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Abstract—Gold immunochromatographic strip (GICS) is a widely used lateral flow immunoassay technique. A novel image segmentation method is developed in this paper for quantitative analysis of GICS based on the deep reinforcement learning (DRL), which can accurately distinguish the test line and the control line in the GICS images. The deep belief network (DBN) is employed in the deep Q network in our DRL algorithm. Meanwhile, the multi-factor learning curve is introduced in the DRL algorithm to dynamically adjust the capacity of the replay buffer and the sampling size, which leads to enhanced learning efficiency. It is worth mentioning that the states, actions, and rewards in the developed DRL algorithm are determined based on the characteristics of GICS images. Experiment results demonstrate the feasibility and reliability of the proposed DRL-based image segmentation method and show that the proposed new image segmentation method outperforms some existing image segmentation methods for quantitative analysis of GICS images.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Served as an important lateral flow immunoassay technique, the gold immunochromatographic strip (GICS) has been successfully applied to biomedical and related areas for determining the target analyte in the specimens, especially under the non-laboratory environment due to its short analysis time and high stability [12], [14], [37], [43]. With the purpose of improving the performance of the GICS, researchers have devoted tremendous efforts to designing various biochemical reaction systems (so as to investigate the quantitative properties of the strips) and developing GICS quantitative instruments [9], [28], [39], [42], [43].

Notice that the image-based quantitative analysis method (which aims to recognize the test line and the control line in GICS images) has become an attractive research topic for developing GICS quantitative instruments [40], [42], [43]. In fact, it is of critical importance to distinguish the test line and control line in the GICS images as two lines significantly affect the subsequent quantification. Up to now, a large number of image processing methods have been utilized to segment the two lines in the GICS images, such as the cellular neural network, the fuzzy c-means algorithm, and the deep belief network (DBN) [7], [15], [40], [41], [43]. It is worth mentioning that there are two main challenging problems in dealing with the acquired GICS images: 1) the quality of the GICS image is poor due to the existence of unavoidable noise in the GICS image caused by environment factors like temperature and humidity; and 2) the boundary between the two lines and the background is irregular and blurry, especially the images with low concentration where the test line is too shallow to be recognized. To address the above mentioned challenges, our goal is to develop an effective image segmentation method to recognize the two lines for quantitative analysis of GICS.

Recently, reinforcement learning (RL) has become an attractive research topic in artificial intelligence and has achieved a great success in various areas [2], [13], [16], [17], [24], [31], [36], [38]. The aim of a RL algorithm is to maximize the cumulative rewards by learning strategies through the interaction with the environment. Nevertheless, traditional RL algorithms have the problem of lack of scalability [2]. To overcome this drawback of the RL, the deep RL (DRL) algorithm has been put forward by employing the popular deep neural networks (DNNs) in RL algorithms. Up to now, DRL algorithms have achieved a great success in many research fields, such as intelligent control [3], [17], [24], strategy analysis [13], [31] and image processing [38]. Served as a popular DRL algorithm, the deep Q-learning network (DQN) proposed in [23] has shown competitive performance for high-dimensional problems [1], [8]. According to the characteristics of GICS images, the image segmentation problem can be regarded as the process of finding the optimal boundary of test and control lines. Hence, it is natural to develop an effective segmentation method based on the DRL algorithm to segment the GICS images for quantitative analysis of GICS.
To apply the DRL algorithm to GICS images segmentation, the state, action, and reward of DRL algorithm are defined according to the characteristics of GICS images. In general, the architecture of the network has significant impacts on the performance of the DQN algorithm. Notice that the DBN has been successfully applied to segment the two lines in the GICS images in [41]. Hence, a seemingly natural idea is to employ a DBN to establish the feature space for the DRL algorithm due to its competitive representation learning ability. In addition, the learning efficiency of the DRL algorithm is decreased if the capacity of replay buffer and the size of random sampling are fixed. In the DQN, the replay buffer capacity and the sampling size are related to the discount factor and learning rate. As such, the multi-factor learning curve proposed in [35] has been introduced in this work to dynamically adjust the replay buffer capacity and the sampling size with hope to enhance the learning efficiency of DRL algorithm. The developed DBN-based DRL algorithm possesses two advantages: 1) the developed DBN-based DRL algorithm is capable of extracting the state features with higher accuracy than that of the standard RL algorithm; and 2) the learning efficiency of the developed DBN-based DRL algorithm is improved, which benefits the image segmentation process.

