Supplemental table 5 Quality appraisal of cohort studies examining prognostic factors for pain

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| Study | Were the two groups similar and recruited from the same population? | Were the exposures measured similarly to assign people to both exposed and unexposed groups? | Were confounding factors identified? | Were strategies to deal with confounding factors stated? | Were the groups/participants free of the outcome at the start of the study (or at the moment of exposure)? | Were the outcomes measured in a valid and reliable way? | Was the follow up time reported and sufficient to be long enough for outcomes to occur? | Was follow up complete, and if not, were the reasons to loss to follow up described and explored? | Were strategies to address incomplete follow up utilized? | Was appropriate statistical analysis used? |
| Boyer et al.55 | yes | yes | yes | yes | unclear | unclear | yes | no | no | yes |
| duToit et al.26 | yes | yes | no | no | no | unclear | unclear | yes | no | no |
| Jensen et al.57 | yes | yes | no | no | no | unclear | yes | yes | no | no |
| Lundkvist and Westbom56 | yes | yes | no | no | yes | unclear | yes | yes | no | yes |
| Malone and Vogtle49 | yes | yes | no | no | no | unclear | no | yes | no | yes |
| Opheim et al.31 | yes | yes | no | no | yes | unclear | yes | no | no | yes |