

Multifactorial Impacts on Early Breast Carcinogenesis-Assessing the Combined Effects of Mixtures of Endocrine Disrupting Chemicals and Fatty Acids.

A Thesis Submitted for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy

by

Gideon Kweku Enimah

College of Health, Medicine, and Life Sciences Department of Life Sciences Division of Biosciences

December 2024

Declaration

I hereby declare that I carried out all the research presented in this thesis, except where clearly stated otherwise within the text. This original work has not been submitted anywhere for any other academic degree.

Gideon Kweku Enimah

I dedicate this thesis to my entire family

Abstract

The percentage of breast cancer attributed to genetic factors is estimated to be between 5-10%, suggesting that a large proportion of breast cancer cases can be attributed to external factors. There has been extensive research on external factors such as obesity, alcohol, smoking, physical activity, diet and environmental chemicals and their role in breast cancer development. However, the evidence of environmental chemicals such as Endocrine Disrupting Chemicals (EDCS) on breast cancer initiation has been inconclusive because they have been studied as single compounds at concentrations not reflective of tissue concentrations. This phenomenon is also applicable to other risk factors, such as diet, suggesting that several multiple risk factors interact to initiate breast carcinogenesis.

Using a non-tumorigenic cell line, MCF-12A, in// a 3D model that recapitulates human mammary structure, mixtures of thirteen EDCs and four fatty acids were tested at tissue concentrations for their effect on breast carcinogenesis. The results showed that the mixtures disrupted acini formation and affected genes and pathways involved in carcinogenesis, such as cell proliferation, migration and apoptosis. There were increases in the sizes of acini and decreases in circularity, which are markers of neoplastic transformation. Changes to gene expression and pathways involved in breast cancer were also found when BRCA1-silenced MCF-12A cells were exposed to mixtures of EDCs and fatty acids, suggesting a possible increase in absolute risk for people with a familial mutation in the BRCA1 gene. The ability of 3D models to appropriately model processes involved in normal cellular functions such as proliferation and glycolysis was also demonstrated through a meta-analysis of published data.

Ш

Overall, we show that exposure to mixtures of EDC and fatty acids can increase induce genes and pathways that can lead to breast carcinogenesis. We also demonstrated the ability of EDCs to induce the expression of carcinogenic genes in BRCA1 silenced cells, suggesting a possible increase in breast cancer risk.

Table of Contents

Declaration	I
Abstract	III
Table of Contents	V
List of Table	IX
List of Figures	XI
Abbreviations	XIII
Acknowledgements	XVIII
Chapter One: Multifactorial Impact on Early Breast Carcinogenesis	1
1.1 Development of Human Breast	2
1.2 Breast Cancer Epidemiology	4
1.3 Breast Cancer Subtypes	5
1.4 Breast Cancer Initiation and Progression	7
1.4 Breast Cancer Risk Factors	9
1.4.1 Genetic Risk Factors 1.4.1.3 Mammographic Density	10
1.4.2 Lifestyle Risk Factors	13
1.4.2.2 Alcohol Consumption	
1.4.2.2 UDESITY	14
1.4.2.5 Diet	14
1.4.2.5 Smoking	
1.4.2.7 Exposure to Environmental Chemicals	
1.5 Hormonal Carcinogenesis	
1.6 Endocrine Disruptors and Breast Carcinogenesis	23
1.6.1 Bisphenol A	25
1.6.2 Bisphenol A and Breast Cancer	27
1.6.5 <i>p</i> , <i>p</i> -DDC	
1.6.5 Musks	
1.6.6 3-Benzylidin Camphor	
1.6.7 Benzophenones	
1.6.8 BDE 100	
1.7 Fatty Acids and Breast Carcinogenesis	
1.7.1 Fatty Acids	
1.7.1.1 Palmitic Acid	
1.7.1.2 Stearic Acid	
1.7.1.3 Elaidic Acid	45
1.7.1.4 Linoleic Acid	46
1.8 Mixture Effect of Chemicals	

1.8.1 Models For Measuring Mixture Effects	49
1.9 Multifactorial effects on breast cancer	51
1.10 In vitro Models for Studying Breast Cancer	53
1.10.1 Two-dimensional Models	53
1.10.2 Three-Dimensional Models	54
1.11 Aims and Objectives	55
Chapter Two: Validation of the presence of estrogen receptor and fatty acid upta	ke of
MCF-12A non-tumorigenic cell line	57
2.1 Introduction	
2.1.1 MCF12A Cell line	
2.2 Validation of the presence of the estrogen receptors in the MCF-12A cell line	60
2.2.1 Genes of Interest	60
2.2.2 Estrogen Receptors (ER $lpha$ / ER eta)	61
2.2.3 G protein-protein estrogen receptor 30 (GPER)	63
2.2.4 Breast cancer susceptibility gene 1	64
2.2.5 Cyclin D1	66
2.2.6 Trefoil family factor 1 (TFF1)	67
2.3 Validation of fatty acid Untake by the MCF-12A Cell line	68
2.3.1 Genes Of Interest	
2.3.2 Aldo-keto reductase 1C1 (AKR1C1)	
2.3.3 Cluster of Differentiation 36 (CD36)	70
2.3.4 Sterol Regulatory Element-Binding Prottein-1c (SREBP-1c)	71
2.3.5 Hormone Sensitive Lipase (LIPE)	72
2.3.6 Fatty Acid Synthase (FASN)	72
2.3.7 Chapter Scope	74
2.4 Methodology	75
2.4.1 Routine cell culture	75
2.4.2 Chemical exposure (BPA)	75
2.4.3 Fatty Acid Mixture	76
2.4.4 Chemical Exposure (Fatty Acids)	76
2.4.5 RNA Extraction	77
2.4.6 Quantitative Real-time PCR (qPCR)	77
2.4.7 Primers for the Presence of Estrogen Receptor	
2.4.8 Primers for the Uptake of Fatty Acids	
2.4.9 Relative Gene Expression Statistical Analysis	82
2.5 RESULTS	
2.5.1 MCF-12A cell line expressed ESR1, ESR2 and GPER1	84
2.5.2 BPA exposure significantly down-regulated ESR1, ESR2 and GPER1	86
2.5.3 BPA exposure induced significant changes in gene expression in CCND1, BRCA1 and TFF.	188
2.5.4 Fatty Acid resulted in significant up-regulation of FASN, LIPE, SREBP-1c, AKR1C1 and CD	3691
2.6 Discussion	92
Chapter Three: Effect of Mixture of Endocrine Disruptors and Eatty Acids on MCE	-124 in 3-
Dimensional Models	
2.1 Introduction	07
3.1.1 Three-Dimensional Breast Cancer Cultures	/د
3.1.2 Cell Proliferation	
3.1.2 centromeration	101
3.1.4 Loss of polarity	106
3.1.5 Chapter Scope	

3.2.1 Chemical Handling 100 3.2.2 Routine Cell Culture 101 3.2.3 Three-dimensional Matrigel Cultures 111 3.2.4 Immunocytochemistry 111 3.2.5 Morphological Analysis 114 3.2.6 RNA Extraction for RNA Sequencing 115 3.2.7 RNA Sequencing (RNA-seq) 116 3.2.8 RNA-Seq Data Processing 116 3.3 RESULTS 117 3.3.1 Exposure of MCF-12A cells to mixtures of EDCs and fatty acids individually and in combination induced morphological changes of acini. 117 3.3.1 Exposure of TOp 50 Differentially Expressed Genes in Different Treatments Identified Five Clusters 127 3.3.4 Expression of Top Differentially Expressed Genes across the Treatment. 127 3.3.5 Functional Enrichment of the Top 100 Differentially Expressed Genes (DEGS) 127 3.3.6 Exposures to Treatments Causes the Of Expression Different Biomarkers 133 3.4 DISCUSSION 134 hapter Four: The Effect of Mixtures of Endocrine Disruptors and Fatty Acids on BRCA1- ilenced MCF-12A Cells 144 4.1 McAnainsm of BRCA1-Associated Tumourigenesis 144 4.1.2 RNAi and sitNA. 144 4.2 Right and sitNA 144 4.2 Right and sitink	3.2 Methodology	108
3.2.2 Routine Cell Culture. 100 3.2.3 Three-dimensional Matrigel Cultures. 111 3.2.4 Immunocytochemistry 112 3.2.5 Morphological Analysis 114 3.2.6 RNA Sequencing (RNA-seq) 116 3.2.7 RNA Sequencing (RNA-seq) 111 3.2.8 RNA-Seq Data Processing 111 3.3.1 Exposure of MCF-12A cells to mixtures of EDCs and fatty acids individually and in combination 111 3.3.1 Exposure of MCF-12A cells to mixtures of EDCs and fatty acids individually and in combination 111 3.3.2 Uniform Manifold Approximation and Projection of Geneset Signatures Shows Contrast Between 122 3.3.4 Expression of Top Differentially Expressed Genes across the Treatments Identified Five Clusters 123 3.3.4 Expression of Top Differentially Expressed Genes across the Treatment. 122 3.3.4 Expression of Top Differentially Expressed Genes across the Treatment. 123 3.3.5 Functional Enrichment of the Top 100 Differentially Expressed Genes (DECS) 122 3.4 DiSCUSSION 134 hapter Four: The Effect of Mixtures of Endocrine Disruptors and Fatty Acids on BRCA1- lienced MCF-12A Cells. 144 4.11 Mechanisms of BRCA1-Associated Tumourigenesis 144 4.12 RNAi and silNA. 144 <td>3.2.1 Chemical Handling</td> <td>108</td>	3.2.1 Chemical Handling	108
3.2.3 Three-dimensional Matrigel Cultures. 11 3.2.4 Immunocytochemistry 11 3.2.5 Morphological Analysis. 11 3.2.5 Morphological Analysis. 11 3.2.5 RNA Extraction for RNA Sequencing (MAN-seq) 111 3.2.6 RNA Extraction for RNA Sequencing (MAN-seq) 111 3.3 RESULTS 111 3.3.1 Exposure of MCF-12A cells to mixtures of EDCs and fatty acids individually and in combination induced morphological changes of acini. 111 3.3.1 Exposure of MCF-12A cells to mixtures of EDCs and fatty acids individually and in combination induced morphological changes of acini. 112 3.3.3 Heat Map of Top 50 Differentially Expressed Genes In Different Treatments Identified Five Clusters 122 3.3.4 Expression of Top Differentially Expressed Genes across the Treatment. 122 3.3.5 Functional Enrichment of the Top 100 Differentially Expressed Genes (DECS) 123 3.4 DISCUSSION 133 4 DISCUSSION 133 4 A DISCUSSION 134 4 A 11 Introduction 144 4 1.1 Mechanisms of BRCA1 -Associated Tumourigenesis 144 4 1.2 RNA1 and SiRNA 144 4 2.1 Introduction 144 4 2.1 Introduction 144 <t< td=""><td>3.2.2 Routine Cell Culture</td><td></td></t<>	3.2.2 Routine Cell Culture	
 3.2.4 Immunocytochemistry 11 3.2.5 Morphological Analysis 11 3.2.6 RNA Extraction for RNA Sequencing 11 3.2.7 RNA Sequencing (RNA-seq) 11 3.3.8 RESULTS 11 3.3.1 Exposure of MCF-12A cells to mixtures of EDCs and fatty acids individually and in combination induced morphological changes of acini. 11 3.3.1 Exposure of MCF-12A cells to mixtures of EDCs and fatty acids individually and in combination induced morphological changes of acini. 12 3.3.3 Heat Map of Top 5D Differentially Expressed Genes In Different Treatments Identified Five Clusters 3.3.4 Heat Map of Top 5D Differentially Expressed Genes across the Treatment. 12 3.3.5 Functional Enrichment of the Top 100 Differentially Expressed Genes (DEGS) 23 3.4 DisCUSSION 13 4 Aptor The Effect of Mixtures of Endocrine Disruptors and Fatty Acids on BRCA1- 14 4.1 Introduction 4.1 Mechanisms of BRCA1-Associated Tumourigenesis 4.1 A Chapter Science 4.2 Real-Time PCR 4.3 A PisCUST 4.4 Analysis showed Down-Regulation of BRCA1 After Silencing MCF12A Cells 4.3 Inform Analysis showed Down-Regulation BRCA1 After Silencing of BRCA1 in MCF12A Cells 4.3 Inform Analysis of DIfferential Processing 4.3 A Piscust Blocking and antibody incubation 4.3 A Analysis of NAA-Sequencing 4.3 A Piscust Blocking and antibody incubation 4.3 A Piscust Blocking and antibody incubation 4.3 A Piscust Blocking and antibody incubation 4.3 A Nalysis of NAA-Sequencing 4.3 A Piscust Blocking and antibody incubation of BRCA1 After Silencing BRCA1 in MCF12A Cells 4.3 A Nalysis of NAA-Sequencing Data Showed A Down-regulation of BRCA1 in MCF12A Cells <li< td=""><td>3.2.3 Three-dimensional Matrigel Cultures</td><td></td></li<>	3.2.3 Three-dimensional Matrigel Cultures	
3.2.5 Morphological Analysis 11 3.2.6 RNA Extraction for RNA Sequencing 11 3.2.7 RNA Sequencing (RNA-seq) 11 3.2.8 RNA-Seq Data Processing 11 3.3.1 Exposure of MCF-12A cells to mixtures of EDCs and fatty acids individually and in combination induced morphological changes of acini. 11 3.3.1 Exposure of MCF-12A cells to mixtures of EDCs and fatty acids individually and in combination induced morphological changes of acini. 11 3.3.2 Uniform Manifold Approximation and Projection of Geneset Signatures Shows Contrast Between Samples. 12 3.3.4 Expression of Top Differentially Expressed Genes in Different Treatments Identified Five Clusters 2.3.5 Functional Enrichment of the Top 100 Differentially Expressed Genes (DEGS). 12 3.3.5 Functional Enrichment of the Top 100 Differentially Expressed Genes (DEGS). 12 3.3.6 Exposures to Treatments Causes the Of Expression Different Biomarkers. 13 3.4 DISCUSSION . 13 14 4.1.1 Mechanisms of BRCA1-Associated Tumourigenesis . 14 4.1.2 RNAi and silnA . 14 4.1.3 Effects of multifactorial risk factors . 14 4.1.4 Chapter Scope . 14 4.2 Methodology . 14 4.2 Methodology . 14 4.2.3 RINA Transfection 15	3.2.4 Immunocytochemistry	
3.2.6 RNA Extraction for RNA Sequencing 11 3.2.7 RNA Sequencing (RNA-seq) 11 3.2.8 RNA-Seq Data Processing 11 3.3 RESULTS 11 3.3 RESULTS 11 3.3.1 Exposure of MCF-12A cells to mixtures of EDCs and fatty acids individually and in combination induced morphological changes of acini. 11 3.3.2 Uniform Manifold Approximation and Projection of Geneset Signatures Shows Contrast Between Samples. 12 3.3.3 Heat Map of Top 50 Differentially Expressed Genes in Different Treatments Identified Five Clusters 12 3.3.4 Heat Map of Top 50 Differentially Expressed Genes across the Treatment. 12 3.3.5 Functional Enrichment of the Top 100 Differentially Expressed Genes (DEGS) 12 3.4 DISCUSSION 13 hapter Four: The Effect of Mixtures of Endocrine Disruptors and Fatty Acids on BRCA1-lenced MCF-12A Cells. 14 4.1 Introduction 14 4.1 Rhochanisms of BRCA1-Associated Tumourigenesis 14 4.1.3 Effects of multifactorial risk factors 14 4.1.4 Rhai and siRNA. 14 4.1.3 Effects of multifactorial risk factors 14 4.2 Real-Time PCR. 15 4.2.4 Real-Time PCR. 15 4.2.4 Real-Time PCR. 15 </td <td>3.2.5 Morphological Analysis</td> <td></td>	3.2.5 Morphological Analysis	
3.2.7 RNA Sequencing (RNA-seq) 111 3.2.8 RNA-Seq Data Processing 111 3.3 RESULTS 111 3.3.1 Exposure of MCF-12A cells to mixtures of EDCs and fatty acids individually and in combination induced morphological changes of acini. 111 3.3.1 Exposure of MCF-12A cells to mixtures of EDCs and fatty acids individually and in combination induced morphological changes of acini. 111 3.3.3 Heat Map of Top 50 Differentially Expressed Genes to Different Treatments Identified Five Clusters 12 3.3.4 Expression of Top Differentially Expressed Genes across the Treatment. 12 3.3.5 Eurotional Enrichment of the Top 100 Differentially Expressed Genes (DEGS). 12 3.4 DISCUSSION 13 hapter Four: The Effect of Mixtures of Endocrine Disruptors and Fatty Acids on BRCA1- ilenced MCF-12A Cells. 14 4.1 Introduction 14 4.1.2 RNAi and siRNA 14 4.1.3 Effects of multifactorial risk factors 14 4.1.4 Chapter Scope 14 4.1.2 RNAi and siRNA 14 4.2.2 Real-Time PCR 14 </td <td>3.2.6 RNA Extraction for RNA Sequencing</td> <td>11</td>	3.2.6 RNA Extraction for RNA Sequencing	11
3.2.8 RNA-Seq Data Processing 111 3.3 RESULTS 111 3.3.1 Exposure of MCF-12A cells to mixtures of EDCs and fatty acids individually and in combination induced morphological changes of acini. 111 3.3.2 Uniform Manifold Approximation and Projection of Geneset Signatures Shows Contrast Between Samples. 12 3.3.3 Heat Map of Top 50 Differentially Expressed Genes across the Treatments Identified Five Clusters 12 3.3.4 Expression of Top Differentially Expressed Genes across the Treatment. 12 3.3.5 Functional Enrichment of the Top 100 Differentially Expressed Genes (DEGS). 12 3.3.6 Exposures to Treatments Causes the Of Expression Different Biomarkers 13 3.4 DISCUSSION 13 3.4 DisCUSSION 14 4.1 Introduction 14 4.1.1 Mechanisms of BRCA1 -Associated Tumourigenesis 14 4.1.2 RNAi and siRNA 14 4.1.3 Effects of multifactorial risk factors 14 4.2 Rethodology 14 4.2.1 Retify Provessing 15 4.2.3 Chemical Handling for RNA Sequencing 15 4.2.4 Chapters Scope 15 4.3 Effects of Multifactorial risk factors 15 4.2.4 Real-Time PCR 15	3.2.7 RNA Sequencing (RNA-seq)	11
3.3 RESULTS 111 3.3.1 Exposure of MCF-12A cells to mixtures of EDCs and fatty acids individually and in combination 111 3.3.2 Uniform Manifold Approximation and Projection of Geneset Signatures Shows Contrast Between 121 3.3.3 Heat Map of Top 50 Differentially Expressed Genes In Different Treatments Identified Five Clusters 122 3.3.4 Expression of Top Differentially Expressed Genes across the Treatment. 122 3.3.4 Expression of Top Differentially Expressed Genes across the Treatment. 122 3.3.5 Functional Enrichment of the Top 100 DifferentIally Expressed Genes (DEGS) 122 3.3.6 Exposures to Treatments Causes the Of Expression Different Biomarkers 133 3.4 DISCUSSION 134 hapter Four: The Effect of Mixtures of Endocrine Disruptors and Fatty Acids on BRCA1- lenced MCF-12A Cells 144 4.1 Introduction 144 4.1.2 RNA and siRNA 144 4.1.3 Effects of multifactorial risk factors 144 4.1.4 Chapter Scope 144 4.2 Methodology 144 4.2 At Hodology 144 4.2 At Western Blot 155 4.2.3 Chenical Handling for RNA Sequencing 155 4.2.4 Western Blot 155 4.2.5 Proto	3.2.8 RNA-Seq Data Processing	116
 3.1 Exposure of MCF-12A cells to mixtures of EDCs and fatty acids individually and in combination induced morphological changes of acini. 1.11 3.2 Uniform Manifold Approximation and Projection of Geneset Signatures Shows Contrast Between Samples. 1.2 3.3.3 Heat Map of Top 50 Differentially Expressed Genes In Different Treatments Identified Five Clusters 1.2 3.3.4 Expression of Top Differentially Expressed Genes across the Treatment. 1.2 3.4 Expression of Top Differentially Expressed Genes across the Treatment. 1.2 3.5 Functional Enrichment of the Top 100 DifferentIally Expressed Genes (DEGS). 1.3 3.4 DISCUSSION 1.4 1.4 1.4 DisCUSSION 1.4 4.1 Mechanisms of BRCA1-Associated Tumourigenesis 4.1 And and siRNA. 4.1 A Chapter Scope. 4.2 Rethodology 4.2 Rethodology 4.2 Rethodology 4.2 Rethodology 1.5 4.2.4 Nestern Blot. 4.2 Rethodology 1.5 4.2.5 Protein Gel Electrophoresis. 4.2 RNA Sequencing 1.5 4.2.6 RNA Sequencing 1.5 4.2.7 Membrane blocking and antibody incubation 1.5 4.3.8 RNA Sequencing 1.5 4.3.1 qPCR Analysis showed Down-Regulation of BRCA1 After Silencing BRCA1 in SIRNA treated samples 1.5 4.3.4 Uniform Manifold Approximation and Projection (UMAP) of Geneset Signature Showed a Clear Contrast between Untreated sample and BRCA1 Silenced samples 1.6 4.3.4 Uniform Manifold Approximation and Projection (UMAP) of Geneset Signature Showed a Clear Contrast between Untreated samples 1.6 4.3.5 Heat Map of Top 50 Differentially Expressed Genes (DEGs) in Different Treatments Identifies Five Clusters 1.6 4.3.	3.3 RESULTS	117
induced morphological changes of acini	3.3.1 Exposure of MCF-12A cells to mixtures of EDCs and fatty acids individually and in com	bination
3.3.2 Uniform Manifold Approximation and Projection of Geneset Signatures Shows Contrast Between 12 3.3.3 Heat Map of Top 50 Differentially Expressed Genes In Different Treatments Identified Five Clusters 12 3.3.4 Expression of Top Differentially Expressed Genes across the Treatment 12 3.3.5 Functional Enrichment of the Top 100 Differentially Expressed Genes (DEGS) 12 3.3.6 Exposures to Treatments Causes the Of Expression Different Biomarkers 13 3.4 DISCUSSION 13 hapter Four: The Effect of Mixtures of Endocrine Disruptors and Fatty Acids on BRCA1- 1enced MCF-12A Cells 14 4.1 Introduction 14 4.1.1 Mechanisms of BRCA1- Associated Tumourigenesis 14 4.1.2 RNA 14 4.1.3 Chechology 144 4.2 Rethodology 144 4.2 Real- Time PCR 15 4.2.3 Chemical Handling for RNA Sequencing 15 4.2.4 Western Blot 15 4.2.5 RNA Sequencing 15 4.2.6 Biotting and Transfer 15 4.3.6 Hornic Gel Electrophoresis 15 4.2.6 Biotting and Transfer 15 4.3.1 qPCR Analysis showed Down-Regulation MBCA1 After Silencing BRCA1 in MCF12A Cells <t< td=""><td>induced morphological changes of acini.</td><td></td></t<>	induced morphological changes of acini.	
Samples. 122 3.3.3 Heat Map of Top 50 Differentially Expressed Genes In Different Treatments Identified Five Clusters 124 3.3.4 Expression of Top Differentially Expressed Genes across the Treatment. 122 3.3.5 Functional Enrichment of the Top 100 Differentially Expressed Genes (DEGS) 122 3.3.6 Exposures to Treatments Causes the Of Expression Different Biomarkers 133 3.4 DISCUSSION 134 hapter Four: The Effect of Mixtures of Endocrine Disruptors and Fatty Acids on BRCA1- ilenced MCF-12A Cells 144 4.1 Introduction 144 4.1.1 Mechanisms of BRCA1-Associated Tumourigenesis 144 4.1.2 RNAi and siRNA 144 4.1.3 Effects of multifactorial risk factors 144 4.1.4 Chapter Scope 144 4.2.1 Real-Time PCR 156 4.2.2 Real-Time PCR 157 4.2.4 Wetstern Blot 155 4.2.5 Reption Gel Electrophoresis 155 4.2.6 Blotting and Transfer 156 4.2.7 Membrane blocking and antibody incubation 157 4.2.8 Result S. 156 4.2.9 RNA-seq Data Processing 156 4.3.1 qPCR Analysis showed Down-Regulation MECA1 After Silencing MECA1 In MCF12	3.3.2 Uniform Manifold Approximation and Projection of Geneset Signatures Shows Contra	st Between
3.3.3 Heat Map of Top 50 Differentially Expressed Genes In Different Treatments Identified Five Clusters 3.3.4 Expression of Top Differentially Expressed Genes across the Treatment 12 3.3.5 Functional Enrichment of the Top 100 Differentially Expressed Genes (DEGS) 12 3.3.6 Exposures to Treatments Causes the Of Expression Different Biomarkers 13 3.4 DISCUSSION 13 4.1 DISCUSSION 14 4.1 Introduction 14 4.1 Introduction 14 4.1 Introduction 14 4.1 Rechanisms of BRCA1-Associated Tumourigenesis 14 4.1.2 RNAi and siRNA 14 4.1.3 Effects of Multifactorial risk factors 14 4.1 A Chapter Scope 14 4.2 Nethodology 14 4.2 Real-Time PCR 15 4.2.2 Real-Time PCR 15 4.2.4 Western Blot 15 4.2.5 Protein Gel Electrophoresis 15 4.2.6 Biotting and Transfer 15 4.2.9 RNA-seq Data Processing 15 4.3.1 qPCR Analysis showed Down-Regulation of BRCA1 After Silencing BRCA1 In MCF12A Cells 15 4.3.2 Mestern Blots Showed Down-Regulation BRCA1 After Silencing BRCA1 In MCF12A Cells 15 4.3.4 Uniform Manifold Approximation and Projection (UMAP) of Geneset Signature Showed a Clear Contrast between untreated sample and BRCA1 silenced samples 16 4.3.4 Uniform Manifold Approximation and Projection (UMAP) of Geneset Signature Showed a Clear Contrast between untreated sample and BRCA1 silenced samples 16 4.3.5 Heat Map of Top SO Differentially Expressed Genes (DEGS) 16 17 4.3.9 Expression of BRCA1 and Free Signature Showed a Clear Contrast between untreated sample and BRCA1 silenced samples 16 4.3.4 Uniform Manifold Approximation and Projection (UMAP) of Geneset Signature Showed a Clear Contrast between untreated sample and BRCA1 silenced samples 16 4.3.6 Significantly Expressed Genes in the treated samples 16 4.3.6 Significantly Expressed Genes in the treated samples 16 4.3.6 Significantly Expressed Genes in the treated samples 16 4.3.9 Expression of Breast Cancer Genes Before and After Exposure of MCF-12A Cells to EDC+FA Mixture 17	Samples	122
12 3.3.4 Expression of Top Differentially Expressed Genes across the Treatment. 12 3.3.5 Functional Enrichment of the Top 100 Differentially Expressed Genes (DEGS) 12 3.3.6 Exposures to Treatments Causes the Of Expression Different Biomarkers 13 3.4 DISCUSSION 134 hapter Four: The Effect of Mixtures of Endocrine Disruptors and Fatty Acids on BRCA1- lenced MCF-12A Cells 144 4.1 Introduction 144 4.1.1 Mechanisms of BRCA1-Associated Tumourigenesis 144 4.1.2 RNAi and siRNA. 144 4.1.3 Effects of multifactorial risk factors 144 4.1.4 Chapter Scope 144 4.2 Nethodology 144 4.2 Chemical Handling for RNA Sequencing 156 4.2.3 Chemical Handling for RNA Sequencing 155 4.2.6 Detiting and Transfer 155 4.2.7 Membrane blocking and antibody incubation 156 4.2.8 RNA Sequencing 155 4.3.1 aptCR Analysis showed Down-Regulation of BRCA1 After Silencing BRCA1 in MCF12A Cells 155 4.3.2 Western Blots Showed Down-Regulation SRCA1 After Silencing BRCA1 in MCF12A Cells 155 4.3.4 Uniform Manifold Approximation and Projection (UMAP) of Geneset Signature Showed a Clear	3.3.3 Heat Map of Top 50 Differentially Expressed Genes In Different Treatments Identified	Five Clusters
3.3.4 Expression of Top Differentially Expressed Genes across the Treatment. 121 3.3.5 Functional Enrichment of the Top 100 Differentially Expressed Genes (DEGS) 122 3.3.6 Exposures to Treatments Causes the Of Expression Different Biomarkers 133 3.4 DISCUSSION 134 hapter Four: The Effect of Mixtures of Endocrine Disruptors and Fatty Acids on BRCA1- 144 lenced MCF-12A Cells 144 4.1 Introduction 144 4.1.1 Mechanisms of BRCA1-Associated Tumourigenesis 144 4.1.2 RNAi and siRNA 144 4.1.3 Effects of multifactorial risk factors 144 4.1.4 Chapter Scope 144 4.2.1 siRNA Transfection 144 4.2.2 Real-Time PCR 156 4.2.3 Chemical Handling for RNA Sequencing 155 4.2.4 Western Blot 155 4.2.5 Protein Gel Electrophoresis 155 4.2.9 RNA-seq Data Procesing 156 4.3 at Operand Transfer 156 4.3 at Operand Transfer 156 4.3 Uniform Manifold Approximation and Projection (UMAP) of Geneset Signature Showed a Clear Contrast between untreated sample and BRCA1 After Silencing BRCA1 in MCF12A Cells 155 4.3.4 Uniform Man		124
3.3.5 Functional Enrichment of the Top 100 Differentially Expressed Genes (DEGS) 12 3.3.6 Exposures to Treatments Causes the Of Expression Different Biomarkers 13 3.4 DISCUSSION 134 mapter Four: The Effect of Mixtures of Endocrine Disruptors and Fatty Acids on BRCA1- 140 lenced MCF-12A Cells 140 4.1 Introduction 141 4.1.1 Mechanisms of BRCA1-Associated Tumourigenesis 144 4.1.2 RNAi and siRNA 144 4.1.3 Effects of multifactorial risk factors 144 4.1.4 Chapter Scope 144 4.1.2 Nethodology 144 4.2.1 siRNA Transfection 144 4.2.2 Real-Time PCR 155 4.2.3 Chemical Handling for RNA Sequencing 155 4.2.4 Western Blot 155 4.2.5 Protein Gel Electrophoresis 155 4.2.6 Boltting and Transfer 155 4.2.7 Membrane blocking and antibody incubation 155 4.3.8 RNA Sequencing 155 4.3.1 qPCR Analysis showed Down-Regulation of BRCA1 After Silencing BRCA1 in MCF12A Cells 155 4.3.2 Western Blots Showed Down-Regulation of BRCA1 After Silencing of BRCA1 in siRNA treated samples 16 4.3.4 Uniform Manifold	3.3.4 Expression of Top Differentially Expressed Genes across the Treatment.	125
3.3.6 Exposures to Treatments Causes the Of Expression Different Biomarkers	3.3.5 Functional Enrichment of the Top 100 Differentially Expressed Genes (DEGS)	127
3.4 DISCUSSION 134 hapter Four: The Effect of Mixtures of Endocrine Disruptors and Fatty Acids on BRCA1- lenced MCF-12A Cells 144 4.1 Introduction 144 4.1.1 Mechanisms of BRCA1-Associated Tumourigenesis 144 4.1.2 RNAi and siRNA 144 4.1.3 Effects of multifactorial risk factors 144 4.1.4 Chapter Scope 144 4.2 Nethodology 144 4.2.1 siRNA Transfection 144 4.2.2 Real-Time PCR 156 4.2.3 Chemical Handling for RNA Sequencing 155 4.2.6 Blotting and Transfer 155 4.2.6 Blotting and Transfer 155 4.2.7 Membrane blocking and antibody incubation 155 4.2.8 RSULTS 155 4.3.1 qPCR Analysis showed Down-Regulation of BRCA1 After Silencing BRCA1 In MCF12A Cells 156 4.3.2 Western Blots Showed Down-Regulation of BRCA1 After Silencing of BRCA1 in MCF12A Cells 156 4.3.3 Analysis of RNA-Sequencing Data Showed A Down-regulation of BRCA1 in siRNA treated samples 166 4.3.4 Uniform Manifold Approximation and Projection (UMAP) of Geneset Signature Showed a Clear Contrast between untreated sample and BRCA1 silenced samples 166 4.3.5 Heat Map of Top 50 Differentially Expressed Genes (DEGs) in Differen	3.3.6 Exposures to Treatments Causes the Of Expression Different Biomarkers	132
13-4 Discossion 13-4 hapter Four: The Effect of Mixtures of Endocrine Disruptors and Fatty Acids on BRCA1- 14 14.1 Introduction 14 4.1.1 Mcchanisms of BRCA1-Associated Tumourigenesis 14 4.1.2 RNAi and siRNA 14 4.1.3 Effects of multifactorial risk factors 14 4.1.4 Chanisms of BRCA1-Associated Tumourigenesis 14 4.1.2 RNAi and siRNA 14 4.1.2 Renki and siRNA 14 4.1.2 Renki and siRNA 14 4.1.4 Chapter Scope 14 4.2 Atter Scope 14 4.2.1 SiRNA Transfection 14 4.2.2 Real-Time PCR 15 4.2.3 Sinemical Handling for RNA Sequencing 15 4.2.4 Western Blot 15 4.2.5 Protein Gel Electrophoresis 15 4.2.6 Blotting and Transfer 15 4.2.7 Nembrane blocking and antibody incubation 15 4.2.8 RNA Sequencing 15 4.3.1 qPCR Analysis showed Down-Regulation of		12/
hapter Four: The Effect of Mixtures of Endocrine Disruptors and Fatty Acids on BRCA1- lenced MCF-12A Cells	5.4 DISCUSSION	
ilenced MCF-12A Cells. 140 4.1 Introduction 141 4.1.1 Mechanisms of BRCA1-Associated Tumourigenesis 142 4.1.2 RNAi and siRNA 144 4.1.3 Effects of multifactorial risk factors 144 4.1.4 Chapter Scope 144 4.1.4 Chapter Scope 144 4.2.1 siRNA Transfection 144 4.2.2 Real-Time PCR 155 4.2.3 Chemical Handling for RNA Sequencing 155 4.2.4 Western Blot 155 4.2.5 Protein Gel Electrophoresis 155 4.2.7 Membrane blocking and antibody incubation 155 4.2.9 RNA-seq Data Processing 156 4.2.9 RNA-seq Data Processing 156 4.3.1 qPCR Analysis showed Down-Regulation of BRCA1 After Silencing BRCA1 In MCF12A Cells 158 4.3.1 qPCR Analysis showed Down-Regulation of BRCA1 After Silencing of BRCA1 in SIRNA treated samples 166 4.3.4 Uniform Manifold Approximation and Projection (UMAP) of Geneset Signature Showed a Clear 167 4.3.5 Heat Map of Top 50 Differentially Expressed Genes (DEGs) 166 4.3.6 Significantly Expressed Genes in the treated samples 166 4.3.7 Functional Enrichment of Differentially Expressed Genes (DEGs) 166 </td <td>hapter Four: The Effect of Mixtures of Endocrine Disruptors and Fatty Acids on</td> <td>າ BRCA1-</td>	hapter Four: The Effect of Mixtures of Endocrine Disruptors and Fatty Acids on	າ BRCA1-
4.1 Introduction 142 4.1.1 Mechanisms of BRCA1-Associated Tumourigenesis 142 4.1.2 RNAi and siRNA 144 4.1.3 Effects of multifactorial risk factors 144 4.1.4 Chapter Scope 144 4.1.4 Chapter Scope 144 4.1.4 Chapter Scope 144 4.2.1 siRNA Transfection 144 4.2.2 Real-Time PCR 156 4.2.3 Chemical Handling for RNA Sequencing 155 4.2.4 Western Blot 155 4.2.5 Protein Gel Electrophoresis 155 4.2.6 Blotting and Transfer 156 4.2.7 Membrane blocking and antibody incubation 155 4.2.8 RNA Sequencing 156 4.2.9 RNA-seq Data Processing 156 4.3.1 qPCR Analysis showed Down-Regulation of BRCA1 After Silencing of BRCA1 in MCF12A Cells 156 4.3.1 qPCR Analysis showed Down-Regulation of BRCA1 After Silencing of BRCA1 in MCF12A Cells 156 4.3.2 Western Blot Showed Down-Regulation BRCA1 After Silencing of BRCA1 in MCF12A Cells 156 4.3.4 Uniform Manifold Approximation and Projection (UMAP) of Geneset Signature Showed a Clear 166 4.3.4 Uniform Manifold Approximation and Projection (UMAP) of Geneset Signature Showed a Clear 166	lenced MCF-12A Cells	140
4.1.1 Mechanisms of BRCA1-Associated Tumourigenesis 14 4.1.2 RNAi and siRNA 14 4.1.3 Effects of multifactorial risk factors 14 4.1.4 Chapter Scope 14 4.1.4 Chapter Scope 14 4.2 Methodology 14 4.2.1 siRNA Transfection 14 4.2.2 Real-Time PCR 15 4.2.3 Chemical Handling for RNA Sequencing 15 4.2.4 Western Blot 15 4.2.5 Protein Gel Electrophoresis 15 4.2.6 Blotting and Transfer 15 4.2.7 Membrane blocking and antibody incubation 15 4.2.9 RNA-seq Data Processing 15 4.3.1 qPCR Analysis showed Down-Regulation of BRCA1 After Silencing BRCA1 in MCF12A Cells 15 4.3.2 Western Blots Showed Down-Regulation BRCA1 After Silencing of BRCA1 in siRNA treated samples 16 4.3.4 Uniform Manifold Approximation and Projection (UMAP) of Geneset Signature Showed a Clear 16 4.3.4 Uniform Manifold Approximation and Projection (UMAP) of Geneset Signature Showed a Clear 16 4.3.4 Uniform Manifold Approximation and Projection (UMAP) of Geneset Signature Showed a Clear 16 4.3.5 Heat Map of Top 50 Differentially Expressed Genes (DEGs) in Different Treatments Identifies Five 16 </td <td>4.1 Introduction</td> <td>14</td>	4.1 Introduction	14
4.1.2 RNAi and siRNA 144 4.1.3 Effects of multifactorial risk factors 144 4.1.4 Chapter Scope 144 4.1.4 Chapter Scope 144 4.2 Methodology 144 4.2.1 siRNA Transfection 144 4.2.2 Real-Time PCR. 155 4.2.3 Chemical Handling for RNA Sequencing 155 4.2.4 Western Blot 155 4.2.5 Protein Gel Electrophoresis 155 4.2.6 Blotting and Transfer 156 4.2.7 Membrane blocking and antibody incubation 155 4.2.8 RNA Sequencing 156 4.2.9 RNA-seq Data Processing 156 4.3.1 qPCR Analysis showed Down-Regulation of BRCA1 After Silencing BRCA1 In MCF12A Cells 156 4.3.2 Western Blots Showed Down-Regulation BRCA1 After Silencing of BRCA1 in MCF12A Cells 156 4.3.2 Western Blots Showed Down-Regulation BRCA1 After Silencing of BRCA1 in MCF12A Cells 156 4.3.4 Uniform Manifold Approximation and Projection (UMAP) of Geneset Signature Showed a Clear 166 4.3.4 Uniform Manifold Approximation and Projection (UMAP) of Geneset Signature Showed a Clear 166 4.3.5 Heat Map of Top 50 Differentially Expressed Genes (DEGs) in Different Treatments Identifies Five 166 4	A 1 1 Mechanisms of BRCA1-Associated Tumourigenesis	1/3
4.1.3 Effects of multifactorial risk factors 144 4.1.4 Chapter Scope 144 4.2 Methodology 144 4.2.1 siRNA Transfection 144 4.2.2 Real-Time PCR 144 4.2.3 Chemical Handling for RNA Sequencing 155 4.2.4 Western Blot 155 4.2.5 Protein Gel Electrophoresis 155 4.2.6 Blotting and Transfer 155 4.2.7 Membrane blocking and antibody incubation 155 4.2.8 RNA Sequencing 156 4.2.9 RNA-seq Data Processing 156 4.3.1 qPCR Analysis showed Down-Regulation of BRCA1 After Silencing BRCA1 in MCF12A Cells 155 4.3.2 Western Blots Showed Down-Regulation BRCA1 After Silencing BRCA1 in MCF12A Cells 156 4.3.3 Analysis of RNA-Sequencing Data Showed A Down-regulation of BRCA1 in siRNA treated samples 166 4.3.4 Uniform Manifold Approximation and Projection (UMAP) of Geneset Signature Showed a Clear Contrast between untreated sample and BRCA1 silenced samples 166 4.3.5 Heat Map of Top 50 Differentially Expressed Genes (DEGs) in Different Treatments Identifies Five Clusters 166 4.3.7 Functional Enrichment of Differentially Expressed Genes (DEGs) 166 4.3.8 Predicted Biomarkers for Exposure to Mixtures of EDCs and Fatty Acid After BRCA1 Silencing	4.1.1 Mechanisms of Dicar-Associated Funduligenesis	
4.1.3 Effects of multilactorial fisk factors 144 4.1.4 Chapter Scope 144 4.2 Methodology 144 4.2.1 siRNA Transfection 144 4.2.2 Real-Time PCR 155 4.2.3 Chemical Handling for RNA Sequencing 155 4.2.4 Western Blot 155 4.2.5 Protein Gel Electrophoresis 155 4.2.6 Blotting and Transfer 156 4.2.7 Membrane blocking and antibody incubation 155 4.2.8 RNA Sequencing 156 4.2.9 RNA-seq Data Processing 156 4.3.1 qPCR Analysis showed Down-Regulation of BRCA1 After Silencing BRCA1 In MCF12A Cells 156 4.3.1 qPCR Analysis showed Down-Regulation BRCA1 After Silencing of BRCA1 in MCF12A Cells 156 4.3.3 Analysis of RNA-Sequencing Data Showed A Down-regulation of BRCA1 in MCF12A Cells 156 4.3.4 Uniform Manifold Approximation and Projection (UMAP) of Geneset Signature Showed a Clear 160 4.3.5 Heat Map of Top 50 Differentially Expressed Genes (DEGs) in Different Treatments Identifies Five 166 4.3.6 Significantly Expressed Genes in the treated samples 166 4.3.7 Functional Enrichment of Differentially Expressed Genes (DEGs) 166 4.3.8 Predicted Biomarkers for Exposure to Mixtures of EDCs and F	4.1.2 Filests of multifactorial risk factors	1/1
4.2 Methodology 149 4.2.1 siRNA Transfection 149 4.2.2 Real-Time PCR 150 4.2.3 Chemical Handling for RNA Sequencing 151 4.2.4 Western Blot 152 4.2.5 Protein Gel Electrophoresis 152 4.2.6 Blotting and Transfer 154 4.2.7 Membrane blocking and antibody incubation 155 4.2.8 RNA Sequencing 156 4.2.9 RNA-seq Data Processing 156 4.3.1 qPCR Analysis showed Down-Regulation of BRCA1 After Silencing BRCA1 In MCF12A Cells 155 4.3.2 Western Blots Showed Down-Regulation BRCA1 After Silencing of BRCA1 in MCF12A Cells 156 4.3.2 Western Blots Showed Down-Regulation BRCA1 After Silencing of BRCA1 in MCF12A Cells 156 4.3.4 Uniform Manifold Approximation and Projection (UMAP) of Geneset Signature Showed a Clear 160 Contrast between untreated sample and BRCA1 silenced samples 162 4.3.5 Heat Map of Top 50 Differentially Expressed Genes (DEGs) in Different Treatments Identifies Five 162 4.3.6 Significantly Expressed Genes in the treated samples 162 4.3.7 Functional Enrichment of Differentially Expressed Genes (DEGs) 166 4.3.8 Predicted Biomarkers for Exposure to Mixtures of EDCs and Fatty Acid After BRCA1 Silencing	4.1.5 Effects of multilactorial risk factors	140
4.2 Methodology 149 4.2.1 siRNA Transfection 149 4.2.2 Real-Time PCR 150 4.2.3 Chemical Handling for RNA Sequencing 151 4.2.4 Western Blot 152 4.2.5 Protein Gel Electrophoresis 152 4.2.6 Blotting and Transfer 154 4.2.7 Membrane blocking and antibody incubation 155 4.2.8 RNA Sequencing 156 4.2.9 RNA-seq Data Processing 156 4.3.1 qPCR Analysis showed Down-Regulation of BRCA1 After Silencing BRCA1 In MCF12A Cells 156 4.3.2 Western Blots Showed Down-Regulation BRCA1 After Silencing of BRCA1 in MCF12A Cells 156 4.3.2 Western Blots Showed Down-Regulation BRCA1 After Silencing of BRCA1 in MCF12A Cells 156 4.3.2 Western Blots Showed Down-Regulation and Projection (UMAP) of Geneset Signature Showed a Clear 160 4.3.4 Uniform Manifold Approximation and Projection (UMAP) of Geneset Signature Showed a Clear 162 4.3.5 Heat Map of Top 50 Differentially Expressed Genes (DEGs) in Different Treatments Identifies Five 162 4.3.6 Significantly Expressed Genes in the treated samples 162 4.3.7 Functional Enrichment of Differentially Expressed Genes (DEGs) 166 4.3.8 Predicted Biomarkers for Exposure to Mixtures of EDCs and Fatty Acid After		
4.2.1 siRNA Transfection 144 4.2.2 Real-Time PCR 150 4.2.3 Chemical Handling for RNA Sequencing 155 4.2.4 Western Blot 155 4.2.5 Protein Gel Electrophoresis 155 4.2.6 Blotting and Transfer 155 4.2.7 Membrane blocking and antibody incubation 155 4.2.8 RNA Sequencing 156 4.2.9 RNA-seq Data Processing 156 4.3.1 qPCR Analysis showed Down-Regulation of BRCA1 After Silencing BRCA1 In MCF12A Cells 156 4.3.2 Western Blots Showed Down-Regulation BRCA1 After Silencing of BRCA1 in MCF12A Cells 156 4.3.2 Western Blots Showed Down-Regulation BRCA1 After Silencing of BRCA1 in MCF12A Cells 156 4.3.3 Analysis of RNA-Sequencing Data Showed A Down-regulation of BRCA1 in siRNA treated samples 160 4.3.4 Uniform Manifold Approximation and Projection (UMAP) of Geneset Signature Showed a Clear 166 4.3.5 Heat Map of Top 50 Differentially Expressed Genes (DEGs) in Different Treatments Identifies Five 162 4.3.6 Significantly Expressed Genes in the treated samples 166 4.3.7 Functional Enrichment of Differentially Expressed Genes (DEGs) 166 4.3.8 Predicted Biomarkers for Exposure to Mixtures of EDCs and Fatty Acid After BRCA1 Silencing 171 4.3	4.2 Methodology	
4.2.2 Real-Time PCR. 155 4.2.3 Chemical Handling for RNA Sequencing. 151 4.2.4 Western Blot 152 4.2.5 Protein Gel Electrophoresis 152 4.2.6 Blotting and Transfer 152 4.2.7 Membrane blocking and antibody incubation 152 4.2.9 RNA-sequencing 156 4.2.9 RNA-seq Data Processing 156 4.3.1 qPCR Analysis showed Down-Regulation of BRCA1 After Silencing BRCA1 In MCF12A Cells 156 4.3.2 Western Blots Showed Down-Regulation BRCA1 After Silencing of BRCA1 in MCF12A Cells 156 4.3.2 Western Blots Showed Down-Regulation BRCA1 After Silencing of BRCA1 in MCF12A Cells 156 4.3.4 Uniform Manifold Approximation and Projection (UMAP) of Geneset Signature Showed a Clear 166 4.3.5 Heat Map of Top 50 Differentially Expressed Genes (DEGs) in Different Treatments Identifies Five 162 4.3.6 Significantly Expressed Genes in the treated samples 166 4.3.7 Functional Enrichment of Differentially Expressed Genes (DEGs) 168 4.3.8 Predicted Biomarkers for Exposure to Mixtures of EDCs and Fatty Acid After BRCA1 Silencing 171 4.3.9 Expression of Breast Cancer Genes Before and After Exposure of MCF-12A Cells to EDC+FA Mixture 173	4.2.1 siRNA Transfection	
4.2.3 Chemical Handling for RNA Sequencing. 15: 4.2.4 Western Blot 15: 4.2.5 Protein Gel Electrophoresis 15: 4.2.6 Blotting and Transfer 15: 4.2.7 Membrane blocking and antibody incubation 15: 4.2.7 Membrane blocking and antibody incubation 15: 4.2.8 RNA Sequencing 15: 4.2.9 RNA-seq Data Processing 15: 4.3.1 qPCR Analysis showed Down-Regulation of BRCA1 After Silencing BRCA1 In MCF12A Cells 15: 4.3.2 Western Blots Showed Down-Regulation BRCA1 After Silencing of BRCA1 in MCF12A Cells 15: 4.3.3 Analysis of RNA-Sequencing Data Showed A Down-regulation of BRCA1 in siRNA treated samples 16: 4.3.4 Uniform Manifold Approximation and Projection (UMAP) of Geneset Signature Showed a Clear 16: Contrast between untreated sample and BRCA1 silenced samples 16: 4.3.5 Heat Map of Top 50 Differentially Expressed Genes (DEGs) in Different Treatments Identifies Five 16: Clusters 16: 16: 4.3.6 Significantly Expressed Genes in the treated samples 16: 4.3.7 Functional Enrichment of Differentially Expressed Genes (DEGs) 16: 4.3.8 Predicted Biomarkers for Exposure to Mixtures of EDCs and Fatty Acid After BRCA1 Silencing 17:	4.2.2 Real-Time PCR	150
4.2.4 Western Blot 152 4.2.5 Protein Gel Electrophoresis 152 4.2.6 Blotting and Transfer 154 4.2.7 Membrane blocking and antibody incubation 154 4.2.7 Membrane blocking and antibody incubation 155 4.2.8 RNA Sequencing 156 4.2.9 RNA-seq Data Processing 156 4.3.1 qPCR Analysis showed Down-Regulation of BRCA1 After Silencing BRCA1 In MCF12A Cells 156 4.3.2 Western Blots Showed Down-Regulation BRCA1 After Silencing of BRCA1 in MCF12A Cells 156 4.3.3 Analysis of RNA-Sequencing Data Showed A Down-regulation of BRCA1 in siRNA treated samples 160 4.3.4 Uniform Manifold Approximation and Projection (UMAP) of Geneset Signature Showed a Clear 166 4.3.5 Heat Map of Top 50 Differentially Expressed Genes (DEGs) in Different Treatments Identifies Five 162 4.3.6 Significantly Expressed Genes in the treated samples 166 4.3.7 Functional Enrichment of Differentially Expressed Genes (DEGs) 168 4.3.8 Predicted Biomarkers for Exposure to Mixtures of EDCs and Fatty Acid After BRCA1 Silencing 171 4.3.9 Expression of Breast Cancer Genes Before and After Exposure of MCF-12A Cells to EDC+FA Mixture 173	4.2.3 Chemical Handling for RNA Sequencing	151
4.2.5 Protein Gel Electrophoresis 152 4.2.6 Blotting and Transfer 154 4.2.7 Membrane blocking and antibody incubation 154 4.2.7 Membrane blocking and antibody incubation 155 4.2.8 RNA Sequencing 156 4.2.9 RNA-seq Data Processing 156 4.3.1 qPCR Analysis showed Down-Regulation of BRCA1 After Silencing BRCA1 In MCF12A Cells 156 4.3.2 Western Blots Showed Down-Regulation BRCA1 After Silencing of BRCA1 in MCF12A Cells 156 4.3.2 Western Blots Showed Down-Regulation BRCA1 After Silencing of BRCA1 in MCF12A Cells 156 4.3.3 Analysis of RNA-Sequencing Data Showed A Down-regulation of BRCA1 in siRNA treated samples 160 4.3.4 Uniform Manifold Approximation and Projection (UMAP) of Geneset Signature Showed a Clear 160 4.3.5 Heat Map of Top 50 Differentially Expressed Genes (DEGs) in Different Treatments Identifies Five 162 4.3.5 Heat Map of Top 50 Differentially Expressed Genes (DEGs) in Different Treatments Identifies Five 162 4.3.6 Significantly Expressed Genes in the treated samples 166 4.3.7 Functional Enrichment of Differentially Expressed Genes (DEGs) 166 4.3.8 Predicted Biomarkers for Exposure to Mixtures of EDCs and Fatty Acid After BRCA1 Silencing 172 4.3.9 Expression of Breast Cancer Genes Before and After Exposure	4.2.4 Western Blot	152
4.2.6 Blotting and Transfer 154 4.2.7 Membrane blocking and antibody incubation 155 4.2.8 RNA Sequencing 156 4.2.9 RNA-seq Data Processing 156 4.2.9 RNA-seq Data Processing 156 4.3.1 qPCR Analysis showed Down-Regulation of BRCA1 After Silencing BRCA1 In MCF12A Cells 158 4.3.2 Western Blots Showed Down-Regulation BRCA1 After Silencing of BRCA1 in MCF12A Cells 156 4.3.2 Western Blots Showed Down-Regulation BRCA1 After Silencing of BRCA1 in MCF12A Cells 156 4.3.3 Analysis of RNA-Sequencing Data Showed A Down-regulation of BRCA1 in siRNA treated samples 160 4.3.4 Uniform Manifold Approximation and Projection (UMAP) of Geneset Signature Showed a Clear 160 4.3.5 Heat Map of Top 50 Differentially Expressed Genes (DEGs) in Different Treatments Identifies Five 162 4.3.6 Significantly Expressed Genes in the treated samples 166 4.3.7 Functional Enrichment of Differentially Expressed Genes (DEGs) 168 4.3.8 Predicted Biomarkers for Exposure to Mixtures of EDCs and Fatty Acid After BRCA1 Silencing 172 4.3.9 Expression of Breast Cancer Genes Before and After Exposure of MCF-12A Cells to EDC+FA Mixture 173	4.2.5 Protein Gel Electrophoresis	152
4.2.7 Membrane blocking and antibody incubation 155 4.2.8 RNA Sequencing 156 4.2.9 RNA-seq Data Processing 156 4.2.9 RNA-seq Data Processing 156 4.3 RESULTS 156 4.3.1 qPCR Analysis showed Down-Regulation of BRCA1 After Silencing BRCA1 In MCF12A Cells 156 4.3.2 Western Blots Showed Down-Regulation BRCA1 After Silencing of BRCA1 in MCF12A Cells 156 4.3.3 Analysis of RNA-Sequencing Data Showed A Down-regulation of BRCA1 in siRNA treated samples 160 4.3.4 Uniform Manifold Approximation and Projection (UMAP) of Geneset Signature Showed a Clear 166 4.3.5 Heat Map of Top 50 Differentially Expressed Genes (DEGs) in Different Treatments Identifies Five 166 4.3.6 Significantly Expressed Genes in the treated samples 166 4.3.7 Functional Enrichment of Differentially Expressed Genes (DEGs) 166 4.3.8 Predicted Biomarkers for Exposure to Mixtures of EDCs and Fatty Acid After BRCA1 Silencing 172 4.3.9 Expression of Breast Cancer Genes Before and After Exposure of MCF-12A Cells to EDC+FA Mixture 173	4.2.6 Blotting and Transfer	154
4.2.8 RNA Sequencing 156 4.2.9 RNA-seq Data Processing 156 4.2.9 RNA-seq Data Processing 156 4.3.1 qPCR Analysis showed Down-Regulation of BRCA1 After Silencing BRCA1 In MCF12A Cells 158 4.3.2 Western Blots Showed Down-Regulation BRCA1 After Silencing of BRCA1 in MCF12A Cells 156 4.3.2 Western Blots Showed Down-Regulation BRCA1 After Silencing of BRCA1 in MCF12A Cells 156 4.3.3 Analysis of RNA-Sequencing Data Showed A Down-regulation of BRCA1 in siRNA treated samples 160 4.3.4 Uniform Manifold Approximation and Projection (UMAP) of Geneset Signature Showed a Clear 166 4.3.5 Heat Map of Top 50 Differentially Expressed Genes (DEGs) in Different Treatments Identifies Five 162 4.3.6 Significantly Expressed Genes in the treated samples 166 4.3.7 Functional Enrichment of Differentially Expressed Genes (DEGs) 168 4.3.8 Predicted Biomarkers for Exposure to Mixtures of EDCs and Fatty Acid After BRCA1 Silencing 172 4.3.9 Expression of Breast Cancer Genes Before and After Exposure of MCF-12A Cells to EDC+FA Mixture 173	4.2.7 Membrane blocking and antibody incubation	155
4.2.9 RNA-seq Data Processing 156 4.3 RESULTS 158 4.3.1 qPCR Analysis showed Down-Regulation of BRCA1 After Silencing BRCA1 In MCF12A Cells 158 4.3.2 Western Blots Showed Down-Regulation BRCA1 After Silencing of BRCA1 in MCF12A Cells 159 4.3.3 Analysis of RNA-Sequencing Data Showed A Down-regulation of BRCA1 in siRNA treated samples 160 4.3.4 Uniform Manifold Approximation and Projection (UMAP) of Geneset Signature Showed a Clear 160 4.3.5 Heat Map of Top 50 Differentially Expressed Genes (DEGs) in Different Treatments Identifies Five 162 4.3.6 Significantly Expressed Genes in the treated samples 166 4.3.7 Functional Enrichment of Differentially Expressed Genes (DEGs) 168 4.3.8 Predicted Biomarkers for Exposure to Mixtures of EDCs and Fatty Acid After BRCA1 Silencing	4.2.8 RNA Sequencing	156
4.3 RESULTS 158 4.3.1 qPCR Analysis showed Down-Regulation of BRCA1 After Silencing BRCA1 In MCF12A Cells 158 4.3.2 Western Blots Showed Down-Regulation BRCA1 After Silencing of BRCA1 in MCF12A Cells 158 4.3.3 Analysis of RNA-Sequencing Data Showed A Down-regulation of BRCA1 in siRNA treated samples 160 4.3.4 Uniform Manifold Approximation and Projection (UMAP) of Geneset Signature Showed a Clear 160 4.3.5 Heat Map of Top 50 Differentially Expressed Genes (DEGs) in Different Treatments Identifies Five 162 4.3.6 Significantly Expressed Genes in the treated samples 166 4.3.7 Functional Enrichment of Differentially Expressed Genes (DEGs) 168 4.3.8 Predicted Biomarkers for Exposure to Mixtures of EDCs and Fatty Acid After BRCA1 Silencing	4.2.9 RNA-seq Data Processing	156
 4.3.1 qPCR Analysis showed Down-Regulation of BRCA1 After Silencing BRCA1 In MCF12A Cells 4.3.2 Western Blots Showed Down-Regulation BRCA1 After Silencing of BRCA1 in MCF12A Cells 4.3.3 Analysis of RNA-Sequencing Data Showed A Down-regulation of BRCA1 in siRNA treated samples 4.3.4 Uniform Manifold Approximation and Projection (UMAP) of Geneset Signature Showed a Clear 4.3.5 Heat Map of Top 50 Differentially Expressed Genes (DEGs) in Different Treatments Identifies Five Clusters 4.3.6 Significantly Expressed Genes in the treated samples 4.3.7 Functional Enrichment of Differentially Expressed Genes (DEGs) 4.3.8 Predicted Biomarkers for Exposure to Mixtures of EDCs and Fatty Acid After BRCA1 Silencing 4.3.9 Expression of Breast Cancer Genes Before and After Exposure of MCF-12A Cells to EDC+FA Mixture 	4.3 RESULTS	158
4.3.2 Western Blots Showed Down-Regulation BRCA1 After Silencing of BRCA1 in MCF12A Cells 159 4.3.3 Analysis of RNA-Sequencing Data Showed A Down-regulation of BRCA1 in siRNA treated samples 160 4.3.4 Uniform Manifold Approximation and Projection (UMAP) of Geneset Signature Showed a Clear 160 4.3.5 Heat Map of Top 50 Differentially Expressed Genes (DEGs) in Different Treatments Identifies Five 161 4.3.6 Significantly Expressed Genes in the treated samples 161 4.3.7 Functional Enrichment of Differentially Expressed Genes (DEGs) 166 4.3.8 Predicted Biomarkers for Exposure to Mixtures of EDCs and Fatty Acid After BRCA1 Silencing 172 4.3.9 Expression of Breast Cancer Genes Before and After Exposure of MCF-12A Cells to EDC+FA Mixture 173	4.3.1 aPCR Analysis showed Down-Regulation of BRCA1 After Silencing BRCA1 In MCF12A C	ells158
4.3.3 Analysis of RNA-Sequencing Data Showed A Down-regulation of BRCA1 in siRNA treated samples 160 4.3.4 Uniform Manifold Approximation and Projection (UMAP) of Geneset Signature Showed a Clear Contrast between untreated sample and BRCA1 silenced samples 4.3.5 Heat Map of Top 50 Differentially Expressed Genes (DEGs) in Different Treatments Identifies Five Clusters 4.3.6 Significantly Expressed Genes in the treated samples 4.3.7 Functional Enrichment of Differentially Expressed Genes (DEGs) 4.3.8 Predicted Biomarkers for Exposure to Mixtures of EDCs and Fatty Acid After BRCA1 Silencing 4.3.9 Expression of Breast Cancer Genes Before and After Exposure of MCF-12A Cells to EDC+FA Mixture 173	4.3.2 Western Blots Showed Down-Regulation BRCA1 After Silencing of BRCA1 in MCF12A (Cells159
160 4.3.4 Uniform Manifold Approximation and Projection (UMAP) of Geneset Signature Showed a Clear Contrast between untreated sample and BRCA1 silenced samples	4.3.3 Analysis of RNA-Sequencing Data Showed A Down-regulation of BRCA1 in siRNA treat	ed samples
 4.3.4 Uniform Manifold Approximation and Projection (UMAP) of Geneset Signature Showed a Clear Contrast between untreated sample and BRCA1 silenced samples		16
Contrast between untreated sample and BRCA1 silenced samples 163 4.3.5 Heat Map of Top 50 Differentially Expressed Genes (DEGs) in Different Treatments Identifies Five 163 Clusters 163 4.3.6 Significantly Expressed Genes in the treated samples 166 4.3.7 Functional Enrichment of Differentially Expressed Genes (DEGs) 166 4.3.8 Predicted Biomarkers for Exposure to Mixtures of EDCs and Fatty Acid After BRCA1 Silencing 167 4.3.9 Expression of Breast Cancer Genes Before and After Exposure of MCF-12A Cells to EDC+FA Mixture 175	4.3.4 Uniform Manifold Approximation and Projection (UMAP) of Geneset Signature Showe	ed a Clear
 4.3.5 Heat Map of Top 50 Differentially Expressed Genes (DEGs) in Different Treatments Identifies Five Clusters	Contrast between untreated sample and BRCA1 silenced samples	
Clusters	4.3.5 Heat Map of Top 50 Differentially Expressed Genes (DEGs) in Different Treatments Ide	entifies Five
 4.3.6 Significantly Expressed Genes in the treated samples	Clusters	
 4.3.7 Functional Enrichment of Differentially Expressed Genes (DEGs)	4.3.6 Significantly Expressed Genes in the treated samples	160
 4.3.8 Predicted Biomarkers for Exposure to Mixtures of EDCs and Fatty Acid After BRCA1 Silencing17 4.3.9 Expression of Breast Cancer Genes Before and After Exposure of MCF-12A Cells to EDC+FA Mixture 	4.3.7 Functional Enrichment of Differentially Expressed Genes (DEGs)	168
4.3.9 Expression of Breast Cancer Genes Before and After Exposure of MCF-12A Cells to EDC+FA Mixture.	4.3.8 Predicted Biomarkers for Exposure to Mixtures of EDCs and Fatty Acid After BRCA1 Sil	encing171
	4.3.9 Expression of Breast Cancer Genes Before and After Exposure of MCF-12A Cells to ED	C+FA Mixture.

