

**TR/01/93**

**March 1993**

**A note on the Euler-Maclaurin Sum formula**

A. D. Rawlins

**w9258496**

## **A note on the Euler-Maclaurin Sum formula**

by

**A. D. Rawlins**  
**Department of Mathematics & Statistics**  
**Brunel University**  
**Uxbridge**  
**Middlesex UB8 3PH**

### **Abstract**

In this note we give a real variable approach for calculating the constant term that arises in the application of the Euler-Maclaurin expansion for a special class of series of the form  $\sum_{r=1}^n f(r)$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

In particular the method is used to derive the approximate summation of the expression  $\sum_{r=1}^n r^{\ell} \ln r$ , where  $\ell$  is a non negative integer.

**Introduction**

A problem of frequent occurrence in analysis and applied mathematics is to find an approximate expression for sums of the form

$$S_n = \sum_{r=1}^n f(r), \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty$$

This is particularly important when  $f(x)$  is a slowly varying function of  $x$ , when the above expression for  $S_n$  would be useless for calculating  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} S_n$ . An effective mathematical method for dealing with this type of problem is the Euler-Maclaurin summation formula. One form of this summation formula gives an estimate for the sum  $S_n$ , by the integral:

$$\int_1^n f(r)dr,$$

with correction terms, involving a constant, and the values of  $f(r)$  and its odd derivatives at  $t = n$ . A very good and comprehensive treatment of the Euler-Maclaurin summation formula is given in the book by Olver[1], chapter 8. The evaluation of the constant term requires some ingenuity, especially when  $f$  is real with only a finite number of continuous derivatives, (that is,  $f(x)$  cannot be analytically continued off the the real  $x$ -axis).

We shall describe a method for the evaluation of the constant term which seems more direct than that usually used in text books. The method works when high enough derivatives of  $f$  can be expressed in inverse powers of  $x^2$  or  $x^3$ . The method uses the periodicity of the Bernoulli polynomials  $B_{2s}(x-[x])$  and the fact that

$$\frac{d^2}{dx^2} \ln \Gamma(x) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(x+n)^2}.$$

where  $\Gamma(x)$  is the Gamma function, Olver[1]. As an application we consider the sum  $\sum_{r=1}^n r^\ell \ln r$ ,  $\ell$  a non-negative integer.

Let  $f(x)$  have  $2m$  continuous derivatives  $f^{(2m)}(x)$  for  $x \geq 1$ , and let  $f^{(2m-1)}(x) \geq 0$ ,  $f^{(2m)}(x) \geq 0$ ,  $f^{(2m-1)}(x) \rightarrow 0$  as  $x \rightarrow \infty$ , then the Euler-Maclaurin sum formula gives

$$\sum_{r=1}^n f(r) = \int_1^n f(x)dx + \frac{1}{2} f(n) + \sum_{s=1}^m \frac{B_{2s}}{(2s)!} f^{(2s-1)}(n) + C_{2m} + o(f^{(2m-1)}(n)), \tag{1}$$

$$m = 1, 2, \dots,$$

see Olver [1].

In the above expression on the right hand side of the equality sign, all the terms before the constant  $C_{2m}$  increase with  $n$ ,  $C_{2m} = O(1)$ , and the order term is  $o(1)$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . The constant term  $C_{2m}$  is given by the expression

$$C_{2m} = \frac{1}{2} f(1) - \sum_{s=1}^m \frac{B_{2s}}{(2s)!} f^{(2s-1)}(1) - \int_1^\infty \frac{B_{2m}((x-[x]))}{(2m)!} f^{(2m)}(x)dx \tag{2}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} f(1) - \sum_{s=1}^m \frac{B_{2s}}{(2s)!} f^{(2s-1)}(1) - \int_0^1 \frac{B_{2m}(x)}{(2m)!} \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} f^{(2m)}(x+r+1) dx, \quad (3)$$

provided the infinite series in (3) converges uniformly.

**Evaluation of the constant term  $C_{2m}$ .**

For the applications we have in mind we will need to consider two situations.

(i)  $f^{(2m)}(x) = a(2m)x^{-2}$ ,  $a(2m)$  independent of  $x$ .

