This is an author-submitted, peer-reviewed version of a manuscript that has been accepted for publication in the European Respiratory Journal, prior to copy-editing, formatting and typesetting. This version of the manuscript may not be duplicated or reproduced without prior permission from the European Respiratory Society. The publisher is not responsible or liable for any errors or omissions in this version of the manuscript or in any version derived from it by any other parties. The final, copy-edited, published article, which is the version of record, is available without a subscription 12 months after the date of issue publication.

Fractional exhaled nitric oxide in the assessment of exercise-induced bronchoconstriction: a multicentre retrospective analysis of UK-based athletes

J Dickinson¹, W Gowers¹, S Sturridge¹, N Williams², P Kippelen³, A Simpson⁴, A Jackson⁵, J Hull⁶ and O Price⁷

- ¹ University of Kent, Kent, United Kingdom
- ² Nottingham Trent University, Nottingham, United Kingdom
- ³ Brunel University, Uxbridge, United Kingdom
- ⁴ University of Hull, Hull, United Kingdom
- ⁵ English Institute of Sport, London, United Kingdom
- ⁶ Royal Brompton Hospital, London, United Kingdom
- ⁷ University of Leeds, Leeds, United Kingdom

Abstract

Background: Exercise-induced bronchoconstriction (EIB) is a condition characterised by temporary lower airway narrowing that occurs in association with physical activity. FeNO is an indirect biomarker of type 2 airway inflammation that has an established role in the assessment of asthma.

Aims: To evaluate the value of FeNO in the assessment of EIB.

Method: Multicentre retrospective analysis. Four hundred and eighty-eight athletes (male: 76%) performed baseline FeNO and spirometry pre-and-post eucapnic voluntary hyperpnoea (EVH). Sensitivity, specificity, positive predictive value (PPV) and negative predictive value (NPV) were calculated for established FeNO thresholds: intermediate (\geq 25ppb) and high (\geq 40 ppb and \geq 50ppb) and evaluated against objective evidence of EIB (\geq 10% fall in FEV₁). The diagnostic accuracy of FeNO was calculated using receiver operating characteristics area under the curve (ROC-AUC).

Results: All athletes had normal resting lung function (>80% FEV₁ pred). Despite this, 41% had a post-EVH fall in FEV₁ consistent with EIB. FeNO values \geq 25ppb, \geq 40ppb and \geq 50ppb were observed in 42%, 23% and 17% of the cohort, respectively. ROC-AUC for FeNO was 65%. Sensitivity, specificity, PPV and NPV are presented in Table 1.

Conclusions: FeNO \geq 40 ppb provides good specificity, i.e., ability to rule-in a diagnosis of EIB. However, due to the poor sensitivity and predictive values, FeNO should not be employed as a replacement for EVH.

Table 1. FeNO sensitivity, specificity, PPV and NPV for the detection of EIB.

	FeNO >25ppb	FeNO >40ppb	FeNO >50ppb
Sensitivity	55%	37%	27%
Specificity	66%	85%	88%
PPV	51%	63%	62%
NPV	70%	68%	66%

Copyright © the authors 2022