

Heterogeneous Nucleation of α -Al on naturally formed MgAl_2O_4 Particles during Solidification of Al-Mg-Si-Fe-Mn alloys

Zhongping Que*, Yun Wang, Chamini L. Mendis

BCAST, Brunel University London, Uxbridge, Middlesex, UB8 3PH, UK

*Corresponding author. E-mail address: Zhongping.Que@brunel.ac.uk

Abstract

The nature of the native MgAl_2O_4 particles found in an Al-5Mg-2Si-0.7Mn-1.1Fe alloy was investigated with scanning electron microscopy (SEM) and high-resolution transmission electron microscopy (HRTEM). An orientation relationship (OR) was identified to be: $8.5^\circ (-1\ 1\ -1)[-1\ 1\ 0]_{\alpha\text{-Al}} // (2\ -2\ 2)[-1\ 1\ 0]_{\text{MgAl}_2\text{O}_4}$. Different from the OR between the α -Al and the MgAl_2O_4 particles with a “clean” surface [1], a tilt angle (8.5°) was observed. The atomic templating layer during the nucleation process of α -Al on MgAl_2O_4 was investigated by considering the interfacial segregation. The contribution of native MgAl_2O_4 particles to the grain refinement was investigated.

Keywords: MgAl_2O_4 , heterogeneous nucleation, tilt angle, interfacial segregation, Al-5Mg-2Si-0.7Mn-1.1Fe alloy

1. Introduction

Traditionally, grain refiners are added into liquid melts to refine the average grain size, consequently improving the mechanical properties of the alloys [2-5]. The mechanism of grain refinement with inoculants was understood as supplying of potent particles for heterogeneous nucleation and by alloying to control the surfaces of such refiners or reduce the rate of grain growth [5]. Some grain refiners, such as Al-5Ti-1B master alloy has been successfully developed to refine some Al based alloys [7]. The TiB_2 particles with “clean” surface are not potent for nucleation of α -Al due to the larger lattice misfit (-4.2%) with α -Al compared to others such as Al_3Ti and α -Al (0.09%). However, TiB_2 particles in commercial Al-5Ti-1B grain refiner which have an Al_3Ti templating layer [8] is very potent for nucleation of α -Al due to a very small lattice mismatch at the interface between the Al_3Ti layer and α -Al. However, the TiB_2 particles from the grain refiners are not suitable for all of Al alloys. For example, it was reported that, when alloy elements such as Si [9-10], Zr [3, 11] are present in Al alloys, the Al_3Ti layer is dissolved [11]. Therefore, the TiB_2 particles in Al-5Ti-1B master alloy become not potent, resulting in a coarse grain structure. These results indicate that the nucleation potency of a substrate can change significantly by changing the interface through interfacial segregation or other chemical interactions at the interface. Therefore, investigation on the interaction between melt including impurities and nucleation substrates including both external grain refiners and native inoculant particles in alloy melts are very important to enhance heterogeneous nucleation and achieve the final grain refinement.

40 Oxides particles are unavoidable in Al alloy melts during the casting process, which could act
41 as substrates for heterogeneous nucleation. Some common oxides in Al-alloys are MgAl_2O_4
42 and $\alpha\text{-Al}_2\text{O}_3$. The effect of Mg additions on the oxides in liquid Al alloys have been extensively
43 investigated in the last decades and show that Mg addition favours the formation of MgAl_2O_4
44 [12-15]. In this study, the major oxide was identified as MgAl_2O_4 particles in an Al-5Mg-2Si-
45 0.7Mn-1.1Fe alloy which contains Mg as high as 5wt.%. The lattice misfits between these in-
46 situ oxides and the $\alpha\text{-Al}$ were calculated to be small [16], which means that these particles
47 should be favourable to nucleate $\alpha\text{-Al}$. However, these oxides normally agglomerate in oxide
48 bi-films [17-18], which reduce their grain refinement efficiency. Recently, some studies have
49 demonstrated that the in-situ oxide particles can be utilized to enhance the heterogeneous
50 nucleation when were well-dispersed, and thus achieve grain refinement in Al- and Mg-alloys
51 especially with the use of the intensive melt shearing technique [16, 19-20]. In particular, it has
52 been shown that the native MgAl_2O_4 particles with {1 1 1} faceted surface nucleated $\alpha\text{-Al}$ in
53 Mg-containing Al based alloys [16]. Li. et al investigated the heterogeneous nucleation of $\alpha\text{-Al}$
54 on MgAl_2O_4 particles in the pure Al. The surface of the naturally formed oxide particles can
55 be modified due to interfacial segregation in the melt. The atomic templating on the substrate
56 surface will therefore change during the modification process, and thus affects the lattice
57 mismatching/ nucleation potency for nucleation [21].