Chapter Five: A meta-analysis of the transcriptional landscape of 2D vs 3D MCF10 Breas Models	st 180
5.1 Introduction	181 182
5.1.2 Collagen	183
5.1.3 Matrigel	183
5.1.4 MCF-10A cell line	184
5.1.5 Chapter Scope	185
5.2 Methodology 5.2.1 Study Design	.186
5.3 RESULTS	192
5.3.1 Heat Map of Top 150 Differentially Expressed Genes (DEGs) Identifies Two Different Clusters 5.3.2 Uniform Manifold Approximation and Projection of Geneset Signature of MCF10A cells in 2D ar	192 nd
3D and the different scaffold.	195
5.4.3 Differential Expression	197
5.3.4 Scaffold-Specific Biomarkers – 3D Scaffolds vs 2D	201
5.4 Discussion	202
5.4 Discussion	203
CHAPTER SIX: GENERAL DISCUSSION	203
CHAPTER SIX: GENERAL DISCUSSION	203
CHAPTER SIX: GENERAL DISCUSSION	203 207 208 209
CHAPTER SIX: GENERAL DISCUSSION	203 207 208 209 210
 CHAPTER SIX: GENERAL DISCUSSION 6.1 Introduction 6.1.1 Multifactorial Impacts On Breast Cancer Initiation 6.3 Main Findings 6.3.1 Mixtures of EDCs and Fatty Acids induce changes in acini morphology 	203 207 208 209 210 210
 CHAPTER SIX: GENERAL DISCUSSION 6.1 Introduction 6.1.1 Multifactorial Impacts On Breast Cancer Initiation 6.3 Main Findings 6.3.1 Mixtures of EDCs and Fatty Acids induce changes in acini morphology 6.3.2 Mixtures of EDCs and Fatty Acids up-regulate cancer genes and pathways in BRCA1-deficient M 12A cells 	203 207 208 209 210 210 ICF- 211
 CHAPTER SIX: GENERAL DISCUSSION 6.1 Introduction 6.1.1 Multifactorial Impacts On Breast Cancer Initiation 6.3 Main Findings 6.3.1 Mixtures of EDCs and Fatty Acids induce changes in acini morphology 6.3.2 Mixtures of EDCs and Fatty Acids up-regulate cancer genes and pathways in BRCA1-deficient M 12A cells 6.3.3 A comparison of MCF10A cells grown on 2D and 3D cell culture models shows the up-regulation 	203 207 208 209 210 210 ICF- 211 on of
 CHAPTER SIX: GENERAL DISCUSSION 6.1 Introduction 6.1.1 Multifactorial Impacts On Breast Cancer Initiation 6.3 Main Findings 6.3.1 Mixtures of EDCs and Fatty Acids induce changes in acini morphology 6.3.2 Mixtures of EDCs and Fatty Acids up-regulate cancer genes and pathways in BRCA1-deficient M 12A cells 6.3.3 A comparison of MCF10A cells grown on 2D and 3D cell culture models shows the up-regulatio genes and pathways involved in normal cell functions 	203 207 208 209 210 210 ICF- 211 on of 212
 CHAPTER SIX: GENERAL DISCUSSION 6.1 Introduction 6.1.1 Multifactorial Impacts On Breast Cancer Initiation 6.3 Main Findings 6.3.1 Mixtures of EDCs and Fatty Acids induce changes in acini morphology 6.3.2 Mixtures of EDCs and Fatty Acids up-regulate cancer genes and pathways in BRCA1-deficient M 12A cells 6.3.3 A comparison of MCF10A cells grown on 2D and 3D cell culture models shows the up-regulatio genes and pathways involved in normal cell functions 6.4 Future Works 	203 207 208 209 210 210 ICF- 211 on of 212 213
 CHAPTER SIX: GENERAL DISCUSSION 6.1 Introduction 6.1.1 Multifactorial Impacts On Breast Cancer Initiation 6.3 Main Findings 6.3.1 Mixtures of EDCs and Fatty Acids induce changes in acini morphology 6.3.2 Mixtures of EDCs and Fatty Acids up-regulate cancer genes and pathways in BRCA1-deficient M 12A cells 6.3.3 A comparison of MCF10A cells grown on 2D and 3D cell culture models shows the up-regulatio genes and pathways involved in normal cell functions 6.4.1 Effect of EDC and fatty acid mixtures on MCF-12A using a microfluidic device. 	203 207 208 209 210 210 ICF- 211 in of 212 213 214
 CHAPTER SIX: GENERAL DISCUSSION 6.1 Introduction 6.1.1 Multifactorial Impacts On Breast Cancer Initiation 6.3 Main Findings 6.3.1 Mixtures of EDCs and Fatty Acids induce changes in acini morphology 6.3.2 Mixtures of EDCs and Fatty Acids up-regulate cancer genes and pathways in BRCA1-deficient M 12A cells 6.3.3 A comparison of MCF10A cells grown on 2D and 3D cell culture models shows the up-regulatio genes and pathways involved in normal cell functions 6.4 Future Works 6.4.1 Effect of EDC and fatty acid mixtures on MCF-12A using a microfluidic device. 6.4.2 Primary BRCA1-deficient exposure to mixtures 	203 207 208 209 210 210 ICF- 211 on of 212 213 214 214
 CHAPTER SIX: GENERAL DISCUSSION 6.1 Introduction 6.1.1 Multifactorial Impacts On Breast Cancer Initiation 6.3 Main Findings 6.3.1 Mixtures of EDCs and Fatty Acids induce changes in acini morphology 6.3.2 Mixtures of EDCs and Fatty Acids up-regulate cancer genes and pathways in BRCA1-deficient M 12A cells 6.3.3 A comparison of MCF10A cells grown on 2D and 3D cell culture models shows the up-regulatio genes and pathways involved in normal cell functions 6.4 Future Works 6.4.1 Effect of EDC and fatty acid mixtures on MCF-12A using a microfluidic device. 6.4.2 Primary BRCA1-deficient exposure to mixtures 	203 207 208 209 210 210 ICF- 211 on of 212 213 214 214 214

List of Table

Table 1.1 Intrinsic mole	ecular subtypes of breast ca	ancer	7
Table 1.2 Penetrance	of Known Genes of Breast	Cancer	12
Table 1.3 Selected E	nvironmental Chemicals w	vith endocrine-disrupting Activity,	Their Use (s) and
Sources	of	Human	Exposure
			24
Table 1.4 Fatty Acids	and References		47
Table 2.1 List of fatt solution	y acids, their mean plasn	na concentrations and percenta	ges in the mixture 76
Table 2.2 Reagents cDNA	volumes for reverse trans	scription for one reaction using	the High-capacity 78
Table 2.3 The conditions synthesis	n of the thermocycler, show	ving the temperature and time for	each step of cDNA 79
Table 2.4 Volume of co Green PCR Master Mi	omponents of master mixtur x	e for one gene in qPCR experime	nt using iTaq SYBR 80
Table 2.5 Real-time step	PCR program, showing st	eps, temperature, and number	of cycles for each 80
Table 2.6 Gene Prime	r Sequence		81
Table 2.7 Gene Prime	r Sequence		82
Table 3.1 List of fatt solution	ty acids, their mean plas	ma concentrations and percenta	age in the mixture 104
Table 3.2 Endocrine of mixture	disruptors, their tissue (ser	um) concentration and percentag	e proportion in the 110
Table 3.3 Primary Ant cells cultured on Matri	ibodies used for immunocyt gel	tochemistry to investigate apoptos	sis and in MCF-12A 113
Table 3.4 Secondary u cells cultured on Matri	used for immunocytochemis gel	stry to investigate apoptosis and p	oolarity in MCF-12A 114
Table 3.5 Sample and	Reads Obtained after RNA	Sequencing	116
Table 4.1 Reagents ar	nd volumes for siRNA trans	fection mix per well	150
Table 4.2 Primer Sequ	ience of siBRCA1 used for	transfection	150
Table 4.3 Components	s and quantities for preparir	ng 10% SDS-PAGE resolving gel	(2 gels) 153
Table 4.4 Components	s and quantities for preparir	ng 5% stacking gel (2 gels)	153
Table 4.5 Components	and quantities to prepare	1X SDS-PAGE running buffer	154
Table 4.6 Components	and quantities to prepare	1X transfer buffer	155

Table 4.7 Sample Reads obtained after RNA sequencing	.156
Table 4.8 Down-regulated Breast Cancer genes, which were further down-regulated after exposu	re to
EDC+FA mixture compared with treatment with siRNA Alone	.174
Table 4.9 Up-regulated Breast Cancer genes, which were down-regulated after exposure to EDC	C+FA
mixture compared to treatment siRNA Alone	174
Table 5.1 Search Terms, Number of Bioprojects and Number of Human Studies	. 187
Table 5.2 Bioprojects and SRAs	174

List of Figures

Figure 1.1 Schematic representation of mammary gland morphology	3
Figure 1.2. Pie Chart showing cancer incidence around the world	4
Figure 1.3 Breast cancer progression	9
Figure 1.4 Genomic and non-genomic pathways of estrogen signalling	.22
Figure 1.5 Structure of Bisphenol A	.25
Figure 1.6 Chemical structure of <i>p</i> , <i>p</i> '-DDE	.30
Figure 1.7 Chemical Structure of Methylparaben (MeP), Ethylparaben (EtP), Propylparaben (F	۲P) and
Butylparaben (BuP)	34
Figure 1.8 Chemical Structure of musks: (A) Galaxolide and (B) Tonalide	36
Figure 1.9 Chemical Structure 3-Benzylidin Camphor	37
Figure 1.10 Structure of (A) Benzophenone-3 and (B) Benzophenone-2	39
Figure 1.11 Structure of BDE-100	40
Figure 2.1 Structure and functional domains of estrogen receptor α and β (ER α and ER β)	63
Figure 2.2 Amplification of curves for the expression of ER in MCF-12A cell line	85
Figure 2.3 Bar graphs showing BPA-induced gene expression of ESR1, ESR2 and GPER1	88
Figure 2.4 Bar graphs showing BPA-induced gene expression in CCND1, TFF1 and BRCA1	90
Figure 2.5 Bar plots showing the expression fold changes in FASN, LIPE, SREBP-1c, AKR CD36	1c1 and 91
Figure 3.1 Mammary epithelial cells organise into acini-like structures when grown on Matrigel	100
Figure 3.2 Mammalian cell cycle regulated by Cdk/cyclin complexes	103
Figure 3.3 Evidence of hollow formation and apoptosis in 3D cultured MCF-10A cells	106
Figure 3.4 Schematic representation of MCF-12A three-dimensional assay	112
Figure 3.5 Light microscope images of 3D cultures of mammary epithelial cells MCF-12A gro Matrigel scaffold	wn on a 117
Figure 3.6 Confocal images of 3D cultures of non-tumourigenic epithelial breast MCF12A cell on Matrigel for 16 days	s grown 118
Figure 3.7 Quantification of the acini area in response to a mixture of EDCs, a mixture of Fat and mixtures of EDCs and Fatty combined	ty acids 120
Figure 3.8 Quantification of the acini circularity in response to a mixture of EDCs, a mixture acids and mixtures of EDCs and Fatty combined	of Fatty 122

Regulated Genes in Different Samples
Figure 3.10 Clustered Heatmap and Functional Annotation125
Figure 3.11 Differentially Expressed Genes127
Figure 3.12 Enriched Biological Pathways, Processes and Molecular Functions associated with
treatment with the mixtures131
Figure 3.13 Biomarkers Expression across samples 133
Figure 4.1 Structure of BRCA1 showing its functional domains and interacting proteins 142
Figure 4.2 Schematic representation of the mechanism of siRNA-mediated RNA interference 145
Figure 4.3 Chemical Handling for RNA Sequencing151
Figure 4.4 Schematic diagram of the electro-blot transfer procedure using an immersion process in a transfer buffer. The red arrow at the bottom of the tank shows the transfer direction 154
Figure 4.5 Bar plots showing the expression fold changes of the <i>BRCA1</i> gene in MCF-12A treated with 10nM and 50nM siBRCA1 with scrambled siRNA as control for 24 hours 158
Figure 4.6 Western Blot of Silencing of BRCA1 using 10nM and 50nM siRNA
Figure 4.9. The DDCA4 game was down regulated by the siDDCA4 in MCE404 cells
Figure 4.8 The BRCAT gene was down-regulated by the SIBRCAT in MCFTZA cells
Figure 4.8 The BRCAT gene was down-regulated by the SIBRCAT In MCF12A cells
Figure 4.8 The BRCAT gene was down-regulated by the SIBRCAT In MCF12A cells
Figure 4.8 The BRCAT gene was down-regulated by the SIBRCAT In MCF12A cells
Figure 4.8 The BRCAT gene was down-regulated by the SIBRCAT In MCF12A cells
Figure 4.8 The BRCAT gene was down-regulated by the SIBRCAT In MCF12A cells
Figure 4.8 The BRCAT gene was down-regulated by the siBRCAT in MCF12A cells
Figure 4.8 The BRCAT gene was down-regulated by the SIBRCAT in MCF12A cells
Figure 4.8 The BRCAT gene was down-regulated by the SIBRCAT in MCF12A cells
Figure 4.8 The BRCAT gene was down-regulated by the SIBRCAT in MCF12A cells 161 Figure 4.9 Uniform Manifold Approximation and Projection (UMAP) of Geneset Signatures Showing Up and Down-Regulated Genes in Different Samples 163 Figure 4.10 Clustered Heatmap and Functional Annotation 165 Figure 4.11 Differentially Expressed Genes And Functional Analysis 167 Figure 4.12 Comparison of biological pathway enrichment 170 Figure 4.13 Biomarkers expressed in the different treatments 172 Figure 5.1 Literature search workflow 188 Figure 5.2 Clustered Heatmap and Functional Annotation 195
Figure 4.8 The BRCAT gene was down-regulated by the SIBRCAT In MCF 12A cells
Figure 4.8 The BRCAT gene was down-regulated by the SIBRCAT in MCF 12A cells
Figure 4.8 The BRCAT gene was down-regulated by the SIBRCAT in MCF12A cells

