

JOURNAL OF SPORT & EXERCISE PSYCHOLOGY

Volume 44 • Supplement • May 2022

North American Society for the Psychology of Sport and Physical Activity

Annual Conference

May 26–28, 2022

Contents

Keynotes, Lectures, and Awards	S1
Symposia	S5
Free Communications: Verbal and Poster	
<i>Motor Development</i>	S11
<i>Motor Learning and Control</i>	S28
<i>Sport and Exercise Psychology</i>	S63

The *Journal of Sport & Exercise Psychology* is an official publication of the
North American Society for the Psychology of Sport and Physical Activity

Conference Chairs and Committees

Conference Program Chair
Executive Director

Mary E. Rudisill, Auburn University, USA
Penny McCullagh, California State University-East Bay, USA

Motor Development

Ali Brian, University of South Carolina (Chair)
Amanda Arnold, Denison University
Farid Bardid, University of Strathclyde
Nancy Gettchell, University of Delaware
Janet Hauck, Michigan State University
Jerraco Johnson, University of North Texas
Matthieu Lenoir, University of Ghent
Emily Munn, Auburn University (Student representative)
Adam Pennell, Pepperdine University
Jennifer Sansom, Denison University
Priscila Tamplain, University of Texas-Arlington
Elizabeth (Kip) Webster, Augusta University

Sport and Exercise Psychology

Leah Ferguson, University of Saskatchewan (Chair)
Yu-Kai Chang, National Taiwan Normal University
Anthony Delli Paoli, Rutgers University
Jason Kostrna, Florida International University
Jordan Kurth, Purdue University
Malete Leapetswe, Michigan State University
Amber Mosewich, University of Alberta
Sean Mullen, University of Illinois
Eva Pila, Western University
Svenja Wolf, Florida State University
Zachary Zenko, California State University-Bakersfield

Motor Learning and Control

Louisa Raisbeck, University of North Carolina-Greensboro (Chair)
Christopher Aiken, New Mexico State University
Keven Becker, Texas Women's University
April Karlinsky, California State University-San Bernardino
Adam King, Texas Christian University
Ben Meyer, Shippensburg University
Kristina Neely, Auburn University
John Palazzolo, University of North Carolina-Greensboro (Student Rep)
Jared Porter, University of Tennessee
Shikha Prashad, Washington State University
Gaby Wulf, University of Nevada, Las Vegas
Qin (Arthur) Zhu, University of Wyoming

Executive Committee

President	Meghan McDonough, University of Calgary, Canada
President-Elect	Jacqueline Goodway, The Ohio State University, USA
Past-President	Mary E. Rudisill, Auburn University, USA
Past-Presidents' Liaison	Deborah Feltz, Michigan State University, USA
Communication Director	Jenny O, California State University-East Bay, USA
Secretary-Treasurer	Laura Claxton, Purdue University, USA
Student Representative	Lauren Higgins, University of North Carolina-Greensboro, USA

The abstracts contained in this publication were submitted by authors using the NASPSPA Web site.

NASPSPA Recognition

Presidents

2021-2022 Meghan McDonough
2020-2021 Mary Rudisill
2019-2020 Catherine Sabiston
2018-2019 David Anderson
2017-2018 Steven Bray
2016-2017 Daniela Corbetta
2015-2016 Jennifer Etnier
2014-2015 Gabriele Wulf
2013-2014 Alan Smith
2012-2013 John Shea
2011-2012 Diane Ste-Marie
2010-2011 Jody Jensen
2009-2010 Craig Hall
2008-2009 Jill Whittall
2007-2008 Deborah Feltz
2006-2007 Digby Elliott
2005-2006 Maureen Weiss
2004-2005 Charles Shea
2003-2004 Mark Fischman
2002-2003 Penny McCullagh
2001-2002 Kathleen Williams
2000-2001 Brad Hatfield
1999-2000 Kathleen Haywood
1998-1999 Beverly Ulrich
1997-1998 Janet Starkes
1996-1997 Howard Zelaznik
1995-1996 Steve Wallace
1994-1995 Karl Newell
1993-1994 Robert Weinberg
1992-1993 Jane Clark
1991-1992 T. Gilmour Reeve
1990-1991 Jerry Thomas
1989-1990 Diane Gill
1988-1989 Craig Wrisberg
1987-1988 Michael Wade
1986-1987 Mary Ann Robertson
1985-1986 Daniel Landers
1984-1985 Richard A. Magill
1983-1984 Robert Schutz
1982-1983 Glyn Roberts
1981-1982 Tara Scanlan
1980-1981 Ronald Marteniuk
1979-1980 Robert Christina
1978-1979 Harriet Williams
1977-1978 Richard Schmidt
1976-1977 Waneen Spirduso
1975-1976 Don Kirkendall
1974-1975 Dorothy Harris
1973-1974 Rainer Martens
1971-1973 E. Dean Ryan
1969-1971 B.J. Cratty
1967-1969 A.T. Slater-Hammel