58 In this work, we aimed to investigate the efficiency of native MgAl_2O_4 particles for
59 heterogeneous nucleation of $\alpha\text{-Al}$ in Al-alloys containing multiple alloying additions. The
60 oxide films were reduced/eliminated via the intensive melt shearing technique, to investigate
61 the nature and the efficiency of native MgAl_2O_4 for heterogeneous nucleation of $\alpha\text{-Al}$. The
62 effects of interfacial segregation (impurities or the other alloying elements) on the terminating
63 planes of native MgAl_2O_4 particles on the nucleation efficiency were studied by investigating
64 the orientation relationship changes between the $\alpha\text{-Al}$ and MgAl_2O_4 .

65

66 2. Experimental

67 Al-5Mg-2Si-0.7Mn-1.1Fe alloy investigated in this work has a composition of $5.7\% \pm 0.5\%$ Mg,
68 $2.1\% \pm 0.2\%$ Si, $0.65\% \pm 0.04\%$ Mn and $1.12\% \pm 0.05\%$ Fe (all compositions are in wt.%). The
69 melting temperature of this alloy is 668.01°C calculated using the Pandat software using
70 PanAl2018 database. The starting materials were commercially pure Al ($>99.86\text{wt.}\%$),
71 commercially pure Mg ($>99.95\text{wt.}\%$), and Al-50Si, Al-20Mn and Al-38Fe master alloys. The
72 Al-2Si-0.7Mn-1.1Fe alloy melt was prepared at 750°C in an electric resistance furnace
73 followed with stirring and sufficiently long holding time to ensure melt homogeneity. The melt
74 was isothermally held for 30 minutes after Mg addition. To disperse the native inoculant
75 particles, the intensive melt shearing [22] was used on the alloy melt with shearing unit
76 operated at 4000rpm for 5 minutes. The melt was cast into a TP 1 mould [23] at 720°C before
77 and after intensive melt shearing. In order to facilitate direct examination of oxides particles, a
78 pressurised melt filtration technique was used after intensive melt shearing to collect the oxide
79 particles [24].

80

81 The TP-1 sample was sectioned at the cross section at 38mm height from the bottom of the
82 casting which has a cooling rate of 3.5K/s. The filtration materials immediately above the filter
83 were sectioned, where the oxide particles were concentrated. Scanning electron microscope
84 (SEM) observation was carried out with a Zeiss Supera 35 SEM, at accelerating voltages
85 between 5-20kV. The filtration sample was made into 3mm diameter discs for transmission
86 electron microscopy (TEM) examinations. The discs were then manually ground to a thickness
87 of less than 60 μ m, followed by ion-beam-thinning using a Gatan precision ion polishing system
88 (PIPS) at energy between 2.0-5.0kV and incident angles of 3-6°. TEM examination was
89 performed on a JEOL 2100F transmission electron microscope at an accelerating voltage of
90 200kV equipped with EDX spectroscopy facility operated.