Then we can write (3) in the form

$$\begin{aligned} C_{2m} &= \frac{1}{2} f(1) - \sum_{s=1}^m \frac{B_{2s}}{(2s)!} f^{(2s-1)}(1) - \frac{a(m)}{(2m)!} \int_0^1 B_{2m}(x) \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(x+r+1)^2} dx, \\ &= \frac{1}{2} f(1) - \sum_{s=1}^m \frac{B_{2s}}{(2s)!} f^{(2s-1)}(1) - \frac{a(m)}{(2m)!} \int_0^1 B_{2m}(x) \frac{d^2}{dx^2} \ln \Gamma(x+1) dx \end{aligned}$$

Now integrating by parts twice gives

$$C_{2m} = \frac{1}{2} f(1) - \sum_{s=1}^m \frac{B_{2s}}{(2s)!} f^{(2s-1)}(1) - \frac{a(m)}{(2m)!} B_{2m} - \frac{a(m)}{(2m-2)!} \int_0^1 B_{2m-2}(x) \ln \Gamma(1+x) dx. \quad (4)$$

(ii)  $f^{(2m)}(x) = b(m)x^{-3}$ ,  $b(m)$  independent of  $x$ .

Then we can write (3) in the form

$$\begin{aligned} C_{2m} &= \frac{1}{2} f(1) - \sum_{s=1}^m \frac{B_{2s}}{(2s)!} f^{(2s-1)}(1) - \frac{b(m)}{(2m)!} \int_0^1 B_{2m}(x) \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} \frac{1}{(x+r+1)^3} dx, \\ &= \frac{1}{2} f(1) - \sum_{s=1}^m \frac{B_{2s}}{(2s)!} f^{(2s-1)}(1) + \frac{b(m)}{(2m)!} \int_0^1 B_{2m}(x) \frac{d^3}{dx^3} \ln \Gamma(x+1) dx. \end{aligned}$$

Now integrating by parts thrice gives

$$C_{2m} = \frac{1}{2} f(1) - \sum_{s=1}^m \frac{B_{2s}}{(2s)!} f^{(2s-1)}(1) - \frac{b(m)}{2(2m)!} B_{2m} - \frac{b(m)}{2(2m-3)!} \int_0^1 B_{2m-3}(x) \ln \Gamma(x+1) dx. \quad (m>1) \quad (5)$$

To obtain (4) and (5) we have used the results (see Olver[1]).

$$\psi^{(m)}(2) - \psi^{(m)}(1) = (-)^m m! \quad m = 0, 1, \dots, \quad \text{where } \psi^{(m)}(z) = \frac{d^{m+1}}{dz^{m+1}} \ln \Gamma(z).$$

$$B_s = B_s(0) = B_s(m), B_s'(x) = sB_{s-1}(x), s = 1, 2, \dots,$$

The integrands of the integrals appearing in the expressions (4) and (5) consist of a polynomial multiplied by  $\ln \Gamma(x+1)$ . The smooth behaviour of these integrands over the finite range of integration  $(0,1)$  is such that they can be numerically evaluated without difficulty, and hence give a numerical value

for the constant  $C_{2m}$  to a desired degree of accuracy. Further if integrals of the form

$$\int_0^1 x^r \ln \Gamma(x+1) dx, \quad r = 0, 1, 2, \dots, \quad (6)$$

can be evaluated in closed form, then one can obtain explicit analytic expressions for the constants  $C_{2m}$ .

The result (6) for  $r = 0$ :

$$\int_0^1 \ln \Gamma(x+1) dx = \frac{1}{2} \ln(2\pi) - 1, \quad (7)$$

is well known, sometimes called Raabe's result. However, it does not seem to be known that (6) can be evaluated in closed form for non-negative integers in terms of the Riemann Zeta function  $\zeta(z)$ , see Olver[1]. Specifically:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 x^r \ln \Gamma(x+1) dx &= \frac{\ln(2\pi)}{2(r+1)} - \frac{1}{(r+1)^2} \\ &+ \frac{1}{4\pi} \sum_{k=0}^{r-1} \frac{r!}{(r-k)!} \frac{\sin(\frac{1}{2}k\pi)}{(2\pi)^k} \zeta(k+2) \\ &- \frac{(\gamma + \ln(2\pi))}{2\pi^2} \sum_{k=0}^{r-1} \frac{r!}{(r-k)!} \frac{\cos(\frac{1}{2}k\pi)}{(2\pi)^k} \zeta(k+2) \\ &- \frac{1}{2\pi^2} \sum_{k=0}^{r-1} \frac{r!}{(r-k)!} \frac{\cos(\frac{1}{2}k\pi)}{(2\pi)^k} \zeta'(k+2), \quad (8) \\ &r = 0, 1, 2, \dots \end{aligned}$$

The derivation of the result (8) is as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 x^r \ln \Gamma(x+1) dx &= \int_0^1 x^r \ln x dx + \int_0^1 x^r \ln \Gamma(x) dx, \\ &= -\frac{1}{(r+1)^2} + \int_0^1 x^r \ln \Gamma(x) dx. \end{aligned}$$

