Contents lists available at ScienceDirect

Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications

ELSEVIER

BBRC



Ste20 and Cla4 modulate the expression of the glycerol biosynthesis enzyme Gpd1 by a novel MAPK-independent pathway



Ifeoluwapo Matthew Joshua, Thomas Höfken*

Division of Biosciences, Brunel University London, UK

ARTICLE INFO

Article history: Received 5 July 2019 Accepted 19 July 2019 Available online 5 August 2019

Keywords: Budding yeast Glycerol biosynthesis Gpd1 p21-activated kinases Glucose Hyperosmotic stress

ABSTRACT

p21-activated kinases (PAKs) are important signalling molecules with a wide range of functions. In budding yeast, the main PAKs Ste20 and Cla4 regulate the response to hyperosmotic stress, which is an excellent model for the adaptation to changing environmental conditions. In this pathway, the only known function of Ste20 and Cla4 is the activation of a mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) cascade through Ste11. This eventually leads to increased transcription of glycerol biosynthesis genes, the most important response to hyperosmotic shock. Here, we show that Ste20 and Cla4 not only stimulate transcription, they also bind to the glycerol biosynthesis enzymes Gpd1, Gpp1 and Gpp2. Protein levels of Gpd1, the enzyme that catalyzes the rate limiting step in glycerol synthesis, positively correlate with glucose availability. Using a chemical genetics approach, we find that simultaneous inactivation of *STE20* or *CLA4* alone has no effect. This is also observed for the hyperosmotic stress-induced increase of Gpd1 levels. Importantly, under both conditions the deletion of *STE11* has no effect on Gpd1 induction. These observations suggest that Ste20 and Cla4 not only have a role in the transcriptional regulation of *GPD1* through Ste11. They also seem to modulate *GPD1* expression at another level such as translation or protein degradation.

© 2019 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

1. Introduction

The p21-activated kinases (PAKs) are highly conserved effectors of the Rho GTPases Cdc42 and Rac. Three members of this family of important signalling molecules can be found in the budding yeast *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*: Ste20, Cla4 and Skm1 [1]. Very little is known about Skm1. In contrast, Ste20 and Cla4 have a wide range of functions, some of them overlapping [1–3]. Ste20 activates three different mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK) cascades regulating the hyperosmotic stress response, filamentation and mating [1,4–7]. Importantly, these pathways are also excellent models for the adaptation to changing environmental conditions. Triggering these MAPK cascades eventually leads to changes in gene expression. In all three MAPK pathways, Ste20 phosphorylates Ste11, the most upstream component of these signalling cascades [8,9]. In the hyperosmotic stress response MAPK cascade, Ste11 is also activated by Cla4 [3]. Stimulation of this pathway results in the translocation

E-mail address: thomas.hoefken@brunel.ac.uk (T. Höfken).

of the MAPK Hog1 into the nucleus where it induces expression of osmo-responsive genes through several transcription factors [10,11]. One of the most important adaptive mechanism to hyper-osmolarity is the biosynthesis of the osmolyte glycerol [10-12].

Glycerol is synthesized from the glycolytic intermediate dihydroxyacetone phosphate in two steps (Fig. 1A). The two homologous NADH-dependent glycerol 3-phosphate dehydrogenases Gpd1 and Gpd2 convert dihydroxyacetone phosphate to glycerol 3phosphate [13–15], and the two homologous glycerol 3phosphatases Gpp1 and Gpp2 metabolize glycerol 3-phosphate to glycerol [16]. Despite their similarities the homologous enzymes only have partially overlapping functions. Under conditions of high osmolarity, glycerol is predominantly synthesized by Gpd1 and Gpp2 [13,14,16,17], whereas in the absence of oxygen glycerol synthesis is catalysed by Gpd2 and Gpp1 [18–20].

Hyperosmotic stress leads to the Hog1-dependent upregulation of expression of *GPD1*, *GPP2* and hundreds of other genes [10,11,14,16]. However, out of these only *GPD1* is essential for osmoadaptation, and the upregulation of *GPD1* and *GPP2* is sufficient for efficient adaptation to hyperosmotic stress, highlighting the central role that glycerol biosynthesis plays in osmoadaptation [12].