Abbreviations

Degree Centigrade
Delta
Two dimensional
3- Benzylidene Camphor
Three dimensional
Beta-Actin
Transcriptional Activation Function 1
6-acetyl-1,1,2,4,4,7-hexamethyltetraline
American Institute for Cancer Research
Aldo-Keto Reductase 1C1
Aldehyde dehydrogenase 1 family member A3
Aldehyde dehydrogenase 1
Analysis of Variance
Androgen Receptor
Armadillo Repeat Containing 6
Ataxia-telangiectasia mutated
BCL2 Antagonist/Killer 1
BRCA1 Associated RING Domain 1
BRCA1-associated genome surveillance complex
BCL2 Associated X
B-cell lymphoma-2
Brominated diphenyl Ether 100
Fibroblast growth factor 2
BH3 Interacting Domain Death Agonist
BCL-2 interacting mediator of cell death
Body Mass Index
Benzophenone-3
Bisphenol A
Bisphenol F
Bisphenol S
Breast Cancer Susceptibility Genes 1/2
BRCA1 C-terminus
BRCA1 Interacting protein C-terminal helicase 1
Butylparaben
Concentration Addition
Carbonic Anhydrase 12

CCND1	Cyclin D1
CD36	Cluster of Differentiation 36
CD44	Cluster of Differentiation 42
CDC42	Cell Division cycle 42
CDH1	Cadherin-1
CDH13	Cadherin-13
CDKS	Cyclin Dependent Kinases
cDNA	Complementary DNA
CHEK2	Checkpoint kinase 2
CHFR	Checkpoint with Forkhead and Ring finger domains
CHLs	Chlordane compounds
COL7A1	Collagen type VII alpha 1 chain
COPG2	Coatomer subunit gamma-2
CtIP	C-terminus binding protein
DAPI	4', 6-diamidino-2-phenylindole
DBD	DNA Binding Domain
DCE	1,2-dicloroethane
DDT	Dichloro-diphenyl-trichloroethane
DEGs	Differentially Expressed Genes
DEPP1	Decidual protein induced by Progesterone 1
DMBA	7, 12-dimethylbenz[a]anthracene
DMEM	Dulbecco's Modified Eagle Medium
DNA	Deoxyribonucleic acid
E1	Estrone
E2	estradiol
E3	estriol
ECM	Extracellular Matrix
EDC	Endocrine Disrupting Chemicals
EDTA	Ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid
EFSA	European Food Safety Agency
EGF	Epidermal Growth Factor
EIF2AK3	Eukaryotic Translation initiation factor 2-alpha kinase 3
EMT	Epithelial-Mesenchymal transition
EPIC	European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition
ERE	Estrogen responsive element
ERK1/2	Extracellular Signal-regulated kinase 1/2
ERα	Estrogen receptor alpha
ER β	Estrogen receptor beta
ESR1	Estrogen receptor 1
ESR2	estrogen receptor 2
EtOH	Ethanol

EtP	Ethylparaben
FABpm	Plasma membrane fatty acid binding protein
FAK	Focal Adhesion Kinase
FASN	Fatty Acid Synthase
FATPs	Fatty Acids Transport Protein Family
FDR	False Discovery Rate
GAPDH	Glyceraldehyde 3-Phosphate Dehydrogenase
GFR	Growth Factor Reduced
GLOBOCAN	Global Cancer Observatory
GO	Gene Ontology
GPER	G protein-couples receptor 1
GPNMB	Glycoprotein non-metastatic B
GR	Glucocorticoid Receptor
GSTP1	Glutathione S-transferase P1
GWS	Genome-Wide Studies
HBSS	Hanks' Balanced Salt Solution
НСВ	Hexachlorobenzene
HER2	Human epidermal growth factor 2
ННСВ	1,3,4,6,7,8-hexahydro-4,6,6,7,8,8-hexamethylcyclopenta[g]benzopyran
IA	Independent Action
IF	immunofluorescence
IGSF4	Immunoglobulin superfamily member 4
JAK	Janus Kinase
KEGG	Kyoto Encyclopaedia of Genes and Genomes
KLF1	Krüppel-like factor 1
KLK10	Kallikrein-related peptidase 10
KLK7	Kallikrein-related peptidase 7
KRAS	Kirsten rat sarcoma virus
LBD	Ligand Binding Domain
LIPE	hormone Sensitive Lipase
LogFC	Log2(fold change) over control
MAL2	T-cell differentiation protein 2
MAPK	Mitogen-activated protein kinases
MAPK15	Mitogen-activated protein kinases 15
MAPK9	Mitogen-activated protein kinases 9
MCM3AP	Minichromosome maintenance protein 3
MeP	Methylparaben
mRNA	messenger RNA
mTOR	Mechanistic target of rapamycin
MUFAs	Monosaturated Fatty Acids
NUF2	NDC80 kinetochore complex component

p,p'-DDE	Dichlorodiphenyldichloroethylene
PALB2	Partner and Localizer of BRCA2
PBDE	Polybrominated diphenyl Ethers
PBS	Phosphate Buffered Saline
PCBs	Polychlorinated biphenyls
PCNA	Polybrominated diphenyl Ethers
PG	Prostaglandins
PI3K	Polychlorinated biphenyls
PKDCC	Protein Kinase Domain Containing Cytoplasmic
PPAR	Prostaglandins
PR	Progesterone receptor
PrP	Propylparaben
PTEN	Peroxisome Proliferation Activated Receptor
PTPRN2	Progesterone receptor
PUFAs	Propylparaben
qPCR	Phosphatase and tensin homolog
RB	Polysaturated Fatty Acids
rBM	quantitative Polymerase Chain Reaction
RFU	Relative fluorescence unit
RING	Retinoblastoma protein
RISC	RNA-induced silencing complex
RNA	Ribonucleic acid
SAPCD2	Suppressor APC domain containing 2
siRNA	small interfering RNA
SMCO4	Single-Pass Membrane Protein with Coiled-coil Domains 4
SML	Specific Migration Limit
SNP	Single-Nucleotide Polymorphism
SR	Serotonin Receptor
Src	Tyrosine-protein kinase
SREBP-1c	Sterol Regulatory Element-Binding Protein-1c
STK11	Serotonin Receptor
TCE	Trichloroethylene
TDI	Tolerable Daily Intake
TDLU	Terminal ductal lobuaveolar units
TFF1	Trefoil factor1
TIMP3	TIMP Metallopeptidase Inhibitor 3
TMSB4XP6	thymosin beta 4, X-linked pseudogene 6
ΤΝFα	Tumour necrosis factor alpha
TP53	Tumour Protein p53
TR	Thyroid Receptor
TRAIL	TNF-related apoptosis-inducing ligand

TREM1	Triggering receptor expressed on myeloid cell 1
	Uniform Manifold Approximation and Projection for Dimer

UMAP Uniform Manifold Approximation and Projection for Dimension Reduction

- WCRF World Cancer Research Fund
- WHO World Health Organization
- YES The Yeast Estrogen Screen

Acknowledgements

First, I wish to thank my supervisor, Dr. Elisabete Silva, for her immeasurable support, guidance, patience, and mentoring throughout my PhD. I am profoundly grateful for your understanding and encouragement during tough times. I also thank my second supervisor, Professor Emmanouil Karteris, for his support and advice. I want to acknowledge Breast Cancer UK for funding all the consumables used for this research.

I also want to thank Dr. Cristina Sisu for teaching me the fundamentals of bioinformatics and for her support in analysing my RNA sequencing data. A special thank you to Dr. Sibylle Emler, Dr. Ruth Mckay, and Giang Dong for their help completing this work.

My eternal gratitude goes to my family, without whom none would have been possible. I am profoundly grateful to my mum, Veronica Amoah, and my uncle, Mr David Agyefi-Mensah, for their sacrifices, prayers, and immeasurable financial support throughout my life. I would also like to thank my uncle, Rev. Thomas Kwabena Tandoh, for his encouragement and prayers. I am sincerely grateful to my friend Angelita Gibbah and her family for supporting me at various stages of this journey. My heartfelt appreciation goes to my church family, Feltham PIWC, for their encouragement, prayer, and support throughout my PhD studies. A special acknowledgement to Elder Stephen Ansah for his continuous support and prayer.

Finally, I ascribe all glory and gratitude unto Him who is able to do exceedingly abundantly above all we can think or ask, Jesus Christ, the Son of the living God.

Chapter One: Multifactorial Impact on Early Breast Carcinogenesis

1 Multifactorial Impacts on Early Breast Carcinogenesis

1.1 Development of Human Breast

The breast is a bilateral organ that undergoes morphological and functional changes throughout female life (Russo and Russo, 2004). The mammary gland develops through a series of processes linked to sexual and reproductive development, from embryonic to prepubertal and pubertal stages, pregnancy to lactation and involution (Hennighausen and Robinson, 2001). The majority of the differentiation of the mammary glands occurs after birth, initiated by hormones and growth factors (Kuperwasser *et al.*, 2004).

Non-functional mammary rudimentary structures and cell lineages of the mammary glands are formed in the embryonic stage (Capuco and Ellis, 2013). These rudimentary mammary glands occur on day 10 of embryogenesis and emerge as five pairs of placodes. Primary mammary mesenchyme forms by day 15, further dividing into ductal structures and the fat pad (Visvader and Lindeman, 2003). The embryonic stage of mammary gland development is regulated by signalling between the epithelium and mesenchyme (Robinson, 2007). At puberty, under the control of estrogen, the epithelium develops into a branching bilayered ductal structure consisting of outer myoepithelial cells and luminal cells, which can be further divided into ductal luminal cells lining the ducts and alveolar luminal cells, which produce milk. The primary ducts that spread into the nipple develop into other subsidiary ducts: segmental and subsegmental ducts. The subsegmental ducts form the terminal duct formation, which further subdivides terminal ductules or acini. The acini come together to form one terminal duct with the surrounding intralobular stroma, called a terminal lobular duct unit (TDLU) (Figure 1.1). The terminal duct lobular unit is the functional unit of the breast (Javed and Lteif, 2013; Rosenfield, Cooke and Radovick, 2008).

The second phase of post-natal mammary gland development occurs during pregnancy, as the mammary gland undergoes various changes in preparation for lactation. There is an extensive increase in the secondary and tertiary ductal bifurcation and maturation of the alveolar buds into separate alveoli, which become the milk-producing lobules during lactation. The mammary gland does not undergo any morphological changes during lactation. However, the lumen becomes bigger and filled with granular, basophilic material mixed with fat. Milk is produced and released into the acini and ductal system by the alveolar cells and stored for 48 hours. Post lactation, the acini structure collapses and the lobular connective tissues regress (Russo and Russo, 2004)



Figure 1.1 Schematic representation of mammary gland morphology. *Structure of the female breast, focusing on the terminal ductal-lobular unit (TDLU). TDLUs consist of acini structures. A cross-section of the acini shows myoepithelial cells surrounding epithelial cells, forming a hollow lumen (Maria Riverso, 2013).*

1.2 Breast Cancer Epidemiology

According to GLOBOCAN 2020, breast cancer accounted for 47.8 new diagnoses per 100,000 women, making it the most common cancer. This translated to 2,261,419 new breast cancer cases and 684,996 deaths globally (Sung *et al.*, 2021) (Figure 1.2). In the UK, there are 55,900 new breast cancer cases every year, which makes it the most common cancer in the country, representing 15% of all new cancer cases based on data collected between 2017 and 2019. It is projected that, in the UK, there will be a 1% increase in breast cancer incidence between 2023-2025 and 2038-2040 (Cancer Research UK). 76% of all women diagnosed with breast cancer in England survive the condition for ten years or more. However, there are 11,499 breast cancer deaths in the UK annually (Cancer Research UK).



Figure 1.2. Pie Chart showing cancer incidence around the world. *Global distribution of different cancers in both males and females according to GLOBACAN 2020. Female breast cancer was the most prevalent cancer, with 11.7% (Sung et al., 2021)*

Over the last 30 years, breast cancer mortality has been declining due to the significant improvement in early screening and detection, improved treatment and increased knowledge of the biological mechanism underlying the disease (DeSantis *et al.*, 2015; Gonzalez, Rae and Colacino, 2019). Early screening (e.g., frequent mammograms, magnetic resonance imaging) and other preventive factors are available to reduce the incidence and mortality of breast cancer. Age-based screening has been shown to reduce breast cancer mortality by 15%-40%, but there are concerns about possible false positives, over-diagnosis and psychological harms (Khan *et al.*, 2021b). Pashayan and colleagues argued that risk stratification is a cost-effective way of screening the population to reduce overdiagnosis and improve the benefits of screening (Pashayan *et al.*, 2018).

1.3 Breast Cancer Subtypes

Breast cancer is a heterogeneous disease that can be grouped into subtypes based on their clinical, histological and molecular characteristics (Russnes *et al.*, 2017). Immunohistochemistry and gene expression analysis are two primary techniques for classifying breast cancers (Perou *et al.*, 2000; Nielsen *et al.*, 2004). Gene expression profiling of breast cancer cells divides them into four subtypes (Table 1.1): luminal A, luminal B, basal-like, and HER-2 enriched (Perou *et al.*, 2000; Sørlie *et al.*, 2001). Luminal A subtype is both estrogen receptor (ER) and progesterone receptor (PR) positive and HER2 negative with a low Ki-67 expression. Luminal B is also positive for ER and PR expression but can either be HER2 negative or positive, with a high Ki-67 expression suggesting high proliferation. HER2 enriched subtype has HER2 overexpressed but negative for both ER and PR. Basal-like is ER, PR and HER2 negative, and therefore triple negative (Goldhirsch *et al.*, 2013; Prat *et al.*, 2012). In 2007, another subtype, claudin-low breast cancer, was classified using DNA microarray from 13 murine models. This type was typified by reduced tight junction protein claudin 3, 4 and 7 expression and E-cadherin. Claudin-low, however, is a phenotype of basal breast cancer rather than a distinct subtype of breast cancer. It is ER, PR and HER2 negative and, therefore, has a poor prognosis (Herschkowitz *et al.*, 2007; Prat *et al.*, 2010; Fougner *et al.*, 2020).

Based on cell morphology, growth and architecture, breast cancer has been grouped into 21 histological classes by the World Health Organisation (Lakhani *et al.*, 2012). The most common histological forms of breast cancer are the invasive ductal carcinoma of no special type (IDC) (75-80%) and the invasive carcinoma, classical type (10-75%). Breast cancer of special types accounts for 25% of all breast cancer cases and has distinct biological markers that are useful for prognosis and treatment (Jenkins *et al.*, 2021; Weigelt, Geyer and Reis-Filho, 2010). Other less common histological types include mucinous, cribriform, micropapillary, papillary, tubular, medullary, metaplastic, and apocrine carcinomas (Lakhani *et al.*, 2012). Clinically, breast cancer therapies are guided by the presence of the estrogen receptor (ER), progesterone receptor (PR) and human epidermal growth factor 2 (HER2) (Houghton and Hankinson, 2021).

Table 1.1 Intrinsic molecular subtypes of breast Cancer (Perou *et al.*, 2000; Sørlie *et al.*, 2001)

Molecular subtype	Receptor status	Clinical Prognosis
Luminal A	ER ^{`+} , PR ⁻ , HER2 ⁻	Good
Luminal B	ER+, PR+/-, HER2+/-	Good (worse than luminal A)
HER2 enriched	HER2⁺, ER⁻, PR⁻	Intermediate
Basal-like	HER2 ⁻ , PR ⁻ , ER ⁻	Poor. Threefold risk early distant recurrence within 5 years. 3% risk of late recurrence after 5 years (Zagami and Carey, 2022). 5 year overall survival of metastatic TNBC is 11% (Kesireddy <i>et al.</i> , 2024)

1.4 Breast Cancer Initiation and Progression

Cells acquire certain traits that influence cancer initiation and progression. These traits or hallmarks, include tissue invasion and metastasis, circumvented apoptosis, sustained indefinite replication, evasion of anti-metastatic signalling, induced angiogenesis, self-sufficiency in growth signals, altered cellular energy metabolism and avoidance of immune destruction (Hanahan and Weinberg, 2000; Hanahan and Weinberg, 2011). Douglas Hanahan has enumerated additional hallmarks of cancer. These include unlocking phenotypic plasticity, epigenetic reprogramming, and polymorphic microbiome (Hanahan, 2022).

Breast cancer is primarily initiated in the epithelial cells lining ducts and milk lobules (Hinck and Näthke, 2014). Genetic and epigenetic changes drive the transitions in the morphology of cells in the progression and development of cancers (Rivenbark, O'Connor and Coleman, 2013). Laser microdissection and microarrays of 14 patientmatched normal epithelium, normal stroma, tumour epithelium and tumour-associated stroma specimens showed that the tumour microenvironment is involved in the transition of cells into cancer states (Ma et al., 2009). Different linear models have been proposed to explain breast cancer initiation, transformation, and progression (Bombonati and Sgroi, 2011). One of the most accepted models of breast carcinogenesis proposes that it arises from stem cells in TDLUs, which progress into atypical hyperplasia (atypical ductal hyperplasia, ADH, and atypical lobular hyperplasia, ALH). ADH and ALH are premalignant but possess the ability to be malignant over time (Allred, Mohsin and Fugua, 2001). ALH/ADH increases the risk of developing breast cancer by 5-fold, and this risk can vary depending on menopausal status (DI, 2003). ALH and ADH transition to in situ ductal carcinoma (DCIS), which is a proliferation of neoplastic luminal cells that are restricted in the ductolobular system of the breast (Figure 1.3). Though DCIS are pre or non-invasive, they are generally considered as the early stages of breast cancer (Stage 0) and make up an estimated 25% of all breast cancer diagnoses (Allred, Mohsin and Fuqua, 2001; van Seijen et al., 2019). DCIS progresses into invasive breast cancer by breaking down the basement membrane of the ducts and invading the stroma either by independent evolution or direct evolution (Grimm et al., 2022).



Figure 1.3 Breast cancer progression. Breast cancer arises from the cells lining the lumen of ducts. The first stage is ductal hyperplasia, which includes non-malignant lesions that resemble normal TDLU. The next step is atypical hyperplasia (ADH), which is pre-invasive but shows proliferation and changes in morphology. ADH transitions into DCIS, where proliferated neoplastic cells are restricted to the lumen. This is generally considered a stage of breast cancer. DCIS become invasive breast cancer by breaking the basement membrane into the surrounding stroma. Image created with Biorender software (https://biorender.com/).

1.4 Breast Cancer Risk Factors

The aetiology of breast cancer is complex and multifactorial (McPherson, Steel and Dixon, 2000; Hiatt *et al.*, 2014). In the UK, 1 in 7 females born after 1960 will be diagnosed with breast cancer in their lifetime; however, it is argued that 23% of breast cancer cases could be prevented (Cancer Research UK). Masala and colleagues showed that 30% of postmenopausal breast cancer can be prevented through

increased leisure time, physical activity, consumption of one drink per day and keeping a BMI below 25.

Only 5-10% of breast cancers are due to hereditary factors (Economopoulou, Dimitriadis and Psyrri, 2015). In adults over 30 years old, the International Agency for Research on Cancer (IARC) estimates that 36.8% of breast cancer incidence can be linked to environmental and lifestyle factors (Eve *et al.*, 2020). Risk factors can be grouped generally into genetic factors/non-modifiable and lifestyle/modifiable factors. These factors can interact to increase the risk of breast cancer for women and men.

1.4.1 Genetic Risk Factors

The link between familial history and breast cancer is conclusively established (Wendt and Margolin, 2019). It has been established that an estimated 5-10% of all breast cancers are due to mutations in one or two of the major cancer susceptibility genes (Yedjou *et al.*, 2019; Clamp, Danson and Clemons, 2003).

Penetrance of a disease-causing mutation in a cancer gene refers to the relative risk that the mutation will initiate the specific cancer and show clinical symptoms (Economopoulou, Dimitriadis and Psyrri, 2015; Lynch, Venne and Berse, 2015). Breast cancer susceptibility genes can be grouped into high penetrance, intermediate penetrance, and low penetrance (Table 1.2). *BRCA1, BRCA2, TP53, PTEN, STK11,* and *CDH1* are in the high penetrance category, while the intermediate genes are *CHEK2, ATM, BRIP1,* and *PALB2* (Turnbull and Rahman, 2008). BReast CAncer gene 1/2 (*BRCA1 and BRCA2*) are tumour suppressor genes involved in the repair of DNA double-strand break repair and regulating transcription of estrogen and progesterone receptors (Shiovitz and Korde, 2015; Bogdanova, Helbig and Dörk, 2013). Deleterious germline mutations in BRCA1 and BRCA2 contribute 50%-85% of the overall lifetime risk of a woman developing breast cancer (Hoskins *et al.,* 2008). However, *BRCA1*

and *BRCA2* mutations account for approximately 20% of all hereditary breast cancer and 5-10% of all breast cancers in the general population (Balmana *et al.*, 2011). Genome-wide studies (GWS) have identified alleles that can confer breast cancer risk in a polygenic manner. These form low-penetrance alleles (Table 2) consisting of single-nucleotide polymorphisms (SNP) located in gene and intergenic areas (Jia *et al.*, 2023). These have been used to detect genetic variability across the genome and have been shown to increase breast cancer risk (Shiovitz and Korde, 2015; Lilyquist *et al.*, 2018). Eight low-penetrance alleles have been identified: 10q26, 16q, 12q35, 8q24, 5p12, 11p15, 5q11 and 2q33 (Turnbull and Rahman, 2008; Lilyquist *et al.*, 2018; Ellsworth *et al.*, 2018; Han *et al.*, 2017; Ellsworth, Turner and Ellsworth, 2019). The overall breast cancer risk due to the inheritance of low penetrance genes remains inconclusive. While it has been suggested that low-penetrance genes confer a 50% increase in breast cancer risk (Houlston and Peto, 2004), other studies have indicated that they do not significantly contribute to the overall genetic risk of breast cancer (Shiovitz and Korde, 2015) Table 1.2 Penetrance of known genes in breast cancer (Turnbull and Rahman,

Category	Gene/Locus	Relative Risk	Candidate Genes	Associated SNPs
High	BRCA1	>10		rs8176085
	BRCA2	>10		rs28897700
penetrance	TP53	>10		rs1042522
	PTEN	2-10		rs1903858
	STK11	2-10		rs2075606
	CDH1	2-10		rs16260
Intermediate	ATM 2–3	2-3		rs1801516
Penetrance	CHEK2	2-3		rs17879961
	BRIP1	2-3		rs137852986
	PALB2	2-4		rs180177102
Low	10q26	1.08–1.26	FGFR2	rs2981582
Penetrance	16q12	1.07–1.13	ТОХ3	rs3803662
	2q35	1.08-1.26	IGFBPS	rs13387042
	8q24	1.08-1.26	MYC	rs13281615
	5p15	1.25	TERT/CLPTM1L	rs10069690
	11p15	1.07-1.13	LSP1	rs3817198
	5q11	1.07-1.13	MAP3K1	rs889312
	2q33	1.13	CASP8	rs1045485
	19p13.1	1.25	ANKL1, BABAM1	rs8170
	1q32.1	1.16	MDM4	rs4245739

2008; Han et al., 2017; Ellsworth, Turner and Ellsworth, 2019; Jia et al., 2023).

1.4.1.3 Mammographic Density

Mammographic density is an important and established breast cancer risk factor (Vachon *et al.*, 2007; Sieh *et al.*, 2020). Women with an estimated breast density of 75% are four to six times more likely to develop breast cancer than those with low mammographic density (Boyd *et al.*, 2011). Bodewes and colleagues found that

increased mammographic density is associated with a two-fold increase in breast cancer risk (Bodewes *et al.*, 2022). A nested case-control cohort study from the Joanne Knight Breast Health Cohort of 10 481 cancer-free women between 2008 to 2020. The study showed that the rate of change in breast density was linked to the risk of subsequent breast cancer (Jiang *et al.*, 2023).

1.4.2 Lifestyle Risk Factors

1.4.2.1 Alcohol Consumption

Alcohol intake at low to moderate levels is a significant and well-known breast cancer risk, contributing to a 7-10% increase in breast cancer risk (Zeinomar *et al.*, 2019). A study of 242918 postmenopausal women from EPIC found that the risk associated with alcohol consumption increased in a dose-dependent manner. They found that alcohol consumption greater than 20g per day was associated with greater breast cancer risk (McKenzie *et al.*, 2015). This finding was also corroborated in another cross-sectional study of the relationship between breast cancer risk factors and circulating sex hormones in 6000 postmenopausal women, which showed that women who took more than 20g of alcohol per day had higher levels of sex hormones than non-drinkers, which positively linked to increased breast cancer risk (Hormones and Group, 2011). A prospective study of 105986 women followed between 1980 and 2008 showed that consumption of alcohol as low as 5 g to 9.9 g per day (the equivalent of 3 drinks per week) increased breast cancer risk (Relative risk, 1.15, 95% Cl, 1.06-1.25) (Chen *et al.*, 2011).

Several mechanisms have been proposed to explain the role of alcohol in breast carcinogenesis. These include its role in estrogen metabolism and response, the mutagenicity of acetaldehyde (a major metabolite of alcohol), oxidative damage and impact on one-carbon metabolic pathways resulting in adverse effects in cellular

response, proliferation, and DNA damage (Dumitrescu and Shields, 2005; Wright, McManaman and Repine, 1999).

1.4.2.2 Obesity

There is a positive link between body weight and breast cancer risk (Lofterød *et al.*, 2020). Obesity is an established risk factor for breast cancer and contributes 6%-19% to the overall risk burden (Carmichael, 2006). Obesity leads to poor long-term survival after breast cancer treatment (Iwase *et al.*, 2021). A meta-analysis of 82 follow-up studies, including 213,075 breast cancer survivors (23,182 from breast cancer), assessed the impact of body mass index (BMI) and breast cancer. The study reported that obesity was positively associated with reduced breast cancer survival and a higher risk of mortality (Chan *et al.*, 2014). Furthermore, an elevated BMI leads to a decrease in pathological complete response rate and disease-free survival rate after adjuvant chemotherapy (Fontanella *et al.*, 2015). In postmenopausal women, the risk ratio for breast cancer incidence increases by 1.12 per 5kg/m² increase in BMI (Renehan *et al.*, 2008).

1.4.2.3 Diet

The evidence of a link between diet and breast cancer remains inconclusive (Turati *et al.*, 2022). Diets contain different food classes that can act together, and this combined effect may be more important than that of any single food or nutrient (Torres *et al.*, 2023). Nutritional epidemiologists have argued that to determine a causal link between diet and cancer, the focus should shift from a single nutrient to investigating holistic eating patterns (Mourouti and Panagiotakos, 2016).

The third expert report of the World Cancer Research Fund/American Institute for Cancer Research (WCRF/AICR), released in 2018, proposed dietary actions to

prevent cancer. These include reducing the consumption of fast food and highly processed foods rich in fat and starch, increasing the consumption of vegetables, whole grains, and fruits, consuming less red meat, and reducing the consumption of sugar-sweetened drinks (International, 2018). Adherence to these expert recommendations has been shown to reduce breast cancer risk (Turati et al., 2020). A study has shown that red meat and processed meat increase breast cancer risk by 6% and 9%, respectively (Farvid et al., 2018). Interestingly, a UK Biobank study of 262,195 women showed that processed meat increased the risk of postmenopausal breast cancer but not pre-menopausal breast cancer. However, this study did not show a causal link between red meat and breast cancer (Anderson et al., 2018). Adherence to Mediterranean diets has been shown to lower breast cancer risk (Mourouti and Panagiotakos, 2016; Torres et al., 2023). A population-based case-control study among 3024 women between the ages of 25-74 years in Ontario, Canada, showed that an increase in the consumption of phytoestrogens during the adolescent age had been associated with lower breast cancer risk (Thanos et al., 2006). A study of food intake by 272,098 women in the European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition (EPIC) study showed that intake of dietary fibre and fruits is associated with lower breast cancer risk (Heath et al., 2020). Epidemiological studies have shown that high dietary fat, low-quality food and high alcohol intake in preadolescence and adolescence increased breast cancer risk, while intake of soy decreased risk (Mahabir, 2013). Ambrosone and colleagues showed that using antioxidants (Vitamin A, C and E; carotenoids, coenzyme Q10) before and during breast cancer treatment increases the risk of recurrence and likely death (Ambrosone et al., 2020). A systematic study by Wiggs and colleagues of published cohort, cross-sectional and interventional scholarly studies focusing on the link between diet and /or exercise and

breast cancer risk showed that diet and exercise interventions reduced endogenous estrogen, which can consequently reduce breast cancer risk (Wiggs *et al.*, 2021).

1.4.2.4 Physical Activity

There is an inverse relationship between physical activity and breast cancer risk, particularly among postmenopausal women. The putative mechanisms for the decrease in breast cancer incidence due to physical activity are the reduction of obesity, reduction in insulin and insulin-like growth factors, and a decrease in lifetime exposure to steroid sex hormones (Monninkhof *et al.*, 2007). A prospective case-control study of 47456 premenopausal and 126704 postmenopausal women in the UK Biobank followed between 2006-2014 showed that increased physical exercises reduced breast cancer risk (Guo *et al.*, 2020b). A study has shown that 7 hours or more per week of physical activity leads to a 20% reduction in breast cancer (Colditz and Hankinson, 2005). Although physical activity is an established risk factor for breast cancer, the exact percentage and mechanism of risk reduction have not been conclusively determined.

1.4.2.5 Smoking

The impact of smoking on breast cancer risk has been extensively studied with inconclusive results. Although breast cancer is not a typical tobacco-related disease, many studies have established a link between smoking and breast cancer risk (Catsburg, Miller and Rohan, 2015). A systematic analysis of observational studies by Macacu and colleagues reported a moderate increase in breast cancer for both passive and active smokers (Macacu *et al.*, 2015). This is supported by results in the European Prospective Investigation Into Cancer and Nutrition (EPIC), which examined breast cancer increase in breast in breast cancer in breast cancer increase breast cancer increase in breast cancer in

cancer risk for both active and passive smokers (Dossus *et al.*, 2014). A hospitalbased case-control study involving 877 breast cases and 890 controls in China also reported a positive association between passive smoking and increased breast cancer risk, especially among postmenopausal women. A study of 4,402 breast cancer in the Norwegian Breast Cancer Screening program during 2006-2004 showed an association between smoking and the incidence of luminal A-like breast cancer subtype (Ellingjord-Dale *et al.*, 2017). The Generation Study, which studied a cohort of 102,927 women in the United Kingdom, showed a causal link between smoking and a moderate increase in breast cancer risk. However, some earlier studies reported a lack of association between smoking and breast cancer risk. A population-based casecontrol study of 1273 participants did not establish a causal link between smoking and all-cause and breast cancer-specific mortality (Sagiv *et al.*, 2007). A cohort study of 34,401 Japanese women followed over 10 years did not show an increased risk in breast cancer (Lin *et al.*, 2008).

1.4.2.7 Exposure to Environmental Chemicals

Animal studies have shown that ubiquitous environmental chemicals, such as dioxins, polychlorinated biphenyls, and organochlorides (Koual *et al.*, 2020), can induce tumours in the mammary gland by the activation of hormonal pathways, DNA damage or and increasing breast cancer susceptibility by altering mammary morphogenesis (Brody *et al.*, 2007). A case-control study of 170 women (75 cases and 95 controls) selected from AN Medical Centre in Alaska between 1999 to 2002 concluded that exposure to environmental compounds (phthalates and their metabolites) could be associated with breast cancer (Holmes *et al.*, 2014). However, many epidemiological studies have found weak or no links to breast carcinogenesis (Brody and Rudel, 2003).
To fully understand the risk posed by environmental chemicals to breast cancer, it is imperative to consider that women are exposed to a cocktail of chemicals that interact with each other and also interact with other lifestyle and genetic risk factors.

1.5 Hormonal Carcinogenesis

The breast is an endocrine-sensitive organ, and its development from puberty to lactation is influenced by various hormonal signalling, chiefly the hypothalamicpituitary-gonadal axis (Macon and Fenton, 2013; Darbre and Williams, 2022). Estrogen is a major hormone that acts on breast tissues and plays a critical role in physiological processes such as cell growth, reproduction, differentiation and development (Halada et al., 2022; Jia, Dahlman-Wright and Gustafsson, 2015). An imbalance in estrogen has been implicated in the initiation and progression of cancers such as breast cancer (Satpathi et al., 2023). One of the main risk factors of hormonal cancers such as breast cancer is exposure to endogenous and exogenous estrogens (Kim and Lee, 2017). Carcinogenesis is described as "hormonal" when the events leading to the initiation of the cancer are potentiated or accelerated by natural or synthetic hormones (Banerjee, 2011). The action of estrogen and its metabolites has been demonstrated through two different but complementary pathways (Figure 1.4). Estrogen activation via ERs occurs through genomic and non-genomic mechanisms. In the genomic pathway (also classical mechanisms or direct genomic), estrogen binds to ERs in the cytoplasm, and ERs undergo conformational changes, inducing receptor dimerisation. The estrogen-ER complex is then translocated to the nucleus, where it interacts with chromatin at the estrogen receptor element (ERE) sequences and promoter regions of target genes (Fuentes and Silveyra, 2019). This estrogen

signalling pathway is slow and sustained; its biological effects show after hours of activation (Silva, Kabil and Kortenkamp, 2010).

The non-genomic pathway (also called indirect genomic) of estrogen signalling is via the activation of membrane-bound ERs, including their splice variants and G proteincoupled receptor 1 (*GPER1*) (Thiebaut *et al.*, 2021). Activation of GPER1 leads to the release of estrogen growth factor (EGF) and its related ligands, which induces a cascade of intracellular events, such as rapid phosphorylation of mitogen-activated protein kinase (MAPK ERK ½), elevated concentration activation of phosphoinositide 3 kinase(PI3K), phospholipase C (PLC) and intracellular aggregation of calcium (Pupo, Maggiolini and Musti, 2016). As compared to the genomic pathway, non-genomic actions of estrogen occur rapidly (Silva, Kabil and Kortenkamp, 2010). Genomic and non-genomic estrogenic pathways play vital roles in breast cancer development, progression and survival (Bhardwaj *et al.*, 2019).

In MCF7 breast cancer cell lines, estrogen interacts with signalling molecules such as PI3K and, consequently, downstream proteins such as AKT (Lee *et al.*, 2005). Cotrim and colleagues demonstrated the interaction between estrogen and Mitogenactivated protein kinase (MAPK ERK 1/2) in MCF-7 cell lines (Cotrim *et al.*, 2013). The interaction of estrogens and signalling molecules (PI3K and MAPK) is vital for regulating proliferation, differentiation, apoptosis, and stress responses (Guo *et al.*, 2020c; Khatpe *et al.*, 2021).

There is a positive association between exposure to endogenous estrogen and its metabolites and overall breast cancer risk in women (Moore *et al.*, 2016). A retrospective cohort study of 620 women with ER-positive and HER2-negative breast cancer in Israel showed that endogenous estrogen impacts breast cancer risk, albeit small when compared with the impact of early menopause and multiparity (Korzets *et*

al., 2021). Early menarche and late menopause are a significant breast cancer risk factor chiefly because they extend the exposure time to endogenous estrogens, which is attributable to the increased number of ovarian and allied endocrine processes (Cancer, 2012). Breast cancer risk increases by a factor of 1.050 for every year younger at menarche and 1.029 for every year older at menopause(Cancer, 2012). Conversely, It has been established that with every year delay in menarche after the age of 12, premenopausal and postmenopausal breast cancer risk is reduced by 7% and 3%, respectively (Ozsoy *et al.*, 2017).

Studies have shown that pregnancy and childbirth have a dual effect on breast cancer risk. Pregnancy before the age of 20 years has been found to confer 50% protection against developing breast cancer. For women over 25 years, there is a transient increase in the risk of breast cancer immediately after childbirth, which is more pronounced in women older than 30 years. Women who have their first full-term pregnancy between 30 and 34 years have little protection and have an increased risk of developing breast cancer from 35 years old (Meier-Abt and Bentires-Alj, 2014).

A cohort study of women between 20 and 75 years in Norway showed that first and subsequent pregnancies have protective effects. However, there was an increase in breast cancer when the first pregnancy was after 30 years or older (Albrektsen *et al.*, 2005). A Danish cohort study consisting of over 2 million women with over 3 million births showed that early pregnancy was associated with reduced breast cancer risk (Husby *et al.*, 2018). However, a study by The International Premenopausal Breast Cancer Collaborative Group using pooled individual-level data from 15 prospective studies involving 18,826 breast cancer cases showed that parous women have an increased risk of developing breast cancer for more than 20 years after childbirth as

compared to childless women, especially in women with a family history of breast cancer, older at first birth and had multiple births (Nichols *et al.*, 2019).

Callihan and colleagues conducted a retrospective cohort study of women aged \geq Forty-five who were diagnosed with breast cancer. The breast cancer cases were categorised between giving birth and diagnosis. They reported that women with a breast cancer diagnosis within five years of giving birth have a 2.8 times higher risk of metastasis and 2.7 times higher mortality as compared to nulliparous women (Callihan *et al.*, 2013). A population-based cohort study examining the impact of a secular trend in parity on breast cancer incidence among women aged between 25 and 28 years from 1935 to 2015 in Connecticut showed that the increased trend in breast cancer incidence did not correlate with changes in parity over the period (Lima *et al.*, 2020).

