

1 Study of the Slope Deformation Characteristics and Landslide Mechanisms 2 Under Alternating Excavation and Rainfall Disturbance

3 Xiang Yu ^a, Bin Gong ^{a,b,*}, Chun'an Tang ^a

4 ^a State Key Laboratory of Coastal & Offshore Engineering, Dalian University of Technology, Dalian, 116024, China

5 ^b Department of Civil and Environmental Engineering, Brunel University London, London UB8 3PH, United Kingdom

6 * Correspondence: gongbin@dlut.edu.cn

7 **Abstract:** To investigate slope stability under alternating excavation and rainfall disturbance and to
8 reveal the intrinsic mechanism of the slope stress diffusion process caused by stress-seepage coupling, a
9 method consisting of separate simulation of the excavation stress field and rainfall seepage field and
10 superposition analysis is proposed. Meanwhile, the distribution of the pore water pressure and transient
11 saturation zone in the AK2+210~AK2+610 section slope of a highway in Guangdong Province, China,
12 is comprehensively analysed by calculating the rainfall seepage field during the excavation process. The
13 effects of the rainfall seepage and slope stresses are further studied by applying the changing
14 natural/saturated physical and mechanical parameters and pore water pressure values obtained by
15 physical testing and parameter inversion. Moreover, the safety and stability of the highway slope during
16 each stage is computed numerically using the strength reduction method, and the slope failure process,
17 instability-inducing factors and failure mechanism are discussed in detail. The results indicate that the
18 proposed separate simulation and superposition analysis method is effective and of considerable
19 practical value in slope engineering. Additionally, a comprehensive slope stability treatment is
20 suggested, which can be used as a reference for other slope projects experiencing alternating excavation
21 and rainfall disturbance.

22 **Keywords:** slope instability; alternating excavation and rainfall; seepage field; stress-seepage coupling;
23 numerical simulation

24 1 Introduction

25 In slope engineering, excavation and rainfall are recognized as two of the main factors that cause slope
26 instability (Sun et al 2019; Zhao et al 2019; Li et al 2019; Han et al 2021). The stress field adjustment and
27 rock-soil mass deformation triggered by excavation unloading may result in primary crack expansion and new
28 crack generation in the slope. Meanwhile, rainfall infiltration can promote the propagation of cracks, increase the
29 rock-soil mass weight and reduce the rock-soil mass shear strength (Li et al 2020; Fu et al 2020). For example, on
30 September 17, 2011, a landslide occurred in Bailu village, Xi'an city, Shaanxi Province, China; 32 people were
31 killed, and the following excessive excavation caused stress field redistribution and induced trans-scale cracks,
32 which were then enlarged by the continuous infiltration of rainwater (Zhuang and Peng 2014). From 2011 to 2012,
33 the Linfen-Jixian Expressway project in Shanxi Province, China, suffered multiple landslides and geological
34 disasters due to intensive excavation and rainfall, which seriously affected safety and delayed the progress of
35 construction (Wang et al 2014). In 2014, a large-scale landslide occurred in Fuquan County, Guizhou Province,
36 China; 23 people were killed, 22 people were injured, and 77 houses were damaged. The unfavourable geological
37 structure of the slope was the determining factor, and excavation and continuous rainfall were the triggering
38 factors that induced the landslide (Lin et al 2018). The above-mentioned cases show that slope instability caused
39 by alternating excavation and rainfall has become a major engineering problem because it not only causes large
40 economic losses but also seriously threatens civilian life safety.

41 As a challenging topic in the geotechnical engineering field, slope stability analysis under alternating
42 excavation and rainfall conditions requires an in-depth consideration of stress and seepage. At present, slope
43 stability studies considering the stress and seepage effects can be divided into two categories. In the first category
44 of studies, a coupling analysis of seepage and stress fields is conducted, and the direct interaction between them is
45 studied. For example, Kim et al. (2016) established a two-dimensional hydraulic coupling infiltration model to
46 study the infiltration of a series of soil columns with different properties and analyse the matric suction and
47 effective stress of unsaturated soil slopes. Liu et al. (2017) established a fluid-solid coupling constitutive equation
48 based on the single variable effective stress theory of unsaturated soils and further analysed a red clay
49 embankment slope of the Dazhou-Chengdu Railway in Sichuan Province, China, by considering rainfall,
50 groundwater seepage, and fluid-solid coupling. They pointed out that the key factors affecting slope stability are
51 the intensity and duration of rainfall and soil long-term strength indices. Robinson et al. (2017) used a
52 nonstationary climate simulation method to obtain the baseline (historical) and predicted (future) precipitation
53 extremes in the study area and integrated them into a series of fully coupled two-dimensional (2D) stress

54 unsaturated flow simulations to compare the response of the baseline model and the predicted model during 7
55 days of continuous rainfall. However, since fluid-solid coupling analysis is very complicated, the effect of
56 engineering excavation is generally not considered in the first category of studies. In the other category of studies,
57 seepage and stress are treated separately, and the seepage calculation results (e.g., pore water pressure and
58 effective parameters) are directly applied to the stress elements to analyse slope stresses under rainwater
59 infiltration. For example, Lu et al. (2012) described a method to quantify the safety factor's scalar field based on
60 the concept of Coulomb stress and studied the shift of the stress state towards the critical failure state that results
61 from rainfall infiltration. Oh and Lu (2015) used a generalized effective stress framework to extend the methods
62 of limit equilibrium and finite element analysis to unsaturated conditions and then applied the recorded rainfall,
63 measured shear strength parameters, soil-water retention curve, and site geology to reproduce the failure events of
64 two engineering slopes due to rainfall.

65 In fact, the seepage-stress separation method can simulate the slope excavation-rainfall coupling process by
66 analysing the excavation stress field first and then imposing rainfall on the formed boundary. For instance, Li et al.
67 (2017) used discrete element simulation to study the deformation characteristics and failure mechanism of a steep
68 rock slope in the Wulong area of Chongqing city, China, under excavation and rainfall; Taga et al. (2017)
69 back-analysed the failure process of an engineering slope affected by rainfall along the Mersin-Tarsus Expressway
70 in Turkey, estimated the soil effective shear strength and determined the slope failure mechanism. However, the
71 current separation method is incompetent for slope stability analysis under alternating excavation and rainfall
72 conditions (i.e., the excavation-rainfall-excavation process). The main difficulties lie in unsaturated soil slope
73 excavation simulation during the rainfall-excavation process. Due to the pore water in unsaturated soil slopes, the
74 seepage field and stress field cannot be separated in a direct manner. Excavation will change the seepage paths
75 and cause water to seep out, which causes the entire seepage field to change, and the dissipation of pore water
76 pressure will change the stress field by increasing the soil effective stress. However, pore pressure dissipation is a
77 delayed process, and excavation is an instantaneous unloading process. Therefore, it is difficult to directly couple
78 the two processes on the time domain, which makes numerical simulation difficult.