Distinguished Scholar Award

2021 Charles Shea
2020 Janet Starkes
2019 Joan Duda
2019 Howard Zelaznik
2018 Gabriele Wulf
2017 Digby Elliott
2017 Timothy Lee
2015 Lawrence Brawley
2015 Deborah Feltz
2014 Diane L. Gill
2014 Beverly D. Ulrich
2013 Jane E. Clark
2012 Michael Wade
2012 Robert Christina
2009 Bob Malina
2008 Robert Singer
2007 Bert Carron
2005 George Stelmach
2004 Waneen Spirduso
2004 Ronald Marteniuk
2003 Jerry Thomas
2002 Karl Newell
1999 Scott Kelso
1998 Glyn Roberts
1995 Daniel Landers
1992 Richard Schmidt
1989 Jack Adams
1981 Franklin Henry
1981 Lawrence Rarick

President's Award

2021 David Anderson
2021 Catherine Sabiston
2021 Chris Rhea
2021 Anne Cox
2021 Penny McCullagh
2021 Jill Whittall
2021 Harjiv Singh
2021 Mary Rudisill
2020 Jenny O
2017 Kim Scott
2016 Jill Whittall
2015 Bernice Fischman
2014 Maureen R. Weiss
2014 Howard N. Zelaznik
2013 Richard A. Schmidt
2012 Richard A. Magill
2011 Michael Wade
2009 Beverly Ulrich
2006 Jerry Thomas
2005 Daniel Landers
2002 T. Gilmour Reeve
1999 Jane Clark
1998 Penny McCullagh
1997 Robert Singer
1992 Rainer Martens
1991 Alfred Hubbard
1991 Arthur Slater-Hammel

Early Career Distinguished Scholar

2021 Travis Dorsch
2020 Ali Brian
2019 Sam Logan
2018 Jennifer Brunet
2017 Priscila Ca.ola
2017 Keith Lohse
2016 Ben Jackson
2016 Tony Carlsen
2015 Lisa Barnett
2014 Leah E. Robinson
2014 Yu Kai Chang
2013 Nicholas D. Myers
2012 Quincy J. Almeida
2012 Catherine M. Sabiston
2011 Amy Latimer
2010 Timothy Welsh
2009 David Vaillancourt
2008 Sian Beilock
2008 Ryan Rhodes
2007 Matthew Heath
2006 Paul Estabrooks
2005 Heather Hausenblas
2004 Viktor Jirsa
2003 A. Mark Williams
2001 Kathleen Martin
1998 Kerry Courneya
1997 Richard G. Carson
1996 Richard van Emmerik
1996 Daniel Weeks
1995 Jody Jensen
1993 Stephan Swinnen
1992 Beverly Ulrich
1991 Edward McAuley
1987 Peter Hancock
1986 Les Carlton
1985 Deborah Feltz

Motor Control Moderate the Relationship Between Implicit Learning and Motor Ability in Children With Autism Spectrum Disorders

Bo Shen, Wayne State University; Liangsan Dong, Central China Normal University; Yanli Pang, Central China Normal University; Patricia Lasuschinkow, Eastern Michigan University; Jiayou Shen, University of Michigan; Jin Bo, Eastern Michigan University