Two nested case-control studies (QResearch or Clinical Practice Research Datalink) in the UK assessed the impact of hormone replacement therapy (HRT) on breast cancer risk. 98611 women (50-79 years old) with a primary diagnosis of breast cancer between 1998 and 2018 showed that combined HRT increased breast cancer risk and suggested that doctors assist women in choosing the appropriate HRT formulation and regimen (Vinogradova, Coupland and Hippisley-Cox, 2020). A prospective follow-up study of 108647 women with a mean age of 65 years showed that the use of menopausal hormonal therapy (MHT) increased breast cancer risk (Cancer, 2019). Consequently, the positive association between estrogenic compounds and their metabolites on breast carcinogenesis has made it imperative to assess whether exposure to estrogen-mimicking endocrine-disrupting chemicals (EDCs) can increase breast cancer risk through similar pathways and mechanisms.



Figure 1.4 Genomic and non-genomic pathways of estrogen signalling

Estrogen-mediated signalling via ERs occurs via two pathways. **A**) Genomic (Direct Genomic) pathway: estrogen binds to ERs in the cytoplasm and undergoes conformational changes. The estrogen-ER complex is then translocated to the nucleus, where it interacts with chromatin at the estrogen receptor element (ERE) sequences and produces transcriptional changes in the nucleus. **B**) Non-genomic (indirect genomic) signalling pathway: estrogens bind to membrane-bound ERs such as GPER1 in the cytoplasm, which activates second messengers, which in turn activate transcription factors. Adapted from (Fuentes and Silveyra, 2019)

1.6 Endocrine Disruptors and Breast Carcinogenesis

Endocrine disruptors are exogenous compounds or mixtures of compounds that change the function(s) of the endocrine systems, resulting in deleterious health effects for an intact organism, its offspring or subpopulations (World Health Organization (WHO), 2002). These chemicals alter hormonal synthesis, release, transport, metabolism, excretion, binding and effect in the body (Soto and Sonnenschein, 2010). Mechanistically, they can act by interaction with hormone receptor protein complexes or proteins involved in hormone synthesis and delivery (Bergman et al., 2013). They exert their influence via the activation of estrogen receptors (ERs) (Table 1.3), androgen receptors (AR), progesterone receptors (PR), thyroid receptors (TR), serotonin receptors (SR), dopamine receptors, aryl hydrocarbon receptors (AhR), glucocorticoid receptors (GR), peroxisome proliferation activated receptors (PPAR), enzymatic and metabolic pathways (Diamanti-Kandarakis et al., 2009; Giulivo et al., 2016). A diverse range of natural and artificial substances have been known to cause endocrine disruption; these include Bisphenol A (plastic, metal food cans), phthalate (toys, medical devices), parabens (cosmetics, pharmaceuticals), polybrominated biphenyls (flame retardants), and DDT and its metabolites (pesticides) (Table 1.3) (Monneret, 2017). The routes of human exposure to endocrine disruptors are dermal (e.g. body creams), inhalation (polybrominated diphenyl ethers), oral (food contaminants), placental, storage in adipose tissues and exposure to offspring through feeding (e.g. breast milk) (Sharpe and Irvine, 2004).

Table 1.3 Selected environmental chemicals with endocrine-
disrupting activity, their use(s) and sources of human exposures
(Darbre, 2019; Darbre and Williams, 2022)

Compound		Use	Source of Human Exposure	
Polybrominated diphenyl ethers (PBDEs)		Flame retardants	Workplace/ domestic environment/ inhalation	
Bisphenol A		Plastics, epoxy resin	Storage of food and beverages (diet), domestic environment	
Phthalate esters		Plastics	Domestic consumer products	
Parabens		Preservative	Personal care products, food, pharmaceuticals	
Benzophenones		UV-filters	Personal care prod	
DDT (and metabolite	s)	Pesticides	Animal fat (diet), restricted uses allow for inhalation and dermal exposures	
Phytoestrogens		Natural component of plants	Plant materials in food, nutraceuticals, personal care products	
Synthetic estrogens		Pharmaceuticals, cosmeceuticals	Contraceptive pill, hormone replacement therapy personal care products	
Alkyl phenols		Detergents	Workplace/domestic environment, personal care products	

1.6.1 Bisphenol A



Figure 1.5. Structure of Bisphenol A

Bisphenol A (BPA, 2,2-bis(4-hydroxyphenol) propane is a plasticiser and a monomer used to produce plastics. It is one of the most widely produced chemicals globally, with an estimated 8 million tons produced annually (Vasiljevic and Harner, 2021). It is estimated that the global production of BPA will reach 9.5 million tons/year by 2028, with a market value of USD 26.67 billion (Loganathan *et al.*, 2023). However, the production of BPA has decreased because of the availability of alternatives such as BPS and BPF (Chi *et al.*, 2024). Alternatives to BPA, including bisphenol B (BPB), bisphenol S (BPS), bisphenol F (BPF), bisphenol P (BPP), and bisphenol AF (BPAF), are used commercially to produce BPA-free products (Garrison *et al.*, 2021). Other alternatives commonly regulated by regulatory agencies include bisphenol E (BPE), bisphenol Z (BPZ), bisphenol C (BPC), and bisphenol M (Mhaouty-Kodja *et al.*, 2024; den Braver-Sewradj, van Spronsen and Hessel, 2020). However, it has been shown that some of these BPA alternatives have deleterious effects. For example, BPA analogues (BPAF, BPF and BPS) showed estrogenic and developmental effects in a

zebrafish embryo. It was also shown that BPAF showed greater lethality, developmental toxicity and estrogenicity than BPA (Mu et al., 2018). BPS and BPF have also been shown to interfere with the normal function of the thyroid hormone signalling in both in vitro and in vivo studies (Zhang et al., 2018). Bjornsdotter and colleagues showed BPA alternatives found in paper products in the Netherlands, Spain, Sweden and Norway, including BPS, Pergafast 201, 4-hydroxyphenyl 4isopropoxyphenyl sulfone (D-8), diphenyl sulphone(D-90), 4,4'-sulfonylbis(2allylphenol) (TGSA) and 4-(4-prop-2-enoxyphenyl) sulfonyl (BPS-MAE). Analysis showed that BPS showed a lower but significant estrogenic effect compared to BPA. However, Pergafast 201, D-8, D-90, and TGSA showed little or no estrogenic effect compared to BPA but showed developmental effects (Björnsdotter et al., 2017). A comparison of BPA to BPA analogues, such as BPS, BPF, BPAP, BPF, BPZ and BPB, using MCF-7 cell lines, showed that BBP and BPZ had greater estrogenicity than BPA, suggesting that BPA alternatives are not necessarily less estrogenic and have to be used with caution (Mesnage et al., 2017). Overall, some alternatives to BPA have shown effects such as estrogenicity comparable to BPA. However, most of the BPA alternatives have weaker estrogenic effects than BPA.

BPA was originally synthesised as an artificial estrogen in 1890 and was shown in the 1930s to have the potency of estrone in affecting the female reproductive system in rats (Rochester, 2013). It is widely used in the production of polycarbonate (60% global production), epoxy resins (30% of production) and other applications (5%) (Darbre and Williams, 2022; Hahladakis, Iacovidou and Gerassimidou, 2023). Polycarbonate plastics manufacture plates, mugs, cookware and water tanks. The epoxy resins are used to coat the inner surfaces of food cans, drinking water reservoirs, and supply tubing. Other uses of BPA include manufacturing printing ink,

flame retardants, toys and pacifiers with polycarbonate shields, and thermal paper products used for cash receipts and recorders (EFSA Panel on Food Contact Materials *et al.*, 2023).

Humans are exposed to BPA through leaching from products, inhalation of dust from the environment, ingestion and dermal exposures (Prueitt *et al.*, 2023). BPA has been detected in human fluids and tissues such as amniotic fluid (Zhang *et al.*, 2020), breast milk (Altamirano *et al.*, 2015), follicular fluids (Vállez-Gomis *et al.*, 2024), urine (Zhang *et al.*, 2024b), sperm (Santiago *et al.*, 2024), urine (Jamka *et al.*, 2024; Jäger *et al.*, 2023), cord and placental tissues (McCabe *et al.*, 2023).

In the EU, the specific migration limit (SML), which is the maximum amount of chemicals allowed to leach from packaging into food, of BPA is 0.05mg/kg of food (Agriculture and Unit, 2021). The European Food and Safety Agency (EFSA) set a tolerable daily intake (TDI) of 0.2ng BPA/kg/bodyweight/day in 2023 (EFSA Panel on Food Contact Materials *et al.*, 2023). In 2017, Huang and colleagues estimated the global daily intake of BPA using urinary data from 2000-2016 from 30 countries, including the UK. They reported an estimated daily BPA intake of 30.8 ng/kg bw/day for adults and 60.1.ng/kg bw/day for children. They estimated the daily intake in the United Kingdom to be 34.89 ng/kg bw/day using urinary data from 2210 people (Huang *et al.*, 2017). This suggests that the concentration of BPA is still higher in people than the TDI set by EFSA.

1.6.2 Bisphenol A and Breast Cancer

In the body, BPA is metabolized into hepatic BPA-glucuronide, which enables easy urinary elimination, but unconjugated active BPA is responsible for its toxic effects (Vom Saal *et al.*, 2007). BPA binds to both subtypes of the estrogen receptor (ER α

and ER β), showing a ten-fold affinity for ER β . However, the affinity of BPA for estrogen receptors is 1000-2000 weaker than estradiol (Delfosse et al., 2012). Studies have shown that even at low concentrations, BPA can activate other pathways and produce molecular effects (Leung et al., 2020; Tonini et al., 2020). BPA has been shown to increase the proliferation of breast cancer cells. Deng and Colleagues showed that BPA increases the proliferation and migration of MCF-7 cell lines (Deng et al., 2021). BPA stimulated the proliferation and progression of the cell cycle in MCF-7 breast cancer cells in a nonmonotonic manner. There was also the upregulation of $ER\alpha$, pS2, and Bcl-2 mRNA (Wang et al., 2020). BPA causes migration, invasion and progression in MDA-MB-231 breast cancer cell lines through the activation of GPER, FAK, Src and ERK2-dependent pathways (Castillo Sanchez, Gomez and Perez Salazar, 2016). Similarly, low-dose BPA was able to induce the proliferation of breast cancer cells by activating the ERK1/2/ERR γ signalling (Song *et al.*, 2015). BPA exposure contributes to the poor prognosis of breast cancer through the obesity-inflammation-aromatase axis and the progression of triple-negative breast cancers (Engin and Engin, 2021; Zhang et al., 2016). Low BPA also reduces the efficacy of chemotherapeutic agents in ERα positive and negative breast cancers (LaPensee *et al.*, 2009). BPA has been shown to distort fetal mammary structure formation at very low and environmentally relevant concentrations (Vandenberg et al., 2007; Wadia et al., 2013).

The effect of BPA on breast cancer risk has been tested in many *in vivo* studies. Wormsbaecher and colleagues exposed mice in utero to BPA, which altered the stroma surrounding the mammary gland. Significant transcriptional deregulation of genes involved in cancer after 25µg kg/body weight, including collagen genes, resulted in increased collagen deposition in the mammary glands and stiff extracellular matrix (Wormsbaecher *et al.*, 2020). A mice xenograft exposed to 2.5 µg/L of BPA

through drinking water for 70 days resulted in an estimated 2-fold promotion in the primary tumour growth rate, lymph node metastasis and upregulation of CD206+ polarization of macrophages, suggesting that BPA can accelerate the initiation of invasive breast cancer (Kim *et al.*, 2019). To examine the impact of BPA on fetal programming for mammary tumour susceptibility, fetal mice were treated with 0, 25 and 250 μ g/kg BPA via oral gavage. They were subsequently treated with the carcinogen 7,12-dimethylben[a]anthracene (DMBA). The results showed an increase in susceptibility to breast cancer in both low and high-dose BPA compared to controls, suggesting the ability of BPA to increase breast tumorigenesis through molecular alteration of fetal gland programming (Weber Lozada and Keri, 2011). Jenkins and colleagues examined the effect of chronic exposure to BPA on adult breast mammary carcinogenesis. Transgenic mice were fed with different concentrations of BPA (0, 2.5, 25, 250 and 2500 μ g/L) via drinking water for 56 to 122 or 252 days. The results showed that BPA significantly increased mammary tumorigenesis and metastasis in transgenic mice in a nonmonotonic manner (Jenkins *et al.*, 2011).

Epidemiological studies on the impact of BPA on breast cancer risk have been mixed. In the Wisconsin Breast Density Study involving 264 postmenopausal women, BPA was found to be positively associated with increased breast density, which is a breast cancer risk (Sprague *et al.*, 2013). Increased breast density was associated with both low and high urinary BPA concentrations of 200 adolescent girls in the Growth and Obesity Cohort Study in Chile (Binder *et al.*, 2018). A prospective cohort study of the urinary BPA from 8,035 participants in the USA showed that a BPA concentration of less than 1.99 ng/mL was associated with a higher risk of cancer mortality (Yuan *et al.*, 2024). However, a meta-analysis of 9 studies, comprising 7820 breast cancer cases and controls, showed no correlation between breast cancer and BPA (Liu *et al.*,

2021). A Multiethnic Cohort Study of 1,032 postmenopausal women with breast cancer and 1030 matched controls found no correlation between BPA and breast cancer risk (Wu *et al.*, 2021)

1.6.3 p, p'-DDE



Figure 1.6 Chemical structure of p,p'-DDE

p,p' DDE (1 dichloro 2,2 bis (p chlorophenyl) ethylene) is one of the major metabolites of the organochlorine pesticide DDT (1,1,1 trichloro-2,2 bis(p chlorophenyl) ethane) (Koureas *et al.*, 2019). It is an endocrine disruptor in both humans and wildlife (Burgos-Aceves *et al.*, 2021). Like its parent compound, p,p'-DDE is lipophilic, stable, persistent, and bioaccumulated in the environment and human adipose tissues (Mirmigkou and de Boer, 2016). It is not easily metabolised and has a half-life of 10 years in human plasma (Wong *et al.*, 2015; Rogan and Chen, 2005). It is more detected in the human body than DDT (Yipei *et al.*, 2022). Humans are exposed to p,p' DDE primarily through diet due to its bioaccumulation in the food chain (Kezios *et al.*, 2013). p,p'- DDE is easily absorbed by the gastrointestinal tract and the highest concentrations in the body are found in the adipose tissue (Kirman *et al.*, 2011). It can also be passed on from the placenta and breast milk to the foetus and babies (Rogan and Chen, 2005). An analysis of the breast tissue of 51 women with different breast health at the Walter Reed National Military Medical Center showed that p,p'-DDE was the most abundant organochloride (Ellsworth *et al.*, 2018). A prospective study of 93,000 daughters in the Child Health and Development Studies (CHDS) showed that maternal exposure to o,p'-DDT increased the risk of breast cancer for the daughter (odds ratio 3.7, 95 Cl 1.5-9.0) by the age of 52 years (Cohn *et al.*, 2015). Another prospective study of 309 daughters from CHDS showed that in utero exposure to o,p'-DDT increased the breast density of the daughter by 6% (95 Cl, 1.5-10.8, p-value= 0.01) (Krigbaum *et al.*, 2020).

A complex mixture of organochloride (OCs) containing p,p'-DDE caused the proliferation of MCF-7 breast cancer cell lines due to its estrogenic activity (Aube, Larochelle and Ayotte, 2011). p,p'- DDE was able to cause proliferation in CAMA-1 and MCF7 cell lines in a dose-dependent manner (Aubé, Larochelle and Ayotte, 2008). Prepubertal mice were exposed to p,p'-DDE at human-relevant concentrations, which accelerated the initiation of mammary tumours (Johnson *et al.*, 2012). A mixture of organochlorides, including p,p'-DDE, was able to induce mammary lesions but did not cause obvious tumours in neonatal rats (Desaulniers *et al.*, 2001).

Kloas and Hoffman demonstrated in *Xenopus laevis* that p,p'-DDE has both estrogenic and anti-androgenic effects. They did this by exposing male Xenopus laevis to p,p'-DDE and analysing their mate-calling behaviour. They reported an alteration and disruption in the mate-calling when exposed to p,p'-DDE, which resulted in reduced reproduction (Hoffmann and Kloas, 2016). *In vitro* studies showed that p,p'-DDE increased proliferation in breast cancer cell lines CAMA-1 and MCF7 in a dosedependent manner (Aubé, Larochelle and Ayotte, 2008).

The causal link between organochlorine pesticides such as p,p'-DDE and breast cancer has been inconclusive. Some epidemiological studies have shown a causal link between DDT and its analogues, such as p,p'-DDE and breast cancer (Høyer *et al.*, 2000; Romieu *et al.*, 2000; Arrebola *et al.*, 2015). This was, however, not supported in other epidemiological studies that found no link between organochlorine compounds and breast cancer (Itoh *et al.*, 2009; López-Cervantes *et al.*, 2004; Iwasaki *et al.*, 2008). A meta-analysis of studies published between 2004-2012 on DDE exposure and breast cancer did not show a positive correlation (Ingber *et al.*, 2013). Another meta-analysis of 35 studies, hospital-based case-control, population-based case-control, and nested case-control, showed that exposure to *p 'p*-DDE did not elevate breast cancer risk in humans (Park *et al.*, 2014).

A case-control study (72 women with breast cancer and 78 controls) to examine the effect of residual DDT in agricultural soils revealed DDT metabolite, p,p'-DDE, was found to increase breast cancer risk in many agrarian regions in China (Tang *et al.*, 2014). In the Carolina Breast Cancer Study Phase I, 456 white and 292 black women had their blood samples measured for DDE/DDT 4.1 months after diagnosis. It showed that p,p'-DDE exposure negatively impacted breast cancer survival (Parada Jr *et al.*, 2019). A study of 100 women (50 breast cancer cases and 50 controls) at the Addis Ababa University Oncology on the impact of organochlorides on breast cancer risk in 2020 showed that although DDT was associated with increased breast cancer risk, its metabolites, such as p,p'-DDE, were not among Ethiopian women (Mekonen *et al.*, 2021).

1.6.4 Parabens

Parabens are a class of para-hydroxybenzoic esters with alkyl groups of varying length (methyl to butyl or benzyl), which are widely used as preservatives in cosmetics, shampoos, skin care products, toothpaste, fragrances, pharmaceuticals, food and beverages (Jonkers *et al.*, 2009). Parabens are absorbed orally, subcutaneously and orally (Aubert, Ameller and Legrand, 2012). Parabens have been detected in human breast milk (Ye *et al.*, 2008). They have also been detected in normal breast tissues (0.15 to 0.31 ng/mg), with higher levels detected in cancerous tissues (1.01 ng/mg) (Alampanos *et al.*, 2020). Parabens have been extracted from human breast tumours using high-pressure liquid chromatography followed by tandem mass spectrometry, indicating that parabens can be found intact in breast tissues (Darbre *et al.*, 2004). Methyl paraben, propyl paraben, ethyl paraben, butyl paraben and isobutyl paraben have been detected in urine samples of women, men and children in Spain, Canada, and the United States (Casas *et al.*, 2011; Ye *et al.*, 2006; Genuis, Birkholz and Curtis, 2013).

Paraben compounds have shown estrogenic activity in *in silico* and *in vitro* approaches (Wei, Cheng and Sang, 2022). However, Routledge and colleagues demonstrated that the estrogenic effect of parabens is weaker than that of 17β -estradiol (Routledge *et al.*, 1998). This estrogenic activity has been demonstrated in in vitro studies using ZR-75-1 and MCF7 breast cancer cell lines (Okubo *et al.*, 2001; Darbre *et al.*, 2003). The estrogenicity of parabens has also been demonstrated in *in vivo* experiments in immature mice. After mice were exposed to three daily doses of 1.2 or 12 mg of isobutylparaben subcutaneously, the uterine weight increased (Darbre *et al.*, 2002). Parabens bind to estrogen receptors (ER α and ER β) in both breast cancer cell lines

(MCF-7) and non-cancerous cell lines (MCF-10A), suggesting their roles in contributing to breast cancer risk (Wróbel and Gregoraszczuk, 2014).

Paraben induced oncogene expression and caused the proliferation of the HER2positive human BT-474 breast cancer cell line via estrogen receptor activation (ERα) (Pan *et al.*, 2016). Parabens measured at human breast tissue concentrations, acting individually and as a mixture, induced proliferation in MCF7 cells in vitro, suggesting that to assess the effect of parabens, they must be tested as a mixture and not individually (Charles and Darbre, 2013). Long-term exposure to paraben increases proliferative, migratory, and invasive activity in human breast cancer cells (MCF7, T47-D, and ZR-75-1) (Khanna, Dash and Darbre, 2014). Parabens are chemicals of concern, and their exposure impacts breast cancer risk because of their ubiquitous presence in human breast tissues, their ability to induce proliferative, invasive, and migratory activity in breast cancer cell lines, and the suppression of breast cancer cell proliferation (Darbre and Harvey, 2014).



Figure 1.7. Chemical Structure of Methylparaben (MeP), Ethylparaben (EtP), Propylparaben (PrP) and Butylparaben (BuP).

1.6.5 Musks

Synthetic polycyclic musks are a class of aromatic, lipophilic compounds consisting of a benzene ring. Synthetic musks include classes of chemicals such as nitro-musks, polycyclic musks and macrocyclic musks (Sumner *et al.*, 2010). Of these classes, polycyclic musks are widely used because of their excellent aroma, low cost, affinity to fabrics and stability under light and alkaline conditions (Li *et al.*, 2020b). Synthetic musks are widely used as fragrances in soaps, perfumes, cosmetics, air fresheners, detergents and shampoos (Gooding *et al.*, 2006).

Twelve synthetic musks are commercially used in personal care products (Pinkas, Gonçalves and Aschner, 2017). The most widely used polycyclic musks (95% of EU market and 90% of US market) are galaxolide (HHCB,1,3,4,6,7,8-hexahydro-4,6,6,7,8,8-hexamethylcyclopenta(g)-2-benzopyran)and tonalide (AHTN, 7-acetyl-1,1,3,4,4,6 hexamethyl tetrahydronaphthalene) (Santiago-Morales *et al.*, 2012). Globally, 4500 tons of galaxolide and tonalide are produced annually (Ayuk-Takem *et al.*, 2014). They are commonly detected in surface water and have been found in wastewater plant (WWTP) influents and effluents in the USA, Germany, Spain, and the Western Balkans (Lange, Kuch and Metzger, 2015). In the UK, Galaxolide (987-2098 ng/L) and Tonalide (55-159 ng/L) were detected in effluents at the Tamar and Plym Estuaries (Sumner *et al.*, 2010).

They are lipophilic with a high octanol/water partition coefficient (K_{ow}) and, therefore, can bioaccumulate in human fat, blood, breast milk, and aquatic organisms and adhere to sediments (Lange, Kuch and Metzger, 2015; Ehiguese *et al.*, 2019). Using the E-screen assay, Bitsch and colleagues showed that Tonalide increases cell proliferation in MCF-7 breast cancer cell line. However, the estrogenic effect was

significantly lower than 17β -estradiol (Bitsch *et al.*, 2002). On the other hand, galaxolide showed antiestrogenic activity by inhibiting the activity of 17β -estradiol in a yeast estrogenicity assay (YES) (Simmons *et al.*, 2010). An *in vitro* reporter assay showed that tonalide and galaxolide showed both estrogenic and antiestrogenic activity depending on the cell line and the subtype of the estrogen receptor that was targeted (Schreurs *et al.*, 2002). Another reporter gene assay showed that tonalide and galaxolide, antiandrogenic and antiprogestogen activity (Van Der Burg *et al.*, 2008). Cavanagh and colleagues also confirmed the estrogenicity of galaxolide (Cavanagh *et al.*, 2018). Sub-lethal level tonalide and galaxolide have been shown to accelerate cell metastasis of glioblastoma spheroids (Doğanlar *et al.*, 2021).



Figure 1.8. Chemical Structure of musks: (A) Galaxolide and (B) Tonalide

1.6.6 3-Benzylidin Camphor

3-benzylidene camphor (3-BC) is a UV filter used in sunscreens and cosmetic products (Søeborg *et al.*, 2006; Kunz, Gries and Fent, 2006). A recombinant yeast assay has shown that 3-BC has estrogenic activity by activating the human ER α (Kunz and Fent, 2006). It induces the proliferation of MCF-7 cell line and has been shown in the rat uterotrophic assay to be strongly selective towards ER β (Schlumpf *et al.*, 2004).

The Yeast Estrogen Screen (YES) was used to test for the estrogenicity of 3-BC. It showed that at an EC₅₀ value of 44.2 μ M, 3-BC activated human estrogen α (Schmitt *et al.*, 2008).

Due to their lipophilic nature, they can be absorbed and accumulate in the skin after prolonged topical application.3-BC was detected in rat adipose tissues, muscle, brain, liver, and plasma following dermal application of the chemical, indicating passage through the skin (Søeborg *et al.*, 2006; Paul, 2019).



Figure 1.9. Chemical Structure 3-Benzylidin Camphor

1.6.7 Benzophenones

Benzophenones(BPs) are a class of aromatic ketones consisting of two main rings linked by a carbonyl group (Mao, He and Gin, 2019). BPs are able to absorb ultraviolet-A (UV-A, 315-400nm) and ultraviolet-B (UV-B, 280-315nm) radiations (Kerdivel *et al.*, 2013). They are used extensively to manufacture sunscreens, food packaging, clothing, optical lenses, agricultural chemicals, shampoos, toothpaste, deodorants, pharmaceuticals and cosmetics (Coutinho, Vianna and Marques, 2022; Fent, Zenker and Rapp, 2010). Structurally, BPs consist of two benzene rings connected by a carbonyl group with different substitutions, yielding twelve derivates used in commercial products (BP1-BP12) (Park *et al.*, 2013). Benzophenone derivatives are absorbed through the skin and bioaccumulated in humans and wildlife due to their lipophilicity (Suzuki *et al.*, 2005; Kerdivel *et al.*, 2013). In Europe, most sunscreen products contain about 10% benzophenone (Guo *et al.*, 2020a). They are mostly released into the environment by bathing in water bodies, washing personal hygiene into wastewater treatment plants (WWTPs), and leaching from plastics and coatings (Carstensen *et al.*, 2022).

Benzophenone-3 (BP-3, 2-hydroxy-4-methoxybenzophenone, oxybenzone) was synthesised in 1906 and approved by the United States Food and Drug Administration for use in the 1980s. It is one of the widely used derivatives of benzophenones in the manufacture of sunscreens and cosmetics as a UV filter. It is also approved to be used in building materials, furniture, toys, and food plastic containers (Mustieles et al., 2023).Benzophenone-2(BP-2,2',2',4,4'-tetrahydroxybenzophenone) is а benzophenone derivate used in cosmetics, acrylic adhesives, plastics, and coatings (Downs et al., 2014). In the EU and America, BP-2 has been banned in sunscreens due to the high concentration normally used in the formulations (de Sousa et al., 2016). BP-3 showed an estrogenic effect in MCF-7 breast cancer cells by increasing cell proliferation (Schlumpf et al., 2001). Long-term exposure to BP-3 increases migration and invasion of MCF-7 and MDA-MB-231 breast cancer cell lines through estrogendependent and estrogen-independent mechanisms (Alamer and Darbre, 2018). In vivo, estrogenicity of BP-3 has been reported in uterotrophic assays, where immature rats fed with 1525 mg/kg bw/day exhibited a 25% increase in uterine weight (Schlumpf et al., 2001). BP-3 elicited epithelial mammary tumorigenesis in mice that had been

fed a lifelong high-fat diet but was protective in mice fed a lifelong low-fat diet (Kariagina *et al.*, 2020).



Figure 1.10 Structure of (A) Benzophenone-3 and (B) Benzophenone-2

1.6.8 BDE 100

Polybrominated diphenyl ethers (PBDEs) are a class of brominated flame retardants (BFRs) that are added to resins and polymers to reduce the risk of flammability (Stapleton *et al.*, 2008). The commercially produced PBDEs are pentabromodiphenyl ethers (Penta-BDE), octabromodiphenyl ethers (Octa-BDE), and decabromodiphenyl ethers (Deca-BDE) (Linares, Bellés and Domingo, 2015). The use of PBDEs has been phased out in many countries, as they have been found in human tissues worldwide,

suggesting ongoing exposure (Kanaya *et al.*, 2019). Humans are exposed to PBDEs through oral ingestions through diet and dust, atmospheric inhalation, and dermal contact. The PBDE and its congeners have been detected in human serum, breast milk and fat tissues (Wu *et al.*, 2020). The estrogenicity of PBDEs has been shown in a luciferase reporter gene assay using a T47D breast cancer cell line. BDE-100 showed the most potent estrogenic activity of the 11 PBDEs tested (Meerts *et al.*, 2001). PBDE showed estrogenic activity by increasing proliferation in the MCF-7 breast cancer cell line (Mercado-Feliciano and Bigsby, 2008).

A case-control study of 209 breast cancer cases and 165 controls in Shantou, China, between January 2014 and May 2016 showed that BDE-100 was positively associated with breast cancer risk (He *et al.*, 2018).



Figure 1.11 Structure of BDE-100

1.7 Fatty Acids and Breast Carcinogenesis

1.7.1 Fatty Acids

Fatty acids are a diverse group of molecules that are important cellular building blocks and act as energy sources via β -oxidation, membrane building blocks and cytokine synthesis (Falomir-Lockhart *et al.*, 2019). Structurally, they are hydrophobic molecules made up of a carboxylic group and a chain of carbon and hydrogen atoms. They can be grouped in four different ways depending on the presence and number of double bonds between the carbon atoms, the number of carbon atoms, the position of the double bonds and the orientation of the double bond. Based on the type of bonds, fatty acids are divided into saturated (no double bond) and unsaturated (presence of double bonds). Unsaturated fatty acids are divided based on the number of double bonds: single double bonds (monounsaturated, MUFAs) and multiple double bonds (polyunsaturated, PUFAs) (Maltsev and Maltseva, 2021). They can also be classified based on the number of carbon atoms in the structure. Based on the carbon number, saturated fatty acids are divided into short chain (3-7 carbon atoms), medium chain (8-13), long chain (14-20 carbon atoms) and very long (>21 carbon atoms). Unsaturated fatty acid can be divided into three groups based on carbon length: shortchain (<19 carbon atoms), long-chain (20-24 carbon atoms) and very long chain (>25 carbon atoms. The orientation of the carbon atoms in relation to the double bond gives rise to *cis* or *trans* fatty acid (Kalish, Fallon and Puder, 2012). Fatty acids can alter cellular processes such as metabolism, gene expression, hormone response, and the production of bioactive lipid mediators. These perturbations can affect physiological activities and health and cause disease states (Calder, 2015).

Generally, fatty acids can be synthesised *de novo* from sugars such as glucose, sucrose and their derivates (Zhai *et al.*, 2021). Cells can also obtain fatty acids from

blood circulation through transporter-mediated uptake or passive permeation. This uptake is facilitated by transporter proteins such as CD36, also called fatty acid translocase (FAT), fatty acid transport protein family (FATPs), and plasma membrane fatty acid-binding protein (FABpm)(Koundouros and Poulogiannis, 2020). Healthy cells use circulation fatty acids; however, cells synthesise fatty acids when they become malignant (Vander Heiden, Cantley and Thompson, 2009; Ray and Roy, 2018). Fatty acids are a major metabolic substrate to meet the high energy demand needed to sustain the rapid proliferation of cancer cells (Hanahan and Weinberg, 2011).

Increased lipogenesis is one of the important metabolic characteristics of cancer cells. Depending on the cancer type, cancer cells can synthesise 95% of saturated and monosaturated fatty acids *de novo* (Zaidi *et al.*, 2013). Elevated levels of lipogenic enzymes such as FASN and SREBP mark increased fatty acid synthesis in cancer cells (Williams *et al.*, 2013; Flaveny *et al.*, 2015). Inhibition of genes involved in the *de novo* synthesis of fatty acids has become important in developing effective therapy for different types of cancers (Danhier *et al.*, 2017).

A causal link between dietary fatty acids and breast cancer risk has not been conclusively established (Matta *et al.*, 2021). A case-control study to assess the association between saturated fatty acids and breast cancer of 1661 breast cancer cases and 1674 controls in China revealed that all subgroups of fatty acids (medium chain, long chain and very long-chain) were inverse to the odds of developing breast cancer (Jiang *et al.*, 2024). A hospital-based case-control study including 1589 breast cancer cases and 1621 controls showed that higher intake of polyunsaturated fatty acid (n-3 PUFA) and its subtypes resulted in lower breast cancer risk (Zhang *et al.*, 2022). A multicentre case-control in Spain (EpiGEICAM study) conducted a food and

lifestyle audit via a questionnaire of 1017 breast cancer cases and controls. The study showed that women with higher serum concentrations of stearic acid, linoleic acid, and arachidonic acid had lower breast cancer risk. In contrast, women with higher levels of serum palmitoleic acid, palmitelaidic acid and elaidic acid showed a higher risk of breast cancer (Lope *et al.*, 2020). Another case-control study recruited 473 women with breast cancer and 501 healthy individual women as controls. Dietary intakes of saturated fatty acids were validated by a food frequency questionnaire. The results established women who consumed a high intake of polyunsaturated fatty acid were 1.5 times more likely to develop breast cancer as compared to controls. There was a positive association between saturated fatty acids and breast cancer risk among postmenopausal women (Mozafarinia *et al.*, 2021). Lipid synthesis and alteration in lipid-induced signalling are some of the ways fatty acids contribute to breast cancer initiation and progression (Baumann, Sevinsky and Conklin, 2013).

The European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition (EPIC) study used questionnaires to investigate the dietary fatty acid intake of 60 individuals. An analysis of the plasma fatty acids showed the presence of different fatty acids (Huybrechts *et al.*, 2023). The fatty acids chosen for this project were among the most frequently consumed in Europe.

1.7.1.1 Palmitic Acid

Palmitic Acid (16:0, PA) is the most common saturated fatty acid in the human body. It is supplied in diet or synthesised endogenously from other fatty acids, carbohydrates, and amino acids. Palmitic acid accounts for 20-30% of total fatty acids in membrane phospholipids and adipose triacylglycerols (TAG) (Carta *et al.*, 2017). It is primarily found in palm oil (44% of all fatty acids in palm oil). It is also found in meat

and dairy products (50-60%), cocoa butter (26%), olive oil (8-20%) and breast milk (20-30%) (Innis, 2016). The concentration of palmitic acid in breast milk is 20-30% of total fat. This concentration is unaffected by dietary changes, made possible by endogenous biosynthesis of palmitic acid by de novo lipogenesis that counterbalances dietary intake (Carta et al., 2017). This tight homeostatic regulation of palmitic acid is essential due to its importance in physiological processes such that disruption causes diseases such as atherosclerosis, cancers and neurodegenerative conditions (Innis, 2016; Carta et al., 2017). A causal link between palmitic acid and breast cancer has been inconclusive so far. A meta-analysis of 3 cohort studies and 7 case-control studies comprising 2,031 cases and 2,334 controls was conducted to establish the relationship between breast cancer and fatty acid biomarkers. In the cohort studies, palmitic acid was associated with increased breast cancer risk (Saadatian-Elahi et al., 2004). The dietary intake of specific fatty acids among 722 postmenopausal women in the VITAL (VITamins And Lifestyle) cohort study. The study used a semiquantitative Food Frequency Questionnaire (FFQ) to estimate the frequency and portion sizes of 120 foods and beverages consumed in the previous year. The study reported a strong association between fatty acids such as palmitic acid 1.68 (95% CI 1.13-2.50, p-trend =0.02) and breast cancer (Sczaniecka et al., 2012). However, a study carried out in Northern Italy found no link positive link between breast cancer incidence and palmitic acid (Pala et al., 2001).

1.7.1.2 Stearic Acid

Stearic acid (IUPAC: octadecanoic acid) was purified and identified in 1823 by Michel-Eugene Chevreul (Patti *et al.*, 2021). It is an 18-carbon (C18) saturated fatty acid and one of the major SFA in Western diets alongside palmitic acid (Loften *et al.*, 2014; van

Rooijen and Mensink, 2020). Foods rich in stearic acid include vegetable fat, beef, chocolate and dairy (Shen et al., 2014; Loften et al., 2014). It is used extensively in the cosmetic, pharmaceutical, and plastics industries (Patti et al., 2021). Stearic acid has been shown to selectively induce apoptosis in cancerous cells in a time and dosedependent manner (Evans et al., 2009a). It has also shown anti-metastatic properties to breast cancer by reducing the size of tumours by 50% in athymic nude mice (Evans et al., 2009b). Exposure of human breast cancer cell lines (MDA-MB-361, MCF-7 and MDA-MB-231) to esters of stearic acid showed an inhibition of cell proliferation, suggesting an anti-cancer effect of stearic acid (Khan et al., 2013). Some evidence suggests a protective effect of stearic acid. For example, it has been shown that Stearic acid inhibited epidermal growth factor (EGF) (Wickramasinghe et al., 1996) and prevented breast cancer neoplastic progression at G1 and G2 phases at physiological conditions (Li et al., 2011). Conversely, a cohort study of 722 women postmenopausal women (50-76yrs) showed an increase in breast cancer risk due to intake of saturated fatty acids such as stearic acid 1.65 (95 CI: 1.12-2.43, P-trend = 0.02) (Sczaniecka et al., 2012).

1.7.1.3 Elaidic Acid

Trans fatty acids are a class of unsaturated fatty acids having at least 1 unconjugated double bond in their *trans* configuration (Chajès *et al.*, 2011). TFAs are grouped into two depending on their sources: industrial TFA (iTFA) produced from the partial hydrogenation of vegetable oils and ruminant TFA (rTFA) from the bacterial hydrogenation in the rumen and mostly found in milk and meat of ruminants (Kuhnt *et al.*, 2011; Kuhnt, Degen and Jahreis, 2016). Industrial fatty acids (iTFA) constitute an estimated 9% of total energy consumption (Krogager *et al.*, 2015). Elaidic acid (trans-

octadecanoic acid, C18:1 trans9; t9 and C18:1 t10) is the major industrial trans fatty acid (iTFA). It is a semi-solid monosaturated fatty acid with a double at position 9 in the trans configuration and has a melting point between 44.5-45°C (Kuhnt, Degen and Jahreis, 2016; Kwon, 2016; Vendel Nielsen *et al.*, 2013). It is an exogenous fatty acid and is supplied through dietary sources such as margarine, cakes and other industry-processed foods (Michels *et al.*, 2021).