79 In this study, a simulation and superposition analysis method that treats the excavation stress field and
80 rainfall seepage field separately is developed by taking the dissipation of pore water pressure during unsaturated
81 slope excavation and the shear strength parameter weakening in the saturated zone into consideration. Slope
82 stability analysis under alternating excavation and rainfall conditions is realized by solving the difficulty of

83 numerically simulating unsaturated slope excavation. The slope failure process of a highway slope in Guangdong
84 Province, China, is simulated using the saturated shear strength parameters of rock and soil materials obtained by
85 parameter inversion. The effectiveness and accuracy of the proposed method is therefore verified. Additionally,
86 the role of slope instability-inducing factors is analysed, and the slope failure mechanism under alternating
87 excavation and rainfall conditions is comprehensively discussed.

88 **2 Alternating excavation and rainfall analysis method**

89 **2.1 Unsaturated slope excavation simulation difficulty**

90 This section will explain the simulation difficulty of unsaturated slope excavation. Fig. 1 shows the change in
91 the seepage field of an unsaturated soil slope because of rainfall infiltration before and after excavation. Before
92 excavation, the seepage surface is assumed to coincide with the bottom boundary, and rainfall intensity q is
93 applied to the top boundary. The rainwater infiltrates from the top and seeps towards the bottom. After excavation,
94 the infiltration boundary of the excavation area disappears, and the excavation-formed boundary becomes a new
95 seepage surface. The rainwater near the excavation boundary seeps towards the new surface, while the rest still
96 seeps towards the bottom. Thus, the seepage paths are changed by excavation.

97 In other words, excavation changes the seepage paths in the slope, which changes the pore water pressure
98 and distribution in saturated-unsaturated regions. This process lags behind the excavation and proceeds slowly
99 over time. For unsaturated slope excavation, finite element simulation calculates the effective stress load of the
100 excavated part, applies the equivalent reaction force to the excavation surface, and combines the effective stress of
101 the remaining soil for analysis (Potts and Zdravkovic. 1999). According to Bishop (1959), the effective stress
102 expression of unsaturated soil can be expressed as follows:

$$103 \quad \sigma' = (\sigma - u_a) + \chi(u_a - u_w) \quad (1)$$

104 where u_a is the pore gas pressure, u_w is the pore water pressure, and χ is the effective stress parameter,
105 which can be taken as the saturation state. Excavation is an instantaneous process, and the effective stress of the
106 excavated part can be calculated by pore water pressure of the elements before excavation. However, in the
107 remaining part, the slope seepage caused by excavation is relatively slow. The effective stress of the elements will
108 change due to the variation in the pore water pressure, pore gas pressure, and effective stress parameter over time.

109 Hence, the element strengths cannot be calculated since the effective stress is uncertain, which makes unsaturated
110 slope excavation simulation difficult.

111 2.2 Numerical calculation method of stress-seepage fields

112 The change in the seepage field lags behind that in the stress field, which complicates the numerical
113 calculation. However, in actual engineering, rainfall can only infiltrate into the shallow layers of slopes (Zhang et
114 al 2020). Pore water can completely dissipate within a few days under sun and wind exposure. If the residual pore
115 water pressure dissipates before excavation, the slope can be restored to its natural state, and the stress of the
116 elements that will be excavated can be determined. Therefore, the method of separate simulation and
117 superposition analysis is proposed to analyse the slope stability under alternating excavation and rainfall. The
118 detailed calculation process is shown in Fig. 2. The specific steps are as follows:

119 (1) The saturated-unsaturated seepage theory is adopted to analyse the slope seepage fields under rainfall
120 conditions during the excavation process. Rainfall intensity is applied to the boundary to obtain the pore water
121 pressure and transient saturation zone distribution of final seepage result under different rainfall events.

122 (2) The saturated mechanical parameters are obtained by physical testing and parameter inversion. The
123 parameters are recorded for the next analysis.

124 (3) For the construction stage, the strength reduction method is used to analyse the stress, deformation, and
125 stability of the slope during excavation before rainfall. In the rainfall stage, referring to the slope final seepage
126 result, the pore water pressure due to the corresponding rainfall is applied to the homologous elements. The
127 mechanical parameters of the elements in the saturation zone are replaced with the saturation parameters. For
128 materials whose strength can be determined by the Mohr-Coulomb criterion, the shear strength of saturated and
129 unsaturated soil elements can be calculated using the following formulas:

130 Saturated element: $\tau' = c' + (\sigma - u_w) \tan \phi'$ (2)

131 Unsaturated element: $\tau = c + [(\sigma - u_a) + \chi(u_a - u_w)] \tan \phi$ (3)

132 where c' and ϕ' and c and ϕ are the shear strength indices of the rock and soil in the saturated state and
133 the natural state, respectively, σ is the total element stress after excavation, and u_w is the applied pore water
134 pressure. The strength reduction method only needs to reduce the shear strength indices of the elements, through
135 which the slope safety factors under the rainfall conditions after the excavation can be calculated.

136 (4) For the unsaturated slope under rainfall infiltration, the previously applied pore water pressure is removed
137 before the next excavation analysis to simulate the pore water pressure dissipation, and the saturation shear
138 strength parameters are replaced with the natural state shear strength parameters to simulate the shear strength
139 restoration. Since the pore water pressure and transient saturation zone are absent, the strength criterion of the
140 rock and soil mass returns to the normal form:

$$141 \quad \tau = c + \sigma \tan \varphi \quad (4)$$

142 In this way, the numerical simulation of slope excavation after rainfall infiltration can be regarded as a
143 general excavation simulation. Thus, the safety factors and deformation characteristics are calculated by
144 combining the excavation equivalent stress load method and the strength reduction method.

145 This method separates the analysis of the slope excavation stress field and rainfall seepage field, solves the
146 difficulty of addressing the stress uncertainty in unsaturated slope excavation simulation by applying/removing
147 pore water pressure and changing the physical-mechanical parameters, and provides an effective approach for
148 slope stability analysis under alternating excavation and rainfall conditions.

149 **3 Simulation of alternating excavation and rainfall**

150 To verify the accuracy and effectiveness of the proposed method, a slope with multiple landslides caused by
151 excavation and rainfall is taken as an example. The slope failure process is modelled with the proposed method,
152 the numerical results are compared with on-site monitoring, and the failure mechanism and the effect of
153 instability-inducing factors are further discussed.