Difficulty with implicit learning plays an important role in symptomology of Autism Spectrum Disorder (ASD). The findings in the motor learning literature, however, have been controversial. Additionally, how the learning impact motor deficits in ASD remains largely unknown. This study evaluated implicit sequence learning and its relationship with motor ability in children with and without ASD. Twelve children with clinical diagnosis of ASD and 16 age- and gender-matched controls performed a classic serial reaction time task (SRT), a retention task, and two explicit awareness tasks. Their motor ability was measured with the Movement Assessment Battery for Children (MABC). Significant learning differences between children with and without ASD were only found in retention ($t_{(26)} = 2.09, p < 0.05$) but not at the end of SRT. Neither SRT learning nor retention outcomes were correlated with MABC-2, although SRT baseline response time (RT) was associated with MABC ($r = -0.43, p < 0.05$). We further conducted exploratory moderation analyses with *baseline RT* as the moderator (M), *SRT retention* as the independent variable (X), and *MABC* as the outcome variable (Y) to test how the motor control impact the relationship between implicit learning and motor ability in both children with and without ASD. The model's explanatory power significantly increased with additional interaction term ($\Delta R^2 = 0.15, F = 4.61, p < .05$): children with faster RT had significant relationship between implicit learning and motor ability ($t = 1.97, p = .05$) whereas those with slower RT did not show any relationships. We argue that children with ASD may have more difficulties in consolidation rather than learning per se. Consistent and fine-tuned movements are fundamental for optimal learning and should be weighted more for future intervention in children with ASD.

Gait Variability in the Assessment and Tracking of Fall Risk in Older Adults

Ben Sidaway, Husson University

Two studies were conducted to examine the ability of measures of gait variability to assess the fall risk of healthy community dwelling older adults and then to determine whether dynamic balance training can improve those measures of gait variability. In the first study, gait parameters of 50 community dwelling older adults (65-95 yrs.) were recorded as they walked freely on a computerized mat. Participants also completed the Narrow Path Walking Test (NPWT) on the mat. The number of falls 6 months prior and 6 months post testing was recorded. Gait velocity did not differ between older adults who had fallen (F) in the previous 6 months and those who had not fallen (NF). No differences between F and NF were found for step length and step time but the NF group had significantly lower coefficient of variation (CV) in these gait parameters than the F group. Prior history of falls was significantly correlated with step length CV and step time CV. Analysis of the NPWT also found significant differences in step length and step time CV between the F and NF groups. In the second study, 13 older adults (65-90 yrs.) participated in a training study in which they attempted to walk along a series of 6 m beams of decreasing width (18, 15, 12, 9, 6 cm). Participants practiced beam walking for 20 minutes twice a week for 4 weeks. Before training, at the completion of training, and one week following training gait parameters were recorded on a computerized mat. All participants showed improvement in beam walking performance with practice. The balance training significantly increased self-selected gait velocity (0.78 m/sec to 0.88 m/sec) and step length (44.6 cm to 48.2 cm). Step length CV decreased significantly with training

(13% to 8.7%) as did stride width CV (51% to 31%), and step time CV (7.3% to 5.5%). Taken together these studies indicate that measures of gait variability may be useful in identifying relatively healthy older adults at risk of falling and that dynamic balance training can reduce gait variability and potentially therefore, the risk of falls in older adults. Funding source: Husson University Research Fund.

Autonomy Supportive, Externally Focused Instructions Improve Children's Motor Learning in Physical Education

Thomas Simpson, Edge Hill University; Mitchell Finlay, Edge Hill University; Paul Ellison, Edge Hill University; Evelyn Carnegie, Edge Hill University; Victoria Riding, Preesall Fleetwood's Charity Primary school; David Marchant, Edge Hill University