91

92 3. Results

93

94 Different types of inoculant particles such as oxides (MgAl_2O_4), nitride (AlN) and carbide can
95 be collected from the Al alloys melt, which are reported elsewhere [25-27]. The major type of
96 inoculant particles in Al-5Mg-2Si-0.7Mn-1.1Fe alloy was identified as MgAl_2O_4 (spinel) using
97 SEM-EDX, TEM-EDX and TEM analyses. Figs.1a-b show the 2- and 3-dimensional
98 morphology of the native MgAl_2O_4 particles collected. The size of these MgAl_2O_4 particles
99 ranges from 0.5 to 1 μ m. The agglomeration of these MgAl_2O_4 particles was rarely observed.

100 The TEM-EDX results from more than 20 MgAl_2O_4 particles indicated that they have a
101 composition of O 39.8 \pm 0.5at.%, Mg 20.2 \pm 0.2at.% and Al 40.0 \pm 0.6at.%. The TEM examination
102 results show that these MgAl_2O_4 particles have the face-centred cubic (fcc) crystal structure
103 with $a=8.08\pm 0.005\text{\AA}$, and are {1 1 1} faceted. One such example is shown in Fig.1c. It shows
104 that the MgAl_2O_4 particle is {1 1 1} faceted when viewed along its $\langle 1\ 1\ 0 \rangle$ zone direction, and
105 the angles between two adjacent termination planes are measured to be $109.5 \pm 0.4^\circ$ or $70.5 \pm$
106 0.5° .

107 Most of the MgAl_2O_4 particles are distributed in the oxides films and have no specific in-plane
108 orientation relationship with α -Al. However, those naturally formed MgAl_2O_4 particles
109 observed to be embedded in α -Al grains, have specific OR with α -Al, Fig.2. The HRTEM
110 observation on the α -Al/ MgAl_2O_4 interface is shown in Fig.2a. The indexed fast fourier
111 transform (FFT) patterns from α -Al and MgAl_2O_4 were shown in Fig.2b and c, respectively.
112 The incident electron beam is parallel to $\langle 1\ 1\ 0 \rangle$ of α -Al and $\langle 1\ 1\ 0 \rangle$ of MgAl_2O_4 . This reveals
113 an orientation relationship (OR) as: $8.5^\circ(1\ -1\ 1)_{\alpha\text{-Al}} // (2\ -2\ 2)_{\text{MgAl}_2\text{O}_4}$ and $[-1\ 1\ 0]_{\alpha\text{-Al}} // [-1\ 1\ 0]_{\text{MgAl}_2\text{O}_4}$.
114 $(1\ -1\ 1)_{\alpha\text{-Al}}$ and $(2\ -2\ 2)_{\text{MgAl}_2\text{O}_4}$. It has an angle of 8.5° between the two planes. This
115 observation of the OR provides evidence that the in-situ MgAl_2O_4 particles do nucleate α -Al
116 in Al-5Mg-2Si-0.7Mn-1.1Fe alloy. However, the orientation relationship between $\{1\ 1\ 1\}_{\alpha\text{-Al}}$
117 and $\{1\ 1\ 1\}_{\text{MgAl}_2\text{O}_4}$ has a 8.5° deviation from the reported OR [1] observed on the “clean”
118 MgAl_2O_4 surface.

119 In-situ oxide particles in Al alloys always form and contained in the double oxide films
120 therefore difficult to achieve the effective grain refinement [28-29]. With intensive melt
121 shearing, these oxide films can be dispersed uniformly allowing them to be more effective
122 inoculant particles to grain refine the alloys [16, 19-20]. In this study, MgAl_2O_4 particles

