

1 **The combination of physical and mental load exacerbates the negative effect of each on**
2 **the capability of skilled soccer players to anticipate action**

3 David Alder¹, David P. Broadbent², Jamie Poolton¹,

4 1 Leeds Beckett University

5 2 Division of Sport, Health and Exercise Sciences, Department of Life Sciences, Brunel
6 University London, United Kingdom

7
8 Corresponding author details

9 Dr David Alder

10 Leeds Beckett University, Headingley Campus, Leeds, LS6 3QS

11 **Email** – d.b.alder@leedsbeckett.ac.uk
12
13

14 **Abstract**

15 This study examined the impact of combining physical and mental load on the anticipatory
16 judgements of skilled soccer players. Sixteen players completed an 11vs11 video anticipation
17 test in four counterbalanced conditions, each separated by seven days. The baseline condition
18 consisted of only the anticipation test. A physical load condition required participants to
19 complete a simulated soccer protocol on a treadmill followed by the anticipation test. A mental
20 load condition required participants to complete a 30-minute Stroop test followed by the
21 anticipation test. Finally, in the combined load condition, participants completed the physical
22 load protocol alongside the mentally loading Stroop task followed by the anticipation test.
23 Response accuracy, visual search behaviour and measures of effort were assessed throughout.
24 Response accuracy decreased in the separate physical load and mental load conditions when
25 compared to baseline and worsened further in the combined load condition. The reduction in
26 response accuracy across experimental conditions coincided with an increase in the number of
27 fixations when compared to the baseline condition. It is suggested that the separate sources of
28 load impaired the players ability to allocate sufficient resources to task-relevant information
29 leading to a reduction in anticipatory accuracy, and this was exacerbated in the combined load
30 condition.

31
32 **Key words;** Visual search, Anticipation, Expertise, Mental Fatigue, Physical Fatigue
33

34 **Introduction**

35 Severe temporal demands force athletes to anticipate, rather than react, to the actions
36 of opponents in order to be successful (Williams & Jackson, 2019). A substantial body of work
37 has demonstrated that the capability to identify, fixate upon and extract cues from information
38 rich areas is an attribute common to skilled athletes (for a review, see Mann et al., 2007). Roca
39 and colleagues (2013) report how the superior anticipatory performance of elite soccer player
40 was accompanied with adaptive context dependent visual search behaviours. Similar findings
41 have been demonstrated across racket (Alder et al., 2019; Ward, Williams & Bennett, 2002),
42 striking and fielding (McRobert et al., 2009), and combat sports (Ripoll et al., 1995). If, as
43 described, skilled anticipatory judgements are supported by specific visual search behaviours
44 that are specific to both sport and task (Mann et al., 2007), then additional loads within the
45 environment that might compromise the effectiveness and efficiency of visual search should
46 be appreciated.

47 In many sports, an additional physical load accumulates as a function of the competition
48 (Anderson et al., 2016). For example, in a 90-minute game, elite level soccer players are
49 required to cover large distances (Dellal et al., 2010) at high speeds (Andrzejewski et al., 2013)
50 and complete numerous accelerations and decelerations (Dellal et al., 2012). Not only does
51 high physical load negatively impact the gross physical output (Arruda et al., 2015) and the
52 efficiency of fine motor skill (e.g., Lyons et al., 2013), it has been shown to impact perceptual-
53 cognitive skills associated with making anticipatory judgments (for review, see Schapschröer
54 et al., 2016). Debate remains as to whether physiological load affects perceptual-cognitive
55 skills in a positive (Royal et al., 2006) or negative manner (Alder et al., 2019; Casanova et al.,
56 2013).

57 Casanova et al (2013) reported that the anticipatory accuracy of skilled and less-skilled
58 soccer players reduced significantly when experiencing high levels of physical load.
59 Interestingly, for the skilled players the reduction in accuracy was accompanied by a reduced
60 number of fixations of greater duration to fewer locations, whereas the less-skilled players
61 utilised more fixations of shorter duration to a greater number of locations. Although further
62 visual search analysis provided little extra insight into the skill-based differences, it was clear
63 that both changes in visual search behaviour were detrimental to performance. Nieuwenhuys
64 and Oudejans (2012; 2017) *Integrated Model of Anxiety and Perceptual-Motor Performance*
65 (2012) provides a framework to couch an exploration of the impact of various sources of load,
66 such as physical load, on anticipatory performance of skilled athletes. Although this framework
67 was developed with loads associated with cognitive anxiety in mind, Alder et al. (2019) found

68 it useful for exploring the impact of physical load on anticipation performance and enabled the
69 discovery that physical load affected performance effectiveness (i.e. outcome of a task) and
70 efficiency (i.e. visual search behaviour and mental effort) in a similar way to anxiety.

71 In line with the findings of Casanova et al. (2013), the model implies that additional
72 load leads to a reduction in the ability of an athlete to remain focused on task-relevant stimuli.
73 Instead, there is an increased tendency to either be drawn towards threatening stimuli (Wilson,
74 Wood & Vine, 2009), perhaps reflected in less fixations upon fewer locations as was the case
75 with Casanova et al.'s (2013) skilled players, or become distracted by task-irrelevant cues,
76 possibly resulting in more fixations to an increased number of locations as per the less-skilled
77 players (see Alder et al., 2019 for similar findings with skilled badminton players). Both these
78 adaptations to visual search behaviour have been shown to lead to reduction in the ability to
79 anticipate upcoming actions.