Practice conditions that facilitate an external focus (EF) of attention and support learner autonomy (AS) have been shown to improve motor performance and learning. However, research has yet to examine how the delivery of EF instructions impacts motor learning (i.e., via autonomy supportive or controlling instructions). Therefore, the present study examined the effects of delivering EF instructions via autonomy supportive vs controlling instructional language. Twenty-four novice participants (10.30 ± 0.52 yrs) practiced a land-based curling task under AS-EF (EF instructions delivered via supportive language), AC-EF (EF instructions delivered via controlling language) or control conditions (EF instructions-only) before completing a same-day retention and transfer test (non-dominant hand). Participants were required to push a curling-stone from 5m towards a bullseye target. An EF was promoted by instructing participants to "slide the stone smoothly to the centre of the target". Task instructions included autonomy-supportive (i.e., provide choice or hints for successful task completion which could be adopted or rejected by the participant) or controlling language (i.e., prescribed how best for the participant to successfully complete the task) for the AS-EF and AC-EF groups respectively. Motor performance was measured via a points-based accuracy score (Max score = 10) and positive affect was measured post-practice on a 200-point continuous scale. ANOVA revealed the AS-EF group (Mean = 3.68 ± 2.00) outperformed the AC-EF (Mean = $1.23 \pm 1.09; p = .002$) and control (Mean = $1.52 \pm 1.07; p = .007$) groups on the retention test and reported higher positive affect after practice. The findings support predictions of the OPTIMAL theory and further evidence that EF and AS factors have additive effects on children's motor learning. Moreover, results suggest that the detrimental effects of controlling instructional language can be offset by an EF, indicating that positive motivational interventions facilitate an optimal focus of attention through goal-action coupling mechanisms.

Functional Variability Increases With a Distal External Focus

Harjiv Singh, University of Nevada, Las Vegas; Hui-Ting Shih, University of Nevada, Las Vegas; Elmar Kal, Brunel University; Tim Bennett, Leeds Beckett University; Gabriele Wulf, University of Nevada, Las Vegas

A recent meta-analysis on attentional focus (Chua, Jimenez-Diaz, Lewthwaite, Kim, & Wulf, 2021) showed that focusing on an intended movement effect that is farther away from the body (i.e., distal external focus) results in performance benefits relative to focusing on an effect in greater proximity to the body (i.e., proximal external focus) or the body itself (i.e., internal focus). The present study examined whether this distance effect was associated with differences in functional variability. Skilled volleyball players ($n = 20$) performed sixty overhand volleyball serves to a target. Using a within-participants design, an internal focus ("Focus on your hand"), proximal external focus ("Focus on contacting the middle of the ball"), and

distal external focus ("Focus on hitting the bullseye) were compared. The distal focus condition resulted in significantly higher accuracy scores than did the proximal and internal focus conditions. To examine whether this was a result of increased functional variability, 3D kinematic data were collected by a 12-camera VICON motion capture system, and the uncontrolled manifold analysis (UCM) was used. Shoulder, elbow, and wrist joint angles served as elemental variables whereas the magnitude and angle of ball velocity was calculated as the performance variable. In line with our hypothesis, functional variability was greatest in the distal focus condition as shown by a significant increase in V_{UCM} (performance-stabilizing variance) and significant decrease in V_{ORT} (performance-destabilizing variance) compared to the proximal and internal focus conditions. These findings suggest that a distal external focus on the task goal enhances movement outcomes by optimizing compensatory coordination of body parts.

Determining Fall Risk in Older Adults: A Novel Balance Task With a Cost-Effective, Portable Phone App

Ruth Stout, University of North Carolina at Greensboro; Lauren Higgins, University of North Carolina at Greensboro; Christopher Rhea, University of North Carolina at Greensboro; Louisa Raisbeck, University of North Carolina at Greensboro

Research shows that falls are more common after age 65, which can result in injury, loss of independence, and mortality. Clinical fall assessments are typically not administered until a fall occurs, eliminating intervention. This study aims to identify balance tests that may indicate higher fall risk for older adults; by comparing them with younger adults when performing a novel balance test. A smartphone app was developed to measure the temporal and spatial characteristics of the right leg during a stepping in place task. The test is cost effective, and is easy to administer. It was hypothesized that mean stride time and variability would be less in younger adults but that they would demonstrate greater excursion and height (thigh ROM, mean peak flexion) for each stride. Ninety-nine younger adults (18-30 years) were recruited in a multi-site project, and compared with 19 older adults from 65-90 years (78 ± 6.01) who reported that they had not experienced a fall in the previous 12 months. Participants stepped to a timing cue delivered by the phone app for ten seconds, followed by an additional 60s at that recalled pace while shaking the head, challenging the vestibular system. Three trials were completed, and averaged for each variable. A multi-level model was conducted to compare the effects of group on spatial variables (thigh ROM, mean peak flexion, SD of peak flexion, COV of peak flexion) and temporal variables (mean stride time, SD stride time, COV stride time). There were no group differences for steps. The younger group was coded 0, and the older group 1. A significant effect of group was observed for stride time COV ($\beta = 2.634, p < .001$), thigh ROM ($\beta = 36.298, p < .001$), mean peak flexion ($\beta = 40.32, p < .001$), and COV of peak flexion ($\beta = 7.782, p < .001$). There are group differences captured by the phone suggesting that older adults sacrificed the ROM of the thigh to keep the pace. We conclude that better clearance of the legs in stepping could help mitigate fall risk, and is supported in literature of stair climbing and obstacle clearance. Funding source: NIH/National Institute on Aging Grant 1R15AG053866.

