

**Online Supplementary Materials (OSM) for “The Coronavirus Anxiety Scale:
Cross-National Measurement Invariance and Convergent Validity Evidence”**

Section A

Descriptive Statistics


Country	Average age	% female	Mean sum score of CAS	N	Cronbach's Alpha
Afghanistan	27.0	.14	.37	251	.84
Albania	20.1	.90	.30	191	.89
Argentina	30.4	.90	.22	99	.77
Armenia	21.5	.91	.22	162	.88
Australia	22.6	.77	.18	334	.87
Bangladesh	22.5	.54	.38	1325	.81
Bosnia & Herzegovina	26.2	.77	.23	62	.87
Brazil	33.1	.75	.29	671	.81
Bulgaria	33.6	.60	.29	428	.87
Chile	24.8	.78	.21	294	.85
Colombia	23.6	.61	.21	415	.87
Costa Rica	38.3	.76	.25	79	.72
Croatia	25.5	.65	.15	613	.77
Cuba	23.7	.53	.29	316	.86
Cyprus	37.0	.62	.14	240	.87
Czech Republic	40.6	.77	.17	205	.89
Dominican Republic	29.4	.88	.22	252	.79
Ecuador	28.4	.68	.39	299	.89
El Salvador	26.9	.58	.30	394	.81
Georgia	25.4	.83	.23	233	.88
Germany	30.9	.78	.19	994	.91
Guatemala	38.6	.81	.22	127	.79
Honduras	25.9	.62	.31	727	.87
India	21.8	.38	.29	280	.88
Indonesia	23.0	.75	.33	242	.80
Iran	30.9	.63	.25	736	.81
Israel	25.7	.51	.19	301	.87
Japan	35.7	.52	.12	913	.85
Kazakhstan	26.2	.75	.23	302	.83
Kosovo	28.2	.64	.31	135	.87
Lebanon	25.6	.69	.22	323	.84
Lithuania	32.0	.82	.15	465	.88
Macedonia	32.1	.82	.25	220	.77
Malaysia	26.6	.79	.21	414	.83

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIALS FOR CORONAVIRUS ANXIETY SCALE

Country	Average age	% female	Mean sum score of CAS	N	Cronbach's Alpha
Mozambique	32.7	.51	.19	49	.84
Nepal	22.5	.73	.25	109	.75
New Zealand	19.5	.82	.13	197	.81
Nigeria	29.3	.45	.11	74	.76
Oman	25.5	.47	.22	236	.80
Pakistan	21.2	.94	.91	346	.78
Peru	24.2	.71	.37	705	.86
Philippines	21.5	.65	.33	522	.82
Poland	27.3	.59	.15	1059	.87
Portugal	46.0	.71	.19	294	.72
Qatar	23.3	.70	.17	82	.88
Romania	23.7	.65	.23	1179	.87
Serbia	22.9	.85	.24	732	.85
Singapore	25.4	.70	.16	365	.89
Slovakia	17.3	.64	.14	332	.73
Slovenia	27.8	.81	.18	103	.88
Syria	23.1	.45	.36	199	.91
Taiwan	26.4	.74	.24	196	.76
Turkey	22.0	.81	.25	431	.78
Uganda	25.4	.66	.33	58	.77
UK	24.4	.81	.20	144	.86
Ukraine	18.8	.73	.25	297	.79
USA	36.5	.83	.34	125	.76
Vietnam	22.9	.67	.28	302	.92
Zambia	22.7	.54	.17	193	.83
Zimbabwe	27.7	.77	.20	142	.82
Total	27.1	.69	.25	21513	.83


Section B

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIALS FOR CORONAVIRUS ANXIETY SCALE



SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIALS FOR CORONAVIRUS ANXIETY SCALE

C19Anx_2




SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIALS FOR CORONAVIRUS ANXIETY SCALE

C19Anx_3



SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIALS FOR CORONAVIRUS ANXIETY SCALE






Figure B1. Histograms of CAS items by country

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIALS FOR CORONAVIRUS ANXIETY SCALE