80 In contrast, Royal et al. (2006) reported that experiencing "very high" physical load
81 caused elite water polo players to make better decisions compared to conditions in which load
82 was lighter, and attributed the finding to the higher load being most representative of the
83 demands of competition. In this case, the extra effort that might have been allocated to the task
84 in response to the advanced physical load may have promoted a goal-directed focus of
85 attention, akin to competition, that enabled a more effective extraction and interpretation of
86 information (Eysenck et al., 2007). Unfortunately, without ratings of mental effort or visual
87 search data in the paper by Royal et al. (2006) this is mere supposition. Further research is
88 required to clarify the impact of physiological load on visual search behaviour and anticipation
89 in a team-based sport, such as soccer.

90 A second known additional load that has been shown to negatively impact soccer
91 performance is mental load (for review, see Smith et al., 2018). Soccer players must remain
92 focused for extended periods, continuously scanning the everchanging environment and
93 identifying relevant information to make effective decisions under severe time constraints and
94 pressure (Coutts, 2016). These perceptual-cognitive demands likely induce increasing levels of
95 mental load during competition (Walsh, 2014). Mental load has been shown to lead to a
96 reduction in technical (Smith et al., 2016) and tactical performance (Coutinho et al., 2017;
97 Kunrath et al., 2020), a reduction in physical proficiency (Boksem et al., 2005), impaired
98 peripheral perception (Kunrath et al., 2020), and a reduction in decision time and accuracy
99 (Smith et al., 2016). While more fundamental research has suggested that mental load results
100 in poor use of visual cues for action preparation (Boksem et al., 2006), the impact of mental
101 load on visual search behaviours in sport is less clear. For example, Smith et al. (2016) describe

102 how within a soccer-based decision-making task, completing 30 minutes of mentally
103 challenging activity, in this case the Stroop task, increased subjective ratings of mental effort
104 and impaired the speed and accuracy of decision making. However, these changes were
105 accompanied by small non-significant changes in visual search. Smith et al. (2016) postulated
106 that the small sample size made it difficult to determine whether the changes in visual search
107 behaviour underpinned the effect of mental load on decision making. It remains that research
108 is needed to examine the impact of mental load on the visual search behaviours of skilled
109 athletes.

110 As discussed earlier, the Integrated Model by Nieuwenhuys and Oudejans (2012; 2017)
111 further states that to maintain performance levels individuals can increase effort (assign more
112 resources) to compensate for the additional load induced by heightened anxiety. In the case of
113 anticipation, this additional effort might be directed to reinforce efficient attentional strategies,
114 such as maintaining visual search behaviour strategies and/or ensure pertinent information
115 extracted from the performance environment is utilised. However, as indicated by visual search
116 data (Alder et al., 2019; Casanova et al., 2013), it is argued that there is a point at which the
117 load outweighs the attentional resources available to sustain effective goal-directed behaviours
118 (Eysenck et al., 2007). A central tenet of Attentional Control Theory (Eysenck et al., 2007),
119 upon which Nieuwenhuys and Oudejans (2012; 2017) model was based, is that the impact
120 additional load has on performance efficiency and effectiveness becomes greater as task
121 demands increase (Eysenck et al., 2007). It follows, therefore, that the concurrent presence of
122 multiple loads may have a cumulative negative effect on performance. As described above,
123 competitive sport is characterised by the existence of physical and mental loads, which likely
124 accumulate over the time course of competition (Anderson et al., 2016) and frequently co-occur
125 (Helsen & Bultynck, 2004). To our knowledge, no work has studied the impact of combining
126 multiple loads on the mechanisms underpinning skilled perceptual-cognitive performance.
127 This work has the potential to reveal whether the loads common to soccer competition can have
128 an independent and cumulative negative effect on the ability of players to read the game as it
129 unfolds in front of them, which has implications for the design of training programs and also
130 tactical decisions of the coaching team.

131 The purpose of this study was to build upon previous research to examine the separate
132 impact of physical and mental load on the anticipatory performance of skilled soccer players,
133 and to offer a unique insight into the combined impact of physical and mental loads. Visual
134 search behaviour and a range of objective and subjective measures of efficiency were assessed
135 to examine the impact of the different types of loads on anticipatory performance. We predicted

136 that response accuracy in the separate physical and mental load conditions would worsen when
137 compared to baseline levels (Casanova et al., 2013; Smith et al., 2016) and coincide with an
138 increase in effort and a change in visual search behaviour (Alder et al., 2019; Boksem et al.,
139 2006; Casanova et al., 2013). Based on research examining the impact of physical and mental
140 load separately, we expected that the combined effect of mental and physical loads would
141 further decrease the capability of skilled players to anticipate an upcoming action, exacerbate
142 the change in visual search behaviour, and increase the perceived mental effort.

143 **Method**

144 **Participants**

145 At the point of recruitment, participants completed a playing history questionnaire form
146 which elicited the start age in soccer training and competition, the level of engagement in
147 soccer-related developmental activities, including competition and different types of practice
148 (e.g., deliberate, strength & conditioning, rehabilitation, etc) and estimated volume (hours) for
149 each year engaged in the sport (as per Ford et al., 2010). Sixteen adult male soccer players (M
150 age = 22.4 years, $SD = 2.5$) who had accumulated an average of 14 years ($SD = 3.6$) soccer
151 playing experience volunteered for the study. At the time of testing, participants were training
152 at least 6 hours per week. Participants were recruited from four semi-professional teams all of
153 who were playing in competitive leagues within the United Kingdom. Over the course of their
154 developmental years (9 – 16 years) participants had amassed an average of 3750 training hours
155 ($SD = 423$) and played an average of 340 competitive matches ($SD = 13.2$). This is similar to
156 the practice history profiles of skilled soccer players in previous work (Ford et al., 2010). Prior
157 to testing, participants provided informed consent and all procedures were conducted according
158 to the ethical guidelines of the institution of the lead author.