We now use Kummer's Fourier Series representation for  $\ln \Gamma(x)$  given by

$$\ln \Gamma(x) = \frac{1}{2} \ln(2\pi) + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left\{ \frac{1}{2n} \cos 2\pi nx - \frac{1}{n\pi} (\gamma + \ln(2\pi n)) \sin 2\pi nx \right\} \quad 0 < x < 1.$$

Thus

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 x^r \ln \Gamma(x+1) dx &= \frac{\ln(2\pi)}{2(r+1)} - \frac{1}{(r+1)^2} + \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{2m} \int_0^1 x^r \cos 2\pi mx dx \\ &+ \sum_{m=1}^{\infty} \left\{ \frac{(\gamma + \ln(2\pi m))}{m\pi} \int_0^1 x^r \sin 2\pi mx dx \right\} \end{aligned}$$

A simple application of integration by parts gives the results:

$$\int_0^1 x^r \cos 2\pi n x \, dx = \sum_{k=0}^{r-1} \frac{r!}{(r-k)! (2\pi n)^{k+1}} \cdot \sin\left(\frac{1}{2} k\pi\right),$$

$$\int_0^1 x^r \sin 2\pi n x \, dx = -\sum_{k=0}^{r-1} \frac{1}{(r-k)! (2\pi n)^{k+1}} \cdot \cos\left(\frac{1}{2} k\pi\right),$$

$r=0, 1, \dots$

(9)

Thus the result (8) follows with  $\zeta(z) = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} n^{-z}$ ,  $z > 1$ ,  $\zeta'(z) = \sum_{n=2}^{\infty} \ell n n^{-z}$ ,  $z > 1$ .

Thus in principle we can evaluate all terms of the expression (1) explicitly, in term of functions which are well tabulated.

### Application

We shall now consider an application of the previous results to obtain an approximate expression for the sum  $\sum_{r=1}^n r^\ell \ell n r$  where  $\ell$  is an integer, and  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

Let us consider therefore  $f_\ell(x) = x^\ell \ln x$ , then

$$\frac{d^m}{dx^m} f_\ell(x) \equiv D^{(m)}(x^\ell \ln x) = \sum_{r=0}^m \binom{m}{r} D^{(r)}(\ln x) D^{(m-r)}(x^\ell),$$

$$= \ell! x^{\ell-m} \left( \frac{\ln x}{\Gamma(\ell-m+1)} - \sum_{r=1}^m \frac{(-)^r}{r} \cdot \frac{\{m(m-1)\dots(m-r+1)\}}{\Gamma(\ell-m+r+1)} \right)$$
(10)

If  $m \geq \ell + 1$  then  $\frac{d^m}{dx^m} f_\ell(x) = -\ell! x^{\ell-m} \sum_{r=1}^m \frac{(-)^r}{r} \frac{\{m(m-1)\dots(m-r+1)\}}{\Gamma(\ell-m+r+1)}$ .

If we choose:  $m = 2m = \ell + 2$ , if  $\ell$  even ,  
 $m = 2m = \ell + 3$ , if  $\ell$  odd ,

we get

$$\frac{d^{2m}}{dx^{2m}} f(x) = \tilde{a}(\ell) x^{-2} \quad , \quad \ell \text{ even}$$

$$= \tilde{b}(\ell) x^{-3} \quad , \quad \ell \text{ odd.}$$
(11)

where

$$\tilde{a}(\ell) = -\ell! \sum_{r=2}^{\ell+2} \frac{(-)^r}{r} \cdot \frac{\{(\ell+2)(\ell+1)\dots(\ell-r+3)\}}{(r-2)!}$$
(12)

$$\tilde{b}(\ell) = -\ell! \sum_{r=3}^{\ell+3} \frac{(-)^r}{r} \cdot \frac{\{(\ell+3)(\ell+2)\dots(\ell-r+4)\}}{(r-3)!}$$
(13)

Hence the expressions (1) and (4) give for  $\ell$  even

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{r=1}^n r^\ell \ell n r &= \frac{n^{\ell-1} \ell n n}{\ell+1} - \frac{n^{\ell+1}}{(\ell+1)^2} + \frac{1}{2} n^\ell \ell n n \\ &+ \ell! \sum_{s=1}^{(\ell+2)/2} \frac{B_{2s}}{(2s)!} \left[ \frac{\ell n n}{\Gamma(\ell-2s+2)} - \sum_{r=1}^{2s-1} \frac{(-)^r}{r} \frac{\{(2s-1)(2s-2)\dots(2s-r)\}}{\Gamma(\ell-2s+r+2)} \right] n^{\ell-2s+1} \\ &+ \tilde{C}_{\ell+2} + 0(n^{-1}) \end{aligned} \quad (14)$$