0006-291X/© 2019 The Authors. Published by Elsevier Inc. This is an open access article under the CC BY license (http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/4.0/).

^{*} Corresponding author. Division of Biosciences, Brunel University London, Uxbridge, UB8 3PH, UK.



Fig. 1. Ste20 and Cla4 bind to glycerol biosynthesis enzymes. (A) Glycerol biosynthesis in budding yeast. (B) The split-ubiquitin system. See text for details. USP, ubiquitin-specific proteases. (C) Ste20 and Cla4 both bind to Gpd1, Gpp1 and Gpp2. Cells of the indicated plasmid combinations were either spotted onto plates lacking uracil to monitor protein-protein interactions, or onto plates supplemented with uracil as control.

In this study, we examined further links between glycerol biosynthetic enzymes and the PAKs Ste20 and Cla4.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Yeast strains and plasmids

All yeast strains and plasmids used in this study are listed in Table 1. Yeast strains were constructed using PCR-amplified cassettes [21,22]. For the filamentation experiment, cells of the Σ 1278b

Table 1

Strains and plasmids used in this study.

background were used [23]. For all other experiments, cells of the YPH499 background were used [24]. The split-ubiquitin constructs were derived from the plasmid pADNX [25]. The YCplac22-*cla4-as3* construct was kindly provided by Eric Weiss [26].

2.2. Growth conditions and inhibitor treatment

Yeast strains were either grown in 1% yeast extract, 2% peptone, 2% glucose (YPD) or synthetic complete (SC) medium.

For the analysis of protein levels during filamentation, cells were

Strain	Genotype	Source
IJY1	YPH499 GPD1-3HA-His3MX6	This study
IJY3	YPH499 GPD1-3HA-His3MX6 ste20 <i>L</i> ::KanMX6	This study
IJY5	YPH499 GPD1-3HA-His3MX6 cla44::hphNT1	This study
IJY20	YPH499 GPD1-3HA-His3MX6 ste204::kanMX6 cla44::hphNT1 YCplac22-cla4-as3	This study
IJY21	YPH499 GPD1-3HA-His3MX6 ste11 <i>Δ</i> ::KanMX6	This study
PPY966	MAT a his3::hisG leu2::hisG trp1::hisG ura3-52	[23]
YPH499	MATa ura3-52 lys2-801 ade2-101 trp1 Δ 63 his3 Δ 200 leu2 Δ 1	[24]
Plasmid	Genotype	Source
pADNX	2 μm, LEU2, pADH1-NUbiquitin-HA	[25]
pIJ6	pADNX carrying GPP1	This study
pML68	pRS313 carrying pMET25-SKM1-CUbiquitin-RURA3	This study
pML70	pRS313 carrying pMET25-CLA4-CUbiquitin-RURA3	This study
pRS313	CEN, HIS3	[24]
pTH197	pRS313 carrying pMET25-STE20-CUbiquitin-RURA3	[23]
pTH442	pADNX carrying <i>GPP2</i>	This study
pTH445	pADNX carrying GPD1	This study
pTH468	pADNX carrying <i>GPD2</i>	This study
YCplac22-cla4-as3	CEN, TRP1, carrying cla4-as3	[26]

grown to exponential phase in SC medium. Cells were washed with water and 10^5 cells were plated onto SC medium lacking glucose and incubated for 14 h at 30 °C. Cells were then scraped from a plate for protein analysis.

To induce hyperosmotic stress, NaCl was added to the medium to a final concentration of 0.8 M. For the inhibition of Cla4 activity, cells were incubated for 2 h with 1-tert-butyl-3-(naphthalen-1ylmethyl)-1H-pyrazolo [3,4-d]pyrimidin-4-amine (1NM-PP1) to a final concentration of 10 μ M. To determine the half-life of Gpd1 protein, cycloheximide was added to exponentially growing cells in YPD to a final concentration of 100 μ g/ml.

2.3. Split-ubiquitin technique

10⁴ cells carrying the split-ubiquitin plasmids were spotted on SC plates lacking histidine and leucine to select for the plasmids or onto SC plates lacking histidine, leucine and uracil to monitor protein-protein interactions. The plates also lacked methionine and cysteine to induce expression of the *STE20*, *CLA4* and *SKM1* fusion genes under control of the *MET25* promoter.