The main contributions of our work can be outlined as follows: 1) a DRL-based image segmentation method is developed for accurately recognizing the control and test lines in GICS images; 2) a modified deep Q-learning algorithm is proposed by utilizing the DBN and the multi-factor learning curve to enhance the feature extraction ability and the learning efficiency of the DRL algorithm; and 3) the DRL algorithm is applied to the quantitative analysis of GICS for the first time.

The remainder of this paper is organized as follows. In Section II, the preliminaries about RL, Q-learning, and Deep Q-learning are presented. The developed DRL algorithm combined with the DBN and the multi-factor learning curve are presented in Section III. GICS images and the introduced image segmentation method based on the proposed DRL algorithm are described in Section IV. Experiment results and performance evaluation are discussed in section V. Finally, conclusions are drawn in VI.

II. Preliminaries

In this section, the background of the basic RL system, Q-learning and deep Q-learning are presented.

A. Reinforcement Learning

RL is a popular machine learning method which aims to learn satisfactory policies to solve sequential decision problems by optimizing a cumulative reward signal [27], [32]. Generally, a typical RL model is defined by a 4-tuple (S, A, P, R), where S denotes the state space, A represents the action space, P is the state transition probability, and R stands for the reward function [27], [33]. Through the process of interaction with the environment, the agent learns to act in a specific state to obtain the maximum future rewards. Notice that it is of practical significance to balance the short-term and long-term benefits of the agent while making decisions [25]. According to the cumulative learning experience, the RL agent is capable of selecting the best action for each state with the maximized cumulative rewards.

B. Q-Learning

It should be noticed that the Markov decision process is used in the RL algorithm. The reward r as well as the next state s’ are obtained according to following formula:

$$p(s', r|s, a) = P\{S_t = s', R_t = r|S_{t-1} = s, A_{t-1} = a\}$$

(1)

where s denotes the current state, s’ is the next state, t represents the time step, a is the action.

The long-term cumulative discounted rewards $G_t$ is shown as follows:

$$G_t = \sum_{k=t+1}^{T} \gamma^{k-t-1}R_k$$

(2)

where $R_k$ is the received reward at time step k, and $\gamma$ is a discount factor which represents the trade-off between short-term and long-term gains.

Meanwhile, the state-value function based on Eq. (1) is defined by:

$$Q_\pi(s, a) = E_\pi[G_t|S_t = s, A_t = a]$$

(3)

Then, the optimal action for each state is determined when the state-value function Eq. (3) reaches the best solution. In the RL algorithm, the Q-table is employed to store $Q(s, a)$ for all states. The Q-learning algorithm aims to optimize the state-value function by iteratively updating the Q-table [10], [34]. The updating equation of the Q-table is given as follows [33]:

$$Q(S_t, A_t) \leftarrow Q(S_t, A_t) + \alpha[R_{t+1} + \gamma \max_{a \in A(S_{t+1})} Q(S_{t+1}, a) - Q(S_t, A_t)]$$

(4)

where $\alpha$ stands for the learning rate.

C. Deep Q-Learning

Essentially, Q-learning is a binary discrete function:

$$Q(S, A) = f(s, a)$$

(5)

Although the traditional Q-learning algorithm performs well in the low-dimensional state space, the performance of the Q-learning algorithm is not satisfactory in the high-dimensional state space. In the high-dimensional state space, the Q-table is not able to cover all the states, and the large amount of data leads to high computational burden. Therefore, the function fitting method is employed to solve the limitation of Q-learning algorithm for high-dimensional problem.

Owing to its power in function approximation, neural networks have been introduced in the Q-learning algorithm. The deep Q-learning network (DQN) proposed in [23] which is a combination of a neural network and Q-learning has shown competitive performance for RL problems [1], [8]. In [24], a double network structure has been put forward to describe the correlation between the state-action value function and the
update target, where the two networks involved are named as the Q-network and the target network, respectively.

The output of the Q-network represents the solution of the state-value function \( Q(s, A) \), and the output of the target network serves as the label of the Q-network. It is remarkable that the two networks have the same structures, and the parameter updating of two networks is asynchronous. The parameters of the Q-network update at every iteration. The parameters of the target network update at every \( \varepsilon \) iterations. Note that the parameters of the target network remain unchanged when the Q-network updates the parameters [24].