159 **Anticipation test film**

160 The test film was a series of clips of 11 vs 11 patterns of play from a raised side-on
161 third person perspective taken from four professional soccer matches. Immediately prior to the
162 occurrence of a critical moment in the passage of play (e.g., a shot) the clip was occluded; at
163 which point, participants were required to verbally anticipate the action of the ball carrier at
164 the point of occlusion- the lead researcher manually entered the response into an excel sheet.
165 Participants had to choose from the following options; dribble, shoot or pass. On trials in which
166 participants responded with “pass” they were tasked with identifying the specific player who
167 the pass was intended for. A panel of three UEFA (Union of European Football Associations)
168 qualified soccer coaches viewed the clips in their entirety to determine the course of action

169 taken by the ball carrier and if the clip provided a realistic pattern of play. Only clips that had
170 100 % agreement were used in the study (as per Casanova et al., 2013; Roca et al., 2012; 2013).

171 In total 80 clips were chosen and edited (Adobe Premier Pro Editing Software, Version
172 CS5, San Jose, USA) into four 20 clip test films. All test films contained clips from each match
173 and a balance of outcomes (i.e. $n = 108$ of each outcome), each clip was shown once. Clips
174 began with a black screen for 2,000 ms containing white text identifying the trial number (e.g.
175 “TRIAL 1). At 2,000 ms, another black screen showed white text of a “3, 2, 1” countdown that
176 lasted 2,000 ms. At 4,000 ms a red dot appeared on a black background for 1,000 ms to
177 highlight the location of the ball for the forthcoming clip to allow participants to locate the ball
178 from the beginning of the clip thus limiting the search for the ball in the early frames of the
179 clip (as per North & Williams 2008; Roca et al., 2011). At 5,000 ms, a still picture of the initial
180 video frame of the clip action was shown for 500 ms. The clip then played and lasted for a
181 mean of 4,730 ms ($SD = 130$). Upon occlusion, a black screen with the word “RESPOND” was
182 displayed in white writing for 2,000 ms. No player took longer than the maximum 2,000 ms to
183 provide a response. Each anticipation test film took approximately ten minutes to complete
184 across each of the four conditions described in the Procedure section below. The anticipation
185 test films were back projected onto a two-dimensional screen (size: 2.74 m high \times 3.66 m wide;
186 Draper, USA) and participants stood approx. two metres from the screen.

187

188 **Measures**

189 *Response accuracy (RA)*. RA was recorded on each trial. A trial was deemed correct if
190 the verbal response matched the action of the ball carrier. On trials in which pass was selected
191 as the option, it was only deemed correct if the player the participant identified as being the
192 receiver was correct. Percentage of correct responses per 20 clip test film was calculated as the
193 dependent measure, of RA. Prior to beginning each condition, participants completed four
194 familiarisation trials in which they undertook the same process as in the test and were given
195 the opportunity to ask any questions. The familiarisation trials were not used in any of the test
196 films.

197 *Rating Scale of Mental Effort (RSME; Zijlstra, 1993)*. RSME was used to assess
198 perceived *cognitive* effort at specific points during the experiment. The scale requires
199 participants to mark a point on a 0 to 150 scale, with 0 indicating “Absolutely no effort”, to
200 rate the amount of mental effort required to complete a task. After each condition, participants
201 registered their RSME. The measure was explained to the participants after the familiarisation
202 trials and they were given the opportunity to ask any questions.

203 *Rating of Perceived Exertion scale (RPE; Borg, 2000)*. RPE was used to assess
204 perceived *physical* effort. The scale requires participants to specify a point on a 6 to 20 scale,
205 with 19 indicating “Very, very hard”, to rate the amount of physical effort required to complete
206 a task. Following each condition, participants registered their RPE. The measure was explained
207 alongside the RSME after the familiarisation trials.

208 *Heart Rate (HR)*. HR was monitored at the end of every minute throughout each
209 condition by a Polar Heart Rate Monitor (M400). The average HR across the condition was
210 established and then computed to be % of HR max as per the Fox method (220 – age; Fox,
211 Naughton & Haskell, 1971).¹

212 *Visual search behaviours*. Visual search behaviours were recorded in all four decision-
213 making tests using a mobile eye-tracking system (Tobii Pro Glasses 2, Tobii Group,
214 Karlsrovägen 2D, Sweden). The head-mounted, binocular system computes point of gaze
215 within a scene through calculation of the vector between the pupil and cornea. The system was
216 calibrated using a still image taken from one of the trials and was consistent throughout each
217 testing condition. Calibration of the eye tracker never took longer than two minutes. Eye
218 movement data were recorded at 20 frames per second and analysed frame by frame using
219 video-editing software (Adobe Premier Pro Video Editing Software, Version CS 5, San Jose,
220 USA). Two gaze measures were calculated per trial: number of fixations and fixation duration
221 (Abernethy & Russell, 1987; Alder et al., 2014).² A fixation was defined as gaze remaining
222 within three degrees of visual angle of a location or moving object for a minimum duration of
223 120 ms (Vickers, 1996).

