

Association for Information Systems AIS Electronic Library (AISeL)

All Sprouts Content

Sprouts

12-7-2010

Changing Models of Healthcare Provision in Britain: How Can ICTs Contribute to This Process

Kathy McGrath

Brunel University, kathy.mcgrath@brunel.ac.uk

Follow this and additional works at: http://aisel.aisnet.org/sprouts_all

Recommended Citation

McGrath, Kathy, "Changing Models of Healthcare Provision in Britain: How Can ICTs Contribute to This Process" (2010). *All Sprouts Content*. 384.

http://aisel.aisnet.org/sprouts_all/384

This material is brought to you by the Sprouts at AIS Electronic Library (AISeL). It has been accepted for inclusion in All Sprouts Content by an authorized administrator of AIS Electronic Library (AISeL). For more information, please contact elibrary@aisnet.org.

Changing Models of Healthcare Provision in Britain: How Can ICTs Contribute to This Process

Kathy McGrath
Brunel University, United Kingdom

Abstract

My research in progress is informed by the view that the implementation and use of ICTs is deeply intertwined with changes in organisational structures and practices. Hence the new coalition government's proposals to reform the NHS will require the introduction of new information systems and modifications to existing programmes. Already, the Health Secretary has announced that the multi-billion pound NHS IT Programme will be dismantled, but at this stage it is unclear what systems will be required to support the new proposals. My research focuses on what these proposals mean for primary care in the UK, addressing general practitioners (GPs) state of readiness, how they plan to reorganise delivery of their services and the information systems that will need to be in place to do so. At present, a consultation process is underway and early indications are that some GP surgeries will amalgamate and purchase off-the-shelf packages available from the US. Even before the new proposals were announced, a number of software vendors were working on these systems in the background in light of the ongoing debate about the future for the National IT Programme.

Keywords: Healthcare modernisation, socio-organisational change, general practitioners, ICT policy

Permanent URL: <http://sprouts.aisnet.org/10-92>

Copyright: [Creative Commons Attribution-Noncommercial-No Derivative Works License](http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc-nd/3.0/)

Reference: McGrath, K. (2010). "Changing Models of Healthcare Provision in Britain: How Can ICTs Contribute to This Process ," Proceedings > Proceedings of IFIP 8.2/Organizations and Society in Information Systems (OASIS) . *Sprouts: Working Papers on Information Systems*, 10(92). <http://sprouts.aisnet.org/10-92>

CHANGING MODELS OF HEALTHCARE PROVISION IN BRITAIN: HOW CAN ICTs CONTRIBUTE TO THIS PROCESS?

Kathy McGrath (Brunel University)

The British National Health Service (NHS) was formed in 1948 with a mission to provide good healthcare to all that was free at the point of delivery and based on clinical need rather than ability to pay. Although charges have since been introduced for some services (such as prescriptions and dentistry), the vast majority continue to be free at the point of use since they are pre-paid from taxation. Balancing its budget has always presented a considerable challenge for the NHS, and over the years a variety of commissioning structures have been implemented in an effort to find an effective and responsive organisational model. Following Griffiths' call (DHSS 1983) for more professional management of the NHS, a limited market economics was introduced in the early 1990s, in which district health authorities purchased the services they required from hospitals, ambulance services, and other health service providers on a contractual basis that supported competitive tendering (DOH 1989). The 'internal market' logic was superseded in 1997 by a system of clinical governance called "integrated care" (DOH 1997), which aimed to encourage more collaboration among health care actors while extending the drive to improve performance. From 2001 onwards there was evidence of a return to the internal market with initiatives that increased private ownership, competition and profit-making within the NHS. The most recent government proposals (DOH 2010) outline another radical reform of the NHS in which about 80 per cent of the NHS budget of over £100 billion will be reallocated from about 150 primary care trusts (overseen by 10 strategic health authorities) to nearly 30,000 general practitioners.

My research in progress is informed by the view that the implementation and use of ICTs is deeply intertwined with changes in organisational structures and practices (Avgerou and McGrath 2007). Hence the new coalition government's proposals to reform the NHS will require the introduction of new information systems and modifications to existing programmes. Already, the Health Secretary has announced that the multi-billion pound NHS IT Programme will be dismantled (Simons 2010), but at this stage it is unclear what systems will be required to support the new proposals. My research focuses on what these proposals mean for primary care in the UK, addressing general practitioners' (GPs) state of readiness, how they plan to reorganise delivery of their services and the information systems that will need to be in place to do so. At present, a consultation process is underway and early indications are that some GP surgeries will amalgamate and purchase off-the-shelf packages available from the US. Even before the new proposals were announced, a number of software vendors were working on these systems in the background in light of the ongoing debate about the future for the National IT Programme (Greenhalgh et al. 2010).