Response: over the last two weeks	Item #1 dizziness	Item #2 Sleep disturbances	Item #3 tonic immobility	Item #4 Appetite changes	Item #5 Nausea and abdominal distress
1 Not at all	76.3	64.5	83.6	75.6	73.8
2 Rare, less than a day or two	14.8	19.3	9.9	13.9	15.1
3 Several days	5.8	10.2	4.1	6.9	7.0
4 More than 7 days	1.7	2.9	1.4	2.1	2.3
5 Nearly every day over the last 2 weeks	1.2	2.9	0.9	1.4	1.5
No response	0.2	0.1	0.1	0.1	0.2

Table B1. Percent of responses of the five CAS items at the pooled sample

Section C*One-Factor Model Fit Statistics Per Country*

	CFI	RMSEA	χ^2	χ^2 p-value	N
Israel	1.000	0.000	0.34	1.00	301
UK	1.000	0.000	0.51	0.99	143
Costa Rica	1.000	0.000	1.02	0.96	77
Australia	1.000	0.000	1.12	0.95	332
Qatar	1.000	0.000	1.16	0.95	77
Bosnia & Herzegovina	1.000	0.000	1.18	0.95	61
Lebanon	1.000	0.000	1.36	0.93	314
Oman	1.000	0.000	1.44	0.92	227
Singapore	1.000	0.000	1.50	0.91	365
New Zealand	1.000	0.000	1.58	0.90	195
Guatemala	1.000	0.000	1.90	0.86	124
Afghanistan	1.000	0.000	2.00	0.85	230
Pakistan	1.000	0.000	2.14	0.83	328
Kosovo	1.000	0.000	2.14	0.83	127
Nigeria	1.000	0.000	2.17	0.82	72
Ecuador	1.000	0.000	2.18	0.82	295
Chile	1.000	0.000	2.23	0.82	285
Lithuania	1.000	0.000	2.30	0.81	457
Portugal	1.000	0.000	2.39	0.79	286
Slovakia	1.000	0.000	2.41	0.79	332
Kazakhstan	1.000	0.000	2.48	0.78	290
Zambia	1.000	0.000	2.49	0.78	176
Georgia	1.000	0.000	2.65	0.75	226
Brazil	1.000	0.000	2.65	0.75	663
Armenia	1.000	0.000	2.84	0.72	152
Indonesia	1.000	0.000	2.85	0.72	238
Cyprus	1.000	0.000	2.88	0.72	239
Czech Republic*	1.000	0.000	2.91	0.71	203
Poland	1.000	0.000	3.04	0.69	1052
Slovenia	1.000	0.000	3.22	0.67	102
India	1.000	0.000	3.39	0.64	278
Mozambique*	1.000	0.000	3.67	0.60	47
Albania	1.000	0.000	3.92	0.56	184

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIALS FOR CORONAVIRUS ANXIETY SCALE

	CFI	RMSEA	χ^2	χ^2 p-value	N
Nepal	1.000	0.000	4.04	0.54	104
USA	1.000	0.000	4.47	0.48	123
Argentina	1.000	0.000	4.67	0.46	99
Dominican Republic	1.000	0.013	5.19	0.39	243
Zimbabwe	0.998	0.030	5.58	0.35	131
Cuba	1.000	0.020	5.63	0.34	316
Macedonia	0.999	0.031	6.00	0.31	212
Philippines	1.000	0.022	6.23	0.28	518
Serbia	0.999	0.023	6.97	0.22	721
Ukraine	0.997	0.038	7.09	0.21	297
Japan	0.999	0.022	7.12	0.21	912
El Salvador	0.999	0.035	7.34	0.20	388
Bulgaria	0.999	0.037	7.94	0.16	427
Malaysia	0.999	0.040	8.26	0.14	414
Croatia	0.998	0.034	8.42	0.13	601
Syria	0.999	0.061	8.66	0.12	199
Turkey	0.996	0.043	9.04	0.11	429
Peru	0.999	0.034	9.11	0.10	705
Germany	0.999	0.033	10.33	0.07	985
Romania	0.999	0.033	11.34	0.05	1179
Vietnam	0.999	0.072	12.81	0.03	302
Honduras	0.998	0.050	14.05	0.02	727
Iran	0.996	0.052	14.53	0.01	702
Uganda*	0.911	0.200	15.16	0.01	52
Taiwan*	0.990	0.113	17.10	0.00	190
Colombia	0.994	0.083	18.98	0.00	411
Bangladesh	0.994	0.065	31.83	0.00	1270