A systematic review of 46 articles showed that dietary TFAs, including elaidic acid, showed a positive association with colorectal cancer and prostate cancer but not breast cancer (Michels *et al.*, 2021). On the other hand, breast cancer risk in 318,607 women aged 35 years and older in the European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition (EPIC) enrolled between 1992-2000 showed that increased elaidic acid consumption led to an elevated breast cancer risk (1.14 95% CI: 1.06-1.23 p trend = 0.001) (Matta *et al.*, 2021).

1.7.1.4 Linoleic Acid

Linoleic acid (LA, 18:2n-6) is the major n-6 polyunsaturated fatty acid (PUFA) that is found in Western diets, accounting for 7% of daily calorie intake (Taha, 2020). It is an essential fatty acid supplied through dietary sources such as vegetable oils, nuts, cereals, legumes, meats, eggs and milk (Marangoni *et al.*, 2020). It is a structural component of membrane phospholipids that keeps membrane fluidity and water permeability of the epidermis (Whelan and Fritsche, 2013). Linoleic acid and its metabolites have been implicated in various metabolic disorders and cancers (Vangaveti *et al.*, 2016). The role of linoleic acid in breast cancer has been inconclusive.

Linoleic acid increased the growth of T47D cells by activating the estrogen receptor (ER α), G13 α G protein, and p38 MAP kinase gene (Serna-Marquez *et al.*, 2017). In MDA-MB-231 cells, linoleic acid promoted migration and invasion of breast cancer cells by activating the EGFR-/PI3K-/Akt pathway (Serna-Marquez *et al.*, 2017).

Linoleic was shown to promote mammary tumours due to its role in tumour prostaglandins (PG), but this effect has not been mechanistically elucidated (Cohen *et al.*, 1986). A combined meta-analysis and review of in vivo experiments, case-control, prospective, and ecological human trials showed that high intake of linoleic acid did not have a significant increase in breast cancer risk (Zock and Katan, 1998). A review of animal and epidemiologic studies showed that linoleic acid is involved in mammary cancers, possibly because it causes oxidative DNA damage, increased cell proliferation, and elevated levels of free estrogen (Bartsch, Nair and Owen, 1999). However, a meta-analysis of eight prospective cohort studies and four nested control case-control studies showed that dietary linoleic acid reduced breast cancer risk, although the reduction was not statistically significant (Zhou *et al.*, 2016).

1.	.4	List	of	Fatty	Acids	and	References
----	----	------	----	-------	-------	-----	------------

Fatty Acid	References
Palmitic Acid	(Sczaniecka et al., 2012), (Pala et al.,
	2001; Carta <i>et al.</i> , 2017; Innis, 2016)
Linoleic Acid	(Serna-Marquez et al., 2017), (Cohen et
	<i>al.</i> , 1986)
Stearic Acid	(Sczaniecka <i>et al.</i> , 2012),
	(Wickramasinghe <i>et al</i> ., 1996), (Khan <i>et</i>
	<i>al.</i> , 2013), (Zhou <i>et al</i> ., 2016; Matta <i>et al.</i> ,
	2021)
Elaidic Acid	(Matta et al., 2021), (Michels et al.,
	2021), (Kuhnt, Degen and Jahreis, 2016)

1.8 Mixture Effect of Chemicals

Human exposure to environmental toxicants does not occur as a single chemical exposure. Generally, humans are exposed to low doses of mixtures of chemicals, and the exposures may be episodic, chronic, sub-chronic or acute (Teuschler and Hertzberg, 1995). Elucidating and quantifying the potential deleterious effect of chemical mixtures has been an age-long challenge in pharmacology and toxicology (Liu and Sayes, 2024). Despite the ubiquitous nature of chemical mixtures in the environment, regulatory bodies have historically focused on a single chemical risk assessment approach in their legislation (Backhaus and Faust, 2012). However, over the last few decades, legislation and frameworks have been developed to assess the potential effect of chemical mixtures (Lasch *et al.*, 2020), seeing as *in vitro* and *in vivo* studies have shown that endocrine-disrupting compounds (EDCs) can act in combination at low and ineffective levels to elicit significant and detectable effects (Silva, Rajapakse and Kortenkamp, 2002; Chen *et al.*, 2015; Benoit *et al.*, 2022; Howdeshell *et al.*, 2008).

Evidence shows that humans are exposed to a cocktail of compounds in breast tissues. Earlier studies by Aronson and colleagues detected the presence of a mixture of organochlorides and polychlorinated biphenyls in breast tissues. They found these chemicals after analysing 217 breast cancer cases and 213 benign cases (Aronson *et al.*, 2000). An analysis of thirty-nine food samples and five mammary glands collected in Shanghai, China, from 2000-2001 showed the presence of low concentrations of environmental chemicals such as o,p'-DDT, chlordane compounds (CHLs), hexachlorobenzene (HCB), and polychlorinated biphenyls (PCBs) (Nakata *et al.*, 2002). Another study analysing maternal serum, urine and amniotic fluids from 200 pregnant women recruited between 2001-2004 in Denmark showed the presence of

parabens (methylparaben and ethylparaben), phenols (2,4-chlorophenol, 2,5chlorophenol, 2-polyphenols), and phthalate metabolites (mono-(2-carboxymethylhexyl)phthalate and mono-carboxy-*iso*-octyl phthalate at very low concentrations (Bräuner *et al.*, 2022). Furthermore, Wang and colleagues analyzed 20 adipose tissues sourced from New York City, USA, for the presence of environmental chemicals. They reported the presence of BPA, BP-3, and parabens (Wang, Asimakopoulos and Kannan, 2015). The presence of these mixtures of chemicals in the human body at low concentrations ultimately increases an individual's 'estrogenic load' and could consequently increase breast cancer risk (Kortenkamp, 2008).

1.8.1 Models For Measuring Mixture Effects

Two models have been developed to predict the expected effect of a mixture of chemicals: concentration addition (CA) and independent action (IA) (Lasch *et al.*, 2020). Concentration addition (also known as dose or response addition) was developed by German scientist Loewe in 1926 (Loewe, 1926). It is the concept generally used in risk assessment of mixture toxicity and is applied in mixtures where individual chemicals have similar mechanisms of action. This concept assumes that chemicals behave as dilutions of each other and, therefore, allow for a mixture effect even if the individual components are present at a no-effect level. The contribution of each chemical to the overall toxicity of the mixture is directly proportional to their respective concentrations (Kortenkamp, Backhaus and Faust, 2009). Independent action (IA) was introduced by Bliss (Bliss, 1939) and is generally applicable to mixtures where the individual components have different mechanisms of action. It assumes that the chemicals in the mixture affect the same target tissues, cells, and molecular receptors (Backhaus and Faust, 2012). However, it is seldom used in risk assessment

of mixture toxicity because strictly independent events rarely occur in biological organisations (Kortenkamp, Backhaus and Faust, 2009).

In a chemical mixture, the individual chemicals interact with each other, and elucidating this interaction allows for the determination of each chemical's contribution to the mixture effect. Chemicals in a mixture interact in three main ways: additive, synergistic, or antagonistic. A mixture effect is said to be additive, where individual compounds do not reduce or increase the effect of each other. The chemicals in additive mixtures do not interact with each other but produce the same effects as they would if acting independently. The components in the mixture act as a dilution of each other, and the overall mixture effect can be derived by adding the individual concentration of chemicals to the mixture. Additivity normally indicates the absence of synergism or antagonism (Olszowy-Tomczyk, 2020).

A mixture effect is said to be antagonistic when chemicals in a mixture produce an effect less than the predicted additive effect (Meek *et al.*, 2011). For example, a mixture of inorganic arsenic (As), 1,2 dichloroethane (DCE) and trichloroethylene (TCE) was demonstrated to have an antagonistic effect on preneoplastic hepatic lesions, bronchoalveolar hyperplasia and adenoma formation in male Fisher 344 rats (Pott, Benjamin and Yang, 1998). Another study has demonstrated that the toxic effect of the heavy metal cadmium (anaemia and nephrogenic hypertension) is considerably reduced when mixed with calcium, zinc and selenium (Yu, Tsunoda and Tsunoda, 2011). Ramirez-Acosta and colleagues also demonstrated the antagonistic effect of selenium on Cadmium. Hepatic cells (HepG2) were exposed to different concentrations of cadmium (5 μ M, 15 μ M and 25 μ M) and selenium (100 μ M) alone and in combination. They reported that co-exposure to Selenium and cadmium increased hepatic cell viability and diminished cadmium accumulation (Ramírez-Acosta *et al.*,

2022). Conversely, a mixture effect is said to be synergistic if the effect is greater than the predicted effect of the individual chemicals in the mixture (Kortenkamp and Altenburger, 1999; Cedergreen, 2014). Synergistic mixtures have posed a challenge to regulatory agencies for many years. However, a review of existing literature on mixtures of pesticides, metal ions and antifouling agents showed that synergism was present in between 7-26% of the studies (Cedergreen, 2014). Recently, Martin and colleagues conducted a quantitative reappraisal of mixture studies between 2007 and 2017. Out of the 388 studies reanalysed, 20% of the mixture studies were synergistic (Martin *et al.*, 2021). The search for synergistic interactions between chemicals has been of great interest among toxicologists and chemical regulators. Jatkowska and colleagues reported a synergistic relationship between BPA, Bisphenol A Diglycidyl Ether (BADGE), and Bisphenol A Diglycidyl Ether Dichlorohydrin (BADGE.2HCL). The authors further suggested that this synergism was confirmed in both Concentration Addition (CA) and Independent Action (AI) models. They further confirmed that the synergistic effect is due to the fact that these chemicals have similar mechanisms of action (Jatkowska et al., 2021). However, the conclusions of one of the seminal papers on the synergistic effect of estrogenic pesticides by Arnold and colleagues (Arnold et al., 1996) could not be replicated by other researchers, such as Ashby and his team (Ashby et al., 1997). Consequently, Silva argued that scientists are at risk of discarding the additive effect of exposure to cocktails of EDCs because of their emphasis on synergistic interactions (Silva, 2003).

1.9 Multifactorial effects on breast cancer

The extent to which mixtures of endocrine disruptors interact with other breast cancer risk factors such as diet, genetic mutation, mammographic density, and obesity are

uncertain. For example, Rose and Vona-Davis studied the interaction between menopausal status and obesity on breast cancer risk. Their review of epidemiological studies revealed that the menopausal status of women modifies the effect of obesity on breast cancer in an age-dependent manner. Overall, their study revealed that weight reduction resulted in risk reduction in postmenopausal breast cancer (Rose and Vona-Davis, 2010). Another study examined the association and interactions between mammographic density and other risk factors. 491 breast cancer cases and 982 controls were used in this cohort in Singapore. They found that mammographic density increased the breast cancer risk of women with high BMI and women on long-term use of oral contraceptives (Wong *et al.*, 2011).

Regarding genetic risk factors, the interactions between 12 single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs), reproductive history, and body mass index have been studied. The study involved data from 26,349 invasive breast cancer cases and 32,208 controls from 21 case-control studies. The results from this study showed that the risk associated with individual alleles was not modified by age at menarche, age at first birth or BMI. However, the study established the combined effect of susceptibility alleles and other breast cancer risk factors (Milne *et al.*, 2010). Travis et al. showed that the interactions between 12 SNPs and other risk factors after analysis of 7610 breast cancer cases and 10196 controls from the Million Women study showed no statistical significance of gene-environment interaction (Travis *et al.*, 2010). The result by Travis et al. was also seen in another study by Campa et al., where the hypotheses of interaction between SNPs and established breast cancer risk factors were not supported after analysing data from 8576 breast cancer cases and 11892 control cases in the Breast and Prostate Cancer Cohort (Campa *et al.*, 2011).

The probability that a woman will develop breast cancer in their lifetime can be calculated using various breast cancer risk models. These models are useful for counselling, identifying women who are 'high risk' for intervention and the allocation of limited prevention resources (Gail, 2015). The possibility of risk factors interacting and influencing each other must be considered in designing these risk models to produce a comprehensive risk profile. A lack of relevant risk models is likely to result in miscommunicating the probability of individuals developing breast cancer and misapplication of preventative measures. It is, therefore, imperative that a multifactorial approach that considers the contribution of all the risk factors is used in determining an individual's risk.

1.10 In vitro Models for Studying Breast Cancer

1.10.1 Two-dimensional Models

Since the first mammalian cell culture in the early 20th century, the culture of immortalised and primary cells has been used to study a wide range of biological properties and functions, including cell migration, differentiation, growth, and *in vivo* systems (Duval *et al.*, 2017). In a 2D culture, cells are normally grown as a monolayer on flat surfaces of flasks or petri dishes. These are supplemented with synthetic media, providing nutrition, and incubated at 37°C and 5% carbon dioxide (CO₂) (Breslin and O'Driscoll, 2013). Two-dimensional cell cultures are very reproducible, results are easy to interpret, have high performance and are relatively inexpensive to set up (Brancato *et al.*, 2020; Kapałczyńska *et al.*, 2018).

However, two-dimensional (2D) cultures have many limitations: it does not sufficiently recapitulate the extracellular matrix (ECM), leading to a lack of tumour matrix and cell-cell interaction, which is present in tumours *in vivo* (Redmond *et al.*, 2021; Nath and
Devi, 2016). Culturing cells on stiff plastic surfaces of flasks and Petri dishes introduces significant changes in cell behaviour, such as gene expression, morphology, drug sensitivity, proliferation and differentiation, when compared to *in vivo* conditions (Brancato *et al.*, 2020; Egger and Nebel, 2021). These limitations led to the development of 3-dimensional (3D) cell cultures to provide a physiologically relevant platform to recapitulate *in vivo* conditions.

1.10.2 Three-Dimensional Models

Hamburger and Salmon first used 3D cell culture in the 1970s to culture human cells on soft agar (Hickman *et al.*, 2014). Its popularity in biomedical research has grown significantly in recent years as it overcomes many of the deficiencies of the traditional 2D method and represents the heterogenicity and complexity of in vivo conditions (Angeloni *et al.*, 2017; Bassi *et al.*, 2020). It has been an effective model in the drug development process by enhancing the selection of promising drug molecules in the pre-clinical stage before clinical trials (Herrmann and Jayne, 2019).

Specifically, 3D systems have proven to be an important model for studying carcinogenesis and tumorigenesis with a greater degree of biological and molecular replication of in vivo conditions than 2D cell culture models (Pradhan *et al.*, 2017; Jain *et al.*, 2020). The model better replicates the complexity of the tumour microenvironment and aids in the mechanistic study of cellular interactions, signalling and programming (Thoma *et al.*, 2014). Different cell types can be co-cultured in a 3D model, which encourages intracellular and cell-matrix interactions as they occur in tumours *in vivo* (Nath and Devi, 2016). The model has been used to replicate the development of acini of the breast and its relationship with the ECM. This has provided a platform to study breast epithelial carcinogenesis and drug development (Breslin and O'Driscoll, 2013; Marchese and Silva, 2012; Debnath, Muthuswamy and Brugge,

2003; Magdaleno *et al.*, 2021; Malhão *et al.*, 2022). Imamura and colleagues studied the differences in the sensitivity of breast cancer cell lines to chemotherapeutic agents (paclitaxel and doxorubicin) in 2D and 3D. The cell lines (BT-549, BT-474, T-47D) formed dense multicellular spheroids (MCS) in 3D cultures akin to *in vivo* acini structures and showed greater resistance to the chemotherapeutic agent in 3D cultures than in 2D cultures. However, other breast cancer cell lines, MCF-7, HCC-1954 and MDA-MB-231, formed loose MCS and showed similar sensitivity in both 2D and 3D cell cultures, suggesting that 3D cultures forming dense MCSs are more an appropriate system to study resistance than 2D (Imamura *et al.*, 2015).

Generally, three models can be grouped based on their use or lack of scaffold (Foglietta *et al.*, 2020). Scaffold-free cancer models include the hanging drop method, ultra-low attachment, magnetic levitation, and microfluidic systems. Scaffold-based systems employ natural and synthetic hydrogels, such as Matrigel, collagen, fibrin, gelatine, chitosan, nano fibrillar cellulose alginate, polyethene glycol (PEG), and polyesters (lactic-co-glycolic acid).

1.11 Aims and Objectives

The increasing incidence of breast cancer is not only attributable to genetic factors. Environmental chemicals, especially endocrine-disrupting chemicals (EDCs), are known to initiate and promote cancer progression (Knower *et al.*, 2014). The effects of these estrogenic chemicals have been seen in high doses in laboratory assays, which are unrepresentative of real-life situations (Silva, Rajapakse and Kortenkamp, 2002). However, humans are exposed to a myriad of chemicals at low concentrations that could act together to increase cancer risk. Lifestyle factors such as physical exercise, diet, consumption of alcohol, and use of contraceptive pills can impact the overall risk of developing breast cancer. Most toxicological and epidemiological studies have investigated the effect of single chemicals without incorporating the potential effect of other risk factors such as high-fat diet and genetic mutation.

The main aim of this project is to study the impact of mixtures of endocrine disruptors and fatty acids, both independently and in combination, and their ability to induce alterations in the morphology and genetic profiles of human epithelial breast cells and if these alterations can be associated with breast cancer risk. To achieve this aim, we will address these objectives:

- Investigate the effect of a mixture of EDCs or fatty acids on early breast carcinogenesis using a human breast epithelial cell line (MCF12A) in a 3D model.
- 2. Study the combined impact of a mixture of EDCs and fatty acids on breast carcinogenesis utilising MCF12A cell lines in a 3D model.
- 3. Investigate the effect of mixtures of EDCs and fatty acids on breast carcinogenesis in the presence of BRCA1 mutation.
- 4. To investigate the differences in genetic profiles and signalling pathways of breast cell line (MCF12A) when cultured in 3D compared to 2D monolayer models.

Chapter Two: Validation of the presence of estrogen receptor and fatty acid uptake of MCF-12A non-tumorigenic cell line

2 Validation of the presence of estrogen receptor and fatty acid uptake of MCF-12A non-tumorigenic cell line

2.1 Introduction

As discussed in Chapter One, hormones such as estrogen influence the development of the human breast from embryonic to full maturation (Macias and Hinck, 2012). In this thesis, we aim to study the effect of mixtures of EDCs and diet (fatty acids) in an *in vitro* model representative of the normal human breast. The model chosen is a nontumorigenic breast epithelial cell line (MCF-12A), which recapitulates the normal epithelium of the human breast.

This chapter aims to optimise the MCF-12A cell line's estrogen receptor status and ability to uptake the fatty acid mixture. The estrogen receptor status of the MCF-12A cell line will be assessed by exposing the cells to BPA and propylparaben. This is essential to determine whether the cell line expresses a functional estrogen and other downstream receptors regulated by the estrogen receptor. This chapter also examines whether fatty acids can enter and affect MCF-12A cells. This was done to ascertain the uptake of the fatty acid mixture by the cells. We assessed the effect of the mixture of fatty acids on genes involved in fatty acids synthesis and metabolism and implicated in breast cancer.

2.1.1 MCF12A Cell line

Cell lines have been used extensively, especially as *in vitro* models, to study cancers and have contributed to elucidating molecular cancer biology. They are beneficial because they are easy to handle, have indefinite self-replicating ability, have unlimited supply, show a high degree of homogeneity and are easy to store as frozen stocks that can replace contaminated cultures (Burdall *et al.*, 2003; Vincent, Findlay and Postovit, 2015). However, cell lines may not fully recapitulate the cellular heterogenicity of primary organs, as they are not cultured in normal physiological conditions. Continuous passage of cell lines over a period of time can introduce genotypic and phenotypic alterations, which can cause heterogenicity in cultures thereby producing different results. There is also the possibility of contamination with other plasma and mycoplasma (Kaur and Dufour, 2012; Puschhof, Pleguezuelos-Manzano and Clevers, 2021; Bahia *et al.*, 2002).

MCF-12A is a human mammary non-tumorigenic cell line established at Michigan Cancer Foundation and was derived from the reduction mammoplasty of a postmenopausal 63-year nulliparous woman (Paine *et al.*, 1992). Previous work from the Silva group has shown that MCF-12A cells, when cultured in a 3D system using Matrigel, formed acini-like structures which were disrupted when treated with 17βestradiol, BPA and propylparaben (Marchese and Silva, 2012). Gelfand et al. used the MCF-12A cell lines to determine the effect of long-term exposure to ethanol on breast cancer initiation. MCF-12A was exposed to concentrations (1mM, 2.5mM and 25mM) of ethanol and acetaldehyde (2.5mM and 1.0 mM) between 1-4 weeks. Results showed that ethanol and acetaldehyde upregulated metallothionein family genes, alcohol metabolic genes and oncogenic transformation in the EMT, such as anchorage-independence in the normal epithelial cells (Gelfand et al., 2016). It has also been used to study the anti-cancer properties of organic isothiocyanates in cancer treatment by measuring the cell growth after exposure of MCF-12A cells to dietary isothiocyanate compounds. Organic Isothiocyanates inhibited cell growth, suggesting their potential use in chemotherapy (Tseng, Ramsay and Morris, 2004). To confirm epidemiological studies on the risk of cigarette smoke on breast cancer, MCF-12A cells were exposed to cigarette smoke containing $253\pm22\mu$ g/ml of nicotine. Their work showed that exposure of MCF-12A cells to cigarette smoke increased colony formation by 4 to 5fold and resulted in a significant elevation in migration after 18 days of treatment compared to the untreated (Di Cello *et al.*, 2013).

2.2 Validation of the presence of the estrogen receptors in the MCF-12A cell line.

The estrogen receptor status of MCF-12A and its response to estrogen have been sources of controversy. Some studies have reported that MCF-12As are ER-negative and not responsive to estrogen (Paine *et al.*, 1992; Subik *et al.*, 2010; Sweeney, Sonnenschein and Soto, 2018), while others have reported that the cell line is ER-positive and responsive to estrogen (Engel *et al.*, 2011; Gelfand *et al.*, 2016; Marchese and Silva, 2012).

MCF-12A is the cell line to be used in this project due to its established status as an ER α , ER β , and GPER1 competent cell line, which allows for the study of the role these receptors play in breast morphogenesis, the impact of EDC on the formation and disruption of the mammary gland and, consequently, on carcinogenesis (Marchese and Silva, 2012). It is important to establish the receptor status of the batches of cell lines to be used and their responsiveness to EDCs. This is because there are reported variations in results obtained from different batches of the same cell line. Cell lines are extremely susceptible to genomic, proteomic and phenotypic alteration based on changes in experimental conditions and growth media (Freedman *et al.*, 2015; Payne, Scholze and Kortenkamp, 2001).

2.2.1 Genes of Interest

In answering the aims of this chapter, we set out to classify the cell line to ensure that it would respond to estrogens and pathways involved in hormonal carcinogenesis.

Using the mechanism of action of the test compound, we selected genes that are directly or indirectly implicated in breast carcinogenesis. The selected genes are also known to be dysregulated by estrogen and estrogen-mimicking compounds and affect other downstream pathways.

2.2.2 Estrogen Receptors (ER α / ER β)

Estrogens are 18-carbon (C18) steroidal molecules consisting of a benzene ring, a phenolic hydroxyl at C3 and a hydroxyl group (17 β -estradiol) or a ketone group (estrone) at C17. The major estrogens in the body are estradiol, estrone and estriol (Samavat and Kurzer, 2015). Endogenous estrogen is produced mainly in the gonads but also synthesised in other organs such as the brain (Bean, Ianov and Foster, 2014). The functions of estrogen are mainly mediated by the activation of ER α and ER β (Haldosén, Zhao and Dahlman-Wright, 2014). Estrogens play an active role in the proliferation of both normal and neoplastic mammary epithelium (Russo and Russo, 2006). Deregulation of estrogenic signalling can result in transcriptional activities that can lead to the development of breast cancer (Aquino *et al.*, 2012). Approximately 70-75% of breast cancers express the estrogen receptor (Gil, 2014) , and therefore, inhibition of estrogenic activity is an effective therapy for breast cancer (Yue *et al.*, 2013).

Estrogen receptors (ER) are members of the nuclear receptor superfamily, comprising two subtypes: Estrogen receptor α (ER α) and Estrogen receptor β (ER β), which are encoded by the genes *ERS1* and *ESR2*, respectively (Kovats, 2015). ER α was identified in the 1960s and cloned in 1986, while ER β was discovered and cloned in 1996 (Khalid and Krum, 2016). Estrogen receptors play an essential role in reproduction and physiological functions in different organs (Hamilton, Arao and

Korach, 2014). ER α is highly expressed in tissues of the breast, uterus, ovary, kidney, bone, and liver, while ER β is mainly found in the central nervous system, cardiovascular system, lungs, testis, prostate, colon, kidney, ovary and the immune system (Yakimchuk, Jondal and Okret, 2013).

ER α and ER β genes (*ESR1*, *ESR2*) are located on chromosomes 6q25.1 and 14q22-24, respectively and share 96% DNA-binding and 58% ligand-binding homology (Speirs *et al.*, 2002). The full-length size of ER α is 595 amino acid (67kDa), and that of ER β is 530 amino (59kDa) respectively (Fuentes and Silveyra, 2019). Structurally (Figure 2.1), estrogen receptors have six distinct regions, designated A through F. An amino-terminal domain (A/B domain), a DNA binding domain (DBD, C-domain), a hinge domain (D-domain), a ligand binding domain (LBD, E- domain), and a carboxylterminal domain (F-domain) (Hamilton, Arao and Korach, 2014). The A/B domain is the largest and houses the transcriptional activation function (AF1), which interacts with transcription factors to increase the rate of RNA transcription in a cell- and promoter-dependent manner (Hewitt and Korach, 2018).

The DNA binding domain (C-domain) is the most conserved region of estrogen receptors among species and the place for DNA recognition and receptor dimerisation. The D-domain is a hinge between the DNA binding domain (DBD) and the ligand binding domain (LBD) and confers nuclear receptor localisation signals and the region for interaction between nuclear receptor co-repressors (Nelson and Habibi, 2013). The LBD (E-domain) houses the activation function 2 (AF-2), which is vital for ligand-dependent transcriptional regulation. The configuration of the helix at the core of the AF-2 in the LBD is determined by either an agonist or antagonist (Hamilton, Arao and Korach, 2014). The F domain is the end of the AF2 and plays a critical role in the

activity of ER α and ER β . The differences in the F domain between ERs allow for selective transcriptional activities of specific target genes (Lee, Kim and Choi, 2012).



Figure 2.1 Structure and functional domains of estrogen receptor α and β (ER α and ER β). Schematic representation of ER α and ER β highlighting the functional domains: the ligand-independent activation function (AF-1), ligand-dependent activation function (AF-2), DNA binding domain (DBD) and ligand-binding domain (LBD). Adapted from (Haldosén, Zhao and Dahlman-Wright, 2014).

2.2.3 G protein-protein estrogen receptor 30 (GPER)

G protein-coupled estrogen receptor (*GPER1*), formally known as G protein-coupled receptor 30 (*GPR30*), is a seven transmembrane domain protein that binds to 17β estradiol but is structurally different from estrogen receptor α and β (ER α and ER β) (Ariazi *et al.*, 2010).

it is widely expressed in reproductive organs, pancreas, liver, heart, arteries, breast, lung, neural tissues and leucocytes (Feldman and Limbird, 2017) In humans, *GPER* is located on chromosome 7p22.3 and is composed of three exons (MizukaMi, 2010). The exact function of *GPER1* in disease states is still a source of debate. *GPER1* activation regulates cellular functions such as growth, differentiation, and proliferation (Arias-Pulido *et al.*, 2010; Weißenborn *et al.*, 2014a). *GPER1* is highly expressed in 50% of breast cancer patients and is a marker of good clinical outcomes for patients (Weißenborn *et al.*, 2014a). It has been demonstrated in MCF-7, SkBr3, MDA-MB-231 and MDA-MB-468 breast cancer cell lines that *GPER1* is a potential tumour suppressor gene (Weißenborn *et al.*, 2014a; Weißenborn *et al.*, 2014b). Mechanistically, the antiproliferative actions of *GPER1* are achieved through induction of cell cycle arrest and epigenetic regulation through hypermethylation of promoter regions (Weißenborn *et al.*, 2014b; Weißenborn *et al.*, 2014a). *GPER's* inhibition in triple-negative breast cancer cell lines (HCC1806, HCC70 and MDA-MB-231) by estriol led to a decrease in cell proliferation and signalling activities mediated by 17β-estradiol suggesting that *GPER1's* inhibition can be a promising treatment option for TNBC treatment (Girgert, Emons and Gründker, 2014). Another study using breast cancer SkBr3 and MDA-MB-231 and an MDA-MB-231 tumour xenograft showed that activation of *GPER1* inhibits ER-negative breast cancer both *in vivo* and *in vitro* (Wei *et al.*, 2014).

It has been demonstrated in SkBr3, an ER-negative breast cancer cell line, that activation of Erk1 and Erk2 by 17β -estradiol is mediated by *GPER1* (Filardo *et al.*, 2000). In the treatment of hormone-dependent breast cancer, *GPER1* has been shown to contribute to tamoxifen resistance by disrupting EGFR signalling and stopping the inhibition of MAP kinases (Mo *et al.*, 2013).

2.2.4 Breast cancer susceptibility gene 1

Breast cancer susceptibility gene 1 (*BRCA1*) is a 220-kDa tumour suppressor gene which was mapped in 1990 and cloned in 1994. It has 24 exons, which encodes a nuclear protein of 1863 amino acids and is located on chromosome 17q21 (Rakha *et al.*, 2008; Caestecker and Van de Walle, 2013). It plays an important role in controlling

DNA damage response and centrosome replication that ensures the survival of both normal and malignant breast cells (Barcellos-Hoff and Kleinberg, 2013; Armstrong *et al.*, 2019). It is also involved in DNA strand break repair and nucleotide excision repair (Zhang and Powell, 2005). BRCA1 affects and interacts with other regulatory proteins involved in DNA repair (*ATM, CHK2, BRCA2, RAD51, RAD50/MRE11/NBS1, BASC, PCNA, H2AX*), transcription (*HDAC2, E2F, CBP/p300, SWI/SNF* complex, CtIP, p53) and cell cycle (*RB, CDK2, p21, p27, BARD1*) (Yoshida and Miki, 2004).

Deleterious Germline mutations in BRCA1 predispose a woman to a greater risk of developing breast cancer and account for 3% of all breast cancers (Elstrodt *et al.*, 2006; Fraser *et al.*, 2003). BRCA1 mutation in women confers more than 80% lifetime risk of developing breast cancer. By the age of 70, BRCA1 mutation confers between 45-85% risk of developing breast cancer (Thompson and Easton, 2002; King, Marks and Mandell, 2003). Over 80% of breast cancer arising from inherited BRCA1 mutation is triple-negative breast cancer (TNBC), while 20% of them are ER-positive, present predominantly in sporadic breast cancers (Lips *et al.*, 2013; Foulkes *et al.*, 2004).

BRCA1 protein interacts and inhibits the transcriptional activities of ligand-activated ER α via the estrogen receptor elements (ERE) in breast cancer cell lines (T47D) (Fan *et al.*, 1999). This is achieved through the direct interaction of BRCA1 and ER α protein and down-regulation of nuclear receptor coactivator, p300 (Rosen, Fan and Isaacs, 2005). The interaction between ER and *BRCA1* is essential to maintain the quality of replicated genome DNA when cells undergo proliferation under the mitogenic signalling of estrogen.BRCA1 mutation leads to genomic instability and accumulation of genomic mutation, which can result in cancerous transformation of breast epithelial cells (Wang and Di, 2014). A study of *BRCA1* mutated mice showed that loss of *BRCA1* activity leads to proliferation and tumour development in mammary epithelial

cells in response to exogenous estrogen signalling (Jones *et al.*, 2008). *BRCA1* mutation increased the proliferation of cells, leading to mammary tumorigenesis in both *in vitro* and *in vivo* under extracellular estrogen signalling (Li *et al.*, 2007). It has been demonstrated in breast cancer cell lines (MCF-7, MDA-MB-231) that endocrine disruptors (2,3,7,8-tetrachlorodibenzo-*p*-dioxin, and polychlorinated biphenyls: PCB #138, PCB #153, PCB #180) alter the expression of BRCA1 gene (Rattenborg, Gjermandsen and Bonefeld-Jørgensen, 2002). Silva et al. demonstrated that 17β -estradiol, B-hexachlorocyclohexane, and *o, p'*-DDT at very low concentrations expressed the *BRCA1* gene in the MCF-7 breast cancer cell line (Silva, Kabil and Kortenkamp, 2010).

2.2.5 Cyclin D1

A family of cyclins and their corresponding cyclin-dependent kinases (CDKS) (Gao, Leone and Wang, 2020) regulate the mammalian cell cycle. Four main cyclins are involved in the different phases of the cell cycle: cyclins A, B, C, D and E. The cyclin D family (cyclin D1, D2 and D3) plays regulatory roles in cell proliferation and core cell cycle machinery (Pawlonka, Rak and Ambroziak, 2021).

Cyclin D1 is a member of the cyclin protein family (Ahlin *et al.*, 2017). Cyclin D1 protein is encoded by the *CCND1* gene, located on chromosome 11q13 (Ramos-Garcia *et al.*, 2017). It regulates G₁/S-phase transition by binding and activating cyclin-dependent kinases (*CDK4* and *CDK6*). Additionally, because of its role in the cell cycle, cyclin D1 regulates key stages in cancer initiation and progression, such as DNA damage response, chromosome duplication and stability, senescence, autophagy, mitochondrial respiration, migration, metabolism and immune surveillance (Tchakarska and Sola, 2020). Cyclin D1 is dysregulated in many human solid cancers,

including ER-positive breast cancers. Tumours with elevated levels of cyclin D1 exhibit unchecked proliferation in response to cell cycle malfunction at the checkpoint of the G1 phase (Tchakarska and Sola, 2020; Ewen and Lamb, 2004; Ahlin *et al.*, 2017). Cyclin D1 is expressed in an estimated 50% of all breast cancer and is a maker of poor clinical outcomes (Wang *et al.*, 2018; Lundgren *et al.*, 2012). It is associated with resistance to endocrine therapy such as tamoxifen in breast cancer cell lines (Ahlin *et al.*, 2017; Shi *et al.*, 2020).

The expression of cyclin D1 is directly regulated by the estrogen receptor (Hu *et al.*, 2020b; Inoue and Fry, 2015). Even in the absence of estrogen, cyclin D1 can bind directly to the hormone-binding domain of ER α to mediate gene transcription (Montalto and De Amicis, 2020). It has been shown that the activation of cyclin D1 in the ZR-75 breast cancer cell line by 17 β -estradiol is regulated by ER α (Castro-Rivera, Samudio and Safe, 2001). Lin and colleagues showed that bisphenol S (BPS) promotes cell cycle progression and cell proliferation by activating the ER α -cyclin D1 complex (Lin *et al.*, 2019).

2.2.6 Trefoil family factor 1 (TFF1)

Trefoil factors are small (7-12kDa) and stable peptide molecules that are secreted by epithelial mucus-secreting cells in the gastrointestinal tract. They derived their name due to the presence of a common three-loop leaf-like structure, which makes trefoil factors extremely stable to proteolytic digestion, acid, and thermal degradation (Ishibashi *et al.*, 2017). Trefoil factors promote repair and restoration of the epithelial layer after injury to the mucosal lining of the GI tract by increasing cell migration (Perera *et al.*, 2015).

TFF1, also known as pS2, is a cysteine-rich member of the family that was identified in the MCF-7 cell line (Masiakowski *et al.*, 1982). The promoter region contains an

estrogen response element (ERE) and a complex enhancer region that is sensitive to epidermal growth factors (EGF), tumour promoter 12-tetradeconylphorbol (TPA), and proto-oncoproteins c-Has-ras and c-jun (Ribieras, Tomasetto and Rio, 1998).

The presence of the ERE in TFF1 allows the gene to be regulated downstream by estrogen receptors. Up-regulation of TFF1 is a good marker for estrogen receptor functions (Kang, Eyun and Park, 2021; Prest, May and Westley, 2002).

TFF1 is expressed in estrogen-positive breast cancer cell lines, and estrogen increases the concentration of TFF1 mRNA up to 100-fold (May *et al.*, 2004). Apart from estrogen, TFF1 expression can be induced by epidermal growth factors (EGF), 12-O-tetradecanoylphorbol acetate (TPA), proto-oncogenes c-Ha-ras and c-jun by activating estrogen-response element and a TPA-response element (TRE). It has also been shown in MCF-7 cell lines that TPA and EGF can act synergistically with estrogen to upregulate TFF1 (Markićević *et al.*, 2014; Beck, Fegert and Gott, 1997).

TFF1 is involved in estrogen-promoted resistance to apoptosis caused by doxorubicin in the MCF-7 breast cancer cell line, suggesting that the gene can be targeted for enhancing sensitivity to therapeutic agents in breast cancer treatment (Pelden *et al.*, 2013). However, TFF1 has also been shown not to possess tumorigenic properties in breast cancer cell lines and intratumoral TFF1 levels are associated with better clinical outcomes in patients with node-negative tumours (Buache *et al.*, 2011; Corte *et al.*, 2006).

2.3 Validation of fatty acid Uptake by the MCF-12A Cell line

As discussed in Chapter One, studies have shown the potential implication of a highfat diet on breast cancer initiation and progression. Fatty acid uptake by cells occurs either through membrane diffusion or facilitated transport by membrane proteins. These transport mechanisms require the movement of fatty acids (FA) from an aqueous solution through the lipid bilayer into the cells (Alsabeeh *et al.*, 2018). Due to their poor solubility, fatty acid uptake into cells is usually facilitated by complexing with albumin, such as bovine serum albumin (BSA) (Alsabeeh *et al.*, 2018; Oliveira *et al.*, 2015). However, an issue arises with mixtures of fatty acids as constituent FAs have different binding affinities to BSA, such that to achieve a uniform binding ratio, the concentrations of the mixture components have to be adjusted (Huber *et al.*, 2006). We, therefore, decided to test our mixture of fatty acids without conjugating to BSA to ascertain if they cross the cell membrane. Some studies have also exposed cells to fatty acids without conjugating with BSA. This thesis will use a mixture of four fatty acids (Elaidic acid, stearic acid, linoleic acid, and palmitic acid), all reviewed in Chapter One. Therefore, we must determine the uptake of the fatty acid mixture by the MCF-12A cell line to ensure that they exert the intracellular effect we aim to study.