224 **Procedure**

225 The experiment consisted of a baseline condition followed by three treatment
226 conditions: physical load, mental load, and combined physical and mental load. The three
227 treatment conditions were counterbalanced across participants to control for order effects. The
228 testing sessions for each condition were scheduled seven days apart. At the start of each test
229 session, the test procedure was explained in detail to participants before they were fitted with
230 a HR monitor and completed a 5-minute warm up at 10 km/h on a treadmill. Immediately prior
231 to each anticipation test, participants were fitted with the eye tracker and positioned in front of

¹ The Fox method has come under criticism due to only considering an individual’s age; however, it was deemed an acceptable method in this current study because of the within-subject design adopted.

² The location and duration of final fixation was collected and analyzed; however, upon inspection of the data, there were no between condition differences in this data set. Therefore, this variable is not included herein so as to reduce the length and complexity of the results.

232 the screen to complete a 5-point calibration using a still image of one of the test clips (which
233 was not used in any of the tests).

234 *Baseline condition.* Following calibration of the eye tracker, participants completed
235 the four familiarisation trials, after which the calibration of the eye tracker was checked and
236 minor adjustments were made, if needed. Participants then completed the 20-trial anticipation
237 test without interruption. The baseline condition lasted approximately 20 minutes.

238 *Physical load condition.* After completing their warm-up, participants were taken
239 through a modified version of the soccer specific Drust running protocol (Drust et al., 2000),
240 which was developed to mimic activity patterns experienced in soccer match on a motorized
241 treadmill. Participants completed 15 blocks of activity with each block containing; 80 seconds
242 jogging (12 km/h) followed by 20 seconds sprinting (20 km/h) and 20 seconds walking (4
243 km/h). Following the running protocol, participants were fitted eye tracker which was then
244 calibrated and they took part in the anticipation test. The physical load protocol lasted 30
245 minutes and participants covered approximately 6 km. Total time for the physical load
246 condition was approximately 40 minutes.

247 *Mental load condition.* The mental load protocol consisted of an approximately 30-
248 minute Stoop test (Stroop, 1935). Participants were presented with the name of a colour in a
249 coloured font on a large screen (as per anticipation test) and were tasked with verbalising the
250 printed word and not the colour of the font. For example, if a word reads 'green' but is printed
251 in blue, correct answer would be green. The word was presented for two seconds before
252 automatically changing to the next word regardless of whether the participant gave a response.
253 The test contained 100 trials that were separated into five blocks of twenty trials with a 30
254 second break in-between blocks (as per Smith et al., 2016). Immediately after the mental load
255 protocol, participants were fitted with the eye tracker before completing the anticipation test.
256 Total time for the mental load condition was approximately 40 minutes.

257 *Combined load condition.* After completing their warm-up, participants were taken
258 through the modified Drust protocol whilst completing the 100 trial Stroop test. Participants
259 completed 25 trials of the Stroop test after 7.5, 15, 22.5 and 30 minutes of the Drust protocol
260 (as per Casanova et al., 2013). As per the other testing conditions the screen was placed
261 approximately two metres from the participant. After every five blocks of the Drust, HR was
262 taken. Immediately after the combined load protocol participants were fitted with the eye
263 tracker before completing the anticipation test. Total time for the combined load condition was
264 approximately 40 minutes.

265 **Data Analysis**

266 One-way ANOVAs were conducted to compare effects of Condition (Baseline,
267 Physical, Mental, Combination) on RA, the three measures of load (i.e., HR, RSME & RPE),
268 and the two measures of visual search (number of fixations & mean duration of fixations).
269 Intra-reliability observer checks were conducted on the visual search data using the test-retest
270 method (Thomas, Nelson, & Silverman, 2005), with the data from participant 2 (98%
271 reliability), participant 4 (94 % reliability) and participant 6 (97 % reliability) being re-analysed
272 and shown to be reliable. Greenhouse–Geisser procedures were used to correct for violations
273 of the sphericity assumption. Effect sizes were reported as partial eta squared (η_p^2). Any
274 significant main effects were followed up using Bonferroni-corrected pairwise comparisons.
275 The alpha level was set at $p < .05$. Table 1 presents statistics for all follow up comparisons.

276

277 **Results**

278 **Response Accuracy. (RA):** There was a significant main effect of Condition, $F(3, 56)$
279 $= 15.23$, $P < .01$, $\eta_p^2 = .58$. RA in the Baseline condition ($M = 78.2\%$, $SD = 9.1$) was
280 significantly greater than that in the Mental load ($M = 60.6\%$, $SD = 7.7$), Physical load ($M =$
281 59.4% , $SD = 14.12$) and Combined load ($M = 45.6\%$, $SD = 17.5$) conditions (see Figure 1).
282 RA in the Combined load condition was significantly lower than that in the Mental load and
283 the Physical load conditions, which did not differ significantly.

284 **Heart Rate (HR).** There was a significant main effect of Condition, $F(3, 56) = 262.38$,
285 $P < .01$, $\eta_p^2 = .96$, with HR in the Physical load ($M = 82.75\%_{HRmax}$, $SD = 9.23$) and Combined
286 load condition ($M = 82.42\%_{HRmax}$, $SD = 6.99$) greater than that in the Baseline ($M = 30.12$
287 $\%_{HRmax}$, $SD = 5.51$) and Mental load ($M = 30.00\%_{HRmax}$, $SD = 5.62$) conditions (see Figure 2).
288 There was neither a significant difference in HR between Physical load and Combined load
289 conditions, nor between Baseline and Mental load conditions.