123 formed as the major native oxides and was confirmed to be the potent nucleation substrates for
 124 α -Al (Fig.2). Therefore, the nucleation efficiency of the in-situ MgAl_2O_4 particles needs to be
 125 investigated. The microstructure of Al-5Mg-2Si-0.7Mn-1.1Fe alloy applied without and with
 126 intensive melt shearing is shown in Fig. 3. Quantitative measurement of the grain size is given
 127 in Table 2. It shows that the average α -Al grain size was reduced from $423\pm 47\mu\text{m}$ in the un-
 128 sheared sample to $151\pm 22\mu\text{m}$ in the sheared. The α -Al grains were refined by the dispersing
 129 naturally formed inoculant particles. Our previous research reported that although the
 130 equilibrium primary phase of Al-5Mg-2Si-0.7Mn-1.1Fe alloy was calculated to be α -
 131 $\text{Al}_{15}(\text{Fe},\text{Mn})_3\text{Si}_2$ (α -AlFeSi), the primary α -AlFeSi phase was suppressed when cast at 720°C
 132 with a cooling rate of 3.5K/s [30]. Therefore, the effect of the formation of α -AlFeSi phase on
 133 the grain refinement of α -Al can be excluded.

134

135 4. Discussion

136 In this study, the native MgAl_2O_4 particles were generated in Al-alloys containing multiple
 137 additives including Si, Fe and Mn. Those alloying elements were found segregate on TiB_2
 138 particles [21]. Therefore, it is possible for these elements to segregate on the MgAl_2O_4 particles
 139 as well. The angle (8.5°) between the $\{1\ 1\ 1\}_{\alpha\text{-Al}}$ and $\{1\ 1\ 1\}_{\text{MgAl}_2\text{O}_4}$ suggests that the $\{1\ 1\ 1\}$
 140 planes of MgAl_2O_4 in this alloy may be modified. In this case, the structure of MgAl_2O_4
 141 particles at the nucleation interface is determined by the newly templated atomic layer(s)
 142 caused by interfacial segregation or chemical interaction rather than the $\{1\ 1\ 1\}$ planes of
 143 MgAl_2O_4 . From another point of view, the α -Al at the interface with the MgAl_2O_4 are not $\{1\ 1\ 1\}$
 144 planes but the $\left\{\frac{1}{x}\ \frac{1}{x}\ 1\right\}_{\alpha\text{-Al}}$ after accounting for the tilt angle (θ). (where x is an integer value
 145 greater than 1). Due to the limited information on the modified $\{1\ 1\ 1\}$ planes of MgAl_2O_4 , we
 146 focus on the nucleating planes of α -Al. Consequently, the OR between α -Al and the native
 147 MgAl_2O_4 particles could be considered as $\left\{\frac{1}{x}\ \frac{1}{x}\ 1\right\}_{\alpha\text{-Al}}//\{1\ 1\ 1\}_{\text{MgAl}_2\text{O}_4}$.

148 Based on this discussion, we assumed different $\left\{\frac{1}{x}\ \frac{1}{x}\ 1\right\}$ planes viewed along the $\langle 1\ 1\ 0 \rangle$ zone
 149 direction of α -Al which have different tilt angle ($0\sim 10^\circ$) with $\{1\ 1\ 1\}_{\alpha\text{-Al}}$. The lattice misfits
 150 between these $\left\{\frac{1}{x}\ \frac{1}{x}\ 1\right\}$ planes of α -Al and the fixed nucleation substrate ($(2\ -2\ 2)_{\text{MgAl}_2\text{O}_4}$) were
 151 calculated. The results were shown in Table 1. The lattice parameters of α -Al and MgAl_2O_4
 152 used are calculated at 660°C taking into account the thermal expansion of both structures [31-
 153 32]. With the increased θ , the $d\left\{\frac{1}{x}\ \frac{1}{x}\ 1\right\}_{\alpha\text{-Al}}$ increases. The very small changes in $\left\{\frac{1}{x}\ \frac{1}{x}\ 1\right\}_{\alpha\text{-Al}}$
 154 indicates the large atomic distances at the interface. If the $d\left\{\frac{1}{x}\ \frac{1}{x}\ 1\right\}_{\alpha\text{-Al}}$ is analysed using the
 155 coincidence site lattice (CSL) for the mismatch between the solid α -Al and the substrates $\{1\ 1\ 1\}$
 156 of MgAl_2O_4 , then the match due to CSL increases with the increased θ ($0\sim 10^\circ$). When the
 157 tilt angle is 8.5° , same angle as the experimental results, the OR is $(7\ -7\ 5)_{\alpha\text{-Al}}//\{1\ 1\ 1\}_{\text{MgAl}_2\text{O}_4}$.
 158 Therefore, the actual heterogeneous nucleation interface was calculated to be $(7\ -7\ 5)_{\alpha\text{-Al}}$ and
 159 modified $(2\ -2\ 2)_{\text{MgAl}_2\text{O}_4}$ rather than that of $(1\ -1\ 1)_{\alpha\text{-Al}}//\{1\ 1\ 1\}_{\text{MgAl}_2\text{O}_4}$. However, it shows that
 160 the misfit between the $(7\ -7\ 5)_{\alpha\text{-Al}}$ and the $(2\ -2\ 2)_{\text{MgAl}_2\text{O}_4}$ is 5.53% which is much bigger than