2.4. Cell extracts and immunoblotting

Generation of cell extracts and immunoblotting was performed as described previously [27].

3. Results and discussion

3.1. Ste20 and Cla4 bind to glycerol biosynthesis enzymes

Using the split-ubiquitin technique [28,29], we have previously shown that Ste20 interacts with many enzymes of glycolysis, the pentose phosphate pathway and gluconeogenesis (I. M. Joshua, M. Lin, A. Mardjuki, A. Mazzola and T. Höfken, manuscript in preparation). Here, we examined whether Ste20 also binds to Gpd1, Gpd2, Gpp1 and Gpp2 which catalyze glycerol biosynthesis from a glycolytic metabolite (Fig. 1A) employing the split-ubiquitin method. For this analysis, we also included the other two PAKs, Cla4 and Skm1. The split-ubiquitin technique detects proteinprotein interactions in vivo using artificially separated N-terminal and C-terminal halves of ubiquitin (Fig. 1B) [28]. If two proteins, which are attached to the N-terminal and C-terminal halves, interact, a native-like ubiquitin may assemble. Ubiquitin-specific proteases recognize the reconstituted ubiquitin and cleave off the reporter protein RUra3, which is linked to the C-terminal domain of ubiquitin [29]. RUra3 is a modified version of the enzyme Ura3 which is essential for uracil biosynthesis. The freed RUra3 is rapidly degraded by proteases of the N-end rule pathway. Interaction between two proteins fused to the N-terminal and C-terminal halves of ubiquitin, therefore, results in non-growth on medium lacking uracil. Using this technique, we identified Ste20 and Cla4 as binding partners of Gpd1, Gpp1 and Gpp2 (Fig. 1C). These interactions were quite specific since Gpd1, Gpp1 and Gpp2 did not bind to Skm1, and Ste20 and Cla4 did not associate with Gpd2 (Fig. 1C). This specificity is consistent with other observations. Ste20 and Cla4 have overlapping functions and in some cases even bind to the same proteins [1–3]. In contrast, very little is known about Skm1. However, there seems to be rather little functional overlap with Ste20 and Cla4 [1]. It is, therefore, not surprising that Ste20 and Cla4 but not Skm1 bound to Gpd1, Gpp1 and Gpp2.

Interestingly, Gpd2 did not bind to Ste20 and Cla4. Gpd2 shares only 69% sequence identity with Gpd1 [15], and both proteins have distinct functions. Gpd1 has functions under aerobic conditions including hyperosmotic stress response, whereas Gpd2 is required in the absence of oxygen [13–15,17,18]. In contrast, Gpp1 and Gpp2

sequences are 95% identical and they have several overlapping functions including osmoadaptation [16,20]. Our data, therefore, suggest that Ste20 and Cla4 might have a function associated with Gpd1 such as aerobic glycerol biosynthesis, in particular in response to hyperosmotic stress, rather than a process associated with Gpd2 such as anaerobic glycerol synthesis.

The reaction catalysed by Gpd1 is not only required for glycerol synthesis. The oxidation of NADH to NAD⁺ in this reaction plays an important role in the maintenance of a cytoplasmic redox balance and peroxisomal lysine biosynthesis [30,31]. Furthermore, glycerol 3-phosphate formed here is also used for the biosynthesis of glycerolipids (triacylglycerols and glycerophospholipids) [32]. However, since Ste20 and Cla4 also bind to Gpp1 and Gpp2 which catalyse glycerol formation it seems likely that Ste20 and Cla4 are rather involved in glycerol synthesis and not other metabolic pathways.

Since Ste20, Cla4 and also Gpd1, Gpp1 and Gpp2 all predominantly localize to the cytoplasm it seems likely that Ste20 and Cla4 interact with glycerol biosynthesis enzymes in the cytoplasm [13,33–37].

Taken together our data suggest that Ste20 and Cla4 might have a function that involves the enzymes Gpd1, Gpp1 and Gpp2, most likely glycerol biosynthesis under aerobic conditions.

