cylinders with different wall thickness to height ratios is studied in this paper. Four ratios, 1:1, 1:2, 1:3 and 1:10 were tested and simulated using the SPH method. For the ratios 1:1, 1:2 and 1:3 fractures mostly run along the full length of the cylinder. The numerical model only predicts this for the 1:1 and 1:2 ratios. The 1:10 ratio results in a combination of elongated and more compact fragments, which is also predicted by the numerical model. The maximum fragment mass is predicted quite well for the 1:2, 1:3 and 1:10 ratios, while for the 1:1 ratio the predicted fragment mass is larger than the observed one. The discrepancy could be explained by the accuracy of the material models or the material parameters used; the numerical model used parameters for 4340 steel. Another source of error could be that improvements are required to the handling of failure and development fractures in the SPH method.
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