161 that the misfit (1.36%) between $(1\ -1\ 1)_{\alpha\text{-Al}}$ and $(2\ -2\ 2)_{\text{MgAl}_2\text{O}_4}$. The heterogeneous nucleation
162 occur at an interface with a larger misfit rather than that with a smaller misfit, indicating some
163 surface modification on MgAl_2O_4 . Therefore, the lattice mismatch between the $\left\{\frac{1}{x}\ \frac{1}{x}\ 1\right\}_{\alpha\text{-Al}}$ and
164 modified $(2\ -2\ 2)_{\text{MgAl}_2\text{O}_4}$ need to be reconsidered. The calculation in Table 1 is not suitable for
165 the modified surface. Other factors that affect the interface structure such as surface roughness,
166 interfacial segregation need to be considered.

167 The grain refinement shown in Fig.3 indicated that these native MgAl_2O_4 particles were potent
168 to refine the $\alpha\text{-Al}$ grains after intensive melt shearing. This indicates that the native MgAl_2O_4
169 particles with modified surface are potent for the nucleation of $\alpha\text{-Al}$, i.e. the mismatch between
170 the $(7\ -7\ 5)_{\alpha\text{-Al}}$ and modified $(2\ -2\ 2)_{\text{MgAl}_2\text{O}_4}$ did not affect the potency of the particles
171 significantly. The comparison of interfacial atomic match between the $(1\ -1\ 1)_{\alpha\text{-Al}}$ on the $(2\ -2\ 2)_{\text{MgAl}_2\text{O}_4}$
172 with and without the tilt angle was simulated with Crystal Maker software, Figs.4a-
173 b, and using schematics illustrate the interface atomic matching with the crystal lattice
174 parameters. The MgAl_2O_4 particles were reported to have multiple of possibilities on surface
175 planes and surface atomic arrangements [33-34]. In this simulation, the surface atoms on the
176 $(2\ -2\ 2)$ surface planes of MgAl_2O_4 particles which formed in Al melts were set to be Al. Fig.4
177 a shows that the clean $\{1\ 1\ 1\}_{\text{MgAl}_2\text{O}_4}$ has perfect matching with the $\{1\ 1\ 1\}_{\alpha\text{-Al}}$. However, the
178 surface of the MgAl_2O_4 particles in Al-5Mg-2Si-0.7Mn-1.1Fe was modified by a 8.5° tilt angle
179 as observed during the heterogeneous nucleation of $\alpha\text{-Al}$ (Fig.2). Fig.4b shows that when the
180 interface between $\alpha\text{-Al}$ was tilted, the parallel plane of $\alpha\text{-Al}$ with the $(2\ -2\ 2)$ plane of MgAl_2O_4
181 changes from close-packed $(1\ -1\ 1)$ to $(7\ -7\ 5)$ which lower atomic density.