3.2. Gpd1 expression and glucose availability

Since we did not observe any interactions for Skm1 we decided to focus on Ste20 and Cla4 for this study. It was tested whether there are any links between glycerol biosynthetic enzymes and processes that are regulated by Ste20 and Cla4. Ste20 is essential for filamentation, and Cla4 also seems to play an important role in this process [4,5,38]. Cells switch from the yeast form to a filamentous form when grown on agar plates lacking a fermentable carbon source such as glucose [39]. For this study, we focused on Gpd1 because unlike Gpp1 and Gpp2, Gpd1 is the primary regulator of glycerol synthesis [20,40,41]. When grown overnight on agar plates without glucose, conditions that trigger filamentous growth, Gpd1 protein levels were about three times lower compared to cells in liquid glucose medium which keeps cells in the yeast form (Fig. 2A and B). However, our controls demonstrated that this reduction of Gpd1 protein levels was not specific for filamentation. Gpd1 protein expression was also decreased about three times in cells grown in liquid medium lacking glucose compared to cells growing on glucose plates and liquid glucose medium, all conditions that favour the yeast form (Fig. 2A and B). Thus, Gpd1 protein levels rather seem to correlate with glucose availability. A positive correlation between glucose concentration and Gpd1 protein levels would make physiological sense since glycerol is synthesized from a glycolytic intermediate [10,11]. This potential link was further investigated. First, we looked into changes of Gpd1 protein levels after cells were initially grown in medium with standard glucose concentration (2%) and then switched to glucose-free medium. After 4 h we detected no significant decrease of protein levels (Fig. 2C and D). Thus, Gpd1 protein levels only seem to be reduced after long exposure to glucose-free medium. For a protein with a relatively high turnover rate such a decrease could be achieved by just reducing transcription or translation. The half-life of Gpd1 has been determined in a proteome-wide study to be 25 h [42]. In our hands, Gpd1 has a much shorter half-life of about 2 h (Fig. 2E and F). The long-term decrease of Gpd1 protein levels when shifted to glucose-free medium could therefore simply be explained by a reduction of GPD1 transcription.

Since Gpd1 protein levels decreased in response to lower glucose concentrations we also examined whether Gpd1 expression changes in response to higher glucose levels. When cells were



Fig. 2. Gpd1 protein levels decrease in response to glucose starvation. (A) Cells were first grown in minimal medium with 2% glucose and then shifted overnight to liquid medium or plates with (2%) or without glucose as indicated. Gpd1 expression was analysed by immunoblotting using antibodies against the HA epitope. Cdc11 was used as loading control. (B) Quantification of (A). Data were normalised to the loading control. (C) Cells were grown to exponential phase in medium containing 2% glucose, washed in medium lacking glucose and then resuspended in medium without glucose. (D) Quantification of (C) normalised to the loading control. (E) Gpd1 half-life was determined by treating cells with cycloheximide. The blot stained with Ponceau S confirms that equal amounts of protein were loaded. (F) Quantification of (E).