* The model was problematic by either not converging properly or estimating a negative factor variance.

Section D**Table D1.**

Simulations of the WLSMV Alignment (N = 56) – Pearson Correlations Between the True and Replicated Parameters (Means and Variances)

	Actual N	N = 100	N = 500	N = 1000
Percent of replications with non-positive definite matrix error	72%	88%	0%	0%
Correlations of means (averaged across runs)	.973 (.007)	.943 (.023)	.987 (.003)	.993 (.002)
Correlations of variances (averaged across runs)	.405 (.111)	.258 (.149)	.599 (.079)	.736 (.052)
Correlation of average means with true	.998	.996	.998	.998
Correlation of average variances with true	.923	.085 ^a	.989	.990

Note. Correlation coefficients were computed for all replications, including those with NPD. Dropping replication with NPD revealed the same coefficients within a precision of two decimals. ^a After excluding estimates representing Pakistan, Slovakia, and Turkey, the correlation increased up to .886.

Table D2*Results of the Bayesian Alignment (fixed mode, binary indicators), N= 56*

Parameter	Aligned estimated parameter	R ²	N nonvariant	List of countries with non-invariant parameters
<i>Thresholds</i>				
Item #1	1.002	0.722	18	Afghanistan, Armenia, Bangladesh, Bulgaria, Colombia, Cyprus, Georgia, Germany, Indonesia, Iran, Lebanon, Nepal, Philippines, Poland, Romania, Syria, Ukraine, Zambia
Item #2	0.056	0.759	10	Afghanistan, Brazil, Costa Rica, El Salvador, Honduras, Japan, Malaysia, Philippines, Portugal, USA
Item #3	1.474	0.569	6	Brazil, Cuba, Germany, Malaysia, Poland, Serbia
Item #4	0.674	0.859	6	Brazil, Germany, India, Japan, Malaysia, Ukraine
Item #5	0.516	0.817	9	Colombia, Japan, Kosovo, Malaysia, Philippines, Poland, Romania, Slovakia, Vietnam
<i>Loadings</i>				
Item #1	1.839	0.65	1	Romania
Item #2	2.055	0.122	2	Chile, Syria
Item #3	2.039	0.58	0	
Item #4	2.107	0.64	0	
Item #5	2.42	0.557	1	Kazakhstan

Note. CI95% [-100.4; 169.1]. Posterior Predictive P-Value: 0.317. Percent of non-invariant parameters:

53/(56*10) = 9% (18% of thresholds and 1% of loadings)

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIALS FOR CORONAVIRUS ANXIETY SCALE

Table D3

Results of the Maximum Likelihood Alignment (Fixed Mode, Binary Indicators), N=56

Parameter	Aligned estimated parameter	R ²	Fit contribution (higher = more invariant)	N nonvariant	List of countries with non- invariant parameters
<i>Thresholds</i>					
Item #1	1.32	0.69	-811.7	14	Afghanistan, Bangladesh, Brazil, Bulgaria, Germany, Indonesia, Iran, Lebanon, Malaysia, Philippines, Romania, Syria, Ukraine, Zambia
Item #2	-0.31	0.71	-680.7	1	Philippines
Item #3	2.54	0.28	-808.5	8	Afghanistan, Brazil, Cuba, Germany, Honduras, Poland, Serbia, Slovenia
Item #4	0.87	0.84	-627.9	3	Germany, India, Japan
Item #5	0.63	0.69	-623.6	1	Romania
<i>Loadings</i>					
Item #1	2.42	0.63	-717.3	1	Romania
Item #2	2.68	0.06	-782.2	2	Chile, Syria
Item #3	2.98	0.09	-808.3	0	
Item #4	2.78	0.56	-695.5	0	
Item #5	3.24	0.39	-764.2	1	Kazakhstan

Note. % of non-invariant parameters: $34/(54*10) = 6\%$ (10% of thresholds and 1% of loadings) whereas recommended threshold is 25%.