290 **Rating of Perceived Exertion (RPE).** There was a significant main effect of Condition,
291 $F(3, 56) = 2508.11$, $P < .01$, $\eta_p^2 = .99$. RPE in the Physical load ($M = 93.75$, $SD = 5.28$) and
292 Combined load conditions ($M = 97.50$, $SD = 2.61$) were significantly greater than that in the
293 Baseline ($M = 11.67$, $SD = 2.47$) and Mental load ($M = 12.27$, $SD = 1.46$) conditions (see Figure
294 2). There was neither a significant difference in RPE between Physical load and Combined
295 load conditions, nor between Baseline and Mental load conditions.

296 **Rating Scale for Mental Effort (RSME).** There was a significant main effect of
297 Condition, $F(3, 56) = 46.93$, $P < .01$, $\eta_p^2 = .81$, with RSME in the Mental load ($M = 43.08$, SD
298 $= 10.67$) and Combined load conditions ($M = 61.25$, $SD = 7.06$) being greater than those in the
299 Baseline ($M = 32.83$, $SD = 11.34$) and Physical load ($M = 21.17$, $SD = 11.89$) conditions (see

300 Figure 2). There was also a significant difference between the Mental load and Combined load
301 conditions. There were however no significant differences in RSME between Baseline and
302 Physical load condition.

303 **Number of fixations.** There was a significant main effect of Condition, $F(3, 56) =$
304 $21.62, P < .01, \eta_p^2 = .66$. The number of fixations in the Baseline condition was significantly
305 lower ($M = 4.95, SD = 1.24$) than those in the Mental load ($M = 7.41, SD = 3.03$), Physical load
306 ($M = 7.11, SD = 2.25$) and Combined load ($M = 8.96, SD = 3.55$) conditions (see Figure 3). In
307 addition, the number of fixations was significantly greater in the Combined load condition than
308 those in both the Mental load and the Physical load conditions, which did not differ
309 significantly.

310 **Duration of fixations.** The main effect of Condition was non-significant, $F(3, 56) =$
311 $1.27, P = .29, \eta_p^2 = .06$ (see Figure 3).

312

313 **Discussion**

314 Research examining the impact of additional loads on anticipatory performance has
315 primarily presented different types of load in isolation (e.g. Casanova et al., 2013; Smith et al.,
316 2016). Therefore, an aim of the current study was to examine the impact of combining two
317 common sources of additional load, physical and mental load, on the anticipatory performance
318 of skilled soccer players. Moreover, work examining the separate impact of these additional
319 loads on anticipatory performance has produced conflicting results (e.g. Royal et al., 2006;
320 Casanova et al., 2013; Smith et al., 2016). Therefore, the current study also examined the
321 separate impact of physical and mental load on anticipatory performance, and measured
322 mechanisms underpinning anticipation to gain insight into the occurrence or absence of effects.
323 It was predicted that when presented with physical and mental load in isolation- anticipatory
324 skill would reduce, there would be a change in visual search behaviour and an increase in
325 mental effort when compared to baseline levels. When physical and mental load were
326 combined it was predicted that these negative effects would be further exacerbated due to the
327 accumulative increase in load when compared to the load free condition (e.g. baseline) and
328 when the loads were presented independently.

329 Data from the separate load conditions suggest that additional load, whether it is
330 physical or mental, has the potential to negatively impact anticipation accuracy in soccer. The
331 findings from the physical load condition supported the work in soccer by Casanova et al.
332 (2013) but contradicted the findings within the “very high” physical load water polo context
333 presented in Royal et al. (2006), while the findings regarding mental load, supported the

334 majority of research in that respective area (Smith et al., 2018). Accompanying the reduction
335 in anticipatory accuracy, the visual search behaviour changed similarly in both the physical
336 and mental load conditions, with an increase in the number of fixations. An increase in the
337 number of fixations might reflect difficulties in identifying the information rich areas of the
338 display upon which to fixate, possibly due to the similar reduction in available attentional
339 resources caused by the two conditions. Regardless, this builds on previous null findings in the
340 mental load literature where a smaller sample size than the current study may have contained
341 the findings (Smith et al., 2016). However, the findings in the physical load condition
342 somewhat contradicts the study by Casanova et al. (2013) who found that physical load
343 decreased, rather than increased, the number of fixations made by skilled players. In the current
344 study, the footage for the task was filmed from an aerial perspective at the side of the pitch,
345 whereas the footage in the Casanova et al. (2013) paper was filmed from high up behind the
346 defending team's goal. These subtle differences between the viewing perspectives used in the
347 two studies may explain the differences in visual search behaviour (Mann et al., 2009) in
348 response to physical load. In both cases, the viewing perspective was different than the
349 perspective experienced by players in a game. Therefore, the finding that physical, and mental,
350 load causes a change in visual search behaviour, which is associated with reduced anticipatory
351 performance, seems more important than the direction of the change in itself. It is a challenge
352 for future research to design representative tasks that afford the study of the specific affect
353 additional load has on visual search (Dicks, Davids & Button, 2009; McGuckian, Cole &
354 Pepping, 2018).