How Similar is Immersive Virtual reality to the Real-world? A Pilot Cross-Over Design on Upper Limb Kinematics

Andrew Strick, University of Tennessee, Knoxville; Logan Markwell, University of Tennessee, Knoxville; Kaileigh Ester, University of Tennessee, Knoxville; Jared Porter, University of Tennessee, Knoxville

Previous research has demonstrated motor learning benefits when using virtual reality (VR) practice environments that simulate replicate real-

world (RW) tasks/contexts have demonstrated motor learning benefits in a RW context. Numerous studies have examined how varying aspects of VR affect motor performance. However, understanding the degree to which the biomechanical fidelity can differ in VR compared to the RW is warranted. The purpose of this pilot study was to determine if upper limb joint kinematics differences exist between VR and RW practice for a dart throwing. Kinematic data were obtained using 12-camera 3D motion capture system (Vicon Nexus Motion Analysis Inc., UK). Two male participants performed 130 dart throws in a single practice session in a cross-over design of 5 conditions: pre-test, RW practice, mid-test, VR practice, post-test. A total of 50 dart throws were performed in each practice phase and 10 dart throws were performed during the pre-test, mid-test, and post-test (the first 9 of which were recorded in each condition). A cross-over design was implemented between the RW and VR practice conditions to minimize possible order effects. Dependent measures taken were minimum and maximum elbow angle, elbow angular velocity, and wrist linear velocity. Data were analyzed using 5 one-way ANOVAs. The results of the ANOVAs indicated that there was a significant effect between conditions for only elbow angular velocity and wrist linear velocity. Post-hoc Scheffe tests revealed statistically significant differences in elbow angular and wrist linear velocity from VR practice compared to all other conditions. Specifically, these results showed elbow angular and wrist linear velocity were significantly lower in VR compared to RW. The results of this study indicate dart throwing practice in immersive VR has significantly different upper limb joint kinematics compared to RW, which could limit the transfer between VR to RW tasks. This finding should be taken under consideration for the implications it may have on transfer of learning from VR to RW.

The Influence of Spectators on NBA Free Throw Shooting Performance

Andrew Strick, University of Tennessee, Knoxville; Logan Markwell, University of Tennessee, Knoxville; Harjiv Singh, University of Nevada, Las Vegas; Jared Porter, University of Tennessee, Knoxville

Previous research demonstrated a significant increase in free throw shooting accuracy as the National Basketball Association (NBA) finished the 2019/2020 season inside the NBA bubble without spectators. Interestingly, the average free throw shooting percentage in the NBA has been 75% for nearly five decades. However, during the 2019/2020 season without spectators, the free throw percentage significantly increased to 79%. Two regular NBA seasons have now been played with spectators present since the 2019/2020 spectator-free NBA bubble season. The current study examined differences in free throw percentage between the 2019/2020 COVID-bubble season played without spectators and the most recent two NBA seasons played with spectators. This study also examined differences in free throw percentages between home and away games to understand if a possible home-field advantage contributed to this increased free throw percentage phenomenon. Chi-square tests of independence were used to test for significant differences in the percentage of free throws made. Analyses revealed free throw shooting percentages during the 2020 and 2021 NBA seasons (with spectators) were significantly lower compared to the spectator-free season. While the free throw shooting percentage increased to 79% directly following the removal of spectators, the free throw shooting percentage decreased back to the average (i.e., ~75%) that has been observed for the last fifty years during the 2020 and 2021 spectator-filled NBA seasons. Moreover, the analyses found no differences in free throw percentages between home and away games. Given that no differences were found between home and away free throw shooting percentages, home-field advantage does not appear to have an influence on the increase in free throw shooting accuracy inside the NBA bubble. Thus, the differences in free throw performance are likely due to factors