It should be pointed out that there exists inevitable correlation between the samples. Therefore, a replay buffer is used in the DQN to reduce the correlation between samples and increase the sample efficiency [2]. That is, a replay buffer is employed to store the samples generated by the agent interacting with the environment. During the training process of the DQN, a small batch of samples are selected from the replay buffer. Then, the parameters of the Q-network and the target network are updated by using the stochastic gradient descent method, which greatly reduces the correlation among samples to solve the local optimal problem to some extent. It should be noted that the architecture of the network has significant impacts on the performance of the DQN algorithm.

III. Improved Deep Reinforcement Learning

In this section, the proposed modified DQN and the multi-factor learning curve are discussed.

A. Modified DQN

In this paper, the deep belief network (DBN) [11], [26], [41] is selected as the Q-network and the target network, of which the structure is shown in Fig. 1.

![Diagram of a DBN](image)

The DBN is composed of stacked restricted Boltzmann machines (RBMs) and a back propagation (BP) layer. In a DBN, the greedy learning algorithm is utilized to optimize the weights of the network layer-by-layer, which is divided into two phases. The first phase is pre-training, which performs in a bottom-up manner. The second phase is the fine-tuning phase, where the error is propagated from up to bottom to adjust the parameters of the entire network.

For the \( j \)th node of the output layer in a DBN, we assume that the actual output is \( o_j \) and the expected output is \( e_j \). The sensitivity \( \delta_j \) is computed by using the following formula:

\[
\delta_j = o_j(1 - o_j)(e_j - o_j) \quad (6)
\]

For the \( l \)th hidden layer, \( y_l \) is the output of the \( l \)th node, and the sensitivity \( \delta_l \) is calculated via the following equation:

\[
\delta_l^i = y_l^i(1 - y_l^i) \sum_j w_{ij}^l \delta_{j+1}^l \quad (7)
\]

The weight and bias of a DBN are updated as follows:

\[
w_{ij}^l = w_{ij}^l + \varepsilon_{\text{fine-tuning}} y^l_i \delta_{j+1}^l \quad (8)
\]

\[
b_j^l = b_j^l + \varepsilon_{\text{fine-tuning}} \delta_{j+1}^l \quad (9)
\]

The schematic diagram of the DQN embedded with DBN algorithm is depicted in Fig. 2:

![Diagram of DQN embedded with DBN](image)

Fig. 2. The schematic diagram of DQN embedded with DBN algorithm

The loss function of DQN is shown as follows:

\[
\text{Loss}(\theta, Q, y) = \frac{1}{2} [y(s, a) - Q(s, a, \theta)]^2 \quad (10)
\]

where \( y(s, a) \) represents the label of Q-network, which is determined through maximizing the value of state-value function:

\[
y(s, a) = r + \max_{a'} Q(s', a', \theta^-) \quad (11)
\]

where \( \theta^- \) denotes the parameters of the target network, and \( \theta^- \) is fixed during the computation of \( y(s, a) \).
B. Multi-factor Learning Curve

Through the training process of a DQN algorithm, the learning efficiency is decreased if the capacity of replay buffer and the size of random sampling are fixed. In [29], the prioritized replay sampling method is utilized to ensure that the DQN is able to obtain satisfactory training samples with a large probability, which eventually leads to enhanced training efficiency. Notice that the optimized replay buffer can add high quality samples, but ignores the influence of the replay buffer capacity.

It should be noted that the relationship between the training steps and the learning ability of the DQN is similar to the core ideal of learning curve [35]. The theory of learning curve aims to describe the process that an individual enhances the learning ability through the accumulation of experience. The learning curve model is mainly divided into two categories, which are the single factor model and the multi-factor model. In general, the learning ability of an individual is related to several factors. In the DQN, the replay buffer capacity and the sampling size are related to the discount factor $\gamma$ and learning rate $\alpha$. Hence, the multi-factor learning curve is utilized in this paper to dynamically adjust the replay buffer capacity and the sampling size with hope to enhance the learning efficiency of the DQN.