355 As described, the imposition of an additional load, whether it be mental or physical,
356 had a negative effect on the accuracy of anticipatory judgements in soccer. Interestingly
357 though, the different types of load appear to only impact the mechanisms associated with that
358 specific load. While heart rate and ratings of perceived exertion increased in the physical load
359 condition this was not accompanied with an increase in ratings of mental effort, and vice versa
360 in the mental load condition. The findings from the physical load condition somewhat
361 contradicts *Attentional Control Theory* (Eysenck et al., 2007) and Nieuwenhuys & Oudejans's
362 (2012; 2017) *Integrated Model*, which would predict that under physical load individuals
363 would increase effort to maintain effective visual search behaviour and, subsequently,
364 performance. This was shown in the study by Alder et al. (2019), whereby, as the physical
365 demand increased so too did the players self-report ratings of mental effort. A potential
366 explanation for the lack of increase in mental effort in the physical load condition may be the
367 third person perspective utilised in the anticipation task, as previously outlined. The skilled

368 participants may not have been able to implement self-control strategies, such as goal-directed
369 attention focusing, which they would typically employ in a more representative task (e.g. Roca
370 et al., 2013). The suggestion, therefore, would be that the increase in mental effort in the mental
371 load condition was not due to efforts to maintain performance but rather, more simply, it was
372 just a by-product of the mentally demanding Stroop task. This explanation is supported by the
373 finding that players changed their visual search behaviour (higher number of fixations) in both
374 the physical and mental load conditions. Participants appeared unable to, or think it appropriate,
375 maintain the visual search behaviour by increasing mental effort to help inform anticipatory
376 judgements (Alder et al., 2019).

377 Findings from the combined condition, in which physical and mental load was applied
378 to players concurrently, supported our hypothesis. Anticipatory judgements were less accurate
379 when compared to the (unloaded) baseline condition, and, crucially, when mental and physical
380 load were applied independently. This reduction in the accuracy of anticipatory skill followed
381 reports of physical exertion that mirrored, but did not exceed, the perceived exertion reported
382 by players in the physical load conditions. However, the mental effort reported in the combined
383 condition did exceed those reported in the mental load condition. This potentially suggests that
384 players did increase mental effort in the combined condition, over and above the efforts
385 required on the Stroop task, in an attempt to maintain goal-directed attentional strategies and
386 protect against a decrement in performance (Eysenck et al., 2007). Despite these efforts it
387 appears the load in the combined condition was such that participants failed to remain goal-
388 directed in their attentional control and instead became more susceptible to distracting and non-
389 relevant stimuli. Partial support for this is presented in the objective visual search data as the
390 heightened number of fixations observed when load was applied in isolation was exacerbated by
391 the concurrent application of mental and physical load. Therefore, the further change in visual
392 search behaviour may underpin the observed reduction in response accuracy. It suggests that
393 even with the recruitment of additional mental effort, the dual-load further undermined players
394 capability to identify, extract or process information that would allow them to effectively judge
395 the course of action (Alder et al., 2019). This interpretation of the findings does raise the
396 question of why the players would increase mental effort to maintain performance in the
397 combined condition but not in the independent load conditions? Future research is required to
398 examine this question and it may be that the use of retrospective self-reports is not a valid
399 enough measure of mental effort, and, therefore, the current findings and interpretations should
400 be viewed with caution.

401 In terms of limitations of the piece, the current study design did not counterbalance all
402 conditions, with the baseline condition always completed first. This may have led to an order
403 effect although the differences between the experimental conditions cannot be attributed to this.
404 Another limitation of the project is that physical and mental load were induced using
405 experimental approaches that lack high levels of ecological validity (Schapschröer et al., 2016).
406 Moreover, the current work did not examine the impact of making sport-specific decisions
407 whilst completing sport-specific physical movements. Therefore, the current study may not
408 capture the full processing demands of the performance environment in soccer. Future research
409 should try to design an experiment that allows for test trials to be completed intermittently
410 across load conditions that more closely replicate soccer competition. As technology improves
411 there may be ways to analyse technical, physical and perceptual-cognitive skills in actual
412 competition, whilst also monitoring physical and mental load. This will enhance the ecological
413 validity but may impact the experimental control and the subsequent explanation of the
414 findings. The variety of methods used in this topic area to induce physical and mental load, and
415 also for testing perceptual-cognitive skills, creates difficulties when attempting to compare
416 findings across studies (Schapschröer et al. 2016; Smith et al. 2018). A more systematic
417 approach to examining the impact of various levels of physical and mental load on performance
418 in sport is required in future research to facilitate comparisons across studies. Furthermore,
419 future research should consider other factors that may contribute to the negative impact of
420 mental and physical load on perceptual-cognitive skills and performance. Fatigue has been
421 shown to be associated with a broad range of side effects, such as decreased motivation and
422 alertness and changes in mood (Dantzer et al., 2014). Research is required to investigate these
423 potentially moderating factors of physical and mental load of sport performance.

424 From a practical perspective, this work suggests that the loads common to sporting
425 competition can have an independent and cumulative negative effect on the ability of players
426 to ‘read the game’. As such, future work should look to understand the extent of the demands
427 on players during competition, in order to be better placed to design interventions to mitigate
428 against the negative effects. To date there has been considerable work that has captured the
429 type of physical load that can be placed upon players (Henderson et al., 2015); however, less
430 is known about the mental load of players in invasion games, particularly with regard to the
431 demands of decision-making.

432

433 **References**

434 Abernethy, B., & Russell, D. G. (1987). Expert-novice differences in an applied selective
435 attention task. *Journal of Sport and Exercise Psychology*, 9(4), 326-345.

436 Alder, D. B., Broadbent, D. P., Stead, J., & Poolton, J. (2019). The impact of physiological
437 load on anticipation skills in badminton: From testing to training. *Journal of Sports
438 Sciences*, 37(16), 1816-1823.