Table D4

Results of the BSEM Tests

	Posterior Predictive P- Value	95% Confidence Interval for the Difference Between the Observed and the Replicated Chi-Square Values
Configural model (prior variance of loadings and thresholds is set to .10)	0.261	[-92.5 180.0]
Configural model (prior variance of loadings and thresholds is set to .01)	0.167	[-68.5 203.1]

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIALS FOR CORONAVIRUS ANXIETY SCALE




Figure D1.

Comparison of the CAS Latent Means and Variances Estimated with Different Methods

Section E

Invariance of FCV-19S and SWLS-3 scales

Invariance of Fear of COVID-19 (FCV-19S) scale. Before examining the relations between CAS and Fear of FCV-19S scale, the latter also needed to be tested for invariance across countries. It was necessary in order to ensure that the within-country correlations are not biased (requires metric invariance of FCV-19S) and that country-level correlations can be interpreted (requires scalar invariance of FCV-19S).

Sawicki et al. (2022) have tested the FCV-19S scale for invariance and concluded that it had a partial metric invariance and a weak approximate invariance across 43 countries. They emphasized that the structure of the FCV-19S scale was somewhat ambiguous, both one- and two-factor models showed a good fit to the data, and two factors correlated with each other highly. Our preliminary analysis showed similar results. However, the two-factor model (factors labeled Physiological Arousal and Psychological Distress) demonstrated acceptable fit in more countries and produced less NPD in multiple group factor analysis. Therefore, we opted for the two-factor model with four correlated residuals (see Figure E1). In line with the results of country-specific models, four countries were excluded (Dominican Republic, Ecuador, Lebanon, and Nigeria).

The test for exact invariance supported full metric invariance (see Table E1), but not scalar or partial scalar invariance. Yet the subsequent alignment analysis showed that only 11% of parameters were non-invariant, which corresponded to a threshold of maximum of 25%. We conclude that the scale can be used for within-country comparisons, but cautiously for between-country comparisons.

Table E1*Measurement Invariance Tests of the FCV-19S Scale*

	CFI	RMSEA	SRMR	BIC	Δ CFI	Δ RMSEA	Δ SRMR	Δ BIC
Configural	.984	.079	.025	324,822				
Metric	.976	.080	.055	323,241	-.009	.000	.030	-1,581
Partial scalar	.945	.109	.068	323,956	-.031	.029	.013	715
Scalar	.901	.138	.088	325,955	-.044	.029	.020	2,000




Figure E1. Two-Factor FCV-19S Model

Invariance tests of SWLS-3. Following the same strategy, we tested SWLS-3 for invariance. In the course of preliminary analysis several countries were excluded (Dominican Republic, Ecuador, Lebanon, Nigeria, Qatar, Syria). Since it included only three items, the configural model was just-identified and did not provide meaningful fit indices. Metric invariance model demonstrated poor fit to the data in terms of RMSEA (.110) which was higher than the maximum recommended .08. This has rejected metric invariance and partial invariance model was not possible as there are only three items. However, the alignment procedure have found that only 11% of all parameters were non-invariant. It suggests that the model had an approximate invariance as estimated by the alignment.