182 As discussed above, the most likely reason for the interfacial modification of $\{1\ 1\ 1\}_{\text{MgAl}_2\text{O}_4}$
183 is the interfacial segregation. The interfacial segregation changes atomic arrangement above
184 the MgAl_2O_4 particles in the melt by allowing Fe, Si or Mn or other impurity elements to
185 segregate on the surface or chemically interact with the surface atoms of MgAl_2O_4 . The atomic
186 radii among the possible segregation elements such as Fe, Mn, Si and Al are different, and
187 some vacancies might be generated which can cause the faceted $\{1\ 1\ 1\}_{\text{MgAl}_2\text{O}_4}$ become rougher.
188 The interfacial segregation and subsequent roughening on the nucleation substrates changes
189 the atomic templating. As discussed before, the tilt angle corresponds to a higher indexed
190 planes of the substrates, which means larger CSL and a rough surface on the modified
191 MgAl_2O_4 . Due to limited understanding of heterogeneous nucleation on the rough surfaces, our
192 initial hypothesis was schematically presented in Fig.4c-d to describe this heterogeneous
193 nucleation process.

194 Fig.4d shows the case that different elements segregate on the surface of native MgAl_2O_4
195 particles. The number, type and positions of the adsorbed atoms depending on the melt
196 composition can be different, and Fig.4d only shows one of the possibilities. The atomic
197 arrangement at the interface is predicted to affect the nucleation, which needs further
198 investigation. In this case, the higher indexed planes $((7\ -7\ 5)_{\alpha\text{-Al}})$ as the first templating layer
199 to accommodate the roughness of the surface due to segregation generated larger coincidence
200 site lattice (CSL). After a few atomic layer templating, the nucleated solid grows into the crystal
201 to minimise the interfacial energy.

202 Grain refinement not only requires potent nucleation substrates, but also requires suitable
203 particle size and size distribution. More importantly, it requires an adequate number density
204 (N_0). In this study, the number density was calculated in non-sheared and sheared cases with
205 the assumption that each grain has a $MgAl_2O_4$ as a nucleus (Table 2). According to the grain
206 size, the number of effective nucleated particles N_v can be calculated according to the equation:

$$207 \quad N_v = \frac{0.5}{d^3} \quad [35].$$

208 The nucleation efficiency was assumed to be 0.5% in both non-sheared and sheared cases.
209 Therefore, the particles number densities in these two cases were calculated as $1.3 \times 10^{12} m^{-3}$
210 and $3.0 \times 10^{13} m^{-3}$, respectively. As reported [36], the number density of TiB_2 particles in
211 1000 ppm Al-5Ti-1B grain refiner addition is $7.3 \times 10^{12} m^{-3}$. The number density of native
212 oxide particles therefore is adequate to cause grain refinement in Al-alloys.
213

214 5. Conclusions

215 The main results are summarized as:

216 (1) The major naturally formed oxides in Al-5Mg-2Si-0.7Mn-1.1Fe alloy were identified as
217 $MgAl_2O_4$. These $MgAl_2O_4$ particles were {1 1 1} faceted, and have size range from 0.5 to 1 μm .

218 (2) A well-defined orientation relationship between α -Al and $MgAl_2O_4$ was observed and
219 identified to be: $8.5^\circ (-1 \ 1 \ -1)_{\alpha-Al} // (2 \ -2 \ 2)_{MgAl_2O_4}$, and $[-1 \ 1 \ 0]_{\alpha-Al} // [-1 \ 1 \ 0]_{MgAl_2O_4}$.

220 (3) The number density of native $MgAl_2O_4$ particles is sufficient to enhance the heterogeneous
221 nucleation of α -Al in Al-alloys and lead to grain refinement.

222

223 Acknowledgement

224 The EPSRC is gratefully acknowledged for providing financial support under Grant
225 EP/N007638/1.

226

227 References

228 [1] R. Schweinfest, S. Kostlmeier, F. Ernst, C. Elsaser, T. Wagner, M. W. Finnis, Atomistic and
229 electronic structure of Al/ $MgAl_2O_4$ and Ag/ $MgAl_2O_4$ interfaces, Philos. Mag. A 81 (2001)
230 927-955.