439 Alder, D., Ford, P. R., Causer, J., & Williams, A. M. (2016). The effects of high-and low-
440 anxiety training on the anticipation judgments of elite performers. *Journal of Sport and
441 Exercise Psychology*, 38(1), 93-104.

442 Alder, D., Ford, P. R., Causer, J., & Williams, A. M. (2014). The coupling between gaze
443 behaviour and opponent kinematics during anticipation of badminton shots. *Human
444 Movement Science*, 37, 167-179.

445 Anderson, L., Orme, P., Di Michele, R., Close, G. L., Milsom, J., Morgans, R., & Morton, J.
446 P. (2016). Quantification of seasonal-long physical load in soccer players with different
447 starting status from the English Premier League: implications for maintaining squad
448 physical fitness. *International Journal of Sports Physiology and Performance*, 11(8),
449 1038-1046.

450 Andrzejewski, M., Chmura, J., Pluta, B., Strzelczyk, R., & Kasprzak, A. (2013). Analysis of
451 sprinting activities of professional football players. *The Journal of Strength &
452 Conditioning Research*, 27(8), 2134-2140.

453 Arruda, A. F., Carling, C., Zanetti, V., Aoki, M. S., Coutts, A. J., & Moreira, A. (2015). Effects
454 of a very congested match schedule on body-load impacts, accelerations, and running
455 measures in youth football players. *International Journal of Sports Physiology and
456 Performance*, 10(2), 248-252.

457 Boksem, M. A., Meijman, T. F., & Lorist, M. M. (2005). Effects of mental fatigue on attention:
458 an ERP study. *Cognitive Brain Research*, 25(1), 107-116.

459 Boksem, M. A., & Tops, M. (2008). Mental fatigue: costs and benefits. *Brain Research
460 Reviews*, 59(1), 125-139.

461 Borg, G. (1998). Borg's perceived exertion and pain scales. *Human kinetics*.

462 Casanova, F., Garganta, J., Silva, G., Alves, A., Oliveira, J. O. S. E., & Williams, A. M. (2013).
463 Effects of prolonged intermittent exercise on perceptual-cognitive processes. *Medicine
464 & Science in Sports & Exercise*, 45(8), 1610-1617.

465 Coutinho, D., Gonçalves, B., Travassos, B., Wong, D. P., Coutts, A. J., & Sampaio, J. E.
466 (2017). Mental fatigue and spatial references impair soccer players' physical and
467 tactical performances. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 8, 1645.

468 Coutts, A.J. (2016) Fatigue in football: it's not a brainless task!, *Journal of Sports Sciences*,
469 34:14, 1296-1296, DOI: 10.1080/02640414.2016.1170475

470 Dalen, T., Jørgen, I., Gertjan, E., Havard, H. G., & Ulrik, W. (2016). Player load, acceleration,
471 and deceleration during forty-five competitive matches of elite football. *The Journal of*
472 *Strength & Conditioning Research*, 30(2), 351-359.

473 Dantzer, R., Heijnen, C. J., Kavelaars, A., Laye, S., and Capuron, L. (2014). The neuroimmune
474 basis of fatigue. *Trends Neuroscience*. 37, 39–46. doi: 10.1016/j.tins.2013.10.003

475 Dellal A., Owen A., Wong D.P., Krustup P., van Exsel M., & Mallo J. (2012) Technical and
476 physical demands of small vs. large sided games in relation to playing position in elite
477 soccer. *Human Movement Science*, 31, 957-969.

478 Dellal, A., Wong, D. P., Moalla, W., & Chamari, K. (2010). Physical and technical activity of
479 football players in the French First League-with special reference to their playing
480 position. *International Sport Medicine Journal*, 11(2), 278-290.

481 Dicks, M., Davids, K., & Button, C. (2009). Representative task design for the study of
482 perception and action in sport. *International Journal of Sport Psychology*, 40(4), 506.

483 Drust, B., Reilly, T., & Cable, N. T. (2000). Physiological responses to laboratory-based
484 football-specific intermittent and continuous exercise. *Journal of Sports*
485 *Sciences*, 18(11), 885-892.

486 Eysenck, M. W., Derakshan, N., Santos, R., & Calvo, M. G. (2007). Anxiety and cognitive
487 performance: attentional control theory. *Emotion*, 7(2), 336.

488 Ford, P. R., & Williams, A. M. (2010). The development activities of elite football players who
489 progressed to professional status at 16 years of age compared to those who did not.
490 *Journal of Sport & Exercise Psychology*, 32 (39.).

491 Fox, S. M., III, Naughton, J. P., & Haskell, W. L. (1971). Physical activity and the prevention
492 of coronary heart disease. *Annals of Clinical Research*, 3, 404–432.

493 Henderson, B., Cook, J., Kidgell, D. J., & Gatin, P. B. (2015). Game and training load
494 differences in elite junior Australian football. *Journal of Sports Science &*
495 *Medicine*, 14(3), 494.

496 Helsen, W., & Bultynck, J. B. (2004). Physical and perceptual-cognitive demands of top-class
497 refereeing in association football. *Journal of Sports Sciences*, 22(2), 179-189.

498 Henderson, B., Cook, J., Kidgell, D. J., & Gatin, P. B. (2015). Game and training load
499 differences in elite junior Australian football. *Journal of Sports Science & Medicine*,
500 14(3), 494.

501 Kunrath, C. A., Nakamura, F. Y., Roca, A., Tessitore, A., & Teoldo Da Costa, I. (2020). How
502 does mental fatigue affect soccer performance during small-sided games? A cognitive,
503 tactical and physical approach. *Journal of Sports Sciences*, 1-11.