154 **3.1 Overview of the highway slope engineering**

155 **3.1.1 Geological survey**

156 The AK2+210~AK2+610 section slope of a highway reconstruction and expansion project in Guangdong
157 Province, China, is 45 *m* high with a dip angle of 262° and an unexcavated ratio of 1:1.25. According to the initial
158 design, a five-level excavation with a slope ratio of 1:0.5~1:1.25, which gradually decreased from low to high,
159 was planned, and the excavation platform was 2 *m* wide. Since the slope slid several times after the third-level
160 excavation, the design unit proposed a modified excavation plan by adjusting the third- to fifth-level slope ratio to
161 1:1.25 and increasing the second platform width to 15 *m*, while the widths of the other platforms were kept to 2 *m*.
162 The slope is entirely covered by silty clay and fully to strongly weathered tuff. The annual rainfall in this area is

163 2000 *mm* and mainly occurs in summer. The groundwater is bedrock fissure water supplied by atmospheric
164 precipitation. Due to the water absorption properties of the strongly weathered tuff at the upper part of the slope, it
165 is difficult to find groundwater in the study area. The slope morphology and engineering geological conditions are
166 shown in Fig. 3.

167 **3.1.2 Deformation and failure process and displacement characteristics**

168 (1) Slope deformation and failure

169 This slope exhibits a typical case of deformation and instability induced by rainfall infiltration because the
170 reinforcement and drainage work lagged behind the rapid excavation progress. The slope construction, following
171 the initial design plan, started in November 2014, and the third-level excavation was completed at the end of
172 December 2014. In January 2015, due to heavy rainfall, sliding failures occurred at the lower part of the fourth
173 level to the third-level toe, as shown in Fig. 4. Although construction stopped after the landslide, no treatment
174 measures were applied to the sliding bodies.

175 After entering the rainy season, the rock and soil masses were softened and deformed due to rainwater
176 infiltration. The top and middle parts of the fourth-level slope were dragged by the sliding bodies, resulting in
177 several tension cracks in the sliding bodies of the third-level slope, as shown in Fig. 5(a). Unfortunately, the
178 landslide continued to develop after running through the third- to fourth-level slopes. Similar to the fourth-level
179 slope failure mechanism, the fifth-level slope was dragged and damaged by the lower sliding bodies. Finally, a
180 sliding area that ran through the third- to fifth-level slopes formed in June 2015, as shown in Fig. 5(b).

181 Thus, the design unit proposed a modified excavation plan after the landslide area formed. The construction
182 enterprise cleaned up the landslides in October 2015 and gently excavated the third to fifth level to reach a 1:1.25
183 slope ratio after completing the excavation of the first and second levels. The second platform was also widened
184 to 15 *m*, and the excavation was completed at the end of December 2015. During this period, the cracks at the
185 slope did not expand significantly.

186 In January 2016, heavy rainfall occurred again in the slope area. At this time, the excavated third- to
187 fifth-level slopes had not yet been supported, and a slide occurred through the third- to fourth-level slopes, as
188 shown in Fig. 6(a). Slip surfaces and tension cracks were clearly observed at the toe of the fourth-level slope, as
189 shown in Fig. 6(b), and no further slope deformation has occurred since.

190 (2) Characteristics of excavated slope surface displacements

191 Slope monitoring started in December 2014 and ended in January 2016 due to the deformation and sliding of
192 the excavated slope surface. The levelling measurement method was adopted to monitor the displacement of the
193 third- to fifth-level slopes during excavation. Considering the disturbance and damage of the monitoring points
194 during the long construction process, the monitoring can be divided into three stages. The layout of the measuring
195 points in each stage is shown in Fig. 7.

196 Due to a large volume of data, the horizontal displacements of the representative monitoring points at each
197 stage are selected to reflect the deformation, as shown in Fig. 8. The first stage started on December 27, 2014. On
198 January 8, 2015, large local deformation occurred on the third-level slope after excavation, causing a sharp
199 increase in displacement at all the measuring points. From January 15 to 20, heavy rainfall occurred in the slope
200 area, which directly caused third- and fourth-level slope sliding and deformation, resulting in abrupt displacement
201 increases of the third- and fourth-level platforms up to 12.5 *mm* and 8 *mm*, respectively. The slope remained
202 stable until the rainy season (April~June). The second stage of monitoring started on April 5, 2015, and two new
203 measuring points, AZK0-1 and 0-2, were set up on the slope top to monitor the fifth-level slope deformation. The
204 traction deformation and sliding continued under the action of continuous rainfall, which could be verified by a
205 significant increase in measured displacements on May 25 2015. On June 19, 2015, a landslide that ran through
206 the third- to fifth-level slopes eventually formed and destroyed almost all the measuring points except the only
207 one located on the slope top. This left point recorded a maximum displacement of 14.8 *mm*. The third monitoring
208 stage corresponded to the modified excavation in October 2015. During the excavation period from October 7 to
209 December 26, 2015, the displacements were relatively gentle. However, on January 17, 2016, rainwater infiltrated
210 the unsupported excavated slope surface and caused the third-level slope to be damaged and deformed once again.
211 Because of this heavy rain, the maximum measured displacement sharply increased to 11 *mm*, and the fourth-level
212 platform displacement increased to 6.3 *mm*.

213 **3.2 Stability back analysis, model and parameters**

214 **3.2.1 Stability back analysis and parameter inversion**

215 (1) Back analysis of slope stability

216 According to the deformation and displacement characteristics, the slope experienced two excavation events
217 and several heavy rainfall events, i.e., several excavation and rainfall cycles. Two sliding failures were directly
218 caused by rainfall infiltration after excavation. The failure at the initial excavation stage was affected by

219 intermittent rainfall, which illustrates the progressive failure characteristics. The micro-geomorphological
220 characteristics of the tension cracks and front shear outlets of the two failures are fully developed, but no
221 large-scale deep landslides formed. Wang et al. (2017) summarized the corresponding relationship between the
222 slope deformation characteristics and the safety factors and suggested that the deformation of the cutting slope can
223 be divided into the creeping stage ($1.05 < F_s < 1.1$), the extrusion stage ($1.02 < F_s < 1.05$), the sliding stage ($0.98 <$
224 $F_s < 1.02$) and the sudden slip stage ($0.95 < F_s < 0.98$). According to their study, it is determined that the two
225 sliding failures are in the sliding stage with a safety factor of 0.98~1.02. Since rainfall directly causes slope failure,
226 it can be considered that the soil in the shallow failure area is saturated, so its shear strength parameters need to be
227 obtained. In the absence of field test conditions, the inversion of the soil saturation strength parameters can be
228 carried out according to the safety factor range combined with the drilling exploration results. The inverse strength
229 parameters can be brought into the subsequent finite element simulation. If the simulated deformation
230 characteristics are in good agreement with the field monitoring results, the effectiveness of the stability back
231 analysis will be validated.