231 [2] A. Cibula, The effect of C and B in the grain refinement of sand casting in aluminum alloys,
232 J. Inst. Met. 80 (1951)1-16.

233 [3] B.S. Murty, S.A. Kori, M. Chakraborty, Grain refinement of aluminium and its alloys by
234 heterogeneous nucleation and alloying, Int. Mater. Rev. 47 (2002) 3-29.

235 [4] T.E. Quested, Understanding mechanisms of grain refinement of aluminium alloys by
236 inoculation, Mater. Sci. Tech. 20 (2004) 1357-1369.

- 237 [5] M.A. Easton, H. Wang, J.F. Grandfield, D.H. StJohn, E. Sweet, An analysis of the effect of
238 grain refinement on the hot tearing of aluminium alloys, *Mater. Forum* 28 (2004) 224–229.
- 239 [6] P. S. Mohanty, J. E. Gruzleskit. Mechanism of grain refinement in Aluminium, *Acta Metall.*
240 *Mater.* 43(5) (1995) 2001-2012.
- 241 [7] F.A. Crossley, L.F. Mondolfo, Mechanism of grain refinement in aluminum alloys, *AIME*
242 *Trans.* 191 (1951) 1143-1148.
- 243 [8] Z. Fan, Y. Wang, Y. Zhang, T. Qin, X.R. Zhou, G.E. Thompson, T. Pennycook, T.
244 Hashimoto, Grain refining mechanism in the Al/Al–Ti–B system, *Acta Mater.* 84 (2015)
245 292-304.
- 246 [9] J.A. Spittle, S. Sadli, The influence of zirconium and chromium on the grain-refining
247 efficiency of Al–Ti–B inoculants, *Cast Metal.* 7 (1995) 247-253.
- 248 [10] S.A. Kori, B.S. Murty, M. Chakraborty. Influence of silicon and magnesium on grain
249 refinement in aluminium alloys, *Mater. Sci. Technol.* 15 (1999) 986-992.
- 250 [11] Y. Wang, C.M. Fang, L. Zhou, T. Hashimoto, X. Zhou, Q.M. Ramasse, Z. Fan,
251 Mechanism for Zr poisoning of Al-Ti-B based grain refiners, *Acta Mater.* 164 (2019) 428-
252 439.
- 253 [12] Q. Chen, The effect of transition metal additions on double oxide film defects in Al alloy
254 castings. PhD thesis, University of Birmingham (2016).
- 255 [13] S. Impey, D.J. Stephenson, J.R. Nicholls, A Study of the Effect of Magnesium Additions
256 on The Oxide Growth Morphologies on Liquid Aluminium Alloys, in *Int. Conf. on the*
257 *microscopy of oxidation.* University of Cambridge (1990) 238-244.
- 258 [14] K.L. More, P.F. Tortorelli, L.R. Walker, J. Hryn, G. Krumdick, Microstructural evaluation
259 of dross formation on Mg- and non-Mg- containing Al alloys from industrial furnaces,
260 *Materials at high Temperature,* 20(3) (2003) 453-460.
- 261 [15] G. Wu, K. Dash, M.L. Galano, K.A.Q. O’Reilly, Oxidation studies of Al alloys: Part II
262 Al-Mg alloy, *Corrosion Science,* 155 (2019) 97-108.
- 263 [16] H.-T. Li, Y. Wang, Z. Fan, Mechanisms of enhanced heterogeneous nucleation during
264 solidification in binary Al–Mg alloys, *Acta Mater.* 60 (2012) 1528-1537.
- 265 [17] R. Gopalan, N. K Prabhu, Oxide bifilms in aluminium alloy castings—a review, *Mater. Sci.*
266 *Technol.* 27 (12) (2011) 1757-1769.
- 267 [18] J. Campbell, Discussion of “Effect of Strontium and Phosphorus on Eutectic Al-Si
268 Nucleation and Formation of β -Al₅FeSi in Hypoeutectic Al-Si Foundry Alloys”, *Metall.*
269 *Mater. Trans. A* 40A (2009) 1009–1010.
- 270 [19] Z. Fan, Y. Wang, Z.F. Zhang, etc. Shear enhanced heterogeneous nucleation in some Mg-
271 and Al-alloys, *Int. J. Cast Metal. Res.* 22 (1-4) (2009) 318-322.
- 272 [20] Z. Fan, Y. Wang, M. Xia, S. Arumuganathar, Enhanced heterogeneous nucleation in
273 AZ91D alloy by intensive melt shearing, *Acta Mater.* 57(16) (2009) 4891-4901.
- 274 [21] Z.P. Que, Y.P. Zhou, Y. Wang, Z. Fan, Composition templating for heterogeneous
275 nucleation of intermetallic compounds, *Solidification Processing* (2017) 158-161.
- 276 [22] Z. Fan, B. Jiang, Y. Zuo, US2013/0228045.