Table E2*Measurement Invariance Tests Of SWLS-3*

Model	CFI	TLI	RMSEA	SRMR	Δ CFI	Δ TLI	Δ RMSEA	Δ SRMR
Configural	1	1	0	0.000				
Metric	.983	.974	.110	.053	-.017	-.026	.110	.053
Scalar	.914	.934	.175	.090	-.069	-.040	.065	.037

Table E3*Results of the Alignment of SWLS-3*

	Aligned Parameter	R ²	Fit Contribution	N Nonvariant	Non-Invariant Countries
Intercept SWLS_1	5.094	0.527	-594.0	13	Colombia, Croatia, Germany, India, Israel, Kazakhstan, Lithuania, Oman, Portugal, Serbia, Slovakia, Turkey, Ukraine
Intercept SWLS_2	5.291	0.866	-484.5	11	Australia, Chile, Germany, Lithuania, Malaysia, New Zealand, Poland, Serbia, Slovakia, Taiwan, Ukraine
Intercept SWLS_3	5.565	0.881	-457.1	6	Colombia, Croatia, India, Japan, Malaysia, Poland
Loadings SWLS_1	0.94	0.348	-495.0	3	Bangladesh, Oman, Poland
Loadings SWLS_2	0.974	0.573	-470.8	0	
Loadings SWLS_3	1.033	0.685	-442.0	0	

Appendix F**Table F1***The Results of the Alignment Procedure for the Large Multiple Factor Solution**(6% of Parameters were Non-Invariant)*

	Aligned Parameter	R ²	N Nonvariant
CAS			
Threshold of item #1	1.093	0.766	16
Threshold of item #2	0.62	0.828	7
Threshold of item #3	1.39	0.761	12
Threshold of item #4	0.923	0.943	2
Threshold of item #5	0.857	0.891	4
Loadings of item #1	0.793	0.527	0
Loadings of item #2	0.833	0.08	0
Loadings of item #3	0.835	0.419	0
Loadings of item #4	0.812	0.699	0
Loadings of item #5	0.833	0.515	0
FCV-19S			
Intercept of item #1	3.708	0.789	7
Intercept of item #2	3.831	0.818	0
Intercept of item #3	1.22	0.681	1
Intercept of item #4	3.458	0.726	11
Intercept of item #5	3.842	0.755	1
Intercept of item #6	1.1	0.768	1
Intercept of item #7	1.159	0.537	1

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIALS FOR CORONAVIRUS ANXIETY SCALE

	Aligned Parameter	R ²	N Nonvariant
Loadings Psychological Distress			
item #1	0.833	0.634	1
item #2	0.843	0.514	1
item #4	0.914	0.414	1
item #5	0.964	0.545	1
Loadings Physiological Arousal			
item #3	0.773	0.834	1
item #6	0.805	0.863	0
item #7	0.941	0.785	0
SWLS-3			
Intercept of item #1	4.744	0.563	11
Intercept of item #2	4.955	0.818	5
Intercept of item #3	5.256	0.887	2
Loadings of item #1	1.106	0.511	1
Loadings of item #2	1.201	0.512	1
Loadings of item #3	1.303	0.653	0

Table F2*Covariances of CAS Factor with the Other Latent Factors Estimated by the Large Alignment**Model*

Country	FCV-19S (physiological arousal)	FCV-19S (psychological distress)	SWLS-3
Afghanistan	0.49*	0.37*	-0.07
Albania	1.03*	0.80*	-0.15
Argentina	0.60*	0.48*	-0.12
Australia	0.38*	0.49*	-0.12
Bangladesh	0.56*	0.21*	0.07
Brazil	0.64*	0.56*	-0.17*
Bulgaria	0.61*	0.55*	-0.10
Chile	0.63*	0.84*	-0.13
Colombia	0.51*	0.57*	0.00
Croatia	0.33*	0.36*	-0.17*
Cuba	0.48*	0.25*	-0.03
Dominican Republic	0.53*	0.60*	-0.13
Ecuador	0.75*	0.54*	-0.12
El Salvador	0.64*	0.65*	-0.01
Georgia	0.69*	0.67*	-0.13
Germany	0.50*	0.54*	-0.13*
Guatemala	0.56*	0.66*	-0.15
Honduras	0.45*	0.26*	-0.27*
Indonesia	0.49*	0.40*	-0.28*
Iran	0.57*	0.57*	-0.27*
Israel	0.52*	0.61*	-0.39*
Japan	0.59*	0.43*	-0.21*
Kazakhstan	0.40*	0.38*	-0.10
Kosovo	0.63*	0.58*	-0.19
Lebanon	0.38*	0.34*	-0.14
Lithuania	0.54*	0.55*	-0.09
Macedonia	0.72*	0.57*	0.03
Nepal	0.24*	0.19	-0.06
New Zealand	0.47*	0.44*	0.00
Nigeria	0.44	0.39*	0.01
Oman	0.34*	0.43*	-0.17
Pakistan	-0.02	-0.11	-0.11
Peru	0.50*	0.34*	-0.14*
Philippines	0.44*	0.28*	-0.08