232 (2) Inversion of shear strength parameters

233 The inverse calculation of the slope shear strength parameters is based on the limit equilibrium method
234 (Nguyen 1984; Ishii et al. 2012; Shinoda et al. 2019). By assuming that the slope is in the critical state, the
235 balanced relationship between the sliding and anti-sliding forces on the corresponding sliding surface will be used
236 to back-calculate the soil shear strength indices. This paper applies GeoStudio software to perform parameter
237 inversion based on the limit equilibrium method using the slope/W module. The Spencer method of stability
238 analysis and the sliding surface optimization option are adopted, and the entry and exit of the sliding surface are
239 set according to the field failure characteristics. Meanwhile, the safety factor range ($0.98 < F_s < 1.02$) is used as the
240 inversion target, and the saturation density and shear strength parameters determined during the geological survey
241 are used as the initial values. When the calculated critical sliding surface position and safety factor are consistent
242 with the field observation, the calculation is stopped and the shear strength parameters are record. Because local
243 sliding failure occurred after the excavation plan was modified, the slope section at the initial excavation stage
244 was selected to conduct the parameter inversion of the third- to fifth-level shallow landslide. According to the
245 drilling exploration results, the rock and soil physical and mechanical parameters are listed in Table 1. The
246 weights in parentheses are the saturation weights.

247 Since the third- to fifth-level sliding areas contain silty clay and fully to strongly weathered tuff layers, the
248 saturated shear strength parameters of the silty clay should be determined first, and the parameters of the tuff
249 layers need to be reduced by a certain proportion. Referring to the results of experimental studies on the saturated
250 shear strength of silty clay (Yang et al. 2016; Jotisankasa et al. 2017; Liu et al. 2020), the saturated cohesion force
251 and internal friction angle of silty clay are assumed to be 10 *kPa* and 13°, respectively. Considering that the
252 saturated shear strength of the two tuff layers should be consistent with the degree of weathering and that the
253 cohesive force and internal friction angle should maintain a certain proportional relationship after reduction, a
254 uniform proportional coefficient is used to reduce the shear strength parameters of the tuff layers simultaneously.
255 According to the direct shear test results of the tuff in the moisture absorption process (Tang et al. 2015; Zuo et al.
256 2016), both the cohesive force and internal friction angle can be reduced by the water action, and the reduction
257 rate of the former is several times higher than that of the latter. In this study, the reduction ratio between the two
258 indices is set to 3:1, 4:1, and 5:1 for inversion, and the dichotomy concept is applied to make the calculated safety
259 factor approach the inversion target range. The final sliding surfaces obtained by the inversion analysis are shown
260 in Fig. 9, and the inverse saturation parameters are listed in Table 2.

261 Table 2 shows that the safety factors calculated by the partial saturation parameters under the three tested
262 ratios reached the inversion target. Two sets of saturation parameters under a reduction ratio of 4:1 meet the
263 inversion target, with safety factors of 0.982 and 0.994. For the reduction ratios of 3:1 and 5:1, each condition has
264 a set of saturation parameters satisfying the inversion target with safety factors of 0.998 and 0.996, respectively.
265 However, based on Fig. 9 and the final sliding surfaces obtained, except for the result with a safety factor of 0.982,
266 the other inversed critical sliding surfaces near the shear outlet are inconsistent with the on-site situation. Thus, the
267 set of saturation parameters with the highest safety factor of 0.982 under the ratio of 4:1 are selected for
268 subsequent numerical calculations, i.e., the fully weathered tuff parameters are $C = 18.4 \text{ kPa}$ and $\varphi = 16.5^\circ$, and
269 the strongly weathered tuff parameters are $C = 26 \text{ kPa}$ and $\varphi = 21.5^\circ$.

270 3.2.2 Model establishment and calculation conditions

271 Based on the engineering geological section shown in Fig. 3, Midas-GTX software is used to establish a
272 two-dimensional finite element model. Vertical sliding bearings are applied to the left and right boundaries, while
273 fixed bearings are applied to the bottom boundary. The slope safety factor is calculated by the strength reduction
274 method. Because the slope simulation is complicated under alternating excavation and rainfall conditions, the

275 proposed method is used to simulate the excavation stress field and the rainfall seepage field separately. Then, the
276 seepage field results are applied to the corresponding rainfall stages during the excavation stress analysis. The
277 steps of the seepage and excavation analysis are as follows:

278 (1) Seepage analysis conditions and permeability coefficient

279 The Midas-GTX transient seepage module simulates the rainfall process. The analysis time step is one day.
280 The daily flow during the rainfall period is converted to the seepage boundary functions and applied as the flow
281 boundary conditions. Because no groundwater is found, the initial groundwater level is set in the slightly
282 weathered tuff layer to meet the transient seepage analysis conditions without affecting the analysis results.
283 Meanwhile, for the convenience of analysis, the three rainfall periods of January 2015, May-June 2015, and
284 January 2016 are simplified into three heavy rainfall events. The first two are called the first and second rainfall,
285 respectively, and the later one is called the modified excavation rainfall. According to the meteorological data, the
286 intensity and duration of rainfalls are shown in Table 3 with the seepage boundary functions displayed in Fig 10.

287 The unsaturated soil permeability coefficient function is calculated using the soil-water characteristic curve
288 and the saturated permeability coefficient (Fredlund 1998). The Van Genuchten model (Bishop et al. 1963) is
289 applied to determine the former. The governing equation of the water content model is shown as follows:

290
$$\theta_w = \theta_r + \frac{\theta_s - \theta_r}{\left[1 + \left(\frac{\Psi}{a}\right)^n\right]^m} \quad (5)$$

291 where θ_w is the volumetric water content, θ_r is the residual volumetric water content, θ_s is the saturated
292 volumetric water content, Ψ is the negative pore water pressure, a , n , and m are the curve fitting parameters.

293 Because the moderately to slightly weathered layer is relatively deep and has little effect on the slope
294 stability, its permeability characteristics are not considered. According to geological survey data and previous
295 research results (Zhao et al. 2017; Tan et al. 2018), the parameters of the Van Genuchten model and the saturated
296 permeability coefficients K_s of silty clay, fully to strongly weathered tuff layers are listed in Table 4.
297 Simultaneously, the unsaturated soil permeability function curves and water content function curves are shown in
298 Figs. 11-16.