first grown to stationary phase, and were, therefore, glucosestarved, and then transferred to fresh medium containing 2% glucose, Gpd1 protein levels increased (data not shown). This effect was even more pronounced when cells were starved overnight, then shifted to fresh medium (2% glucose) for 2 h and then grown in medium that contains another 2% glucose (Fig. 3A and B). Deletion of either STE20 or CLA4 had no effect on the increase of Gpd1 protein concentration (Fig. 3A and B). Ste20 and Cla4 have some overlapping functions [1-3]. This might also include the regulation of GPD1 expression. Since a STE20 CLA4 double deletion strain is not viable [3] we used a chemical genetic approach in which STE20 was deleted and CLA4 was replaced by the analogue-sensitive allele cla4-as3 [26]. The inhibitor 1NM-PP1 rapidly and specifically blocks kinase activity of the mutated Cla4 protein but not the wild type Cla4 or any other kinase. The ste20∆ cla4-as3 double mutant displayed a slight increase of Gpd1 protein levels in response to higher glucose concentrations but overall Gpd1 expression was much lower compared to the wild type (Fig. 3C and D). Ste20 and Cla4 are, therefore, both needed for the increased Gpd1 expression. It is well established that Ste20 and Cla4 induce the transcription of hyperosmotic stress response genes such as GPD1 through activation of Ste11, the most upstream component of the Hog1 MAPK cascade [3,6–9]. In order to test whether Ste20 and Cla4 have a role in the regulation of GPD1 expression beyond MAPK cascade activation, we analysed Gpd1 protein levels in cells lacking STE11. In the ste11 Δ strain, the increase of Gpd1 protein levels in response to higher glucose concentrations was comparable to the wild type (Fig. 3C and D). This suggests that the reduction of Gpd1 levels observed in the *ste20* Δ *cla4-as3* double mutant is due to a novel function of Ste20 and Cla4 which is independent of Ste11. This could for example be transcriptional activation independent of a MAPK cascade. Ste20 and Cla4 not only control transcription indirectly through cytoplasmic activation of MAPK cascade, they can also translocate to the nucleus where they regulate the transcription factor Sut1 [43]. Other aspects of gene expression such as mRNA export from the nucleus, transcript stability, translation efficiency and protein stability could also be regulated by Ste20 and Cla4. Notably, Ste20 modulates transcript-specific mRNA degradation via the decapping enzyme Dcp2 [44]. Furthermore, it has been shown that *GPD1* expression is not only regulated transcriptionally but also at other levels. This includes the modulation of *GPD1* translation and Gpd1 protein degradation [45,46]. It is, therefore, conceivable that Ste20 and Cla4 either regulate *GPD1* transcription in an MAPK-independent pathway or modulate other levels of *GPD1* expression. However, since Ste20 and Cla4 also bind to the Gpd1 protein it seems likely that they also regulate Gpd1 protein stability.

3.3. Gpd1 expression and hyperosmotic stress response

Both, Ste20 and Cla4 play a crucial role in the transcription of hyperosmotic stress response genes such as GPD1 through the activation of Ste11 [3,6–9]. Since we have shown that Ste20 and Cla4 modulate Gpd1 protein levels in response to changing glucose concentrations by a Ste11-independent mechanism, we wanted to know whether this is also the case during osmoadaptation. In wild type cells, Gpd1 protein levels increase within minutes of exposure to high salinity (0.8 M NaCl) which is in line with published observations (Fig. 4A and B) [14,17]. This increase is less pronounced in the *ste20* Δ *cla4-as3* double mutant but the deletion of either STE20 or CLA4 alone has no effect (Fig. 4A–D). Gpd1 induction in the *ste11* Δ strain is comparable to the wild type (Fig. 4C and D). It has previously been shown that STE11 deletion does not affect the expression of other hyperosmotic stress genes [3]. This is not surprising since the Hog1 MAPK cascade is not only activated by Ste11 but also by a second redundant branch [10,11]. The observation that Gpd1 protein levels were reduced in the ste20 Δ cla4-as3 strain suggests that Ste20 and Cla4 have an additional function in the



Fig. 3. Ste20 and Cla4 have overlapping functions in the glucose-induced increase of Gpd1 levels. (A) Deletion of either *STE20* or *CLA4* alone does not affect Gpd1 concentrations. (B) Quantification of (A) normalised to the loading control. Shown is the average of 3 independent experiments with SD. (C) Inactivation of Cla4 in the absence of *STE20* results in decreased Gpd1 levels. (D) Quantification of (C). Data are expressed as average with SD of 3 experiments. Student's t-test *p < 0.05.



Fig. 4. Ste20 and Cla4 are jointly required for hyperosmotic stress-induced increase of Gpd1 levels. (A) The lack of either *STE20* or *CLA4* has no effect on Gpd1 protein levels. (B) Quantification of (A) normalised to the loading control. Shown is the average of 3 independent experiments with SD. (C) Simultaneous *STE20* deletion and Cla4 inactivation reduces Gpd1 level in a *STE11*-independent manner. (D) Quantification of (C). Data are expressed as average with SD of 3 experiments. Student's t-test *p < 0.05.

regulation of *GPD1* expression as discussed above for the glucoseinduced increase of Gpd1 protein. Such a regulation of gene expression at multiple stages seems to be an important feature for the adaptation to a changing environment because it ensures that the cell response is efficient and highly specific.