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIALS FOR CORONAVIRUS ANXIETY SCALE

Country	FCV-19S (physiological arousal)	FCV-19S (psychological distress)	SWLS-3
Poland	0.55*	0.71*	0.00
Portugal	0.53*	0.56*	-0.18*
Romania	0.61*	0.70*	-0.08
Serbia	0.47*	0.48*	-0.18*
Singapore	0.37*	0.30*	-0.07
Slovakia	0.37*	0.42*	-0.02
Syria	0.58*	0.47*	-0.03
Turkey	0.53*	0.47*	-0.20*
UK	0.55*	0.66*	-0.22
Ukraine	0.46*	0.51*	-0.08
USA	0.22*	0.24	-0.53*
Vietnam	0.65*	0.50*	-0.12
Zambia	0.58*	0.48*	-0.10
Zimbabwe	0.73*	0.42*	-0.30
<i>Average</i>	.52	.48	-.13
<i>Correlation of means</i>	.47*	.17	.05

Table F3

Correlations of Factor Scores Predicted by Alignment (FCV-19S and SWLS-3) and Partial Scalar Invariance Model (CAS)

Country	FCV-19S (physiological arousal)	FCV-19S (psychological distress)	SWLS-3
India	0.65*	0.63*	0.10
Chile	0.58*	0.57*	-0.10
Costa Rica	0.56*	0.59*	-0.18
Poland	0.55*	0.57*	0.00
Guatemala	0.54*	0.55*	-0.11
Macedonia	0.53*	0.59*	0.01
Cyprus	0.52*	0.53*	-0.11
Albania	0.52*	0.57*	-0.12
Germany	0.51*	0.56*	-0.11*
Romania	0.51*	0.51*	-0.07
Portugal	0.51*	0.51*	-0.16*
Israel	0.51*	0.51*	-0.25*
Kosovo	0.51*	0.55*	-0.17
UK	0.51*	0.51*	-0.12
Brazil	0.50*	0.53*	-0.14*
Serbia	0.49*	0.50*	-0.13*
El Salvador	0.49*	0.51*	-0.02
Colombia	0.46*	0.43*	-0.02
Argentina	0.46*	0.51*	-0.06
Georgia	0.46*	0.53*	-0.06
Bulgaria	0.45*	0.45*	-0.11*
Iran	0.45*	0.45*	-0.18*
Armenia	0.44*	0.43*	-0.14
Ukraine	0.43*	0.44*	-0.04
Bosnia & Herzegovina	0.43*	0.41*	-0.24
Lithuania	0.42*	0.44*	-0.06
Slovakia	0.41*	0.42*	-0.04
Australia	0.39*	0.36*	-0.08
Indonesia	0.39*	0.41*	-0.22*
Vietnam	0.38*	0.40*	-0.08
Afghanistan	0.37*	0.45*	-0.03

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIALS FOR CORONAVIRUS ANXIETY SCALE