Since the modernisation of healthcare provision is the agenda driving the use of ICT for health management in many Western countries, this work should be of interest to OASIS delegates and provide a basis for collaboration and comparative analyses.

Keywords: Healthcare modernisation, socio-organisational change, general practitioners, ICT policy

REFERENCES

- Avgerou, C. and McGrath, K. (2007). Power, rationality, and the art of living through socio-technical change. *MIS Quarterly*, 31(2), pp. 295-315.
- DHSS. (1983). Report of the NHS Management Inquiry. London.
- DOH. (1989). Working for Patients. HMSO, London.
- DOH. (1997). The New NHS: Modern. Dependable. HMSO, London.
- DOH. (2010). Equity and excellence: Liberating the NHS. The Stationery Office, Norwich,
http://www.dh.gov.uk/en/Publicationsandstatistics/Publications/PublicationsPolicyAndGuidance/DH_117353.
- Greenhalgh, T., Stramer, K., Bratan, T., Byrne, E., Russell, J., Hinder, S. and Potts, H. (2010). The Devil's in the Detail: Final report of the independent evaluation of the Summary Care Record and HealthSpace programmes. University College London, London.
- Simons, M. (2010). Government pulls plug on heart of NHS IT programme. Computerworld UK, <http://www.computerworlduk.com/news/public-sector/3238957/government-pulls-plug-on-heart-of-nhs-it-national-programme/>, Accessed 1 October 2010.

Editors:

Michel Avital, University of Amsterdam
Kevin Crowston, Syracuse University

Advisory Board:

Kalle Lyytinen, Case Western Reserve University
Roger Clarke, Australian National University
Sue Conger, University of Dallas
Marco De Marco, Università Cattolica di Milano
Guy Fitzgerald, Brunel University
Rudy Hirschheim, Louisiana State University
Blake Ives, University of Houston
Sirkka Jarvenpaa, University of Texas at Austin
John King, University of Michigan
Rik Maes, University of Amsterdam
Dan Robey, Georgia State University
Frantz Rowe, University of Nantes
Detmar Straub, Georgia State University
Richard T. Watson, University of Georgia
Ron Weber, Monash University
Kwok Kee Wei, City University of Hong Kong

Sponsors:

Association for Information Systems (AIS)
AIM
itAIS
Addis Ababa University, Ethiopia
American University, USA
Case Western Reserve University, USA
City University of Hong Kong, China
Copenhagen Business School, Denmark
Hanken School of Economics, Finland
Helsinki School of Economics, Finland
Indiana University, USA
Katholieke Universiteit Leuven, Belgium
Lancaster University, UK
Leeds Metropolitan University, UK
National University of Ireland Galway, Ireland
New York University, USA
Pennsylvania State University, USA
Pepperdine University, USA
Syracuse University, USA
University of Amsterdam, Netherlands
University of Dallas, USA
University of Georgia, USA
University of Groningen, Netherlands
University of Limerick, Ireland
University of Oslo, Norway
University of San Francisco, USA
University of Washington, USA
Victoria University of Wellington, New Zealand
Viktoria Institute, Sweden

Editorial Board:

Margunn Aanestad, University of Oslo
Steven Alter, University of San Francisco
Egon Berghout, University of Groningen
Bo-Christer Bjork, Hanken School of Economics
Tony Bryant, Leeds Metropolitan University
Erran Carmel, American University
Kieran Conboy, National U. of Ireland Galway
Jan Damsgaard, Copenhagen Business School
Robert Davison, City University of Hong Kong
Guido Dedene, Katholieke Universiteit Leuven
Alan Dennis, Indiana University
Brian Fitzgerald, University of Limerick
Ole Hanseth, University of Oslo
Ola Henfridsson, Viktoria Institute
Sid Huff, Victoria University of Wellington
Ard Huizing, University of Amsterdam
Lucas Introna, Lancaster University
Panos Ipeirotis, New York University
Robert Mason, University of Washington
John Mooney, Pepperdine University
Steve Sawyer, Pennsylvania State University
Virpi Tuunainen, Helsinki School of Economics
Francesco Virili, Università degli Studi di Cassino

Managing Editor:

Bas Smit, University of Amsterdam

Office:

Sprouts
University of Amsterdam
Roetersstraat 11, Room E 2.74
1018 WB Amsterdam, Netherlands
Email: admin@sprouts.aisnet.org