Where

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{C}_{\ell+2} &= \ell! \sum_{s=1}^{(\ell+2)/2} \frac{B_{2s}}{(2s)!} \left[ \sum_{r=1}^{2s-1} \frac{(-)^r}{r} \frac{\{(2s-1)(2s-2)\dots(2s-r)\}}{\Gamma(\ell-2s+r+2)} \right] + \frac{1}{(\ell+1)^2} \\ &+ \frac{B_{\ell+2}}{(\ell+2)(\ell+1)} \sum_{r=2}^{\ell+2} \frac{(-)^r}{r} \frac{\{(\ell-2)(\ell-1)\dots(\ell-r+3)\}}{(r-2)!} \\ &+ \sum_{r=2}^{\ell+2} \frac{\{(\ell+2)(\ell+1)\dots(\ell-r+3)\}(-)^r}{r(r-2)!} \int_0^1 B_\ell(x) \ell n \Gamma(x+1) dx. \end{aligned} \quad (15)$$

The expression (1) and (5) give for  $\ell$  odd

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{r=1}^n r^\ell \ell n r &= \frac{n^{\ell+1}}{(\ell+1)} \ell n n - \frac{n^{\ell+1}}{(\ell+1)^2} + \frac{1}{2} n^\ell \ell n n \\ &+ \ell! \sum_{s=1}^{(\ell+2)/2} \frac{B_{2s}}{(2s)!} \left[ \frac{\ell n n}{\Gamma(\ell-2s+2)} - \sum_{r=1}^{2s-1} \frac{(-)^r}{r} \frac{\{(2s-1)(2s-2)\dots(2s-r)\}}{\Gamma(\ell-2s+r+2)} \right] n^{\ell-2s-1} \\ &+ \tilde{C}_{\ell+3} + 0(n^{-2}) \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

Where

$$\begin{aligned} \tilde{C}_{\ell+3} &= \frac{1}{(\ell+1)^2} + \ell! \sum_{s=1}^{(\ell+3)/2} \frac{B_{2s}}{(2s)!} \left[ \sum_{r=1}^{2s-1} \frac{(-)^r}{r} \frac{\{(2s-1)(2s-2)\dots(2s-r)\}}{\Gamma(\ell-2s+2)} \right] \\ &+ \frac{\ell! B_{\ell+3}}{2(\ell+3)!} \sum_{r=3}^{\ell+3} \frac{(-)^r}{r} \frac{\{(\ell+3)(\ell+2)\dots(\ell-r+4)\}}{(r-3)!} \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{r=3}^{\ell+3} \frac{(-)^r}{r} \frac{\{(\ell+3)(\ell+2)\dots(\ell-r+4)\}}{(r-3)!} \int_0^1 B_\ell(x) \ell n \Gamma(x+1) dx \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$



As specific examples we apply (14) and (15) for

(i)  $\ell = 0, m = 1$ , giving

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{r=1}^n \ell n r &= n \ell n n - n + \frac{1}{2} \ell n n + C + O(n^{-1}), \\ C &= 1 + \int_0^1 \ell n \Gamma(x+1) dx = 1 + \frac{1}{2} \ell n(2\pi) - 1, \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \ell n(2\pi), \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the result (8) with  $r = 0$ . This result agrees with Olver[1].

(ii) We also apply (16) and (17) for  $\ell=1, m=2$  giving

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{r=1}^n r \ell n r &= \frac{n^2}{2} \ell n n - \frac{n^2}{4} + \frac{1}{2} n \ell n n + \frac{1}{12} \ell n n + C + O(n^{-2}) \\ C &+ \frac{1}{4} - \int_0^1 (x - \frac{1}{2}) - \ell n \Gamma(x+1) dx. \\ &= \frac{1}{4} + \frac{1}{4} \ell n(2\pi) - \frac{1}{2} - \int_0^1 x \ell n \Gamma(x+1) dx, \\ &= \frac{(\gamma + \ell n(2\pi))}{12} - \frac{1}{2\pi^2} \zeta'(2), \end{aligned}$$

where we have used the result (8) with  $r=0$  and  $r=1$  and the fact that  $\zeta(2) = \pi^{\frac{2}{6}}$ . This result for  $C$  agrees with Olver[1] who obtained it by a different method.

---

## References

F.W.J. Olver, Asymptotics and Special functions. Academic Press 1974.

**NOT TO BE  
REMOVED**  
FROM THE LIBRARY