Acknowledgements

We thank Eric Weiss for providing us with the plasmid YCplac22-*cla4-as3*. We are also grateful to Meng Lin for generating the plasmids pML68 and pML70.

Appendix A. Supplementary data

Supplementary data to this article can be found online at https://doi.org/10.1016/j.bbrc.2019.07.072.

References

- K.J. Boyce, A. Andrianopoulos, Ste20-related kinases: effectors of signaling and morphogenesis in fungi, Trends Microbiol. 19 (2011) 400–410.
- [2] F. Cvrcková, C. De Virgilio, E. Manser, J.R. Pringle, K. Nasmyth, Ste20-like protein kinases are required for normal localization of cell growth and for cytokinesis in budding yeast, Genes Dev. 9 (1995) 1817–1830.
- [3] K. Tatebayashi, K. Yamamoto, K. Tanaka, T. Tomida, T. Maruoka, E. Kasukawa, H. Saito, Adaptor functions of Cdc42, Ste50, and Sho1 in the yeast osmoregulatory HOG MAPK pathway, EMBO J. 25 (2006) 3033–3044.
- [4] H. Liu, C.A. Styles, G.R. Fink, Elements of the yeast pheromone response pathway required for filamentous growth of diploids, Science 262 (1993) 1741–1744.
- [5] R.L. Roberts, G.R. Fink, Elements of a single MAP kinase cascade in Saccharomyces cerevisiae mediate two developmental programs in the same cell type: mating and invasive growth, Genes Dev. 8 (1994) 2974–2985.
- [6] S.M. O'Rourke, I. Herskowitz, The Hog1 MAPK prevents cross talk between the HOG and pheromone response MAPK pathways in Saccharomyces cerevisiae, Genes Dev. 12 (1998) 2874–2886.
- [7] D.C. Raitt, F. Posas, H. Saito, Yeast Cdc42 GTPase and Ste20 PAK-like kinase regulate Sho1-dependent activation of the Hog1 MAPK pathway, EMBO J. 19 (2000) 4623–4631.
- [8] C. Wu, M. Whiteway, D.Y. Thomas, E. Leberer, Molecular characterization of Ste20p, a potential mitogen-activated protein or extracellular signal-regulated kinase (MEK) kinase kinase from Saccharomyces cerevisiae, J. Biol. Chem. 270 (1995) 15984–15992.
- [9] F. Drogen, S.M. O'Rourke, V.M. Stucke, M. Jaquenoud, A.M. Neiman, M. Peter, Phosphorylation of the MEKK Ste11p by the PAK-like kinase Ste20p is required for MAP kinase signaling in vivo, Curr. Biol. 10 (2000) 630–639.
- [10] H. Saito, F. Posas, Response to hyperosmotic stress, Genetics 192 (2012) 289–318.
- [11] S. Hohmann, An integrated view on a eukaryotic osmoregulation system, Curr. Genet. 61 (2015) 373–382.
- [12] R. Babazadeh, T. Furukawa, S. Hohmann, K. Furukawa, Rewiring yeast osmostress signalling through the MAPK network reveals essential and nonessential roles of Hog1 in osmoadaptation, Sci. Rep. 4 (2014) 4697.
- [13] K. Larsson, R. Ansell, P. Eriksson, L. Adler, A gene encoding sn-glycerol 3phosphate dehydrogenase (NAD+) complements an osmosensitive mutant of Saccharomyces cerevisiae, Mol. Microbiol. 10 (1993) 1101–1111.
- [14] J. Albertyn, S. Hohmann, J.M. Thevelein, B.A. Prior, GPD1, which encodes glycerol-3-phosphate dehydrogenase, is essential for growth under osmotic stress in Saccharomyces cerevisiae, and its expression is regulated by the high-osmolarity glycerol response pathway, Mol. Cell. Biol. 14 (1994) 4135–4144.
- [15] P. Eriksson, L. André, R. Ansell, A. Blomberg, L. Adler, Cloning and characterization of GPD2, a second gene encoding sn-glycerol 3-phosphate dehydrogenase (NAD+) in Saccharomyces cerevisiae, and its comparison with GPD1, Mol. Microbiol. 17 (1995) 95–107.
- [16] J. Norbeck, A.K. Påhlman, N. Akhtar, A. Blomberg, L. Adler, Purification and characterization of two isoenzymes of DL-glycerol-3-phosphatase from Saccharomyces cerevisiae. Identification of the corresponding GPP1 and GPP2 genes and evidence for osmotic regulation of Gpp2p expression by the osmosensing mitogen-activated protein kinase signal transduction pathway, J. Biol. Chem. 271 (1996) 13875–13881.
- [17] T. Hirayama, T. Maeda, H. Saito, K. Shinozaki, Cloning and characterization of seven cDNAs for hyperosmolarity-responsive (HOR) genes of Saccharomyces cerevisiae, Mol. Gen. Genet. 249 (1995) 127–138.
- [18] R. Ansell, K. Granath, S. Hohmann, J.M. Thevelein, L. Adler, The two isoenzymes for yeast NAD+-dependent glycerol 3-phosphate dehydrogenase encoded by GPD1 and GPD2 have distinct roles in osmoadaptation and redox regulation, EMBO J. 16 (1997) 2179–2187.
- [19] R. Costenoble, H. Valadi, L. Gustafsson, C. Niklasson, C.J. Franzén, Microaerobic