In this paper, the updating rules of the replay buffer capacity and the sampling size based on the learning curve model are given by:

$$R \leftarrow R \frac{1}{k}(\text{steps})^{-\frac{\log \gamma}{\log 2}} \left\lfloor \frac{\text{steps}}{C} \right\rfloor^{-\frac{\log(1-\alpha)}{\log 2}}$$  

$$N \leftarrow N \frac{1}{k}(\text{steps})^{-\frac{\log \gamma}{\log 2}} \left\lfloor \frac{\text{steps}}{C} \right\rfloor^{-\frac{\log(1-\alpha)}{\log 2}}$$

where $R$ denotes the capacity of replay buffer; $N$ represents the sampling size; $\text{steps}$ denotes the current training step; $k$ stands for the performance of the first training whose default value is 1; $\gamma$ represents the discount factor; $\alpha$ is the learning rate, and $C$ is the iteration number. It should be mentioned that the discount factor $\gamma$ has an effect on the capacity of the replay buffer and the sampling size at each iteration, while the learning rate $\alpha$ has an effect on the replay buffer and the sampling size at every $C$ iterations.

The pseudocode of the proposed DRL algorithm for the GICS images segmentation in this paper is described as follows:

**Algorithm 1: Improved DRL Algorithm**

Initialize replay memory $D$ to capacity $R$, minibatch size $N$, training steps $\text{steps}$, learning rate $\alpha$ and reward discount $\gamma$

Initialize Q-network with random weights $\theta$

Initialize target network with weights $\theta^-$

For episode=1, $M$ do

Initialize sequence and calculate initial state $s_1$

For $t=1, T$ do

Calculate the output $\arg \max_a Q(s_t, a, \theta)$ of Q-network

Select a random action $a_t$ with a certain probability otherwise select $a_t = \arg \max_a Q(s_t, a, \theta)$

Execute action $a_t$, observe reward $r_t$ and next state $s_{t+1}$ according to the Eqs. (14) and (15)

Update the capacity of replay buffer $R$:

$$R \leftarrow R \frac{1}{k}(\text{steps})^{-\frac{\log \gamma}{\log 2}} \left\lfloor \frac{\text{steps}}{C} \right\rfloor^{-\frac{\log(1-\alpha)}{\log 2}}$$

Store transition $(s_t, a_t, r_t, s_{t+1})$ in replay memory $D$

Update minibatch size $N$:

$$N \leftarrow N \frac{1}{k}(\text{steps})^{-\frac{\log \gamma}{\log 2}} \left\lfloor \frac{\text{steps}}{C} \right\rfloor^{-\frac{\log(1-\alpha)}{\log 2}}$$

Sample random minibatch of transitions $(s_i, a_i, r_i, s_{i+1})$

Set $y_i = r_i + \gamma \max_{a'} Q(s_i, a', \theta^-)$

Perform a gradient descent step to update the weights $\theta$ of Q-network:

$$L = \frac{1}{N} \sum_i (y_i - Q(s_i, a_i, \theta))^2$$

Every $C$ steps reset $\theta^- = \theta$

Set $\text{steps} \leftarrow \text{steps} + 1$

End For

End For

IV. DEEP REINFORCEMENT LEARNING-BASED GICS IMAGES SEGMENTATION

In this section, the background of the GICS images and the detailed DRL-based image segmentation are presented.

A. GICS Images

It is worth mentioning that the control line and test line of the GICS become red when the specimen containing the target analyte passes through the strip. Seven GICS images with different concentrations of human chorionic gonadotropin (hCG) are presented in Fig. 3.

Fig. 3. Images of GICS with different concentrations of hCG.

It should be pointed out that the concentration of the target analyte influences the intensity of the lines, especially the test line. In this case, the quantitative analysis of the GICS can be accomplished by measuring the signal intensity of test and control lines [40]. It can be seen in Fig. 3 that the boundary of the line is too blurry to be recognized, which indicates that it is difficult to precisely segment the control and test lines from the background. As such, we aim to propose an effective image segmentation method with hope to accurately segment the control and test lines.
In our simulation, each image is divided into two sub-images (including the control line and the test line, respectively) to reduce the computational complexity. In addition, a DRL algorithm is proposed based on the characteristics of the GICS.

B. Processing GICS Images via Deep Reinforcement Learning

The RL agent starts with the top or bottom of each column, and moves either down/up or remains unchanged. The corresponding reward is determined according to the position of the agent. In order to segment the sub-image, it is necessary to find the upper and lower edges of the target line (test line or control line). The edge of the target line is determined when the upper and lower points of the boundary in each column are found.