2.3.1 Genes Of Interest

Genes were chosen based on their known involvement in fatty acid metabolism and breast carcinogenesis, as found in the literature. More importantly, they were selected as their expression is known to be mediated by the direct, intracellular effect of fatty acids. This way, their expression regulation can be used as an indicator of the uptake of FA by the cells in this study.

2.3.2 Aldo-keto reductase 1C1 (AKR1C1)

*AKR1C1*s (also known as 20α -hydroxysteroids dehydrogenase) are NADPHdependent oxidoreductases that play essential roles in the metabolism of progesterone, steroids, fats, hormones, glucocorticoids, drugs, polycyclic aromatic hydrocarbons, and prostaglandins (Chu *et al.*, 2022; Ebert *et al.*, 2011). *AKR1C1* is overexpressed in a myriad of cancers, such as lung, gastric and cervical cancers. Upregulation of *AKR1C1* promotes cancer initiation progression and resistance to anticancer therapy (Hong *et al.*, 2018; Penning *et al.*, 2021; Bortolozzi *et al.*, 2018). Research has shown that long-chain fatty acids are inhibitors of *AKR1C1* (Hara *et al.*, 2017).

AKR1C1 is highly expressed in human breast tissue (Rižner and Penning, 2014) and is upregulated in breast cancer (Zhang *et al.*, 2005). It is an independent prognostic marker for breast cancer (Wenners *et al.*, 2016). Other studies have shown that *AKR1C1* was reduced in cancerous compared to normal breast cells (Lewis, Wiebe and Heathcote, 2004). It is a ferroptosis-related gene connected with the immune microenvironment and can, therefore, influence the progression and prognosis of breast cancer (Zhang *et al.*, 2021b).

2.3.3 Cluster of Differentiation 36 (CD36)

CD36 is an 88kD transmembrane membrane glycoprotein encoded by the *CD36* gene. It has other names, such as Fatty Acid translocase (FAT), scavenger receptor class B type 2 (SR-B2), GP88, and platelet GPIV. It belongs to the scavenger receptor and is found in different tissues and cells such as dendritic cells, microvascular cells, endothelial cells, retinal epithelial cells, monocytes, adipocytes, platelets, enterocytes, and podocytes, Kupffer cells, taste receptor cells, skeletal muscle cells, mammary epithelial cells, and erythrocytes (Yang *et al.*, 2017; Tanase *et al.*, 2020). It plays an important role in the metabolism and uptake of fatty acids and oxidised low-density lipoprotein, immunological response, inflammation, molecular adhesion and apoptosis (Wang and Li, 2019; Hao *et al.*, 2020). High-fat diets increase the expression of *CD36* (Ramos-Jiménez *et al.*, 2022).

It has been implicated in the proliferation and progression of breast cancer, ovarian cancer, pancreatic cancer, and glioblastoma (Drury *et al.*, 2022). In breast cancer, *CD36* has been shown to promote aggressive cancer and also inhibit breast cancer progression (Feng, Bang and Kurokawa, 2020). While deregulation of *CD36* has been linked to aggressive breast cancer (DeFilippis *et al.*, 2012), a lysophosphatidic acid/protein kinase D1 (LPA/PKD-1)-CD36 signalling plays a vital role in promoting breast cancer by promoting microvascular remodelling in chronic diet-induced obesity (Dong *et al.*, 2017).

2.3.4 Sterol Regulatory Element-Binding Prottein-1c (SREBP-1c)

Sterol regulatory element binding proteins (SREBP) are a family of basic helix-loophelix leucine zipper membrane-bound transcription factors vital in the induction of genes involved in lipid metabolism, including THRSP and FASN (Shao and Espenshade, 2012; Song *et al.*, 2012). There are three mammalian isoforms of *SREBPs: SREBP-1a, SREBP-1c and SREBP-2. SREBP-1a and SREBP-1c* originate from the same gene, and SREBP-2 originates from a different gene (Goldstein, DeBose-Boyd and Brown, 2006). *SREBP-1* mainly regulate the lipogenic pathway, whereas SREBP-2 controls cholesterol biosynthesis (Sethi, Shanmugam and Kumar, 2017). *SREBP-1c* is the most dominant isoform in many animal tissues, and it is highly expressed in adipose tissues and liver (Deng *et al.*, 2014).

SREBPs combine multiple cell signals to regulate lipogenesis and other pathways involved in type 2 diabetes, malignancies, immune response, neuroprotection, and autophagy (Shao and Espenshade, 2012). *SREBPs* are essential regulators of lipogenesis and, therefore, potential targets for chemotherapy agents since cancer cells require a constant supply of lipids to sustain tumour growth (Shao and

Espenshade, 2012). High expression of *SREBP-1* is a marker for poor prognosis in patients with breast cancer (Bao *et al.*, 2016). Expression of *SREBP-1c* has been assigned as a phenotype in breast cancer cells (Nieva *et al.*, 2012). Unsaturated fatty acids have been found to down-regulate SREBP-1c (Hannah *et al.*, 2001; Takeuchi *et al.*, 2010).

2.3.5 Hormone Sensitive Lipase (LIPE)

Hormone-sensitive lipase (*LIPE*, previously known as HSL) is an intracellular neural lipase that catalyses fatty acid collection from diacylglycerols, monoacylglycerols, and cholesteryl esters into fatty acids. It is one of the main enzymes that mediate hormone-induced lipolysis. They are highly expressed in adipose and steroidogenic tissues, muscles, and macrophages (Goszczynski *et al.*, 2014; Kraemer and Shen, 2002). The *LIPE* gene encodes Human hormone-sensitive lipase found on chromosome 19 in the q13.2 region (Recazens, Mouisel and Langin, 2021). It was found to be upregulated in susceptible breast epithelium (Marino *et al.*, 2020a). Up-regulation of *LIPE* is a marker for obesity and lipodystrophy (Lampidonis *et al.*, 2011). Conjugated linoleic acids (CLA) down-regulated *LIPE* (Veshkini *et al.*, 2023).

2.3.6 Fatty Acid Synthase (FASN)

Fatty Acid Synthase (*FASN*) is a ~278kDa lipogenic polypeptide that plays a vital role in de novo fatty acid synthesis. There are two kinds of *FASN* in mammals: type I cytosolic *FASN* and type II mitochondrial *FASN*, with type I being commonly called *FASN*. *FASN* catalyses the biosynthesis of fatty acids from dietary carbohydrates in a reaction that uses acetyl-CoA as a primer, malonyl-CoA as a C2 donor and NADPH as a reducing agent (Wu *et al.*, 2014). In normal physiological states, *FASN* is regulated by environmental, hormonal, and nutritional signalling (Khan *et al.*, 2014; Abramson, 2011). *FASN* is upregulated in a myriad of cancers such as breast, prostate, and colon (Bessadóttir *et al.*, 2014). The overexpression of *FASN* is observed in later stages of cancers with poor prognosis, suggesting that *FASN* confers survival advantages to cancer cells (Ligorio *et al.*, 2021). It is highly expressed in HER2+ breast cancer, plays a vital role in the interaction between HER2 and signalling proteins at lipid raft domains, and regulates HER2 gene transcript and protein expression (Corominas-Faja *et al.*, 2017; Menendez *et al.*, 2021).

The level of expression of *FASN* in breast cancer is directly proportional to tumour progression and aggressiveness. Therefore, an upregulation of *FASN* is a marker for poor prognosis (Alwarawrah *et al.*, 2016). Breast cancer that shows high expression of *FASN* were four times more likely to recur and proliferate than those with low expression of *FASN* (Wang *et al.*, 2021a). *FASN* expression has been associated with resistance to drugs and radiation through DNA damage, up-regulating PARP-1, overproduction of palmitic acid, and inhibition of TNF-alpha and ceremide production (Wu *et al.*, 2016; Liu *et al.*, 2013; Liu, Liu and Zhang, 2008).

Disrupting cellular metabolism has become one of the focal mechanisms to bypass drug resistance during breast cancer treatment, and inhibition of *FASN* has become a bonafide target in breast cancer. Disruption of *FASN* and downstream ER resulted in suppression of cell growth. Functional disruption in *FASN* leads to decreased proliferation and migration of breast cancer cells (Gonzalez-Salinas *et al.*, 2020).

2.3.7 Chapter Scope

This chapter aims to ascertain the suitability of the MCF-12A cell line for the project by evaluating whether these cells express functional estrogen receptors and if they respond to the effect of fatty acids. The overarching aim was achieved by asking the following questions:

- Are ESR1, ESR2, and GPER1 receptors expressed in MCF12A cells under control conditions? Is the expression of these receptors regulated by estrogenic-mimicking compounds like Bisphenol A (BPA)?
- 2. Do estrogen-mimicking compounds such as BPA regulate the expression of estrogen receptor responsive genes such as cyclin *D1*, *BRCA1*, and *TFF1*?
- 3. Is there a regulation of fatty-acid responsive genes (*CD36, LIPE, SREBP1c, FASN,* and *AKR1C1*) when MCF-12A cells are exposed to a mixture of fatty acids?

2.4 Methodology

2.4.1 Routine cell culture

Unless otherwise stated, all reagents were procured from Sigma-Aldrich (Dorset, UK). MCF12A cells were purchased from the America Type Culture Collection and grown in monolayer T75 canter-neck tissue culture flasks. MCF-12A cells were cultured in Dulbecco's Modified Eagle Medium (DMEM: F12, Invitrogen, Paisley, UK), supplemented with 5% horse serum (Invitrogen, UK), 0.02% epidermal growth factor, 0.01% cholera toxin, 0.1% insulin, 0.05% hydrocortisone and 1% Pen-Strep. The cell culture medium was replaced every three days, and cells were kept in a humidified incubator at 37°C and 5% C0₂. When cells were 70% confluent, they were passaged with 0.25% trypsin-ethylene diamine tetraacetic acid (trypsin-EDTA).

2.4.2 Chemical exposure (BPA)

BPA was purchased from Fisher Scientific. The stock of BPA was prepared in 100% HPLC-grade ethanol at 1×10^{-3} M and stored in critically clean glass bottles at -20°C. Two concentrations of BPA were used: 1×10^{-7} M and 1×10^{-5} M, which were chosen based on work done in the Silva lab, which showed their ability to induce estrogen receptor expression in MCF-12A (Marchese and Silva, 2012). Cultures of MCF-12A cells were exposed to BPA for 24 hours and 72 hours, after which the experiment was stopped, and RNA was extracted. In all the treatments, the final concentration of solvent did not exceed 0.5% to avoid ethanol toxicity(Marchese and Silva, 2012). Three independent experiments were carried out.

2.4.3 Fatty Acid Mixture

The study used mean plasma fatty acid concentrations of palmitic acid, elaidic acid, stearic acid and linoleic acid as reported by (Abdelmagid *et al.*, 2015; Staff, 2015). A mixture of the fatty acids was prepared where each of them was present in their tissue concentration, as shown in Table 2.5. The rationale for selecting these particular fatty acids was presented in Chapter One.

Table 2.1 List of fatty acids, their mean plasma concentration (Abdelmagid *et al.*, 2015) and their percentage in the mixture solution

Fatty Acids		Mean Plasma	Proportion of Fatty Acid	
		Concentration (M)	in Mixture (%)	
		(Abdelmagid <i>et al.</i> , 2015)		
Elaidic Acid		4.40× 10 ⁻⁵	0.6	
Linoleic Acid		2.63×10 ⁻³	48.66	
Palmitic Acid		2.1747×10 ⁻³	40.32	
Stearic Acid		5.62×10 ⁻⁴	10.42	
Total	Mixture	5.39×10 ⁻³		
Concentration				

2.4.4 Chemical Exposure (Fatty Acids)

The fatty acid mixture was prepared as a 5.4×10^{-3} M stock solution. A working concentration of 5.4×10^{-5} was prepared in critically clean glassware and stored at - 20°C. MCF-12A cultures were treated with the fatty acid mixture. RNA was extracted

24 hours after chemical exposure. In all cases, the final solvent concentration did not exceed 0.5% to prevent ethanol toxicity. A total of three independent experiments were conducted.

2.4.5 RNA Extraction

After 24 hours and 72 hours exposure, the media was aspirated, and the cells were washed with Hank's Balanced Solution (HBSS) to remove dead cells. Trypsin-EDTA was then added, and cells were incubated for 5 mins at 37°C and 5% CO₂. When the cells were detached from the flask, they were suspended in full DMEM: F12 medium and centrifuged at 1000 rpm for 5 minutes. The media was removed, and the pellet was used for RNA extraction. The RNA was isolated using the RNAeasy Mini Kit (Qiagen, Manchester, UK) in accordance with the manufacturer's instructions. RNA samples were analysed for purity using 260/280 and 260/230 ratios with the Nanodrop One (Thermo Scientific, Loughborough, UK). The acceptable range of purity was 1.7-2.1.

2.4.6 Quantitative Real-time PCR (qPCR)

qPCR is a powerful and widely used molecular biology technique used for the precise amplification and quantification of specific DNA molecules in biological and environmental samples in real time (Taylor *et al.*, 2019; Pabinger *et al.*, 2014). Gene amplification is measured after every cycle, thereby allowing for the determination of the concentration of nucleic acid in each sample. Minute changes in fold changes in gene expression are detectable, which may be significant when analysing samples treated with low doses of EDCs. We used the two-step method of gene amplification: reverse transcription of extracted mRNA into cDNA and subsequent qPCR. mRNA was reverse transcribed into cDNA using the high-capacity cDNA reverse transcription kit (Applied Biosystems). This allows single-strand mRNA to make double-strand complementary DNA molecules needed for gene amplification. A master mix was prepared using the kit's components according to the quantities in Table 2.1. The kit components were thawed on ice and the master mix was prepared on ice for the number of samples to be analysed.

Table 2.2 Reagents volumes for reverse transcription for one reaction using theHigh-capacitycDNA reverse transcription kit

Components	Volume/Reaction (μl)	
10x RT Buffer	2.0	
25x dNTP mix (100mM)	0.8	
10X RT Random Primers	2.0	
Multiscribe [™] Reverse Transcriptase	1.0	
Nuclease-free water	4.2	
Total for 1 reaction	10	

mRNA was removed from the -80 °C and kept on ice. 10μ I of the mRNA of each sample was added to 10μ I of the master mix in Eppendorf tubes and spun down for 5 seconds to remove air bubbles. The reaction tubes were then loaded into a programmed Thermocycler for the reverse transcription (Table 2.3). cDNA was used immediately for quantitative PCR or stored in the short term in the refrigerator (2-6°C) and at -20°C for long-term storage.

Table 2.3 The condition of the thermocycler, showing the temperature and timefor each step of cDNA synthesis.

	Step1	Step2	Step3	Step4
Temperature	25	37	85	4
(°C)				
Time (mins)	10 mins	120 mins	5 mins	œ

The next step was qPCR, which was done using iTaq SYBR Green PCR Master Mix (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Inc) in a Bio-Rad CFX96 system programmed to specific conditions. SYBR Green binds to the cDNA, which enables the detection of levels of expression of target genes. Bound SYBR Green emits a fluorescent signal, while unbound SYBR Green does not. The level of signal emitted by the SYBR Green is proportional to the amplification of the target gene. The intensity of the signal increases because the amount of PCR products also increases. A triplicate of the PCR reaction was performed in a Semi-Skirted 96-well PCR plate (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Inc). Each reaction is comprised of Master Mix and cDNA products. The Master mix consists of iTaq Universal SYBR Green Supermix, Primers and nuclease-free water (Table 2.4).

Table 2.4 Volume of components of master mix for one gene in qPCR experimentusing iTaq SYBR Green PCR Master Mix

Reagent	Volume/Reaction (µl)
iTaq Universal SYBR Green Supermix	10
Forward Primer	0.5
Reverse Primer	0.5
Nuclease-free water	8
Total for 1 Master mix	19

Master mixes were made for all the target and housekeeping genes. 19μ l of the master mixes, and 1μ l of cDNA product was added to each well of the plate. The plate was sealed with Microseal 'B' PCR Plate Sealing Film (Bio-Rad Laboratories, Inc) and centrifuged for 2 mins at 1000 rpm to ensure all the content was at the bottom of the well. The plate is then put in Bio-Rad CFX96, which has already been programmed according to the following conditions (Table 2.5).

Table 2.5 Rea-time PCR program,	showing steps,	temperature,	and number of
cycles for each step.			

Step	Temperature (°C)	Time (sec)	Cycle
Activation	95	30	1
Denaturation	95	5	38
Amplification	60	30	
Melt curve analysis	60	Increment of 5	

2.4.7 Primers for the Presence of Estrogen Receptor

The primers pairs used for this study were tested and optimised in a previous study in the Silva Lab(Marchese and Silva, 2012). The primers were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. The primers were supplied as dry power, they were centrifuged, and then suspended in volumes of nuclease-free water according to the manufacturer's instructions. The table below lists all the primers used (Table 2.6).

Table 2.6 Gene primer sequences. Forward and reverse sequences of genes used

 in the real-time PCR

Gene Name	Primer Sequence (5'-> 3')		
ACTB	Forward	TCAGCAAGCAGGAGTATG	
	Reverse	GTCAAGAAAGGGTGTAACG	
GAPDH	Forward	TCTCTGCTCCTCCTGTTC	
	Reserve	GCCCAATACGACCAAATCC	
ESR1	Forward	GCCCTCCCTCCCTGAAC	
	Reserve	TCAACTACCATTTACCCTCATC	
ESR2	Forward	CCTCCCAGCAGCAATCC	
	Reverse	CCAGCAGCAGGTCATACAC	
GPR30	Forward	GTTCCTCTCGTGCCTCTAC	
	Reserve	ACCGCCAGGTTGATGAAG	
BRCA1	Forward	ACATACCATCTTCAACCTCTG	
	Reserve	CGATGGTATTAGGATAGAAG	
CCND1	Forward	TGGAATGGTTTGGGAATAT	
	Reserve	CCTGGCAATGTGAGA	
TFF1	Forward	CCGTGAAAGACAGAATTG	
	Reverse	CGATGGTATTAGGATAGAAG	

2.4.8 Primers for the Uptake of Fatty Acids

Primers were designed using Harvard Primer Bank. They were ordered from Sigma Aldrich as dry powder and dissolved using nuclease-free water (Table 2.7).

Table 2.7 Gene Primer Sequence. Forward and reverse primer sequences used in

 the real-time PCR

Genes		Primer Sequence (5'-> 3')
CD36	Forward	GGCTGTGACCGGAACTGTG
	Reverse	AGGTCTCCAACTGGCATTAGAA
LIPE	Forward	TCAGTGTCTAGGTCAGACTGG
	Reverse	AGGCTTCTGTTGGGTATTGGA
SREBP1c	Forward	GCGCCTTGACAGGTGAAGTC
	Reverse	GCCAGGAAGTCACTGTCTTG
AKR1C1	Forward	TCCAGTGTCTGTAAAGCCAGG
	Reverse	CCAGCAGTTTTCTCTGGTTGAA
FASN	Reverse	AGATTGTGTGATGAAGGACATGG
	Forward	TGTTGCTGGTGAGTGTGCATT

2.4.9 Relative Gene Expression Statistical Analysis

Many methods are available for the relative quantification of gene expression. These include the Pfaffl model, 2-^{ΔΔct} or comparative Ct method and the qBase software. The principle that underpins the various approaches is the establishment of a threshold at which the fluorescence of PCR products goes above the background fluorescence. The number of cycles required to reach this threshold, depending on the number of templates in a sample, is called the Ct value. Lower ct values correspond to higher

amounts of templates (Regier and Frey, 2010). This analysis was done for the experiments using the following calculations:

 Δ Ct = Ct (target gene) – Ct (reference gene)

 $\Delta\Delta Ct = \Delta Ct$ (treatment group) – mean ΔCt (Control group) (Equation 1)

Fold Change = $2 - \Delta \Delta Ct$

The results are reported as log2(fold change), indicated as Log (Fold Change), with control values normalised to 0. A LogFC-value approaching 0 indicates that there is no change in gene expression between the control and treated samples. Negative values represent a decrease in gene expression, while positive values denote an increase. Log (Fold Change) was applied to the values to ensure normal distribution and easy visualisation of the fold changes. Normal data distribution was confirmed, and a parametric test was required. A one-way Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) was done to ascertain the significance of changes in gene expression between treated and control samples. Bonferroni, a multiple comparison test, was the post-hoc test used to determine the specific treatment that caused a significant differential gene expression. All statistical analysis and visualisation were done in GraphPad Prism (Version 10.2.3).

2.5 RESULTS

2.5.1 MCF-12A cell line expressed ESR1, ESR2 and GPER1

As discussed above, the estrogen receptor status of MCF-12A has been conflicting(Sweeney, Sonnenschein and Soto, 2018; Marchese and Silva, 2012; Soule *et al.*, 1990). The aim of the thesis is to examine the effect of mixture of EDCs on normal mammary epithelium which exert their effect by binding to and activating both the ER and GPER. We therefore tested for the genetic expression of ESR1, ESR2 and GPER1 of the passages of cells to be used in the experiments.

Analysis of real-time PCR data confirmed that ESR1, ESR2 and GPER1 were expressed in both control samples. Exposure to two concentrations of BPA (1×10^{-7} M and 1×10^{-5} M) for 24 hours also resulted in the expression of the genes. The higher Ct values in the treated samples suggest a decrease in gene expression (Figure 2.3)







Figure 2.2 Amplification of curves for the expression of ER in MCF-12A cell line. *Amplification done in replicate, depicting (A) presence of ESR1 in the presence of* 0.05% pure ethanol (green), 1×10^{-7} M ethanol (pink), and 1×10^{-5} M (blue). ESR2 is also expressed in the presence of the same controls and treatments, the same as (C) GPER1. Amplification curves representative of three independent experiments.

2.5.2 BPA exposure significantly down-regulated ESR1, ESR2 and GPER1

To confirm the estrogen receptor competence of the MCF-12A cells, they were exposed to two concentrations of BPA. Real-time PCR was carried out to assess the changes in gene expression. ACTB was used as the reference gene to normalise the real-time PCR data. There was a significant down-regulation of *ESR1* and *GPER1* in both concentrations of BPA. There was significant down-regulation of ESR2 only in 1×10^{-5} M.

Α



BPA Concentration(M)







В



BPA Concentration(M)



Figure 2.3 Bar graphs showing BPA-induced gene expression denoted by log2(fold change) in (A) ESR1, (B) ESR2 and (C) GPER1. MCF-12A cells were treated in parallel for 24 hours with 0.5% (solvent control) and BPA at 1e-7M and 1E-5M concentrations. Gene expression levels were determined by qPCR. Expression profiles were determined by comparing treated samples to controls. A decrease in gene expression was observed in ESR1 (A), ESR2 (B) and GPER1 (C). Error bars represent the standard error of the mean (SEM). Significance is denoted by ****<0.0001, *0.03 as determined by ordinary one-way ANOVA

2.5.3 BPA exposure induced significant changes in gene expression in *CCND1*,

BRCA1 and TFF1

We also assessed the effect of BPA on the regulation of downstream estrogenresponsive genes. We tested CCND1, BRCA1, and TFF1, which are directly regulated by estrogen. CCND1 and TFF1 were up-regulated, while BRCA1 was down-regulated after 24 hours of exposure to both concentrations of BPA.

Α



BPA Concentration(M)



BPA Concentration(M)



BPA Concentration(M)

Β

С


Figure 2.4 Bar graphs showing BPA-induced gene expression denoted by log2(fold change) in (A) CCND1, (B) TFF1 and (C) BRCA1. MCF-12A cells were treated in parallel for 24 hours with 0.5% (solvent control) and BPA at 1e-7M and 1E-5M concentrations. Gene expression levels were determined by qPCR. Expression profiles were determined by comparing treated samples to controls. An increase in gene expression was observed in CCND1 (A) and TFF1 (B), and a decrease was observed in BRCA1 (C). Error bars represent the standard error of the mean (SEM). Significance is denoted by ****<0.0001, *0.03 as determined by ordinary one-way ANOVA.

2.5.4 Fatty Acid resulted in significant up-regulation of FASN, LIPE, SREBP-1c, AKR1C1 and CD36

We examined whether our mixture of fatty acids crosses the cell membrane into the MCF-12A cells. To access that, we tested for the expression of FASN, LIPE, SREBP-1c, AKR1C1 and CD36. As discussed earlier, these genes are linked to various cellular activities when activated by fatty acids. Real-time PCR analysis showed an up-regulation of these genes after 24 hours of exposure to the fatty acids mixture.



Figure 2.5 Bar plots showing the expression fold changes in FASN, LIPE, SREBP-1c, AKR1c1 and CD36. MCF-12A cells were treated in parallel for 24 hours with 0.5% (solvent control), and a mixture of fatty acids at concentrations of 5.39×10^{-3} M. Gene expression levels were determined by qPCR. Expression profiles were determined by comparing treated samples to controls. An increase in gene expression was observed in FASN, LIPE, SREBP-1c, AKR1C1, and CD36. Error bars represent the standard error of the mean (SEM). Significance is denoted by ****<0.0001, *0.03 as determined by ordinary one-way ANOVA.

2.6 Discussion

MCF-12A cells have been published as both ER-positive (Engel et al., 2019; Marchese and Silva, 2012; Gelfand et al., 2016) and ER-negative (Subik et al., 2010; Sweeney, Sonnenschein and Soto, 2018). It has also been reported that passaging cells over a period can introduce phenotypic and genotypic alterations (Puschhof, Pleguezuelos-Manzano and Clevers, 2021). Therefore, it was necessary to establish the ER and *GPER1* status of the batch of cells for this project. Our results showed that the cells used here expressed measurable constitutive levels of ESR1 and ESR2. At the tested BPA concentrations of 1×10^{-7} M and 1×10^{-5} M, ESR1 and ESR2 were down-regulated after 24 hours of exposure. This result is similar to what was reported by Stephanie Marchese, who showed that ESR1 and ESR2 were down-regulated after exposure to 1×10^{-9} M E2 (Marchese, 2013). Furthermore, significant down-regulation of ESR1 and ESR2 after exposure to BPA has been established by Maund, who also had to establish the estrogen competence of MCF-12A cell lines (Maund, 2018). Downregulation of ESR1 was also reported at the gene and protein level when MCF-7 cell lines were exposed to 1×10^{-9} M of E2 after 15 minutes (Jensen *et al.*, 1999). Mechanistically, we propose that the down-regulation of ESR1 in our experiments is caused by a cessation in further transcription due to changes in the negative feedback loop, as reported in a study by Castellano and colleagues(Castellano et al., 2009). The expression of *GPER1* was significantly reduced after 24 hours of exposure to 1×10⁻⁷ M and 1×10⁻⁵ M BPA. Earlier research has shown that GPER1 was downregulated in MCF-7 cells after exposure to 1× 10⁻⁹ M of 4-methyl-2,4bis(hydroxyphenyl)pent-1-ene (MBP). MBP is a metabolite of BPA down-regulated GPER1 gene expression after 24 hours of exposure (Hirao-Suzuki et al., 2021).

We also wanted to determine how the MCF12A cell lines expressed other genes that have been found in the literature to be regulated by estrogens. This was done further to establish the cell line's appropriateness for the project, as we needed to ensure, not only that the receptors were present and responsive to estrogens but also functional and capable of regulating downstream target genes. We showed that *CCND1* was significantly up-regulated after 24 hours of BPA. The up-regulation of cell-cycle regulators has been shown in other studies. It has been demonstrated in MCF-7 breast cancer cells that 1×10^{-9} M of BPA up-regulated the expression of *CCND1* after 24 hours of exposure (Mlynarčíková, Macho and Ficková, 2013). Pfeifer and colleagues showed that 10nM BPA significantly increased *CCND1* expression in MCF-7 cell lines (Pfeifer, Chung and Hu, 2015). BPA alternatives such as Bisphenol S (BPS) have also been shown to increase the expression of *CCND1* after 24 hours of exposure (10 μ M BPS) (Lin *et al.*, 2019).

We also demonstrated the presence of the TFF1 gene in the MCF12A cell line. We showed that both concentrations of BPA up-regulated *TFF1* after 24 hours. TFF1 has an imperfect palindromic estrogen-responsive element in its promoter region and is regulated by the estrogen receptor (Marchese and Silva, 2012). Marchese and Silva demonstrated that 1nM E2 up-regulated the expression TFF1 in MCF12A cell lines after 24 hours of exposure(Marchese and Silva, 2012). Sengupta and colleagues also demonstrated that E2 (10nM) and BPA (1×10^{-5} M) upregulated TFF1 expression in MCF-7 cell lines (Sengupta *et al.*, 2013). The upregulation of TFF1 in our experiments further confirms the presence of a functional estrogen receptor in the batch of MCF12 to be used for the project.

Finally, we demonstrated that both concentrations of BPA significantly down-regulated the expression of BRCA1. Down-regulation of BRCA1 by BPA was demonstrated in

the breast cancer cell line ER α -HA. Singleton and colleagues demonstrated that BRCA1 was down-regulated in the ER α -HA breast cancer cell line after 3 hours of BPA treatment (1× 10⁻⁶ M) (Singleton *et al.*, 2006).

As stated earlier, the ER status of MCF12A has been controversial. Overall, the first part of this chapter aimed to establish the estrogen competence of the batch of the MCF-12A cell to be used. The data analysis clearly shows the presence of the *ESR1*, *ESR2*, and *GPER1* genes. Other genes downstream of estrogenic action, such as *CCND1*, *TFF1*, and *BRCA1*, were also found to be activated to varying degrees.

The next section of this chapter was to ascertain whether the fatty acid mixture could cross into the cells and cause changes, such as an alteration in gene expression. The genes we chose were those affected by fatty acids and implicated in various cancers, such as breast cancer. Our data suggested that after exposing MCF-12A cells to 5.39 ×10⁻⁵ M fatty acid mixture, *FASN, LIPE, SREBP-1c, AKR1C1*, and *CD36* were upregulated. *FASN* (p = 0.0072) plays a vital role in the *de novo* synthesis of fatty acids. In normal cells, the expression of FASN is very low but up-regulated in breast cancer cell lines such as MCF-7 and MBD (Xu *et al.*, 2021; Li, Tian and Ma, 2014). The up-regulation of *FASN* is up-regulated in hormone-sensitive cells (Kalkhoven *et al.*, 1994; Kusakabe *et al.*, 2000). It has also been demonstrated in MCF-7 and BT-474 cells that *FASN* regulates Estrogen receptor- α signalling (Menendez *et al.*, 2021). MCF-12A cells, being an estrogen-competent cell line, could therefore express *FASN*.

SREBP-1c (*p*= 0.0004) was also significantly up-regulated after MCF-12A cells were exposed to our mixture of fatty acids. *SREBP-1c* up-regulation has also been shown to regulate in the activation of *FASN* transcription (Zhao *et al.*, 2016). The mixture of fatty acids up-regulated LIPE; however, this was not significant compared to the

controls. Up-regulation of *LIPE* has been associated with increased lipid metabolism in breast cancer cells (Marino *et al.*, 2020b). The expression of *AKR1C1* (p < 0.0001) was significant but lower than all the genes tested. The lower expression of AKR1C1 could be attributed to an inhibition by the fatty acids. It has been demonstrated that long-chain fatty acids such as oleic, linoleic, eicosapentaenoic acid, palmitoleic acid and docosapentaenoic acid inhibited *AKR1C1* (Hara *et al.*, 2017).

The mixture of fatty acids up-regulated CD36 (p = 0.004). Vallvé and colleagues showed that unsaturated fatty acids such as linoleic and arachidonic acids up-regulated CD36 in THP-1 macrophages after 24 hours of exposure (Vallvé *et al.*, 2002). An up-regulation of CD36 signifies fatty acid uptake, as elucidated by Ehehalt and colleagues (Ehehalt *et al.*, 2008). It has also been demonstrated that *CD36* facilitated the uptake of palmitic acid into cells during matrix detachment and tumour progression (Terry *et al.*, 2023).

Overall, we have shown that our MCF-12A cells are estrogen-competent and, therefore, will be an appropriate model for studying the effect of EDCs on breast cancer initiation and progression. We also demonstrated for the first time that a mixture of fatty acids present in their tissue concentrations can affect lipogenic genes in MCF-12A cells after 24 hours of exposure.

Chapter Three: Effect of Mixture of Endocrine Disruptors and Fatty Acids on MCF-12A in 3-Dimensional Models

3 Effect of Mixture of Endocrine Disruptors and Fatty Acids on MCF-12 in a 3-Dimensional Models

3.1 Introduction

As explained in Chapter One, endocrine disruptors have been implicated in breast cancer initiation and progression. For example, low-dose exposure of breast cancer cell lines to phenolic endocrine disruptors (p,p'-DDT, methoxychlor, benzophenone-2, bisphenol A, bisphenol S, 4-phenyl phenol, and n-butylparaben) at environmentally relevant concentrations resulted in an up-regulation of aromatase activity, increased estradiol biosynthesis and estrogen-regulated breast cancer proliferation (Williams and Darbre, 2019). BPA induces the expression of breast cancer oncogenes such as HOXB9 and causes epigenetic methylation in tumour suppressor genes such as TIMP3, CHFR, IGSF4, CDH13, and GSTP1 both in vivo and in vitro (Deb et al., 2016; Nair et al., 2020; Khan et al., 2021a). Epidemiological studies on the effect of EDCs on breast cancer progression have not been conclusive. A population-based casecontrol study of 575 postmenopausal women in Poland revealed that urinary Bisphenol A glucuronide did not correlate to breast cancer risk (Trabert *et al.*, 2014). Morgan and colleagues also conducted a cross-sectional study on samples collected from women (20 years and older) between 1994-2004. They reported that there was no significant association between phthalates and BPA on breast cancer risk (Morgan et al., 2017). However, there have been studies that have reported that EDCs, such as PCBs, increase breast cancer risk (Huang et al., 2019; Morgan et al., 2017; Rusiecki et al., 2020). It has been argued that the lack of causality in these epidemiological studies was due to the focus on individual compounds present in low concentrations in tissues and many confounding factors such as diet, occupation and exercise.

Furthermore, the effects of these EDCs have been assessed in comparison to endogenous hormones E1 (estrone, E2 (estradiol) and E3 (estriol), which have significantly more potent estrogenic effects than EDCs. Therefore, when assessing the impact of EDCs individually, the common conclusion is that they do not affect breast cancer risk as the tissue concentrations are not high enough (Kortenkamp, 2006). However, this assumption fails to consider the fact that humans are exposed to low levels of a cocktail of chemicals that can act together to increase a person's internal estrogenic burden and elevate breast cancer risk (Kortenkamp, 2006; Gaudriault *et al.*, 2017). Due to the ubiquitous nature of EDCs and the multiple routes of exposure, there is a compelling argument to assess their toxicity as a mixture (Lazarevic *et al.*, 2019; Stordal *et al.*, 2024).

In Chapter One, we discussed that the effect of a high-fat diet on breast cancer initiation and progression is inconclusive (Zhou *et al.*, 2016; Yang *et al.*, 2014). While some studies have established a causal link between saturated fatty acid and breast cancer risk, it is still contentious, and the mechanisms behind the effects have not been elucidated. However, it could be argued that the association between diet and cancer development follows the scenario of EDCs. While fatty acids may not significantly impact breast cancer risk on their own, it is plausible they can add to the overall cancer risk when combined with a high body burden of mixtures of endocrine disruptors.

3.1.1 Three-Dimensional Breast Cancer Cultures

As discussed in Chapter One, three-dimensional (3D) cultures are highly used in biomedical research because of their ability to replicate the complexity of tissue morphology and architecture in homeostasis and disease states (Roberts, Peyman and Speirs, 2019). 3D cultures have been developed using suspension-triggered spheroids, synthetic scaffolds, natural and synthetic hydrogels, and more complex bioprinting matrices. As discussed in Chapters One and Five, 3D cultures have been used to study the proliferation and invasiveness of breast cancer cells (Pal *et al.*, 2020; Lee *et al.*, 2022), angiogenesis in breast cancer progression (Correa de Sampaio *et al.*, 2012), and breast cancer invasiveness and metastasis (Katz *et al.*, 2011).

The effects of EDCs on breast cell lines have been studied on 3D models. For example, Atlas and Dimitrova studied the impact of Bisphenol S (BPS) and Bisphenol A on breast cell line MCF-12A cultured on Matrigel. They reported that MCF-12A cell lines formed structured acini that were disrupted on Matrigel in an equipotent manner and caused luminal clearing. They concluded that environmental pollutants affect mammary development and could impact breast cancer risk (Atlas and Dimitrova, 2019). Winkler and colleagues exposed primary human non-malignant breast organoids to EDCs (Bisphenol S and Bisphenol F). They reported that the EDCs produced protumorigenic effects at both the morphology and proteomic levels (Winkler et al., 2022). Earlier work by Marchese and Silva also demonstrated the effect of BPA, propylparaben and E2 on MCF-12A cells cultured on Matrigel. They reported disruptions in organisation and size of acini suggestive neoplastic activity (Marchese and Silva, 2012). While the number of studies using a 3D system to study the effects of chemicals on the breast is relatively low, the work described above indicates that 3D cultures present an appropriate and useful method to study the impact of mixtures of endocrine disruptors and fatty acids on early breast carcinogenesis (Figure 3.1).



Figure 3.1 Mammary epithelial cells organise into acini-like structures when grown on Matrigel. (*A*) A schematic representation of the sequence of development of acini when mammary epithelial cells are cultured on Matrigel, including proliferation, polarisation and apoptosis. Cells begin to proliferate and begin to form acini. On days 5-8, there is a visible inner and outer layer; the outer layer interacts with the ECM and remains deformed throughout the development of the acini. Apoptosis and lumen formation occur from day 8 and fully formed from 10 (*B*) Confocal imaging of MCF12A grown on Matrigel showed that by day 10 normal epithelial cells develop into acini-like structure with a hollow lumen. (*C*) In vitro acini structures recapitulate in vivo acini structure (Marchese and Silva, 2012; Debnath, Muthuswamy and Brugge, 2003; Debnath and Brugge, 2005).