299 (2) Excavation analysis conditions

300 The sequence of initial excavation first and modified excavation second is adopted in the simulation. The
301 initial excavation construction sequence is fifth-level slope excavation → fourth-level excavation → third-level

302 excavation → first rainfall → second rainfall → fifth-level modified and lower two-level initial excavation →
303 fourth-level modified excavation → third-level modified excavation → modified excavation rainfall. In the rainfall
304 stage, based on the final seepage results of the three rainfall events, the element property modification and
305 boundary import functions of the Midas software are used. Clearly, the shear strength parameters of the elements
306 in the transient saturation zone are modified, and pore water pressure is applied to the elements. These two
307 changes form the new computation conditions. Combined with the Midas activation/passivation function, the new
308 computation conditions are activated during the rainfall phase and passivated in the next phase, to simulate the
309 rainfall infiltration/dissipation effect.

310 **4 Analysis of slope simulation results**

311 **4.1 Analysis of slope seepage results**

312 Considering the durations of the three rainfall events are different, to make clear the influence of rainwater
313 infiltration on slope stress, the rainfall process is divided into three stages in the chronological order for each
314 rainfall event, i.e., early stage, middle stage and final stage. The contour maps of pore water pressure induced by
315 the first, second, and modified excavation rainfall seepage are shown in Fig. 17, in which the white dotted lines
316 are the infiltration lines and the soil above them is saturated.

317 Fig. 17 shows the distribution changes of pore water pressure in the early, middle, and final (rainfall end)
318 stages of the three rainfall events. In the early stage, since the rainfall intensity is far less than the permeability
319 coefficient of the rock and soil, the slope is unsaturated, and the pore water pressure is all negative. In the middle
320 stage, the rainfall intensity exceeds the saturated permeability coefficient of tuffs, the matrix suction of the rock
321 and soil underneath slope surface gradually loses, and the pore water pressure turns from negative to positive.
322 Transient saturation zone appears near the slope surface because of the second and modified excavation rainfall
323 events. In the final stage, the rainfall intensity is much higher than the permeability coefficient of the tuff layers,
324 and it even exceeds the silty clay's permeability coefficient during the second rainfall event. The transient
325 saturation zone expands to varying extents. Influenced by the different permeability coefficients, the transient
326 saturation zone near the excavated slope surface is much larger than the top, which also explains why the
327 landslides often occur at the excavated surface. The pore water pressure values basically show a trend of first
328 decreasing and then increasing with buried depth, and the extreme value appears inside the slope, which is
329 consistent with the previous research results (Qiu et al. 2020; Jiang et al. 2015).

330 **4.2 Deformation and failure process analysis**

331 **4.2.1 Excavation deformation process and slope stability**

332 Combining the simulated results and the monitored field deformation results, the distribution of the
333 maximum shear strains of the third- to fifth-level slopes and the evolution of the slope body yield state during the
334 excavation are shown in Fig. 18. Meanwhile, "the fifth-level modified excavation and lower two-level initial
335 excavation" will be abbreviated as "the fifth-level & lower part excavation" for convenience in the following
336 description.

337 During the initial excavation stage, a small amount of unloading deformation appears near the slope surface
338 in the early period. As the excavation volume increases, the high stress concentrates at the toe of the excavated
339 surface, where the shear plastic zone forms and extends to the inner slope. Moreover, some elements on both sides
340 of the plastic zone undergo tensile yielding. After rainfall, the shear plastic zone quickly expands to the slope top,
341 and the area of tensile yield elements gradually extends upwards from the third-level slope. Eventually, the two
342 areas extend through the entire excavated slope. During the modified excavation stage, the early grading
343 excavation causes a shallow shear plastic zone to form, and the later rainfall induces plastic zone expansion. A
344 high stress concentration appears in the second platform, while tensile failure mainly occurs in the formed third
345 level slope.

346 Fig. 19 shows the slope stability in each excavation stage. The slope stability first decreases with the
347 excavation process, then slowly rises, and finally decreases rapidly. The safety factors are 1.02, 0.98, and 1.02 for
348 the first, second, and modified excavation rainfall stages, respectively. Considering the parameter inversion
349 calculation result (0.982), the four safety factors are all in the target safety factor range of the stability back
350 analysis, and the safety factors and sliding surfaces of the second rainfall stage and inversion result almost
351 coincide, which demonstrates that the numerical simulation and the inversion analysis have good consistency.
352 According to the slope excavation sequence, the deformation characteristics will be analysed separately in the two
353 excavation stages.

354 **4.2.2 Characteristics of initial excavation stage**

355 The slope stability gradually decreases as the initial excavation progresses. Before the third-level excavation,
356 the slope is in an unloading and rebounding state. Excavation has a small effect on the slope stress, and only a few

357 tensile yield elements appear near the formed surface. After the third-level excavation, the slope enters a shear
358 fracture state, with the safety factor dropping to 1.05, and large local deformation occurs. The maximum
359 horizontal displacement of the initial third-level slope excavation is 8.02 *mm*, as shown in Fig. 20. Continuous
360 excavation disrupts the slope stress balance and triggers a high stress concentration to form at the excavated toe
361 and subsequent shear slip. Then, the damaged toe drags the upper part of the slope to form the tensile stress zone,
362 as shown in Fig. 21. This area is basically consistent with the maximum shear strain area, indicating that sliding
363 failure is mainly caused by the pulling and stretching of the lower parts and shows the characteristics of typical
364 tensile-shear failure. The slope deformation exhibits an overall sliding trend after the third-level excavation.

365 The on-site observations after the first rainfall event are shown in Fig. 4, and the slope safety factor is
366 reduced from 1.05 to 1.02. The infiltration of rainwater produces a transient saturated zone in the shallow layers,
367 as shown in Fig. 17. The shear strength and density of the rock and soil mass in the saturation zone decreases and
368 increases rapidly, respectively. The elements that undergo tensile yielding are mainly located in the middle and
369 lower parts of the fourth-level slope. The internal shear yield zone expands, indicating that the tensile-shear failure
370 area extends upwards from the third-level slope. The fourth-level slope is dragged to deform by the lower parts,
371 and the slope approaches the limit equilibrium state. After the second rainfall, the slope failed ($Fs < 1.0$), and the
372 transient saturated zone expands to the inner slope, as shown in Fig. 18. The tensile-shear failure area covers the
373 entire excavated slope level, and a shallow landslide that runs through the third- to fifth-level slopes finally forms,
374 as shown in Fig. 22.