glycerol formation in Saccharomyces cerevisiae, Yeast 16 (2000) 1483-1495.

- [20] A.K. Påhlman, K. Granath, R. Ansell, S. Hohmann, L. Adler, The yeast glycerol 3phosphatases Gpp1p and Gpp2p are required for glycerol biosynthesis and differentially involved in the cellular responses to osmotic, anaerobic, and oxidative stress, J. Biol. Chem. 276 (2001) 3555–3563.
- [21] M. Knop, K. Siegers, G. Pereira, W. Zachariae, B. Winsor, K. Nasmyth, E. Schiebel, Epitope tagging of yeast genes using a PCR-based strategy: more tags and improved practical routines, Yeast 15 (1999) 963–972.
- [22] C. Janke, M.M. Magiera, N. Rathfelder, C. Taxis, S. Reber, H. Maekawa, A. Moreno-Borchart, G. Doenges, E. Schwob, E. Schiebel, M. Knop, A versatile toolbox for PCR-based tagging of yeast genes: new fluorescent proteins, more markers and promoter substitution cassettes, Yeast 21 (2004) 947–962.
- [23] C. Tiedje, D.G. Holland, U. Just, T. Höfken, Proteins involved in sterol synthesis interact with Ste20 and regulate cell polarity, J. Cell Sci. 120 (2007) 3613–3624.
- [24] R.S. Sikorski, P. Hieter, A system of shuttle vectors and yeast host strains designed for efficient manipulation of DNA in Saccharomyces cerevisiae, Genetics 122 (1989) 19–27.
- [25] H. Laser, C. Bongards, J. Schüller, S. Heck, N. Johnsson, N. Lehming, A new screen for protein interactions reveals that the Saccharomyces cerevisiae high mobility group proteins Nhp6A/B are involved in the regulation of the GAL1 promoter, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 97 (2000) 13732–13737.
- [26] E.L. Weiss, A.C. Bishop, K.M. Shokat, D.G. Drubin, Chemical genetic analysis of the budding-yeast p21-activated kinase Cla4p, Nat. Cell Biol. 2 (2000) 677–685.
- [27] K. Woods, T. Höfken, The zinc cluster proteins Upc2 and Ecm22 promote filamentation in Saccharomyces cerevisiae by sterol biosynthesis-dependent and -independent pathways, Mol. Microbiol. 99 (2016) 512–527.
- [28] N. Johnsson, A. Varshavsky, Split ubiquitin as a sensor of protein interactions in vivo, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 91 (1994) 10340–10344.
- [29] S. Wittke, N. Lewke, S. Müller, N. Johnsson, Probing the molecular environment of membrane proteins in vivo, Mol. Biol. Cell 10 (1999) 2519–2530.
- [30] C. Larsson, I.L. Påhlman, R. Ansell, M. Rigoulet, L. Adler, L. Gustafsson, The importance of the glycerol 3-phosphate shuttle during aerobic growth of Saccharomyces cerevisiae, Yeast 14 (1998) 347–357.
- [31] N.A. Al-Saryi, M.Y. Al-Hejjaj, C.W.T. van Roermund, G.E. Hulmes, L. Ekal, C. Payton, R.J.A. Wanders, E.H. Hettema, Two NAD-linked redox shuttles maintain the peroxisomal redox balance in Saccharomyces cerevisiae, Sci. Rep. 7 (2017) 11868.
- [32] L. Klug, G. Daum, Yeast lipid metabolism at a glance, FEMS Yeast Res. 14 (2014) 369–388.