3.1.2 Cell Proliferation

The cell cycle is a sequence of events which results in the doubling of cellular components and the segregation of daughter cells. The cell cycle is divided into four phases in eukaryotic cells: gap 1 (G1), DNA synthesis (S), gap 2 (G2) and mitosis (M) (Figure 3.1) (Liu et al., 2019b). These phases contain checkpoints that ensure an error-free replication and segregation of chromosomes into daughter cells. The checkpoints also prevent genomic instability, which can induce and progress tumourigenesis (Thu et al., 2018). The majority of cells in the human body are in a non-proliferative state (Matthews, Bertoli and de Bruin, 2022). The decision to enter the cell cycle is determined by triggers such as nutrients and growth factors like human growth hormone (HGH) (Øvrebø, Ma and Edgar, 2022). In breast cells, progesterone and estrogen are significant triggers of proliferation (Hilton, Clarke and Graham, 2018). During the G1 phase, the cell grows, increases in size, and enters the S phase after reaching a certain size. DNA synthesis and duplication occur in the S phase (Wang, 2021). Optimal entry into the S phase is essential for development, tissue repair and immune defences. However, a dysregulated entry leads to replication stress, DNA damage and oncogenesis (Hume, Dianov and Ramadan, 2020). In the G2 phase, the cell checks for DNA replication completion and enters mitosis. In the M phase, mitosis occurs where chromosomes are segregated, and cell division is completed. A successful cell cycle will result in the two daughter cells receiving an equal number of chromosomes from the parents. The whole cell cycle process occurs between 10-30 hours in most mammalian cells (Wang, 2021). Cells can leave the cell cycle at G1, return to G0, and become quiescent (Johnson and Walker, 1999).

This process is regulated by cyclin-dependent kinases (CDKs) (Barnum and O'Connell, 2014). Though numerous CDKs and cyclins exist in the loci in human cells,

only a few cyclin-CDK complexes are directly involved in regulating the cell cycle. These include CDK2, CDK4, CDK6, CDK1 and 10 cyclins in four different classes (A, B, D and E-type cyclins) (Figure 3.2). Tumour-associated dysregulation of CDK-cyclin leads to continued proliferation or unscheduled re-entry into the cell cycle, which characterises most human tumours (Malumbres and Barbacid, 2009). The activity of CDKs is controlled primarily by the concentration of cyclins. While the concentration of CDKs remains constant, the concentrations of cyclins fluctuate due to transcriptional regulation and controlled degradation by ubiquitin proteolytic pathways (as reviewed in (Rais *et al.*, 2023).

There are regulatory proteins that can arrest the cell cycle, commonly referred to as negative regulators. The most widely studied negative regulators include retinoblastoma protein (Rb), p53 and p21, as reviewed in (Engeland, 2022). They function by mediating the transcriptional dysregulation of many cell cycle genes (Kurimchak and Graña, 2015). Retinoblastoma protein (Rb protein) is involved in suppressing G1 phase transition. In early G1 phase, pRB is unphosphorylated but becomes inactivated by phosphorylation in the mid/late G1 phase by cyclins (D/E) and cyclin-dependent kinases (Palaiologos *et al.*, 2019). Phosphorylation of pRb leads to the accumulation of E2F transcription factors. E2F transcriptional factors target genes needed to synthesise proteins required for progression from G1 to S-phase (Bretones, Delgado and León, 2015; Otto and Sicinski, 2017). Dephosphorylated pRb leads to heterodimerisation with E2F and inhibition of activity (Sheldon, 2017). p53 is a well-known cell cycle regulator that induces transcription of the gene encoding p21 and inhibits CDK-mediated phosphorylation of retinoblastoma protein (Kuganesan *et al.*, 2021).

Various cell cycle regulators have been shown to mediate mammary epithelial cell proliferation. For example, cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitors (CKDKI), p27^{kip1} and p21^{cip1}, were found to be involved in the regulating acini formation of MCF10A cell lines in a cultured on Matrigel. While p21^{cip1} was highly expressed in the early stages of proliferation and acini formation, p27^{kip1} increased when proliferation decreased, and acini reached full formation. There was an increase in the proliferation marker Ki67 in the early stages of acini formation (Coppock *et al.*, 2007). Overexpression of cyclin D1 has also been found to disrupt acini formation in the MCF10A cell line cultured on Matrigel (Debnath, Muthuswamy and Brugge, 2003). Cyclin D1 was also found to mediate the proliferative effect of helix-loop-helix (HLH) protein Id1 in MCF12A cell lines cultured in Matrigel, further demonstrating the suitability of 3D in vitro assays to study early breast carcinogenesis (Caldon *et al.*, 2008).





3.1.3 Luminal filling

The ducts of human breasts consist mainly of two cellular elements in a bilayer structure: luminal epithelial cells, which surround the central ductal cavity and myoepithelial cells, found between the basement membrane and luminal epithelial cells (Carter et al., 2017). In adult breasts, these ducts carry nutrient-rich milk to offspring during lactation (Shore et al., 2016). The lumen in the ducts is formed by the apoptosis of the inner cells in newly branched epithelial chords (Mailleux, Overholtzer and Brugge, 2008). Autophagy is also involved in the formation of lumen in the mammary gland (Wärri et al., 2018). The loss of luminal space during tumorigenesis is commonly called luminal filling and is a characteristic of glandular epithelial tumours (Halaoui et al., 2017; Debnath and Brugge, 2005). Previous studies have reported that luminal filling is enhanced by the co-expression of oncogenes that increase proliferation and those that inhibit apoptosis or the upregulation of HER2 by heterodimerisation (Debnath et al., 2002). Using MCF10A cell line cultured on Matrigel, it has been demonstrated that caspase-mediated apoptosis and tumour necrosis factor-related apoptosis-inducing ligand (TRAIL) mediated autophagy are both needed for luminal clearance (Mills et al., 2004). As stated earlier, because luminal filling is a hallmark of early carcinogenesis, it is, therefore, necessary to study how extrinsic factors such as mixtures of endocrine disruptors and fatty acid diet affect the mechanisms involved to provide an insight into breast cancer risk.

Apoptosis, also called programmed cell death, is a fundamental process in morphogenesis and can be triggered by intrinsic or external stimuli. The extrinsic apoptosis pathway is mediated by activating receptors such as Fas receptors, DR4/DR5, tumour necrosis factor receptors (TNF-R), and TNF-related apoptosis-inducing ligand receptors (TRAIL-R). These receptors interact with adaptor proteins

such as Fas-associated proteins with death domain (FAAD), tumour necrosis factor receptor type1 associated death domain protein (TRADD) and caspase-8 (as reviewed in (Kashyap, Garg and Goel, 2021). Intrinsic or mitochondrial apoptosis pathway is triggered by DNA damage or oxidative stress. Proapoptotic members of the BCL-2 family found in the mitochondrial outer membrane instigate mitochondrial outer membrane permeabilization (MOMP). The proapoptotic members of BCL-2 include BAX, BAK, BIM, BID, and PUMA. This leads to the release of cytochrome C from the mitochondria into the cytoplasm. The release of Cytochrome C activates caspase 9, followed by the activation of executioner caspase 3 (Carneiro and El-Deiry, 2020; Kale, Osterlund and Andrews, 2018). Caspase 3 induces the degradation of chromosomal DNA and causes chromatin condensation, cytoskeletal reorientation, and cell disintegration (Cavalcante *et al.*, 2019). It has been demonstrated in 3D cultures that apoptosis can be seen by the presence of caspase 3 in the inner cells around day 8, initiating the hollowing of the acini lumen (Figure 3.3)

Apart from apoptosis, autophagy is involved in luminal clearing and lumen maintenance (Mills *et al.*, 2004). It is a conserved physiological process by which cells degrade their components by lysosomal action (Ichimiya *et al.*, 2020). There are three autophagic pathways: chaperone-mediated autophagy, microautophagy, and macroautophagy. Macroautophagy is the major and well-studied form of autophagy and is herein referred to as autophagy (Schmeisser, Bekisz and Zoon, 2014; Mizushima and Komatsu, 2011). It is induced by external and internal cellular stressors, such as starvation and oxidative stress, and inhibitors of TOR, such as rapamycin (Li, He and Ma, 2020; Tian *et al.*, 2020). Mechanistically, autophagy involves the formation of double-membrane vesicles called autophagosomes. These vesicles then fuse with lysosomes, which are degraded acidic lysosomal hydrolases

and the product is recycled (Russo and Russo, 2018). Autophagy has been demonstrated in 3D mammary cultures in the centrally located cell of developing acini. It was observed by the overexpression of Bcl-2 (Debnath *et al.*, 2002; Fung *et al.*, 2008).



Figure 3.3 Evidence of hollow formation and apoptosis in 3D cultured MCF-10A cells. *Immunofluorescent images demonstrating the formation of lumen formation and apoptosis by day 12. Images adapted from* (Marchese and Silva, 2012).

3.1.4 Loss of polarity

Normal epithelial cells demonstrate apical polarity, which separates the plasma membrane into distinct compartments and is essential for cellular homeostasis, tissue function, and cancer suppression. Polarized cells have an apical and basolateral membrane separated by tight junctions (Catterall, Lelarge and McCaffrey, 2020). Polarity protein complexes mediate the maintenance of cell polarity within the epithelial tissues and the asymmetric segregation of cellular proteins (Atashrazm and Ellis, 2021). Three conserved polarity protein complexes: Par (Par3, Par6 and aPKC), Crumbs (PATJ, Pals1, crumbs) and scribble (Igl, Dlg, scribble) are involved in the maintenance of cellular polarity. Par and Crumbs complexes form the apical polarity domain, while the scribble complex is involved in basolateral polarity (Grifone, 2020).

In acini architecture, the presence of cell-cell tight junctions and hemidesmosomes at the junction of the basement membrane indicates polarity. Molecular markers of apical-basal polarity, $\alpha 6/\beta 6$ integrin indicating hemidesmosomes and ZO-1 indicating tight junctions have been found in 3D mammary cultures (Vidi, Bissell and Lelièvre, 2013).

Breast luminal epithelial cells exhibit apical-basal polarity and the inability to maintain the organizational structure due to dysregulation of polarity protein complexes is associated with hyperplasia and cancers (Rejon, Al-Masri and McCaffrey, 2016). Loss of polarity is a common hallmark of invasive breast cancer, irrespective of the type, grade, ER or HER2 status (Fomicheva, Tross and Macara, 2020). In 3D cultures, loss of apical polarity is shown by the disorganisation of the acini structures (Vidi, Bissell and Lelièvre, 2013).

3.1.5 Chapter Scope

As stated earlier, breast cancer is a multifactorial disease, and risk factor interaction contributes to overall lifetime risk. So far, there has not been any research that has looked at the effect of combinations of different types of external factors, such as EDCs and fatty acids on breast cancer risk. Within this chapter, we aimed to assess whether a mixture of twelve endocrine disruptors representative of real exposures and four fatty acids representative of real diet patterns could cause effects that indicate an increase in breast cancer risk, both as individual mixtures and in combination. Utilising a 3D Matrigel culture that recapitulates the human breast in a better way than traditional 2D assays, we addressed the aims by investigating the following questions:

1. Do mixtures of endocrine disruptors and fatty acids significantly affect the morphology of acini indicative of early breast carcinogenesis?

- 2. Do mixtures of endocrine disruptors and fatty acids act in combination to affect the morphology of acini, indicating early breast carcinogenesis?
- 3. Does the exposure to mixtures of endocrine disruptors and fatty acids, both individually and in combination, significantly alter genes associated with early breast carcinogenesis, indicating a possible increase in the risk of breast cancer?

3.2 Methodology

3.2.1 Chemical Handling

All the EDCs in the mixture were of analytical standard (> 95% purity). All the chemicals were purchased from Sigma Aldrich except the parabens (propyl-butyl paraben), which were purchased from Fisher Scientific. Stock concentrations were prepared for all chemicals. These were subsequently diluted and combined according to their average serum concentration concentrations, (Kortenkamp, Scholze and Ermler, 2014) as shown in Table (3.2). In determining the chemicals for our mixtures, we chose ubiquitous chemicals that have been tested for their endocrine-disrupting activity. Kortenkamp and colleagues determined the average concentration of these chemicals in human serum from an average exposure scenario in Europe (Kortenkamp, Scholze and Ermler, 2014). In preparing the fatty acid mixtures, we chose fatty acids that were among those most consumed in Europe, according to the EPIC study (Huybrechts *et al.*, 2023). We used the total plasma concentration reported by (Abdelmagid *et al.*, 2015). The concentrations of fatty acids used in the mixture were found by calculating the average between the reported maximum and minimum concentrations.

The mixture of fatty acids was prepared, as shown in (Table 3.1). Stock concentrations were stored at -20° C for long-term storage.

3.2.2 Routine Cell Culture

All reagents were obtained from Sigma Aldrich unless otherwise stated. MCF-12A cells were obtained from the American Type Collection and cultured in T75 cm² canterneck tissue culture flasks in a monolayer. Cells were supplied with Dulbecco's Modified Eagle Medium (DMEM: F12) supplemented with 5% horse serum, 0.02% epidermal growth factor, 0.01% cholera toxins, 0.1% insulin, 0.05% hydrocortisone and 1% pen/strep. Cultures were maintained in 37°C and 5% CO₂ humidified incubator, and the medium was replaced every three days.

Fatty Acids	Mean Plasma	Proportion of Fatty Acid	
	Concentration (M)	in Mixture (%)	
Elaidic Acid	4.40× 10 ⁻⁵	0.6	
Linoleic Acid	2.63×10 ⁻³	48.66	
Palmitic Acid	2.1747×10 ⁻³	40.32	
Stearic Acid	5.62×10 ⁻⁴	10.42	
Total Mixture	5.39×10 ⁻³		
Concentration			

Table 3.1 List of fatty acids, their mean plasma concentration, and their percentage in the mixture solution (Abdelmagid *et al.*, 2015)

Table 3.2 Endocrine disruptors, their tissue (serum) concentrations andpercentage proportion in the mixture used in the mixture (Kortenkamp, Scholzeand Ermler, 2014)

Chemical	Tissue Concentration	Proportion of Chemical
	(M)	in Mixture (%)
BDE 100	8.12×10 ⁻¹³	0.124125341
Propylparaben	2.34×10 ⁻¹¹	0.816893268
Methylparaben	1.54×10 ⁻¹⁰	0.117229488
Ethylparaben	2.21×10 ⁻¹¹	0.130490743
Butylparaben	2.46×10 ⁻¹¹	0.938896808
Tonalide	1.77×10 ⁻¹⁰	0.938896808
Bisphenol A	8.76×10 ⁻⁹	46.46743524
3-benzyliden Camphor	4.81×10 ⁻¹⁰	2.551465
Galaxolide	7.10×10 ⁻¹⁰	3.766196
Benzopehenone-2	7.50×-09	39.78376
Benzophenone-3	8.09×10 ⁻¹⁰	1.007855
p p-DDE	1.90×10 ⁻¹⁰	4.291342
Total Tissue	1.89×10 ⁻⁸	100%
Concentration		

3.2.3 Three-dimensional Matrigel Cultures

MCF12A cells were cultured on a Growth Factor Reduced (GFR) Matrigel bed per established protocols (Marchese and Silva, 2012; Debnath, Muthuswamy and Brugge, 2003). 8-well chamber slides (Merck Life Science, Gillingham, UK) were coated with 100µl 100% growth factor reduced (GFR) Matrigel (Fisher Scientific, Loughborough, UK) and allowed to polymerise at 37°C and 5% CO₂ for 15 minutes in an incubator. 70% confluent cells were trypsinised, and a single cell suspension was prepared in a growth medium (2×10^4 cells/ml). Cell suspension was then mixed in a 1:1 ratio to assay medium (supplemented DMEM F-12 + 4% GFR Matrigel). Chemical treatments dissolved in molecular grade EtOH (100%) were dissolved in the cell suspension solution. The final concentration of EtOH did not exceed 0.5%. Negative control samples were exposed to 0.5% EtOH. 400µl (500 cellss) per well cell suspension solution was overlayed on the polymerised Matrigel bed. (Figure 3.4). Cultures were maintained in an incubator at 37°C and 5% CO₂. The growth medium was replenished every four days, containing 2% Matrigel and the test chemical at the concentration mentioned above. Cultures were incubated for 16 days and 10 days before being used for morphological and RNA sequencing analysis, respectively. At 16 days, Marchese and Silva showed that the non-malignant MCF-12A cells form growth-arrested acini, there were apparent differences between the control and treated and samples(Marchese and Silva, 2012). We settled on 10 days for the RNAseq because we observed well-formed acini that were starting to hollow out. The setup is described schematically, as shown in Figure 3.4.



Figure 3.4 Schematic representation of MCF-12A three-dimensional assay. *A polymerised 100% GFR Matrigel was used as the scaffold on which MCF-12A suspended in the growth medium is overlayed. After 16 days of incubation, single cells organise into acini-like spheroids akin to the structures9 seen in the human breast.*

3.2.4 Immunocytochemistry

Following incubation for 16 days, the medium was removed, and the Matrigel bed was washed twice with PBS. The slides were then fixed with freshly cold methanol and acetone solution (1:1) for 12 minutes at -20°C. Cells were then permeabilised to allow antibody passage across the plasma membrane using 0.5% Triton-X in PBS for 10 minutes at 4°C. The slides were then washed three times with 100mM glycine solution for 10 minutes at room temperature on an orbital rocker to reduce cross-linking produced by fixation process. Slides were then incubated with the primary blocking

solution (Immunofluorescence buffer (IF buffer) and 10% fetal bovine serum) for 1 hour on an orbital rocker at room temperature to prevent unspecific antibody binding. IF buffer contained Bovine Serum Albumin (BSA), PBS, Triton and Tween. The primary block was then aspirated, and slides were incubated with the secondary block (900 µl Immunofluorescence buffer + 15.4 µl goat anti-mouse F(ab')2 fragment+ 100µl fetal bovine serum) for 40 minutes at room temperature on an orbital rocker at 4°C to reduce unspecific binding of antibody the Fc receptor and reduce background staining. Primary antibodies were centrifuged (5000 rpm for 5 minutes) to break down aggregates and prepared in freshly prepared second block solution according to the concentration in Table (3.3). Slides were incubated with primary antibodies overnight at 4 degrees on an orbital shaker.

Table 3.3 Primary antibodies used for immunochemistry to investigate apoptosis and the presence of basement membrane in MCF-12A cells cultured on Matrigel

Primary Antibody	Species	Source	Purpose	Dilution
Cleaved caspases-3	3 Rabbit	Cell Signalling	Apoptotic marker	1:200
Laminin	Mouse	Abcam	Basement Membrane	1:200

After incubation, slides were washed thrice (20 minutes for each wash) with IF buffer at room temperature on an orbital. Secondary antibodies (Table 3.4) were centrifuged to break aggregates and diluted in freshly prepared secondary buffer (IF + 10% fetal bovine serum). Samples were incubated with the secondary antibodies for 50 minutes at room temperature on an orbital rocker. Table 3.4 Secondary antibodies used for immunocytochemistry to investigate apoptosis and the presence basement membrane in MCF-12A cells cultured on Matrigel.

Secondary Antibody	Species	Source	Dilution
Alexa Fluor ® 488 anti-rabbit IgG	Goat	Molecular Probes	1:200
Alexa Fluor ® 555 anti-mouse IgG	Goat	Molecular Probes	1:200

After the incubation with the secondary antibody, the samples were washed three times (20 minutes per wash) with immunofluorescence buffer (IF buffer) on an orbital shaker. They were allowed to dry in the dark and counterstained with DAPI (4' 6- diamidino-2-phenylindole) in mounting media. The slides were kept in the dark overnight to dry. Image acquisition was done with a Nikon Spinning Disk Confocal Microscope.

3.2.5 Morphological Analysis

Light microscope images of the cultures were taken every 2 days for a period of 16 days. At the end of the 16 days of culture, immunochemistry was performed, and acini were imaged using a Nikon Spinning Disk Confocal Microscope.

To measure the disruption of acini of the light microscope images, a minimum of 10 acini were randomly selected from each duplicate and were analysed for each treatment for each independent experiment. The area and circularity were the parameters chosen to quantify disruption. These two parameters have been used in previous studies to analyse the morphology of acini (Marchese and Silva, 2012; Carey, Martin and Reinhart-King, 2017; Corda *et al.*, 2017). Circularity was calculated using the following method:

$$Circularity = \frac{4\pi \ acini \ area}{acini \ perimeter^2}$$
(Equation 2)

A perfect circle has a circularity value of 1, representing a perfect and undisrupted circle. As the value approaches 0, the shape is irregular and disrupted. The area and circularity of the acini were measured using ImageJ (<u>www.imagej.net</u>) (Collins, 2007; Schindelin *et al.*, 2015), and GraphPad Prism (version 10.4.1) was used for the statistical analysis. Two-way ANOVA followed by Bonferroni correction was used to determine the statistical significance.

3.2.6 RNA Extraction for RNA Sequencing

To isolate acini for RNA sequencing at the end of day 10, the assay medium was removed from the wells and washed three times with warm PBS. 200µl of Cell Recovery Solution (Corning, New York, USA) was added to the wells, and the Matrigel/Cell Recovery solution was aspirated into an Eppendorf tube. An additional 200µl of Cell Recovery Solution was used to rinse the well to remove any remaining acini. The Matrigel/Recovery solution was then placed on ice for 1 hour. After incubation, the solution was centrifuged at 1000 rpm for 5 minutes; the supernatant was removed. The remaining pellet was washed twice with PBS. RNA was isolated using the Qiagen RNeasy[®] Mini Kit, as described in Chapter Two. Samples were treated in triplicate

3.2.7 RNA Sequencing (RNA-seq)

Sample sequencing was done with Illumina sequencing PE150, where the average reads of each replicate for the three experiments were taken (Table 3.5)

Table 3.5 Samples and reads obtained after RNA sequencing.was carried out using Illumina Sequencing PE150

Samples	Reads
Control	242701622
EDC Mixture	304706342
Fatty Acid Mixture	248556458
EDC Mixture + Fatty Acid Mixture	316715988

3.2.8 RNA-Seq Data Processing

The pipeline for processing the RNA-seq data was designed as previously described (Zahra *et al.*, 2022). Briefly, the data was aligned to the reference human genome GRCH38 using TopHat2 (v2.1.1) via the ultra-high throughput short-read aligner Bowtie (v2.2.6). Subsequently, the experimental replicates of the three experiments were merged using Samtools (v.0.1.19), where a minimum of 30 high-quality reads were selected. Cufflinks (v.2.2.1) was used to assemble each sample's transcripts and expression quantification. Finally, Cuffdiff was used to obtain the differential expression between the various experiments and treatments. Omics Playground (v3.4.4) (Akhmedov *et al.*, 2020), an online analytics and visualisation platform, was used to identify DEGs, gene signatures, functional annotation, and biomarkers. FunRich (Pathan *et al.*, 2017) was utilised for gene enrichment to analyse parameters such as biological processes, molecular function and biological pathways.

3.3 RESULTS

3.3.1 Exposure of MCF-12A cells to mixtures of EDCs and fatty acids individually and in combination induced morphological changes of acini.

To understand the effect of endocrine disruptors and fatty acids on early breast carcinogenesis, we tested the mixtures of these individually and in combination. Earlier work has revealed that MCF12A cells cultured on Matrigel form acini with a single layer of cells and a hollow lumen and deposition of a basement membrane (Marchese and Silva, 2012). In this project, throughout the 16 days of incubation, the negative control (0.5% EtOH) formed a single layer of epithelial cells surrounding a slightly formed hollow lumen. When the cells were exposed to EDCs mixture, FA mixture and EDC+FA mixture, we observed a significant increase in the area and a decrease in the circularity in the acini, suggesting an increase in proliferation (Figure 3.5).



Figure 3.5 Light microscope images of 3D cultures of mammary epithelial cells MCF-12A grown on a Matrigel scaffold. Structures show a time course of acini growth after treatment with mixtures of EDCs, fatty acids and the two mixtures combined. After fixing the acini on day 16, we took confocal images of the acini resulting from the various treatments: solvent control, EDC mixture, FA mixture, and EDC+FA mixture. Staining the acini with an antibody against Laminin V (yellow) clearly showed the presence of a basement membrane. However, antibodies against Caspase-3 did not identify any apoptotic cells. Counterstaining with Alexa Fluor 488 and 588 (blue) clearly showed cell nuclei that are well-organised cells into acini structures. Figure 3.6 is a representative image of several images taken of the acini. Overall, the controls formed well-rounded acini, while the treated samples were deformed and larger at the end of the 16 days of incubation.



Control

EDC

FA

EDC+FA

Figure 3.6 Confocal images of 3D cultures of non-tumourigenic epithelial breast MCF12A cells grown on Matrigel for 16 days. *Cells were treated with 0.5% (Control), EDC mixture, FA mixture and the two mixtures combined. Acini were stained with antibodies against Laminin V (yellow) to stain the basement membrane, caspase-3 (green) to identify apoptotic cells and counterstained with Alexa Fluor 488® and 588 to visualise the nuclei. Confocal images are representative of 3 independent experiments.* The area of the acini increased as the time points measured increased. A slight increase in area could be seen between the negative controls and the treatments on day 2 (Figure 3.7). However, from day 4, a significant increase could be seen in the area between the controls and the treatments (Control vs EDC = 32516 ± 1284.82 μ m². p < 0.0001, Control vs FA = 33050 ± 13221.48 μm^2 , p < 0.0001, Control vs FA+EDC = $49823.47 \pm 25081.88 \,\mu\text{m}^2$, p< 0.0001) (Figure 3.4). There was also a significant difference between the samples treated with EDC mixture, FA mixture and FA+EDC mixture on day 2 (EDC vs FA+EDC 16521.56 \pm 2614.19 μ m², p>0.0001, FA vs FA+EDC 16521± 2835.33µm², p<0.0001), dav 4 (EDC_vs_FA+EDC 49823.46 ± 12238.06µm², p<0.0001, FA_vs_FAEDC 49823.46± 11860.39µm²,p<0.0001) and day 8 (EDC vs FA+EDC 176342±35165.72µm², p > 0.0001, FA vs FA+EDC 176342 \pm 33953.07 μ m², p < 0.0001) (Figure 3.7). However, on day 12 and day 16 of the cultures, there was no significant difference between the samples treated with the individual mixtures (EDCs and FA) and those treated with the combined mixture (EDC+FA). The results showed that proliferation did not increase significantly in the controls, and cells continued to proliferate at the expected rate throughout the incubation period. However, the increase in area and deformation of the acini suggests an increased rate of uncontrolled proliferation.

The circularity of the acini of the control samples was closer to 1, indicating a normal acini formation without disruption when compared to the treated samples. As the incubation days increased, the circularity of the treated samples significantly decreased compared to the controls, suggesting increased disruption by the treatments. On day 2, there was a significant difference in loss of circularity between the samples treated with the EDC mixture and those treated with the FA+EDC mixture (0.8826±0.019, p>0.0001) (Figure 3.8). However, from day 4 to day 16, the circularity

of samples treated with the combined mixture was lower than that of samples treated with the single mixtures. However, the decrease was not significant between the treatments, meaning the FA+EDC mixture did not increase disruption of the acini.



Figure 3.7 Quantification of the acini area in response to a mixture of EDCs, a mixture of Fatty acids and mixtures of EDCs and Fatty combined. *MCF-12A* were cultured on Matrigel and treated with a mixture of EDCs, fatty acids and a mixture of EDC and Fatty acids. Light microscopy images of the acini were taken using a Lecia DMi1 microscope fitted with a LEICA MC170 HD screen. Acini areas were measured

on Day 2, Day 4, Day 8, Day 12, and Day 16 using ImageJ software. Graphs were plotted using GraphPad Prism. Data represent 10 random acini from three independent experiments run in duplicates with values corresponding to the sample mean and SEM. Significance is denoted by ****<0.0001 *** 0.0002 ** 0.0021 * 0.0323 as determined by an ordinary one-way ANOVA.





Figure 3.8 Quantification of the acini circularity in response to a mixture of EDCs, a mixture of Fatty acids and mixtures of EDCs and Fatty combined. *MCF-12A* were cultured on Matrigel and treated with a mixture of EDCs, fatty acids and a mixture of EDC and Fatty acids. Light microscopy images of the acini were taken using a Lecia DMi1 microscope fitted with a LEICA MC170 HD screen. Acini circularity was measured on Day 2, Day 4, Day 8, Day 12, and Day 16 using ImageJ software. Graphs were plotted using GraphPad Prisms. Data represent 10 random acini from three independent experiments run in duplicates with values corresponding to the sample mean and SEM. Significance is denoted by ****<0.0001 *** 0.0002 ** 0.0021 * 0.0323 as determined by an ordinary one-way ANOVA.

3.3.2 Uniform Manifold Approximation and Projection of Geneset Signatures Shows Contrast Between Samples

In order to investigate the impact of our test chemicals on the gene expression profile of MCF12A cells cultured in 3D, we analysed RNAseq data. Firstly, we constructed a Uniform Manifold Approximation and Projection (UMAP) to elucidate the differences in geneset among the samples using the control (0.5%) as a reference (Figure 3.9). The display shows up-regulation (red) and down-regulation (blue) of genesets. The results show slight differences in phenotypic clustering patterns between the samples treated with EDCs mixture and FA mixture, but this was not very marked. However, the samples treated with the FA+EDC mixture showed an inverted geneset expression when compared to the other mixture (EDC mixture and FA mixtures. Overall, while the EDC and FA mixtures up-regulated (Red) similar genesets, the FA+EDC mixture down-regulated those genes, suggesting a combined effect of the two exposures that was not seen with them individually.



Figure 3.9 Geneset Signature Uniform Manifold Approximation and Projection Showing Up and Down-Regulated Genes in Different Samples.

UMAPs show genes clustered by relative log expression, up (red) or down (blue), regulated in samples treated with an EDC mixture, an EDC mixture, or FA+EDC mixture. The plots show differences in gene expression between the samples. Visualisations were made with Omics Playground (Akhmedov et al., 2020).

3.3.3 Heat Map of Top 50 Differentially Expressed Genes In Different Treatments Identified Five Clusters

We then generated a heat map (Figure 3.10A) of the 50 top differentially expressed genes across the various treatments (Control, EDC, FA, EDC+FA). The relative expression scale of the genes was used for the hierarchical clustering. Five clusters were identified, S1-S5. The functional annotation (Figure 3.10B) of the genes was generated by correlating the genes to 42 reference databases such as KEGG and Gene Ontology (Akhmedov *et al.*, 2020). Some of the processes identified in the functional annotation include PI3K/Akt/MTORC1 signalling, MYC target V1 in S4, fatty acid metabolism, adipogenesis, KRAS signalling, IL6/JAK/STAT3 signalling in S1, G2M checkpoint, E2F targets, DNA repair in S2 and Estrogen response, apoptosis, epithelial-mesenchymal in S3. The heatmap and functional annotations were made with Omics Playground.





Figure 3.10 Clustered Heatmap and Functional Annotation

Β

A) Functional heatmap of 50 genes identified through standard deviation across the treatments (Control, EDC, FA, and FAEDC). Gene-level hierarchical clustering is based on the relative expression scale. In the heatmap, red represents over-expression, while blue denotes under-expression. Five annotated clusters were identified: S1 (blue), S2 (orange), S3 (green), S4 (red), and S5 (pink). **B)** The functional annotation terms for the five clusters identified in **A**. The graphs were generated using the Omics Playground Software, which employs machine learning algorithms to correlate each gene set with over 42 reference databases, including KEGG and Gene Ontology

3.3.4 Expression of Top Differentially Expressed Genes across the Treatment.

Next, we separated the top differentially expressed genes by comparing each treatment with the controls (Figure 3.8A-C). Using Omics Playground, we selected the top 16 genes regulated differently in the samples compared to the controls based on their False Discovery Ratio (FDR). Due to the use of a few experimental replicates, a
False Discovery Rate (FDR) of 1 was used to compute the top 16 differentially expressed genes. Some of the genes up-regulated by the EDC mixture include *UBAS2P5*, *SNHG25*, and *MCM3AP* (Figure 3.11A). Fatty Acid mixture up-regulated *SNORA73B* (Figure 3.11B). FA+EDC mixture up-regulated *RN75SKP175*, *SMCO4*, and *RN7SL75P* (Figure 3.11C).







Figure 3.11 Differentiallt Expressed Genes. Top differentially expressed genes in samples treated with a mixture of EDCs (**A**), mixtures of Fas (**B**), and a mixture of FA+EDC (**C**).

3.3.5 Functional Enrichment of the Top 100 Differentially Expressed Genes (DEGS)

Using Funrich (Pathan *et al.*, 2017), we analysed the top 100 up-regulated and 100 down-regulated genes for their enrichment in biological pathways, biological processes and molecular function for all the treatments. The molecular function refers to the molecular activities initiated by the genes in the biological pathways, while biological processes result from the various molecular activities (Peerapen and Thongboonkerd, 2023). The top 7 processes for each sample are shown in the (Figures 3.12A-I). Molecular activity enriched in the samples includes transcription regulator activity, transcription factor activity and RNA binding. Biological processes enriched in the samples were signal transduction, regulation of nucleic acid metabolism and cell communication. Various biological pathways were enriched in the samples, including biological oxidation, regulation of CDC42 signalling events, estrogen biosynthesis and cytochrome p450 activity.





Biological pathway for EDC vs Control



Transcription (1.3%) Physiological process (1.3%)

Regulation of nucleobase, nucleoside, nucleotide and nucleic acid metabolism

(25.3%)

F

Biological pathway for FA vs Control







Metabolism of steroid hormones and vitamins A and D (50%)

Figure 3.12 Enriched Biological Pathways, Processes and Molecular Functions associated with treatment with the mixtures. Using the top 100 up and downregulated genes, Funrich was used to analyse the top 7 enriched pathways. A-C) Molecular pathway, biological process and biological pathway for EDC mixture **D-F**) Molecular pathway, biological process and biological pathway for FA mixture G-I) Molecular pathway, biological process and biological pathway for FA+EDC mixture

3.3.6 Exposures to Treatments Causes the Of Expression Different Biomarkers

Across the sample groups, different biomarkers are expressed in response to the treatments. Omics Playground employs machine learning algorithms Sparse Spatial Least Squares (sPLS), Glmnet, and Random Forest (RF) to compute the biomarkers expressed in MCF-12A cells due to the treatment. The expression of these biomarkers can be used to predict and classify treatments. We focused on the expression of kinases because they are essential in cell proliferation and processes leading to breast cancer (Milletti, Colicchia and Cecconi, 2023; Miricescu *et al.*, 2020). A heatmap (Figure 3.13**A**) shows the expression of the biomarkers across the treatment. A box plot (Figure 3.13**B**) of the top 8 biomarkers across the treatment. Some of the important biomarkers expressed include MAPK15, PKDCC, and EIF2AK3. Some of these biomarkers have been implicated in carcinogenesis.







Figure 3.13 Biomarkers Expression across samples A) A heatmap of the biomarkers expressed across the treatments. **B)** Box plots of the top eight biomarkers expressed by the treatments. Analysis and images were done with Omics Playground.

3.4 DISCUSSION

The ability of EDCs to impact overall breast cancer risk has been inconclusive, with harmful effects seen predominantly at concentrations higher than those found in human tissues. These assumptions have been made without taking into consideration the presence of human exposure to mixtures of environmental chemicals that can potentially impact breast cancer risk. Epidemiological studies have been inconclusive on the impact of diet, especially a high-fat diet, on the risk of breast cancer initiation and progression. Here, we present data on the effect of mixtures of endocrine disruptors, mixtures of fatty acids, and then the two mixtures combined on breast epithelial cells, MCF-12A on a 3D cell culture model in order to try to elucidate the potential impact of these combined exposures on breast cancer risk.

Firstly, we showed that MCF-12A cells were organised to form acini when cultured on Matrigel, recapitulating *in vivo* mammary architecture (Marchese, 2013; Marchese and Silva, 2012; Debnath and Brugge, 2005; Atlas and Dimitrova, 2019). This has been a useful model for studying carcinogenesis *in vitro* (Lo *et al.*, 2012). We demonstrated that throughout the 16 days of the culture, MCF-12A acini showed alterations in area and circularity when exposed to the mixtures, suggestive of the initial stages of neoplastic transformation (Debnath *et al.*, 2002; Russo *et al.*, 2010). Another study also demonstrated the disruption of acini morphology of MCF-12A cells cultured on Matrigel (Atlas and Dimitrova, 2019). Confocal images of the acini showed well-formed structures are similar to those produced by (Marchese and Silva, 2012). The acini treated with the combined mixtures of EDC and Fatty acids showed a partial hollow after 16 days of incubation, suggesting apoptosis (Marchese, 2013).

The circularity of the control samples was closer to 1 throughout the incubation period. All the treatments significantly decreased circularity. An increase in proliferation and decrease in circularity, as shown by MCF-10A cells cultured on collagen, have been suggested as the causes of the observed acini disorganisation (Carey, Martin and Reinhart-King, 2017; Shi et al., 2014). Mechanistically, the decrease in circularity of acini has been associated with an alteration in the PI3K/Akt, as demonstrated in MCF-10A cultured in a 3D model (Liu et al., 2004). Guo and colleagues demonstrated that E2 activated the PI3K/Akt pathway in endometrial cell lines (Guo et al., 2006), MCF10 cells (Chen, Chien and Lee, 2023), and MCF-7 cells (Pesiri et al., 2014). EDCs such as phthalates (benzyl butyl phthalates (BPP), di-n-butyl phthalate, (DBP), di-2ethylhexyl phthalate(DHEP)), BPA, Bisphenol AF (BPAF), tetrachlorobisphenol A, parabens (methyl and propylparaben) have been shown to activate the PI3K/Akt cascade in MCF-7, SK-BR-3, and MCF-10A (Chen and Chien, 2014; Wróbel and Gregoraszczuk, 2014; Lei et al., 2019; Yu et al., 2023; Goodson III et al., 2011). Jackson and colleagues reported that fatty acids (palmitic acid, linoleic acid, oleic acid and stearic acid), both individually and in a mixture, had a significant effect on the PI3K/Akt pathway (Jackson et al., 2022). We suggest that the results from our studies may have been caused by the activation of this cascade by the mixtures of EDCs, FAs and the two mixtures combined. For the first time, we present data that looks specifically at the changes to acini circularity due to exposure of MCF12A cells to mixtures of fatty acid and EDCs combined.