1) Definition of state: The grayscale intensity of neighbor pixels near the target is used to represent the state of target pixel. The state vector \( \text{winsize} \times \text{winsize} \) is obtained by setting the size of the moving window to \( \text{winsize} \). The mirroring method is applied to fill the pixels outside the window.

2) Definition of action: In this paper, the agent performs two actions, that is, moves or remains unchanged. Action \( A \) is expressed as:

\[
A = \begin{cases} 
0 & \text{stop} \\
1 & \text{down/up} 
\end{cases} \tag{14}
\]

3) Definition of reward: To evaluate the segmentation performance of the image, the manually segmented image is utilized as the benchmark. In this work, the coincidence rate \( C_r \) between the manually segmented image and the segmented image by using the algorithm can be used as the criteria for determining the reward. It should be mentioned that the lower the coincidence, the worse the segmentation performance. In this paper, 0.9 is set as threshold of the coincidence rate. A positive reward is offered if the coincidence rate is bigger than the threshold. On the contrary, a negative value is given if the coincidence rate is smaller than the threshold. The coincidence rate and reward are defined as follows:

\[
C_r = \frac{\sum p_f \cap \sum p_{f_{\text{opt}}} + \sum p_b \cap \sum p_{b_{\text{opt}}}}{\sum p_f + \sum p_b} \tag{15}
\]

\[
R = \begin{cases} 
10 * C_r & C_r \geq 0.9 \\
-1 & C_r < 0.9 
\end{cases} \tag{16}
\]

where \( p_f \) and \( p_b \) represent the pixels in the foreground (test line and control line) and background (other area), respectively; \( p_{f_{\text{opt}}} \) and \( p_{b_{\text{opt}}} \) are the foreground and background pixels of the manually segmented image (which is the optimal segmented image), respectively.

The flowchart of the GICS image segmentation method based on the developed DRL algorithm is displayed in Fig. 4.

V. Experiment Results and Discussions

In this section, the performance of the developed DRL algorithm is evaluated in terms of the segmentation results and the quantitative analysis of GICS images. Here, 10 GICS images with different concentrations of hCG are selected as the training set. Especially, each GICS image is divided into two sub-images, which contain the control line and the test line, respectively. The size of each sub-image is set as 115*270.

In our simulation, a DBN consists of two RBMs, where the number of input node is respectively set to be 100 and 9 for each RBM when the \( \text{winsize} \) equals to 3. The learning rate \( \alpha \) is set to be 0.1, the initial capacity of the replay buffer is set to be 100, and the frequency of changing target network parameters is 20.

A. Image Segmentation

To comprehensively evaluate the performance of the image segmentation, a standard RL algorithm and the developed DRL algorithm are applied to segment the GICS images. For simplicity, three typical segmentation results are shown in Fig. 5, where the left column shows pre-processed images (denoted by original images), the middle and right columns are segmentation results obtained by the RL algorithm and the proposed DRL algorithm, respectively.

It can be seen in Fig. 5 that both the RL algorithm and the proposed DRL algorithm achieve satisfactory results. In order to quantitatively evaluate the segmentation accuracy, a similarity indicator \( \eta \) is employed, which is defined by:

\[
\eta = \frac{B_s \cap B_r + F_s \cap F_r}{B_s + F_s} \times 100\% \tag{17}
\]

where the subscript index \( r \) represents the manually segmented image (labeled image); \( s \) stands for the segmentation result; \( B \) and \( F \) stand for the background and foreground area, respectively. According to Eq. (17), the segmentation accuracy of test and control lines in each test image is listed in Table I. The total segmentation accuracy is equal to the average of corresponding segmentation accuracy of the control and test lines.