375 **4.2.3 Characteristics of the modified excavation stage**

376 Before the modified excavation, the long-term quiescence caused the shallow saturated soil to return to its
377 natural state: the pore water pressure completely dissipated. The third- to fifth-level modified excavation removed
378 the front sliding bodies, which reduced the slope stress level, and the gentle excavation slope ratio stabilized the
379 upper weathered tuff. The modified excavation led to a slow growth of the slope safety factor. The simulation
380 results indicate that the safety factor rises to 1.06 after the third-level excavation, and the slope is basically stable.
381 Although the excavation causes a few elements under tension to yield, the slope is unable to greatly deform and
382 slide due to the low stress level. After rainfall, the infiltration rainwater produces a saturated zone again, as shown
383 in Fig. 17. The pore water pressure and the soil's additional bulk density cause the slope stress level to rise again,
384 and the shear strength is also reduced due to the water softening effect. The toe of the excavated third-level slope

385 is unstable and undergoes further damage, which pulls the middle and upper bodies to form slip through the third
386 and fourth-level slopes, as shown in Fig. 23. The maximum horizontal displacement of the slope is 10.5 *mm*, close
387 to the 11 *mm* maximum horizontal displacement measured at the third platform monitoring point. The simulated
388 deformation range is very similar to the actual sliding failure area, and the simulation is also in good agreement
389 with the inversion analysis, which further indicates that the numerical simulation accurately reveals the
390 deformation and failure process. Thus, the validity and accuracy of the proposed analysis method is fully verified.

391 **4.3 Analysis of inducing factors and instability mechanism**

392 Since the simulation accurately reproduces the slope deformation and failure process, the inducing factors
393 and failure mechanism of slope instability can be discussed based on the simulation results. In the following, the
394 inducing effects of excavation and rainfall will be analysed separately, and then the slope instability mechanism
395 will be further discussed.

396 The excavation effect on the slope is reflected by two aspects: (1) the unloading effect of excavation triggers
397 stress adjustment, and (2) the excavated bare surface provides natural infiltration channels for rainwater.
398 Regarding the former aspect, the unloading effect has a totally different impact on the slope as the excavation
399 slope ratio changes. During the initial excavation stage, the third-level excavation resulted in a high stress
400 concentration at the excavated toe, exposure of the potential weak zone and tensile yielding of slope bodies, which
401 resulted in tensile failure of the toe. The upper slope therefore lost its mechanical support. The unloading effect
402 produced by rapid excavation is the main reason for the slope instability during the initial stage. During the
403 modified excavation stage, the excavation unloading reduced the stress level and improved the slope stability due
404 to the gentle excavation slope ratio. However, the excavation destroyed the original slope protection. Without the
405 implementation of waterproofing measures during the excavation, the excavated bare surface was exposed for a
406 long time, which allowed rainwater infiltration and indirectly caused slope failure during the modified excavation
407 stage.

408 Rainfall has a negative effect on the excavated slope stability. Rainwater infiltrated along the bare slope
409 surface and directly caused two sliding failures. The effect is also reflected in two aspects: (1) the pore water
410 pressure changes the slope stress state, and (2) the transient saturated zone reduces the shear strength of the rock
411 and soil mass. During the first and second rainfalls, the surge of pore water pressure caused the slope stress to
412 redistribute and the effective soil stress to decrease, with the soil bulk density increasing and the shear strength

413 decreasing in the transient saturated zone, which resulted in the decrease in slope stability, connection of shear
414 plastic zones and concentration of tension yielding in the excavated slope areas. The slope showed progressive
415 failure characteristics with increasing rainfall intensity. The rainwater produced a saturated zone at the modified
416 excavation rainfall stage, which caused local damage to the slope that had already been stabilized. Rainwater
417 infiltration was the main reason for the slope instability during the modified excavation stage.

418 The slope instability mechanism determined from the analysis of the above factors is as follows: During the
419 initial excavation stage, due to the rapid excavation disturbance, the slope stress was redistributed, and the
420 potential weak zone was fully exposed, which resulted in large local deformation of the excavated slope areas and
421 weak zone expansion along with the loosening of the rock and soil. During the modified excavation stage, the
422 gentle excavation slope ratio reduced the slope stress level, and the exposed bare surface created favourable
423 conditions for rainwater infiltration. Rainfall sharply increased the slope stress level, resulted in sliding surface
424 expansion and finally caused large local deformation and failure.

425 **5 Conclusions and suggestions**

426 This research focuses on understanding the slope deformation characteristics and instability mechanism
427 under alternating excavation and rainfall conditions. The AK2+210~AK2+610 section slope of a highway in
428 Guangdong Province, China, is studied using a proposed method. The slope failure process, inducing influencing
429 factors, and instability mechanism are analysed in detail, and the key findings are summarized below:

430 (1) A separate simulation and superposition analysis method of the excavation stress field and rainfall
431 seepage field is proposed. Meanwhile, the stress uncertainty problem caused by the excavation of shallow
432 saturated slopes formed by continuous rainfall is solved, and simulation of alternating slope excavation and
433 rainfall is realized. Moreover, taking an actual slope project as a field study, the safety factor range of slope
434 stability influenced by rainfall is obtained through back analysis. Then, the limit equilibrium method is used to
435 invert the rock and soil shear strength parameters. After that, the proposed method is applied to numerically study
436 the slope failure mechanism under alternating excavation and rainfall conditions. The results show that the
437 proposed method can appropriately reproduce the slope deformation and failure process, and its effectiveness and
438 accuracy are fully verified.

439 (2) Excavation and rainfall were two main factors that induced the two sliding failures of the
440 AK2+210~AK2+610 section slope. On the one hand, the excavation unloading triggered stress adjustment. On the

441 other hand, the exposed surface provided rainwater infiltration channels. Meanwhile, the rainfall-generated pore
442 water pressure further changed the slope stress state and produced transient saturated zones that reduced the shear
443 strength and increased the bulk density of the slope. This process was the direct cause of the two sliding failures.
444 Hence, when constructing soft rock slopes in rainy areas, the excavation slope ratio should be controlled to
445 prevent the excavated toe from being damaged. Additionally, attention should be paid to the weather to avoid
446 excavating during the rainy season. Before rainfall, waterproofing and drainage measures should be taken to
447 prevent the formation of an "excavation disturbance + rainfall infiltration" disaster chain.