277 [23] Aluminium Association: Standard Test Procedure for Aluminium Alloy Grain Refiners:
 278 TP-1, Washington DC. 1987.

279 [24] Y. Zuo, B. Jiang, P. Enright, G.M. Scamans, Z. Fan, Degassing of LM24 Al alloy by
 280 intensive melt shearing, *Int. J. Cast Metal. Res.* 24(5) (2011) 307-313.

281 [25] I. Haginoya, T. Fukusako, Oxidation of Molten Al-Mg Alloys, *Trans. Jpn. Inst. Met.*
 282 24(9)(1983) 613-619.

283 [26] C.J. Simensen and C. Berg, A survey of inclusions in aluminum, *Alum. Dusseldorf*
 284 56(1980) 335-40.

285 [27] F. Wang, Z. Fan, Characterization of AlN Inclusion Particles Formed in Commercial
 286 Purity Aluminum, *Metall. Mater. Trans. A* 50A(2019) 2519-2526.

287 [28] R. Raiszadeh, W.D. Griffiths, A method to study the history of a double oxide film defect
 288 in liquid aluminum alloys, *Metall. Mater. Trans. B* 37B (2006) 865-871.

289 [29] X. Cao, J. Campbell, The nucleation of Fe-rich phases on oxide films in Al-11.5 Si-0.4
 290 Mg cast alloys, *Metall. Mater. Trans. A* 34(7) (2003) 1409-1420.

291 [30] Z.P. Que, Y. Wang, Z. Fan, Formation of the Fe-containing intermetallic compounds
 292 during solidification of Al-5Mg-2Si-0.7 Mn-1.1 Fe alloy, *Metall. Mater. Trans. A* 49 (2018)
 293 2173-2181.

294 [31] J.W. Arblaster. *Selected Values of the Crystallographic Properties of Elements*, ASM
 295 International, 2018: 129.

296 [32] www.mseshop.com.

297 [33] C.M. Fang, S.C. Parker, G. With, Atomistic simulation of the surface energy of spinel
 298 MgAl₂O₄. *Journal of the American Ceramic Society*, 83(8) (2000) 2082-2084.

299 [34] N.J. Van der Laag, C.M. Fang, G.de With, Geometry of {001} surface of spinel
 300 (MgAl₂O₄): first-principles simulations and experimental measurements, *J. Am. Ceram.*
 301 *Soc.* 88(6) (2005) 1544-1548.

302 [35] A.L. Greer, A.M. Bunn, A. Tronche, P. V. Evans, D. J. Bristow, Modelling of inoculation
 303 of metallic melts: application to grain refinement of aluminium by Al-Ti-B, *Acta Mater.* 48
 304 (2000): 2823-2835.

305 [36] T.E. Quested, A.L. Greer, The effect of the size distribution of inoculant particles on as-
 306 cast grain size in aluminium alloys, *Acta Mater.* 52 (2004) 3859-3868.

307