- [33] M. Peter, A.M. Neiman, H.O. Park, M. van Lohuizen, I. Herskowitz, Functional analysis of the interaction between the small GTP binding protein Cdc42 and the Ste20 protein kinase in yeast, EMBO J. 15 (1996) 7046–7059.
- [34] E. Leberer, C. Wu, T. Leeuw, A. Fourest-Lieuvin, J.E. Segall, D.Y. Thomas, Functional characterization of the Cdc42p binding domain of yeast Ste20p protein kinase, EMBO J. 16 (1997) 83–97.
- [35] S.P. Holly, K.J. Blumer, PAK-family kinases regulate cell and actin polarization throughout the cell cycle of Saccharomyces cerevisiae, J. Cell Biol. 147 (1999) 845–856.
- [36] W.K. Huh, J.V. Falvo, L.C. Gerke, A.S. Carroll, R.W. Howson, J.S. Weissman, E.K. O'Shea, Global analysis of protein localization in budding yeast, Nature 425 (2003) 686–691.
- [37] A. Valadi, K. Granath, L. Gustafsson, L. Adler, Distinct intracellular localization of Gpd1p and Gpd2p, the two yeast isoforms of NAD+-dependent glycerol-3phosphate dehydrogenase, explains their different contributions to redoxdriven glycerol production, J. Biol. Chem. 279 (2004) 39677–39685.
- [38] R. Jin, C.J. Dobry, P.J. McCown, A. Kumar, Large-scale analysis of yeast filamentous growth by systematic gene disruption and overexpression, Mol. Biol. Cell 19 (2008) 284–296.
- [39] P.J. Cullen, G.F. Sprague Jr., Glucose depletion causes haploid invasive growth in yeast, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 97 (25) (2000) 13619–13624.
- [40] E. Nevoigt, U. Stahl, Reduced pyruvate decarboxylase and increased glycerol-3-phosphate dehydrogenase [NAD+] levels enhance glycerol production in Saccharomyces cerevisiae, Yeast 12 (1996) 1331–1337.
- [41] F. Remize, L. Barnavon, S. Dequin, Glycerol export and glycerol-3-phosphate dehydrogenase, but not glycerol phosphatase, are rate limiting for glycerol production in Saccharomyces cerevisiae, Metab. Eng. 3 (2001) 301–312.
- [42] R. Christiano, N. Nagaraj, F. Fröhlich, T.C. Walther, Global proteome turnover analyses of the yeasts S. cerevisiae and S. pombe, Cell Rep. 9 (2014) 1959–1965.
- [43] M. Lin, H. Unden, N. Jacquier, R. Schneiter, U. Just, T. Höfken, The Cdc42 effectors Ste20, Cla4, and Skm1 down-regulate the expression of genes involved in sterol uptake by a mitogen-activated protein kinase-independent pathway, Mol. Biol. Cell 20 (2009) 4826–4837.
- [44] J.H. Yoon, E.J. Choi, R. Parker, Dcp2 phosphorylation by Ste20 modulates stress granule assembly and mRNA decay in Saccharomyces cerevisiae, J. Cell Biol. 189 (2010) 813–827.
- [45] E. Garre, V. Pelechano, M. Sánchez Del Pino, P. Alepuz, P. Sunnerhagen, The Lsm1-7/Pat1 complex binds to stress-activated mRNAs and modulates the response to hyperosmotic shock, PLoS Genet. 14 (2018), e1007563.
- [46] J.H. Oh, J.Y. Hyun, A. Varshavsky, Control of Hsp90 chaperone and its clients by N-terminal acetylation and the N-end rule pathway, Proc. Natl. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. 114 (2017) E4370–E4379.