448 (3) Considering that slope sliding mainly occurred in the upper third to fifth-level slopes and the two failures
449 were both caused by the traction of the excavated slope toe under rainfall, a comprehensive measure of "sprayed
450 concrete protection + plain concrete protection wall + anchor lattice beam" is therefore suggested in this study.
451 First, because the deformation induced by rainfall will greatly increase the difficulty of applying slope treatments
452 and delay the construction progress, sprayed concrete can be applied to seal the modified excavation surface to
453 block rainfall infiltration quickly and provide a construction environment for subsequent stabilization measures.
454 Second, the wide second platform can be utilized to set a plain concrete protection wall in front of the third-level
455 slope to completely prevent rainwater infiltration and load the slope toe to avoid traction-sliding failures. Finally,
456 the use of anchor lattice beam support at the fourth- and fifth-level slopes can effectively control the shallow
457 sliding deformation. These findings and suggestions can provide a treatment reference for other slope projects
458 experiencing alternating excavation and rainfall disturbance.

459 **Acknowledgments** This work was financially supported by the China Postdoctoral Science Foundation (Grant No.
460 2020M680950) and the National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 51627804), for which the
461 authors are grateful.

462 **Data availability** Available on reasonable request.

463 **Compliance with ethical standards**

464 **Conflicts of interest/competing interests** The authors declare that they have no conflict of interest.

465 **References:**

466 Bishop AW (1959) The principle of effective stress. *Technisk Ukeblad* 106(39):859-863.

467 Bishop AW, Blight GE (1963) Some aspects of effective stress in saturated and unsaturated soil. *Geotech*

468 13(3):177-197.

469 Fredlund DG (1998) Bringing Unsaturated Soil Mechanics into Engineering Practice. Proceedings of the 2nd
470 international conference on unsaturated soil. pp 1-35.

471 Fu CD, Sheng Q, Li G, Zhang ZP, Zhou YQ, Du YX (2020) Analysis of landslide stability under seismic action
472 and subsequent rainfall: a case study on the Ganjiazhai giant landslide along the Zhaotong-Qiaojia road
473 during the 2014 Ludian earthquake, Yunnan, China. *Bull Eng Geol Environ* 79(10):5229-5248.

474 Han H, Shi B, Zhang L (2021) Prediction of landslide sharp increase displacement by SVM with considering
475 hysteresis of groundwater change. *Eng Geol* 280(2021):105876.

476 Ishii Y, Ota K, Kuraoka S, Tsunaki R (2012) Evaluation of slope stability by finite element method using
477 observed displacement of landslide. *Landslides* 9(3):335-348.

478 Jiang ZM, Wu ZC, Feng SC, Zhong HY, Wang JY, Wang GY (2015) Limit equilibrium method for stability
479 analysis of slope with transient saturated area. *J Hydraul Eng* 46(7):773-782.

480 Jotisankasa A, Coop M, Ridley A (2009) The mechanical behaviour of an unsaturated compacted silty
481 clay. *Geotechnique* 59(5):415-428.

482 Kim Y, Jeong S, Kim J (2016) Coupled infiltration model of unsaturated porous media for steady rainfall. *Soils*
483 *Found* 56(6):1071-1081.

484 Li CD, Fu ZY, Wang Y, Tang HM, Yan JF, Gong WP, Yao WM, Criss RE (2019) Susceptibility of
485 reservoir-induced landslides and strategies for increasing the slope stability in the Three gorges reservoir
486 area: Zigui basin as an example. *Eng Geol* 261:105279.

487 Lin F, Wu LZ, Huang RQ, Zhang H (2018) Formation and characteristics of the Xiaoba landslide in Fuquan,
488 Guizhou, China. *Landslides* 15(4):669-681.

489 Li Q, Wang YM, Zhang KB, Yu H, Tao ZY (2020) Field investigation and numerical study of a siltstone slope
490 instability induced by excavation and rainfall. *Landslides* 17(6):1485-1499.

491 Liu JM, Qiu Y, Guo TT, Song WZ, Gu C (2020) Comparative experimental study on static shear strength and
492 postcyclic strength of saturated silty clay. *Rock Soil Mech* 41(3):773-780.

493 Liu JX, Yang C, Gan J, Liu Y, Wei L, Xie Q (2017) Stability analysis of road embankment slope subjected to rainfall
494 considering runoff-unsaturated seepage and unsaturated fluid–solid coupling. *Int J Civ Eng* 15(6):865-876.

495 Li ZQ, Xue YG, Li SC, Zhang LW, Wang D, Li B, Zhang W, Ning K, Zhu JY (2017) Deformation features and
496 failure mechanism of steep rock slope under the mining activities and rainfall. *J Mt Sci-Engl* 14(1):31-45.

497 Lu N, Sener-Kaya B, Wayllace A, Godt JW (2012) Analysis of rainfall-induced slope instability using a field of
498 local factor of safety. *Water Resour Res* 48(9):9524.

499 Nguyen VU (1984) Back calculations of slope failures by the secant method. *Geotechnique* 34(3):423-427.

500 Oh S, Lu N (2015) Slope stability analysis under unsaturated conditions: case studies of rainfall-induced failure of
501 cut slopes. *Eng Geol* 184:96-103.

502 Potts DM, Zdravkovic L (1999) *Finite element analysis in geotechnical engineering: theory*. Thomas Telford,
503 London, UK.

504 Qiu X, Jiang HB, Ou J (2020) Numerical analysis of formation conditions and evolution characteristics of
505 transient saturation zone of a slope under rainfall conditions. *J Hydraul Eng* 51(12):1525-1535.

506 Robinson JD, Vahedifard F, AghaKouchak A (2017) Rainfall-triggered slope instabilities under a changing
507 climate: comparative study using historical and projected precipitation extremes. *Can Geotech J*
508 54(1):117-127.

509 Shinoda M, Miyata Y, Kurokawa U, Kondo K (2019) Regional landslide susceptibility following the 2016
510 Kumamoto earthquake using back-calculated geomaterial strength parameters. *Landslides* 16(8):1497-1516.

511 Sun HY, Pan P, Lu Q, Wei ZL, Xie W, Zhan W (2019) A case study of a rainfall-induced landslide involving
512 weak interlayer and its treatment using the siphon drainage method. *Bull Eng Geol Environ*
513 78(6):4063-4074.

514 Taga H, Turkmen S, Kacka N (2015) Assessment of stability problems at southern engineered slopes along
515 mersin-tarsus motorway in turkey. *Bull Eng Geol Environ* 74(2):379-391.

516 Tang X, Chen JP, Liu DG, Xu N (2015) The relationship between microstructure characteristics and shear
517 strength of tuff soil landslide zone. *Electron J Geotech Eng* 20(10):4151-4163.