Japan	0.36*	0.46*	-0.12*
Zimbabwe	0.36*	0.49*	-0.19
Kazakhstan	0.36*	0.37*	-0.10
Croatia	0.36*	0.37*	-0.13*
New Zealand	0.35*	0.39*	-0.02
Slovenia	0.35*	0.34*	0.01
Turkey	0.35*	0.39*	-0.15*
Syria	0.34*	0.38*	
Zambia	0.33*	0.36*	-0.02
Oman	0.33*	0.34*	-0.14
Malaysia	0.32*	0.40*	-0.17*
Bangladesh	0.31*	0.42*	0.06
Peru	0.28*	0.32*	-0.13*
Philippines	0.28*	0.30*	-0.06
Singapore	0.27*	0.34*	-0.05
Qatar	0.25*	0.26*	
Honduras	0.23*	0.27*	-0.17*
Nepal	0.23*	0.26*	-0.10
Cuba	0.21*	0.29*	-0.01
USA	0.20*	0.20*	-0.41*
Pakistan	-0.09	-0.07	-0.10

Table F4*Correlations Between Factors in a Large Multiple Group CFA Model*

Country	FCV-19S (physiological arousal)	FCV-19S (psychological distress)	SWLS-3
Afghanistan	0.55*	0.42*	-0.08
Albania	0.65*	0.61*	-0.13
Argentina	0.61*	0.51*	-0.22
Armenia	0.53*	0.51*	-0.22
Australia	0.41*	0.47*	-0.10
Bangladesh	0.54*	0.28*	0.08
Bosnia & Herzegovina	0.52*	0.54*	-0.36
Brazil	0.64*	0.57*	-0.16*
Bulgaria	0.55*	0.50*	-0.12
Chile	0.68*	0.75*	-0.13
Colombia	0.53*	0.57*	0.00
Costa Rica	0.74*	0.64*	-0.23
Croatia	0.45*	0.45*	-0.18*
Cuba	0.41*	0.20*	-0.03
Dominican Republic	0.55*	0.54*	-0.11
Ecuador	0.61*	0.47*	-0.11
El Salvador	0.60*	0.60*	-0.01
Georgia	0.63*	0.58*	-0.10
Germany	0.61*	0.60*	-0.14*
Guatemala	0.65*	0.68*	-0.16
Honduras	0.35*	0.20*	-0.20*
Indonesia	0.53*	0.46*	-0.26*
Iran	0.53*	0.53*	-0.23*
Israel	0.58*	0.61*	-0.35*
Japan	0.56*	0.44*	-0.15*
Kazakhstan	0.45*	0.46*	-0.11
Kosovo	0.61*	0.57*	-0.21
Lebanon	0.44*	0.37*	-0.12
Lithuania	0.56*	0.55*	-0.09
Macedonia	0.68*	0.62*	0.03
Malaysia	0.49*	0.37*	-0.20*
Nepal	0.32*	0.24	-0.08
New Zealand	0.50*	0.44*	0.00

SUPPLEMENTARY MATERIALS FOR CORONAVIRUS ANXIETY SCALE

Nigeria	0.41*	0.36*	0.00
Oman	0.46*	0.42*	-0.16
Pakistan	-0.03	-0.14	-0.14
Peru	0.38*	0.29*	-0.15*
Philippines	0.37*	0.31*	-0.07
Poland	0.64*	0.71*	-0.01
Portugal	0.65*	0.63*	-0.20*
Romania	0.60*	0.61*	-0.09
Serbia	0.59*	0.62*	-0.18*
Singapore	0.43*	0.33*	-0.06
Slovakia	0.55*	0.59*	-0.02
Slovenia	0.40*	0.57*	0.07
Syria	0.46*	0.41*	-0.03
Turkey	0.47*	0.42*	-0.18*
UK	0.58*	0.68*	-0.17
Ukraine	0.54*	0.50*	-0.09
Vietnam	0.52*	0.48*	-0.11
Zambia	0.51*	0.46*	-0.09
Zimbabwe	0.73*	0.43*	-0.30
<i>Average correlations</i>	<i>.54</i>	<i>.49</i>	<i>-.13</i>

Note. Fit statistics for the model are following – CFI/TLI .973/.968; RMSEA = .035; SRMR .035; $\chi^2=6868.3$ (df = 4620).