504 Lyons, M., Al-Nakeeb, Y., Hankey, J., & Nevill, A. (2013). The effect of moderate and high-
505 intensity fatigue on groundstroke accuracy in expert and non-expert tennis
506 players. *Journal of Sports Science & Medicine*, 12(2), 298.

507 Mann, D. T., Williams, A. M., Ward, P., & Janelle, C. M. (2007). Perceptual-cognitive
508 expertise in sport: A meta-analysis. *Journal of Sport and Exercise Psychology*, 29(4),
509 457-478.

510 Mann, D. L., Farrow, D., Shuttleworth, R., Hopwood, M., & MacMahon, C. (2009). The
511 influence of viewing perspective on decision-making and visual search behaviour in an
512 invasive sport. *International Journal of Sport Psychology*, 40(4), 546-564.

513 McGuckian, T. B., Cole, M. H., & Pepping, G. J. (2018). A systematic review of the
514 technology-based assessment of visual perception and exploration behaviour in
515 association football. *Journal of Sports Sciences*, 36(8), 861-880.

516 McRobert, A. P., Williams, A. M., Ward, P., & Eccles, D. W. (2009). Tracing the process of
517 expertise in a simulated anticipation task. *Ergonomics*, 52(4), 474-483.

518 Nieuwenhuys, A., & Oudejans, R. R. (2012). Anxiety and perceptual-motor performance:
519 toward an integrated model of concepts, mechanisms, and processes. *Psychological*
520 *research*, 76(6), 747-759.

521 Nieuwenhuys, A., & Oudejans, R. R. (2017). Anxiety and performance: perceptual-motor
522 behaviour in high-pressure contexts. *Current Opinion in Psychology*, 16, 28-33.

523 North, J. S., & Williams, M. A. (2008). Identifying the critical time period for information
524 extraction when recognizing sequences of play. *Research Quarterly for Exercise and*
525 *Sport*, 79(2), 268-273.

526 Ripoll, H., Kerlirzin, Y., Stein, J. F., & Reine, B. (1995). Analysis of information processing,
527 decision making, and visual strategies in complex problem solving sport
528 situations. *Human Movement Science*, 14(3), 325-349.

529 Roca, A., Ford, P. R., McRobert, A. P., & Williams, A. M. (2011). Identifying the processes
530 underpinning anticipation and decision-making in a dynamic time-constrained
531 task. *Cognitive Processing*, 12(3), 301-310.

532 Roca, A., Ford, P. R., McRobert, A. P., & Williams, A. M. (2013). Perceptual-cognitive skills
533 and their interaction as a function of task constraints in football. *Journal of Sport and*
534 *Exercise Psychology*, 35(2), 144-155.

535 Royal, K. A., Farrow, D., Mujika, I., Halson, S. L., Pyne, D., & Abernethy, B. (2006). The
536 effects of fatigue on decision making and shooting skill performance in water polo
537 players. *Journal of Sports Sciences*, 24(8), 807-815.

538 Savelsbergh, G. J., Williams, A. M., Kamp, J. V. D., & Ward, P. (2002). Visual search,
539 anticipation and expertise in football goalkeepers. *Journal of sports sciences*, 20(3),
540 279-287.

541 Schapschröer, M., Lemez, S., Baker, J., & Schorer, J. (2016). Physical load affects perceptual-
542 cognitive performance of skilled athletes: A systematic review. *Sports Medicine-Open*,
543 2(1), 37.

544 Smith, M. R., Thompson, C., Marcora, S. M., Skorski, S., Meyer, T., & Coutts, A. J. (2018).
545 Mental fatigue and soccer: current knowledge and future directions. *Sports Medicine*,
546 48(7), 1525-1532.

547 Smith, M. R., Zeuwts, L., Lenoir, M., Hens, N., De Jong, L. M., & Coutts, A. J. (2016). Mental
548 fatigue impairs football-specific decision-making skill. *Journal of Sports
549 Sciences*, 34(14), 1297-1304.

550 Stroop, J. R. (1935). Studies of interference in serial verbal reactions. *Journal of Experimental
551 Psychology*. 18, 643–662.

552 Thomas, N., & Nelson, J. K. Silverman, (2005). *Research methods in physical activity*.

553 Vickers, J. N. (1996). Visual control when aiming at a far target. *Journal of Experimental
554 Psychology: Human Perception and Performance*, 22(2), 342.

555 Walsh, V. (2014). Is sport the brain's biggest challenge?. *Current Biology*, 24(18), R859-R860.

556 Ward, P., Williams, A. M., & Bennett, S. J. (2002). Visual search and biological motion
557 perception in tennis. *Research Quarterly for Exercise and Sport*, 73(1), 107-112.

558 Williams, A. M., & Jackson, R. C. (2019). Anticipation in sport: Fifty years on, what have we
559 learned and what research still needs to be undertaken?. *Psychology of Sport and
560 Exercise*, 42, 16-24.

561 Wilson, M. R., Wood, G., & Vine, S. J. (2009). Anxiety, attentional control, and performance
562 impairment in penalty kicks. *Journal of Sport and Exercise Psychology*, 31(6), 761-
563 775.

564 Zijlstra, F. R. H. (1993). Efficiency in work behaviour: A design approach for modern tools.
565 (Doctoral dissertation), Technical University Delft, Delft, Netherlands: Delft
566 University Press.

567