In Table I, we can see that the developed DRL algorithm outperforms the RL algorithm in the segmentation of GICS.
TABLE I
THE SEGMENTATION ACCURACY OF THE GICS IMAGES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Concentration</th>
<th>Control line</th>
<th>Test line</th>
<th>Total accuracy</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>RL (%)</td>
<td>DRL (%)</td>
<td>RL (%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>75ml</td>
<td>93.12</td>
<td>94.76</td>
<td>94.03</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100ml</td>
<td>94.95</td>
<td>96.95</td>
<td>94.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>150ml</td>
<td>95.08</td>
<td>97.08</td>
<td>93.56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>200ml</td>
<td>95.62</td>
<td>97.62</td>
<td>94.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>300ml</td>
<td>95.79</td>
<td>98.79</td>
<td>94.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>400ml</td>
<td>94.21</td>
<td>97.21</td>
<td>96.12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>500ml</td>
<td>95.57</td>
<td>96.57</td>
<td>94.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Average</td>
<td>94.90</td>
<td>97.00</td>
<td>94.45</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The results of PSNR are illustrated in Fig. 6. It can be seen in Fig. 6 that the developed DRL algorithm has demonstrated outstanding performance with satisfactory PSNR values in the segmentation of GICS images. Especially, it provides higher accuracy than the methods developed in [40].

B. Quantitative Analysis

The objective of segmenting GICS images is to quantitatively determine the concentration of the target analyte in a specimen. Therefore, the relative integral optical density (RIOD) is selected as an indicator for the quantitative analysis of the concentration of hCG [41]. RIOD is defined as:

\[
RIOD = \frac{IOD_T}{IOD_C} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{G_{avg}}{G_i} = \frac{N}{M} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{G_{avg}}{G_i} \tag{20}
\]

where \( IOD_T \) and \( IOD_C \) denote the integral optical density of the test line and the control line, respectively; \( N \) stands for the number of pixels in the test line; \( M \) denotes the number of pixels in the control line; \( G_{avg} \) is the average grayscale pixel

images with different concentrations of hCG, and the total accuracy of DRL algorithm is 2.48% higher than the result obtained by the RL algorithm. Meanwhile, a total segmentation accuracy of 97.16% demonstrates the reliability and efficiency of the developed DRL algorithm. Furthermore, the peak signal-to-noise ratio (PSNR) is utilized to evaluate the segmentation performance of the proposed DRL algorithm. The larger the PSNR value, the better the performance of image segmentation. In order to calculate the PSNR of GICS images, a binary mask is set up to classify the image pixels, where the pixel value of foreground (the test and control line) is set as 1 and the pixel value of background (other areas) is set as 0. The PSNR is calculated by:

\[
MSE = \frac{1}{mn} \sum_{i=0}^{m-1} \sum_{j=0}^{n-1} \left[ I(i, j) - K(i, j) \right]^2 \tag{18}
\]

\[
PSNR = 20 \times \log_{10} \left( \frac{MAX_I}{\sqrt{MSE}} \right) \tag{19}
\]

where \( MSE \) denotes the mean square error between the normalized original image \( I \) and the masked image \( K \); \( MAX_I \) is the maximum range of the input image data type.
value of the whole image; $G_i$ and $G_j$ represent the grayscale of pixel value in the test line and the control line, respectively. The RIOD values for 7 different concentrations of hCG are listed in Table II.

In Table II, it can be seen that the RIOD value distinguishes the concentration of the target analyte very well. Meanwhile, a functional relationship between the RIOD and the concentration of the target analyte is obtained by using the least square method. The scatterplot between the RIOD and the concentration of hCG and the fitted line based on Table II are shown in Fig. 7.

![Fig. 7. The scatterplot and fitted line between the RIOD and the concentration of hCG.](image)

In the future, we aim to develop advanced image processing approaches for the quantitative analysis of GICS [4], [5], and apply our developed DRL algorithm to other research areas, for example, discrete-time switched complex networks [6], [22], [46], networked systems [30], [45], [47], [48], and multiagent systems [44]. We can also integrate the developed DRL algorithm with the latest optimization techniques proposed in [18]–[21]. For example, where a variety of effective optimization methods have been developed for petroleum engineering, which saved a lot of investment for the petroleum industry.

**VI. Conclusions**

In this paper, a novel image segmentation method based on the DRL algorithm is proposed for the quantitative analysis of GICS images. In our work, the state, action, and the reward are defined based on the characteristics of GICS images. In addition, the DBN is utilized in the DQN algorithm, where the capacity of the replay buffer and the sampling size are dynamically changed according to the multi-factor learning curve, which can effectively enhance the learning efficiency. Experiments on GICS images with different concentrations have been carried out. Experiment results have demonstrated that the developed DRL algorithm is capable of providing a satisfactory performance in terms of several indices.
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