518 Tan HD, Jian WX, Lu Y, Song Y (2018) Hydro-mechanical Interaction Characteristics of the Tuff Residual Soil in
519 South Jiangxi Province of China. *Safety and Environ Eng* 25(1):18-22.

520 Wang H, Wang X D, Pan J (2017) A case study of super-high cut slope I: simulation and analysis of instability
521 mechanism of slopes. *Chin J Rock Mech Eng-en* 4:125-135.

522 Wang JJ, Liang Y, Zhang HP, Wu Y, Lin X (2014) A loess landslide induced by excavation and rainfall.
523 *Landslides* 11(1):141-152.

524 Yang DH, Yan RT, Wei CF, Zhang M, Zhang Q (2016) A study of water chemical sensitivity of strength indices
525 of silty clay. *Rock Soil Mech* 37(12):3529-3536.

- 526 Zhang CY, Zhang M, Zhang TL, Dai ZW, Wang LQ (2020) Influence of intrusive granite dyke on
527 rainfall-induced soil slope failure. *Bull Eng Geol Environ* 79(10):5259-5276.
- 528 Zhao L, Li D, Tan H, Cheng X, Zuo S (2019) Characteristics of failure area and failure mechanism of a bedding
529 rockslide in Libo county, Guizhou, China. *Landslides* 16(7):1367-1374.
- 530 Zhao RX, Yin YP, Li B, Wang WP (2017) Research on the colluvial landslide stability during reservoir water
531 level fluctuation. *J Hydraulic Eng* 48(4):435-445.
- 532 Zhuang JQ, Peng JB (2014) A coupled slope cutting-a prolonged rainfall-induced loess landslide: a 17 October
533 2011 case study. *Bull Eng Geol Environ* 73(4):997-1011.
- 534 Zuo C, Liu D, Ding S, Chen J (2016) Micro-characteristics of strength reduction of tuff residual soil with different
535 moisture. *KSCE J Civ Eng* 20(2):639-646.

536 **Table List**

537 **Table 1 Physical and mechanical parameters of the rock and soil**

538 **Table 2 Inversion of tuff saturation parameters**

539 **Table 3 Simulated rainfall conditions**

540 **Table 4 Van Genuchten model parameters and saturated permeability coefficients**

541

Table 1 Physical and mechanical parameters of the rock and soil

Types of rock and soil layers	Deformation modulus (<i>kPa</i>)	Poisson's ratio	Weight (<i>kN/m³</i>)	Cohesive force <i>C</i> (<i>kPa</i>)	Internal friction angle φ ($^{\circ}$)
Silty clay	3×10^4	0.28	20 (21.5)	15	15
Fully weathered tuff	1.3×10^5	0.31	21 (22.5)	29.4	19
Strongly weathered tuff	6.1×10^5	0.27	22 (23.5)	37	24
Moderately weathered tuff	8.3×10^5	0.29	23.5 (24)	70	29
Slightly weathered tuff	1.7×10^6	0.22	24.5 (24.8)	90	31

Table 2 Inversion of tuff saturation parameters

Reduction ratio between C and φ	Fully weathered tuff		Strongly weathered tuff		Safety factor
	C (kPa)	φ ($^{\circ}$)	C (kPa)	φ ($^{\circ}$)	
Initial parameters	29.40	19	37	24	1.236
3:1	26.40	18	34	23	1.155
	23.40	17	31	22	1.076
	20.40	16	28	21	0.998
	19.7	15.75	27.25	20.75	0.978
	19	15.50	26.50	20.50	0.944
4:1	25.4	18	33	23	1.139
	21.4	17	29	22	1.044
	17.4	16	25	21	0.938
	19.4	16.75	27	21.75	0.994
	18.4	16.50	26	21.50	0.982
5:1	24.40	18	32	23	1.081
	19.40	17	27	22	0.996
	14.40	16	22	21	0.909
	16.9	16.50	24.50	21.50	0.971

546

Table 3 Simulated rainfall conditions

Rainfall conditions	Accumulated rainfall (days)
First rainfall	75 <i>mm</i> (5)
Second rainfall	979.5 <i>mm</i> (42)
Modified excavation rainfall	412.5 <i>mm</i> (17)

547

548

Table 4 Van Genuchten model parameters and saturated permeability coefficients

Types of rock and soil layers	a (kPa)	n	m	θ_r	θ_s	K_s (m/s)
Silty clay	10	1.9	0.47368	0.04	0.28	1.17×10^{-5}
Fully weathered tuff	3.56	1.44	0.30556	0.20	0.44	1.63×10^{-7}
Strongly weathered tuff	4.29	1.46	0.31506	0.19	0.45	1.47×10^{-7}

549

550

551 **Figure List**

- 552 **Fig. 1 Schematic diagram of seepage path changes in unsaturated soil slope excavation simulation**
- 553 **Fig. 2 Slope stability simulation process under alternating excavation and rainfall**
- 554 **Fig. 3 Slope morphology and engineering geological conditions**
- 555 **Fig. 4 Status of the slope sliding area in January 2015**
- 556 **Fig. 5 Development of the slope sliding area from May to June 2015**
- 557 **Fig. 6 Status of the slide after the modified excavation in January 2016**
- 558 **Fig. 7 Schematic diagrams of the measuring point layouts in each monitoring stage**
- 559 **Fig. 8 Horizontal displacements of typical measuring points during each stage**
- 560 **Fig. 9 Final sliding surfaces obtained by the inversion analysis**
- 561 **Fig. 10 The seepage boundary functions of the three rainfall events**
- 562 **Fig. 11 Permeability function curve of the silty clay**
- 563 **Fig. 12 Water content function curve of the silty clay**
- 564 **Fig. 13 Permeability function curve of the fully weathered tuff**
- 565 **Fig. 14 Water content function curve of the fully weathered tuff**
- 566 **Fig. 15 Permeability function curve of the strongly weathered tuff**
- 567 **Fig. 16 Water content function curve of the strongly weathered tuff**
- 568 **Fig. 17 Pore water pressure distribution with time induced by three rainfall events**
- 569 **Fig. 18 Maximum shear strain and yield evolution in the slope (Red dots in the figures represent tensile yield elements)**
- 570 **Fig. 19 Safety factor in each stage of the slope excavation sequence**
- 571 **Fig. 20 Horizontal displacement distribution after initial excavation of the third-level slope**
- 572 **Fig. 21 Maximum principal stress distribution after initial excavation of the third-level slope**
- 573 **Fig. 22 Horizontal displacement distribution after the second rainfall**
- 574 **Fig. 23 Horizontal displacement distribution after the modified excavation rainfall**