There were significant increases in the area of the acini during the incubation period after exposure to the mixtures. The EDC+FA mixture significantly affected the area of the acini compared to the mixtures of EDCs and FA alone. Marchese and Silva showed that exposure of MCF-12A cells to 10μ M of BPA and propylparaben on 3D resulted in

large, deformed acini at the end of 16 days of incubation (Marchese and Silva, 2012). Phoebe Maund's work showed that EDCs such as BPA, o,p'-DDT, propylparaben, and BP-3 increased the area of MCF-12A cells grown on Matrigel (Maund, 2018). It has also been demonstrated that BPA, BBP, and E2 induced neoplastic transformation in MCF-10F cells cultured on collagen. The authors reported that treated cells resulted in the formation of a high percentage of ductless solid structures when compared to the controls (Fernandez and Russo, 2010). As discussed earlier in the circularity acini, increased proliferation of cells plays a role in increasing the acini size as the incubation period increases. Mechanistically, increased activation of receptor kinases such as type I insulin-like growth factor receptors (IGFIR) (Jones et al., 2007) and epidermal growth factors (EGFR) (Dimri et al., 2007), downstream signalling pathways such as PI3K/Akt, and Ras/Raf/MAPK (Yanochko and Eckhart, 2006) and oncoprotein such as cyclin D1 (Debnath et al., 2002) promote cell proliferation and increase acini size. It has been demonstrated in MCF10A spheroids that these mechanisms are responsible for uncontrolled proliferation (Debnath et al., 2002). For the first time, we present evidence that a mixture of thirteen EDCs and four fatty acids present in their tissue concentrations can increase the size of the acini. The effect of the combination of both factors (EDC+FA) is more significant than that of EDC and FAs. The structures observed in our studies recapitulate the events of early mammary carcinogenesis (Hebner, Weaver and Debnath, 2008). We, therefore, suggest that a high-fat diet and exposure to EDCs could increase the risk of breast cancer.

The UMAP of the sequencing data showed a difference in the samples. The geneset analysis showed large portions of the UMAP that showed up-regulation in the samples treated with mixtures of EDC and FA, which were down-regulated in the samples treated with the FA+EDC mixture. This observation suggests that the combination of

the two mixtures (FA mixture + EDC mixture) had a greater effect in down-regulating the geneset than the individual mixtures.

Functional analysis of the top 150 differentially expressed genes showed variation in gene expression across treatments. Some differentially expressed genes included among the different treatments include UBA52P5, SNORD918, SNORA73B, LIP1P4, MCM3AP, SNH925, SMCO4, and SNRPGP4. The gene SNORD73B was upregulated in the sample treated with FA compared to controls. This gene is a variant of SNORD73, which has been shown to be involved in fatty acid metabolism and lipotoxicity (Sletten et al., 2021). Chen and colleagues demonstrated that SNORD73B induced cell proliferation, migration, and invasion and inhibited apoptosis in endometrial cancer cells (Chen et al., 2023). It has also been shown that SNORA73B is up-regulated in breast cancer cells and is a potential prognostic marker for early breast cancer (Li et al., 2024). LSP1P4 is downloaded in both FA and FA+EDC treatments. It has been demonstrated that this gene is a marker of DNA damage and DNA repair. Therefore, a down-regulation of the gene may increase the accumulation of double-strand breaks that can lead to the initiation of carcinogenesis (Wang et al., 2021b). MCM3AP is a long non-coding RNA (LncRNAs) that is up-regulated in the samples treated with mixtures of EDCs. An up-regulation of MCM3AP has been reported to promote proliferation, invasion, migration, and invasion in breast cancer cell lines such as ZR-75-30 and MDA-MB-231 (Tang, Qin and Yu, 2021). Functional annotation of the top 50 genes showed that they are involved in processes such as PI3K AKT mTOR signalling, fatty acid metabolism, production of reactive oxygen species, KRAS signalling, IL6 JAK STAT3 signalling, and up-regulation of peroxisome activity. These processes have been commonly altered in breast cancer (Xu et al., 2021; Oshi et al., 2022; Liang et al., 2021; Siersbæk et al., 2020; Shen et

al., 2020). As discussed earlier, PI3K_Akt_mTOR signalling is involved in the proliferation of breast cancer cells and is activated by both EDCs and Fatty Acids (Liu *et al.*, 2004; Jackson *et al.*, 2022).

To further understand the effect of the mixtures on MCF-12A cells, FunRich analysis showed enrichment of molecular processes such as transcription regulation activity. It has been demonstrated that EDCs such as BPA regulate the transcription factor activity of estrogen receptors, which results in increased proliferation, migration, and invasion of cancer cells (Ma et al., 2016). Biological pathway analysis showed that the mixture of fatty acids enriched the regulation of CDC42 activity and signalling. It has been demonstrated in yeast cells that inhibiting CDC42 by anticancer agent reduced migration and polarity of cells, suggesting the roles of CDC42 activity in migration, invasion, and spread in tumour cells (Rivera-Robles et al., 2020). CDC42 signalling has also been implicated in breast cancer metastasis in the MDA-MB-231 and MCF-7 cell lines (Zhang *et al.*, 2023). The combined mixture (EDC mixture + FA mixture) and the EDC mixture enriched the cytochrome P450 (CYP) biological pathway; CYP19A1 and CYP27B1 were the two CYP polymorphisms involved. CYP19A1 is elevated in postmenopausal breast cancer patients with an estrogen receptor-positive status (Friesenhengst et al., 2018). Studies have shown that CYP27B1 is expressed in breast cancer lines and plays a role in the synthesis of Vitamin D in breast cancer cells (Dennis et al., 2023).

Examining kinases as biomarkers of exposure showed significant expression of *MAPK15, MAPK9, PKDCC, EIF2AK3*, and *LYN. MAPK15* is implicated in breast cancer and has been found to regulate the activity of ER α (Zhong, Lau and Xu, 2024). Similarly, *MAPK9*, which encodes JNK2, is involved in breast cancer and modulates chemotherapeutic agents (Itah *et al.*, 2023; Ashenden *et al.*, 2017). LYN is a kinase

that is significantly expressed in triple-negative and basal-like cancers and aggressive and invasive forms of cancer (Choi *et al.*, 2010; Tornillo *et al.*, 2018). EIF2AK3, also known as PERK, is involved in cell survival in chemotherapeutic treatments for breast cancer (Chen *et al.*, 2019; Alasiri *et al.*, 2020). Wang and colleagues showed that inhibition of EIF2AK3 stops breast cancer and invasion and migration in BT549 and MDA-MB-231 breast cancer cell lines (Wang *et al.*, 2023). These kinases are expressed in our treatments at different levels, and further work has to be done to understand their role in breast cancer.

In conclusion, we showed that under control conditions, MCF12A cells cultured on Matrigel organised to form acini, which remained organised, with visible lumen and controlled proliferation. For the first time, we have shown that both mixtures of EDCs and FA had an impact on the growth of the acini that is different from controls. In both cases, the acini are larger and disorganised (as seen by the area and circularity). Interestingly, the increase in acini size remains similar between EDCs and FA throughout the incubation period. The effect of EDC+FA is always higher than the other two treatments from the start of the incubation period until day 16. This demonstrates that there is a combination effect between the two treatments, indicating a potential increase in cancer risk when these conditions are present together. RNA sequencing data showed that the mixtures up-regulated various genes and enriched molecular and biological pathways involved in breast cancer. Further work can be done to elucidate further how those genes affect breast cancer.

Chapter Four: The Effect of Mixtures of Endocrine Disruptors and Fatty Acids on BRCA1-Silenced MCF-12A Cells.

4 Multifactorial Impacts: The Effect of Mixtures of Endocrine Disruptors and Fatty Acids on BRCA1-Silenced MCF-12A Cells.

4.1 Introduction

As discussed in Chapter One and Chapter Two, germline mutations of the BRCA1/BRCA2 gene significantly increase the risk of developing breast cancer in the lifetime of a woman (Bernstein-Molho et al., 2020). BRCA1 gene mutations confer a slightly higher risk than those in the BRCA2 gene (Collins and Isaacs, 2020). Structurally, BRCA1 is a 1,863 amino acid protein containing two main domains: a zinc finger zinc RING(Really Interesting New Gene) domain at the amino terminus (Nterminus) made up of the first 100 amino acids and two BRCT (BRCA1 C-Terminus) domains at the carboxyl-terminus (C-terminus) (Figure 5.1) (Wang, 2012; Masso et al., 2020). BRCA1 and BARDI form a heterodimer at their N-terminal RING domains that possess an E3 ubiquitin ligase activity (Witus et al., 2021). The role of the E3 ubiquitin ligase activity in the DNA damage repair and tumour suppression function of BRCA1 is still not fully understood (Wang, 2012). The middle section of the protein, which comprises 60% of the total amino acids of BRCA1, houses two nuclear localisation signals (NLS). This middle section is followed by the coiled-coiled (CC) domain, which directly interacts with the Partner and Localizer of BRCA2 (PALB2) and enables the transfer of BRCA2 and RAD51 to DNA double-strand break (DSB) sites (Liu and Lu, 2020). BRCT occurs as tandem repeats and consists of many clusters of conserved hydrophobic amino acid residues (73-128 amino acids) (Bork et al., 1997; Mota et al., 2023). BRCT domains interact with phosphopeptide motifs in partner proteins to produce functional macromolecular complexes involved in DNA damage responses (Venkitaraman, 2019). These phosphopeptides include Abraxas, CtBP

interacting protein (CtIP) and BRCA1-interacting protein C-terminal helicase 1 (BRIP1) (Tarsounas and Sung, 2020).

There are more than 1800 BRCA1 mutations, ranging from frameshift deletions to insertions and nonsense mutations. These mutations lead to premature protein truncation and loss of tumour suppressor function (Godet and Gilkes, 2017). A study by the Consortium of Investigators of Modifiers of BRCA1/2 (CIMBA) showed that the specific location of a mutation significantly impacts the risk of developing breast cancer (Rebbeck *et al.*, 2015).

Clinically significant mutations of BRCA1 occur mainly in the RING and BRCT domains. These mutations stop its E3 ubiquitin ligase activity, thereby predisposing mutation carriers to various cancers (Drost *et al.*, 2011).



Figure 4.1 Structure of BRCA1 showing its functional domains and interacting proteins. *The BRCA1 amino terminus houses the RING domain, which interacts with the BRCA1-associated RING domain protein 1 (BARD1) and a nuclear localisation sequence (NIL). The carboxyl terminus contains the coiled-coil domain that interacts with PALB2. The BRCT domains bind with ATM-phosphorylated abraxas, CtBPinteracting protein (CtIP) and BRCA1 interacting protein C-terminal helicase-1 (BRIP1) (Roy, Chun and Powell, 2012). Image created with Biorender* (<u>https://biorender.com/</u>).

4.1.1 Mechanisms of BRCA1-Associated Tumourigenesis

As already stated, the loss of the tumour suppression function of BRCA1 is strongly correlated with the initiation of breast cancer. The mechanism underpinning the tumourigenesis in BRCA1 mutation and tissue specificity has not been completely elucidated (Yoshino *et al.*, 2021).

One of the earliest hypotheses put forward to explain carcinogenesis due to mutations in tumour suppressor genes is the Knudson "two-hit" hypothesis. In his seminal work on retinoblastoma gene Rb1, Alfred Knudson concluded that two mutational events are needed for tumorigenesis. He explained that the inheritance of a germline mutation of the heterozygote tumour suppressor gene allele will only lead to cancer when the second allele is also inactivated through somatic or epigenetic mutation (Knudson Jr, 1971). This loss of heterozygosity (LOH) has been seen in BRCA1-associated cancers, where the loss of wild-type alleles was lost in the early onset of breast cancer (Merajver et al., 1995). However, a growing body of work has shown that heterozygosity in BRCA1 confers haploinsufficiency, where a single copy of the gene is insufficient to suppress tumour formation completely. Tumour formation can, therefore, occur despite the presence of a single functional gene, and the loss of the second wild-type is unnecessary (Minello and Carreira, 2023). A study by Hiroyuki and colleagues showed that a mutation of a single allele in BRCA1 resulted in impaired homology-mediated DNA repair and genomic instability in MCF10A cell lines (Konishi et al., 2011). Quantitative allelotyping of tissue specimens from women with germline deleterious mutation in BRCA1 showed that the wild-type BRCA1 allele is not required for BRCA1-associated breast tumourigenesis (King et al., 2007).

4.1.2 RNAi and siRNA

RNA interference (RNAi) is a regulatory process in plants and animals where doublestrand RNA (dsRNA) silences post-transcriptional homologous target genes (Ozcan *et al.*, 2015). RNAi does not confer germline mutations, but because of its high specificity and selectivity, it has become a valuable tool for individualised disease therapies (Rao *et al.*, 2009b; Mysore *et al.*, 2019). RNAi effect can be achieved through synthetic small interfering RNAs (siRNA) and vector-based short hairpin RNAs (Mysore *et al.*, 2019; Rao *et al.*, 2009a). The degree and effectiveness of the gene silencing depend on the target gene, tissue type and population differences (Mysore *et al.*, 2019). However, their use is hampered by their polyanionic nature, high molecular weight, short half-life, quick enzymatic degradation, and possible induction of off-target effects (Hu *et al.*, 2020a; Chernikov, Vlassov and Chernolovskaya, 2019). These disadvantages have been overcome by chemical modification and the development of new delivery methods for the RNAi mechanisms (Traber and Yu, 2023; Salim, Goss and Desaulniers, 2021).

siRNAs (small interfering RNAs) are a potent and versatile tool in biological research because of their ability to suppress any gene by a base sequence alone (Setten, Rossi and Han, 2019). They can "silence" the expression of specific genes with complementary sequences (Dong, Siegwart and Anderson, 2019). Mechanistically, (Figure 4.2) siRNAs are produced in the cytoplasm when longer double-stranded RNA (dsRNA) is cleaved by endoribonuclease dicer. The siRNA produced has 21-23 bases long with 2 overhanging phosphorylated bases at the 3' end of each strand (Zhang *et al.*, 2021a). siRNA then complexes with RNA-induced silencing complex (RISC), which consists of a Dicer and Argonaute 2 (Argo-2). The siRNA is then divided into two strands: the sense and anti-sense (guide), with the anti-sense acting as a guide to

align the siRNA-RISC complex to the target mRNA sequence. The sense strand (also called passenger strand) is discarded and degraded under the mediation of Ago-2 endonuclease, complementary binding of the anti-sense guide to the target causes a disintegration of the sequence and a loss of activity, that is, "silencing" of the gene (Salguero-Aranda *et al.*, 2019).

Synthetic siRNAs mimic the products of the Dicer and are integrated into the downstream of the RNAi mechanism (Kanasty *et al.*, 2013). They have been used in vivo to target genes in diseases such as hypercholesterolemia, liver cirrhosis, hepatitis B, and human papillomavirus. However, the full use of siRNA has been limited predominantly to *in vitro* systems (Raval *et al.*, 2019).



Figure 4.2 Schematic representation of the mechanism of siRNA-mediated RNA interference. Image created with (<u>https://biorender.com/</u>).

4.1.3 Effects of multifactorial risk factors

As discussed in Chapter One, interactions between different risk factors are possibly a reason for increased breast carcinogenesis events. Research has revealed significant discrepancies in cancer risk conferred to women who have inherited germline mutation of BRCA1/2 (Friebel, Domchek and Rebbeck, 2014). A prospective cohort study of 6036 BRCA1 and 3820 BRCA2 mutation carriers showed that the risk of developing breast cancer by the age of 80 years is 72% for BRCA1 and 69% for BRCA1. The study also showed a variation in breast cancer risk by family history of breast cancer, suggesting possible genetic modification in mutation carriers (Kuchenbaecker *et al.*, 2017).

Environmental and lifestyle factors such as oral contraceptives, alcohol consumption, reproductive history, physical inactivity, and obesity have been shown to modulate the penetrance of BRCA1/2 genes (Milne and Antoniou, 2016; Daniele *et al.*, 2021), but there is still some conflicting evidence. For example, the effects of the use of oral contraceptives on breast cancer risk for BRCA mutation carriers have been mixed. lodice and colleagues did not find any evidence that the use of oral contraceptives increased breast cancer risk in BRCA1/2 carriers (lodice *et al.*, 2010). However, another study reported that the use of oral contraceptives increases (Relative Risk 1.17-1.27) breast cancer risk BRCA1/2 mutation carriers, especially in young people (Schrijver *et al.*, 2022). Rieder and colleagues also confirmed that the use of oral contraceptives caused an earlier induction of breast cancer as compared to non-users among BRCA1/2 mutated gene carriers (Rieder *et al.*, 2016).

Reproductive factors such as higher parity were found to modify breast cancer risk BRCA1/2 mutation carriers. Higher parity decreased (HR=0.27, 95% CI 0.09-0.83, p=0.045) breast cancer risk in BRCA1 mutation carriers (Park *et al.*, 2017). This is

further buttressed by an earlier study, which showed that full-term births decreased breast cancer risk in BRCA1 mutation carriers (HR =0.81 CI 0.7-0.94, p=0.005) (Rieder *et al.*, 2016).

A study of height and body mass index (BMI) as modifiers of breast cancer risk in BRCA1/2 mutation carriers reported that each 5kg² increase in BMI resulted in a 6% (0.92, 95 CI 0.90-0.98, p=0.007) decrease in risk of premenopausal breast cancer in BRCA1/2 carriers (Qian *et al.*, 2019). A prospective multicentre randomised control trial of 502 women with deleterious BRCA1/2 mutation to determine the modulatory effect of the Mediterranean diet revealed that women with high-fat mass (HR 1.87, 95% ci 1.21-2.88) and metabolic risk factors (HR 1.87, 95% CI 1.11-3.19) had a significant increase in the risk of BRCA-associated cancer (Bruno *et al.*, 2021). Mechanistically, obesity increases the penetrance of mutated BRCA genes by regulating insulin and insulin-like growth factor I (IGF-I) (Dumais *et al.*, 2017; Bordeleau *et al.*, 2011).

A randomised prospective trial of 68 participants showed smoking and high physical inactivity during adolescence increased the risk of developing breast cancer for women with deleterious mutation of BRCA1/2 (Grill *et al.*, 2017). An international cohort study of BRC1/2 mutation carriers showed that smoking in pre-productive years increases the risk of breast cancer (HR 1.3 95% CI 0.83-2.01) (Li *et al.*, 2020a). In the LIBRE-1 study, the effect of a 3-month intensive intervention of physical activity and a Mediterranean diet on the progesterone-mediated receptor activator of nuclear factor κB (RANK)/soluble RANK ligand (sRANKL)/osteoprotegerin (OPG) pathway was assessed. This pathway is hyperactivated in germline BRCA1/2 mutation carriers and plays a vital role in mammary carcinogenesis. Activation of the pathway leads to breast tissue proliferation and induction of breast cancer. The study revealed that physical

activity and a Mediterranean diet significantly modulated this pathway (Neirich *et al.*, 2021). Intake of high isoflavone reduced the risk of developing triple-negative breast cancer in BRCA1 mutated gene carriers (Sim *et al.*, 2020).

To date, research has examined the effect of endocrine disruptors and a high-fat diet on BRCA1-silenced breast cells. However, understanding the ability of lifestyle and environmental factors to modulate the risk of Brca1 mutation carriers is essential in risk prediction and effective management of these predisposed people. As already discussed, because of the high lifetime risk of BRCA1 mutation carriers, any additional factors that compound this risk can significantly impact the absolute risk of developing breast cancer. Within this thesis, we have shown that Bisphenol A can cause changes to BRCA1 expression in MCF-12A cells. It is established that BRCA-associated cancers are predominantly found in estrogen-responsive tissues such as breasts and ovaries (Kim *et al.*, 2015). A retrospective cohort study of BRCA1/2 mutation carriers showed that BRCA1/2 carriers with estrogen-positive tumours showed poor prognosis compared to non-carriers. They are 2.3 times more likely to have a recurrence and 3.4 times higher mortality risk (Vocka *et al.*, 2019).

Based on the evidence presented above, it is conceivable that these independent risk factors could act in combination to affect the overall cancer risk. This chapter aims to investigate this specific hypothesis.

4.1.4 Chapter Scope

In this chapter, we aim to understand the effect of mixtures of endocrine disruptors and fatty acids acting alone and in combination on MCF-12A with silenced BRCA1. Our specific research questions are:

- Does the BRCA1 siRNA (siBRCA1) silence the expression of the BRCA1 gene in the MCF-12A cell line?
- 2. Does exposure to a mixture of EDC, a mixture of fatty acids and a combination of EDCs and fatty acids induce differential gene expression in MCF-12A cells with and without BRCA1 mutation?
- 3. Could the gene expression profile in the different exposure scenarios indicate a multifactorial breast cancer risk?

4.2 Methodology

4.2.1 siRNA Transfection

100,000 MCF12A cells were seeded in a 6-well plate. Culture was maintained, as explained in Chapter Two. When the cells were 50% confluent, transfection was done using siRBCA1 and scrambled siRNA control (Sigma Aldrich). The sequence for the siBRCA1 is shown in Table 3.2. Cell transfection was done with the jetPRIME®transfection reagent. siRNA BRCA1 (siBRCA1) and scrambled control were dissolved in nuclease-free water into 100 µM per manufacturer instruction.20µM dilutions were prepared and stored at -20°C for future experiments. The cells were initially transfected with two concentrations of siRNA: 10 nM and 50 nM. Details of the volumes of reaction are shown in Table (Table 4.1). reagents and jetPRIME®transfection reagent was used according to the manufacturer's instructions. Briefly, to prepare the transfection mix, the required volume of siRNA was diluted in jetPRIME®buffer, vortexed for 10 seconds and spun down. The required volume of jetPRIME[®] reagent was added to the mix and vortexed for 1 second. The mix was then incubated for 10 minutes at room temperature.

Table 4.1 Reagents and volumes for siRNA transfection mix per well (in a 6-well plate).

Volume of siRNA added (10nM)	Volume of siRNA added (50nM)	Volume of jetPRIME buffer added	Volume of jetPRIME reagent added	Volume of Growth Medium
0.5 μl	5 μl	200 μl	4 μΙ	2000 µl

The transfection mix was added to the cells in a serum-containing growth medium (DMEM-F12). Four hours after transfection, the growth medium was replaced with fresh medium. Cells were transfected for 24 hours and 48 hours.

Table 4.2 Sequence of siBRCA1 used for transfection

Oligo Name	Sequence (5'-3')
SASI_HS01_00179500	CUACUGUCCUGGCUACUAA
SASI_HS01_00179500_AS	UUAGUAGCCAGGACAGUAG

4.2.2 Real-Time PCR

100, 000 MCF-12A cells were transfected with 10nM and 50nM siRNA, respectively. Scramble siRNA was used as the negative control. After 24 and 48 hours, samples were prepared for RT-PCR, as explained in Chapter Two.

4.2.3 Chemical Handling for RNA Sequencing

100 000 MCF-12A cells were seeded in 6 well plates and allowed to attach for 24 hours. As explained above, the cells were transfected with 50nM of siBRCA1 and 50nM scrambled siRNA. After 24 hours of transfection, cells were treated with an EDC mixture (1.89×10⁻⁸M), a fatty acids mixture (5.4×10⁻⁵ M), and a combination of EDC mixture and Fatty Acid mixtures. For each treatment, the solvent control was not above 0.05%. The negative control was Ethanol (0.5%). In addition to the treatments, one well of 100,000 cells was left untreated. Three independent experiments were done in triplicates. The experiment was stopped 24 hours after chemical treatments were added, and RNA was extracted. The samples were pooled per experiment, and RNA was extracted using the Qiagen Minieasy[®] Kit according to the manufacturer's instructions.



Figure 4.3 Chemical handling for RNA Sequencing. *MCF-12A cells were seeded in 6 well plates at 0 hours and allowed to attach. After 24 hours, cells are transfected with siBRCA1 for 24 hours. At 48 hours, silenced cells were treated with test mixtures. RNA is extracted at 72 hours.*

4.2.4 Western Blot

100 000 cells MCF12A were seeded in a 6-well plate. After leaving the cells to attach for 24 hours, the cells were transfected with 50nM for 24 hours as described above. After 24 hours of transfection, the experiment is stopped. The cells were washed three times with PBS and kept on ice. In a fume hood, 250µl of Laemmli buffer (MerckMillipore) containing 10% v/v sodium dodecyl (SDS), 250mM Tris pH 8.0, 5% v/v glycerol and 0.01% w/v bromophenol blue with 10 µL of protease inhibitor (x7) and 10µL of beta-mercaptoethanol (BME) was pipetted into each well. The cells were dislodged using a scrapper and transferred into an Eppendorf tube. The samples were boiled on a heating block at 90°C for 10 minutes to denature the proteins. To avoid repeated thawing and freezing, samples were aliquoted into smaller tubes on ice and stored at -80°C.

4.2.5 Protein Gel Electrophoresis

In this step, 10% SDS-PAGE resolving gel and 5% stacking gels were prepared using the reagents and volumes below (see Table 3.3). The resolving gel was first pipetted into an already-made cassette (Bio-Rad), and cold 100% methanol was poured on top and allowed to set. When the resolving gel is set, the methanol is poured out, the stacking gel (components and quantities shown in Table 3.4) is pipetted on top of the resolving gel, and a comb is inserted into the gel to create a well to hold samples. The stacking gel was allowed to set for about 15 minutes.

Table 4.3 Components and quantities for preparing 10% SDS-PAGE resolving gel (2 gels)

Component	Amount for 2 Gels (10ml)
Distilled Water	4.0
30% Acrylamide Mix	3.3
1.5M tris(hydroxymethyl)aminomethane (pH 8.8)	2.5
10% Sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS)	0.1
10% Ammonium Persulfate (APS)	0.1
Tetramethylethylenediamine (TEMED)	0.004

Table 4.4 Components and quantities for preparing 5% stacking gel (2 gels)

Component	Amount for 2 Gels (4ml)
Distilled Water	2.7
30% Acrylamide Mix	0.67
1 M tris(hydroxymethyl)aminomethane (pH 6.8)	0.5
10% Sodium dodecyl sulfate (SDS)	0.1
10% Ammonium Persulfate (APS)	0.04
Tetramethylethylenediamine (TEMED)	

A tank filled with a 10% SDS-PAGE running buffer (see Table 3.4) was set up. The gels were loaded into the tank. The samples were removed from the -80°C refrigerator, warmed for 5 minutes at 90°C and centrifuged. 5µl of the ladder (PageRulerTM – Prestained Protein Ladder (Life Technologies) were loaded into the first well. The samples (7µl) were then loaded into the wells. Gel electrophoresis was done at 40mA per gel and at 300V in a 10% SDS-PAGE running buffer for approximately 45 or more until all the proteins were clearly separated and bands could be seen.

Component	Amount
Tris base	3.02g
Glycine	14.4g
SDS	1g
Distilled water	1000ml

Table 4.5 Components and quantities to prepare 1X SDS-PAGE running buffer.

4.2.6 Blotting and Transfer

Once the proteins had been separated, the next step was to transfer them onto a Nitrocellulose membrane. The Nitrocellulose membrane, which serves as a blotting paper, has a high protein-binding affinity. A cassette "sandwich" was then put together, as shown in Figure 5.3



Figure 4.4 Schematic diagram of the electro-blot transfer procedure using an immersion process in a transfer buffer. The red arrow at the bottom of the tank shows the transfer direction.

The "sandwich cassette" is placed in the tank containing 1X transfer buffer (components and quantities seen in Table 3.5). The tank was run at 400mA and 300V for 1 hour and 30 minutes. A block of ice is placed in the tank to raise the level of the transfer buffer solution and avoid overheating the buffer solution. The entire set-up is then placed in a tray surrounded by ice.

Component	Amount
Tris base	2.41g
Glycine	11.25g
Distilled Water	800ml

Table 4.6 Components and quantities to prepare 1X transfer buffer

4.2.7 Membrane blocking and antibody incubation

After the protein transfer, the Nitrocellulose membrane was blocked for an hour in a blocking buffer consisting of 1g milk powder in 20 mL 1X Tris buffer saline-Tween (TBS-Tween). This was done to prevent unspecific binding of the antibody to the membrane. After an hour of blocking, the membranes were rinsed in TBS-Tween and then incubated with the primary antibody diluted at 1:1000 in 5% BSA and left overnight on an orbital rocker at 4C. The membranes were washed 3X times (15 mins per wash) with 1X TBS-Tween and then incubated with the secondary antibody (diluted at 1:2000), prepared the same way as the primary antibody for an hour on a shaker. The membranes were washed three times, 15 minutes per wash in TBS-Tween. For protein detection, the Clarity Western ECL Substrate developer solution (Bio-Rad) was used. 5 ml of each solution was mixed and poured on the membrane

and developed in the G:Box Chemi Multiple Chemiluminescence Image Capture (Syngene).

4.2.8 RNA Sequencing

Illumina PE150 sequencing was used to sequence the samples, and the total resultant reads of each replicate of the three experiments were taken Table (Table 4.3)

Table 4.7 Samples and reads obtained after RNA sequencing.RNA sequencingwas carried out using Illumina Sequencing PE150.

Samples	Reads
siRNA Control	206633858
siRNA+ Ethanol	215490654
siRNA Alone	233382618
No Treatments	226937466
siRNA + EDC Mixture	216463502
siRNA + Fatty Acid Mixture	223571590
siRNA+ EDC Mixture + Fatty Acid	226159274
Mixture	

4.2.9 RNA-seq Data Processing

The pipeline for processing the RNA-seq data was designed as previously described (Zahra *et al.*, 2022). Briefly, the data was aligned to the reference human genome GRCH38 using TopHat2 (v2.1.1) via the ultra-high throughput short-read aligner Bowtie (v2.2.6). Subsequently, the experimental replicates of the three experiments were merged using Samtools (v.0.1.19), where a minimum of 30 high-quality reads were selected. Cufflinks (v.2.2.1) was used to assemble each sample's transcripts and

expression quantification. Finally, Cuffdiff (v.2.2.1) was used to obtain the differential expression between the treated and control samples. Omics Playground (v3.4.4), an online analytics and visualisation platform, was used to analyse and produce graphs for the RNAseq results. The platform was also used to identify DEGs, gene signatures, functional annotation, and biomarkers. FunRich (Pathan *et al.*, 2017) was utilised for gene enrichment to analyse parameters such as biological processes, molecular function, and biological pathways.

4.3 RESULTS

4.3.1 qPCR Analysis showed Down-Regulation of BRCA1 After Silencing BRCA1 In MCF12A Cells

As clearly demonstrated in Figure 4.5, BRCA1 was successfully silenced in MCF12A cells after treatment with 10nM and 50nM for 24 hours. The level of BRCA1 silencing efficiency was determined by qPCR analysis, which showed a down-regulation of BRCA1 after the transfection with the siRNA in both concentrations (10nM, p=0.0032, 50nM, p<0.0001). Seeing that the most significant down-regulation of the BRCA1 gene was observed with 50 nM siBRCA1, this concentration was selected for subsequent experiments with the different treatments.



Figure 4.5 Bar plots showing the expression fold changes of the *BRCA1* gene in MCF-12A treated with 10nM and 50nM siBRCA1 with scrambled siRNA as control for 24 hours. Error bars represent SEM. Significance denoted by ****<0.0001, ***<0.0002, **<0.002, *<0.03 as determined by ordinary one-way ANOVA followed by Bonferroni correction. N=3.

4.3.2 Western Blots Showed Down-Regulation BRCA1 After Silencing of BRCA1 in MCF12A Cells

Western blot and densitometry analyses were performed to evaluate the effectiveness of BRCA1 silencing in MCF12A cell lines. The results indicated a degree of downregulation of the BRCA1 protein. The absence of more significant down-regulation compared to the qPCR results may be attributed to the brief duration of silencing. The samples treated with 10nM siBRCA1 were not statistically significant compared to the no treatments. The samples treated with 50nM showed significant down-regulation compared to no treatments.



Α



Figure 4.6 Silencing of BRCA1 using 10nM and 50nM siRNA. Western blots were performed to determine the effectiveness of (A) 10nM and 50nM siBRCA1. Densitometry analysis was performed for (B) BRCA1/ACTB. Error bars represent SEM, * p< 0.05

4.3.3 Analysis of RNA-Sequencing Data Showed A Down-regulation of BRCA1 in

siRNA treated samples

In order to further confirm that the siRNA treatment had an impact on BRCA1 expression, we compared the data of the samples treated with BRCA1 siRNA (50nM) and those without any treatment (only MCF-12A cells). Analysis of the RNAseq data showed that the sample treated with siBRCA1 exhibited clear down-regulated of the BRCA1 gene, further confirming our findings in the qPCR experiments. Figure 4.6A shows the clustering of the top 50 genes, the up-regulated genes (red), and the down-regulated genes (blue). Figure 4.6B shows the differentially expressed genes in samples treated with siRNA.





Α




Figure 4.7 The BRCA1 gene was down-regulated by the siBRCA1 in MCF12A cells. **A**) Heatmap showing the top 50 differentially expressed genes. Data shows a down-regulation of BRCA1. BRCA1 is indicated by the red rectangle **B**) Volcano plot showing differentially expressed genes, showing a down-regulation of BRCA1. BRCA1 is circled in red on the volcano plot. The graphs were obtained by using OmicsPlayground (Akhmedov et al., 2020).

4.3.4 Uniform Manifold Approximation and Projection (UMAP) of Geneset Signature Showed a Clear Contrast between untreated sample and BRCA1 silenced samples

We examined the distribution of geneset between MCF-12A cells treated with 50 nM of siRNABRCA1 and those not treated. The Uniform Manifold Approximation and Projection (UMAP) (Figure 4.6) showed that there was a difference in gene expression between the samples. The untreated samples differed more between the up-regulated (red) and down-regulated genes (blue). The samples treated with siRNA had a more

diffused gene expression, with the up and down-regulated genes having less intensity than the untreated samples.



Figure 4.8 Uniform Manifold Approximation and Projection (UMAP) of Geneset Signatures showing Up and Down-Regulated Genes in Different Samples

The UMAPs show genes clustered by log expression, which were up-regulated (red) and down-regulated (blue) in untreated cells and siRNABRCA1-treated cells. The UMAPs were generated with OmicsPlayground (Akhmedov et al., 2020).

4.3.5 Heat Map of Top 50 Differentially Expressed Genes (DEGs) in Different Treatments Identifies Five Clusters

Using the Omics playground platform, expression of the top 50 genes was identified using the highest standard deviation across all samples (siRNA_FA, siRNA_EDC, siRNA_EDCFA) against the control (siRNA_Ethanol). Five hierarchical clusters (S1-S5) were identified at the gene level using a relative expression scale. Functional annotation of the five clusters was analysed by correlating the top 50 genes to reference databases such as KEGG and Gene Ontology. Some functional annotations are related to fatty acid metabolism, cholesterol homeostasis, glycolysis and adipogenesis. The functional annotation also identified cancer pathways, including G2M, MYC targets, PI3K_Akt_MTOR signalling in the S2 cluster, DNA repair, p53 pathway and apoptosis in the S5 cluster.





Figure 4.9 Clustered Heatmap and Functional Annotation

A) Functional heat map of 50 genes identified using the highest standard deviation across all the samples (siRNA_EDC, siRNA_FA and siRNA_FA+EDC). The relative expression scale was utilised for the hierarchical clustering. Red denotes over-expression, and blue denotes under-expression. Five clusters S1(blue), S2 (orange), S3 (green), S4 (red) S5 (Pink). **B**) The functional annotation terms for each of the five clusters analysed in A. The functional annotations were generated through Omics Playground, which uses machine learning algorithms to correlate each gene to more than 42 reference databases, such as KEGG and Gene Ontology.

4.3.6 Significantly Expressed Genes in the treated samples.

Α

We further wanted to analyse which differentially expressed genes were statistically significantly expressed between each treatment and controls. Volcano plots were created to show the most significantly up (red) and down (blue) regulated genes. A False Discovery Rate (FDR) of 0.2 was used in the analysis. Figure 5.8 shows the differential expressions of (A) siRNA+EDC, (B) siRNA+FA, and (C) siRNA+EDCFA in comparison to solvent controls. Genes up-regulated by EDC mixture include *NUF2* and *ARMC6* (Figure 5.8A); Fatty Mixture up-regulated *KLHL22*, *SCML1* and *CRIM1-DT* genes (Figure 5.8b) and EDC+FA up-regulated *ARMC6*, *NUF2*, *PTPRN2* and *RTN3P1* genes (Figure 5.8C).





Figure 4.10 Differentially Expressed Genes and Functional Analysis

Volcano plots showing differentially expressed genes, up-regulated (red) and downregulated (blue) genes in A) siRNA+EDC, **B**) siRNA+FA, and D) siRNA+EDCFA. Volcano plots were made with OmicsPlayground (Akhmedov et al., 2020)

С

4.3.7 Functional Enrichment of Differentially Expressed Genes (DEGs)

Following the expression analysis, the top 100 up-regulated and down-regulated genes were used for further enrichment analysis using FunRich (Pathan *et al.*, 2017). We analysed the biological pathways such as LKB1 signalling, the S1P1 pathway, Arf6 signalling, and mTOR signalling enriched by down-regulated (**A**), up-regulated genes (**B**), and the total genes affected (**C**). We compared the percentage of genes enriched in the pathways between the three treatments: siRNA+EDC, siRNA+FA, and siRNA+FAEDC. The graphs show the biological pathways and the percentage of genes in each pathway.

Α





Biological pathway for siRNA+EDC_UP, siRNA+FA_UP and siRNA+FAEDC_UP

siRNA+EDC_UP siRNA+FA_UP siRNA+FAEDC_UP С



Figure 4.11 Comparison of biological pathway enrichment. *Biological pathways enriched by (A) down-regulated, (B) up-regulated genes, and (C) top 100 genes differentially expressed genes. Comparisons were made between the three treatments, siRNA+EDC, siRNA+FA and siRNA+FAEDC. Bar charts created with FunRich* (Pathan *et al.*, 2017).

4.3.8 Predicted Biomarkers for Exposure to Mixtures of EDCs and Fatty Acid After BRCA1 Silencing

The predicted biomarkers of exposure were generated using Omics Playground. A heat map (**A**) showing the expression of the biomarkers was generated for siRNA+EDC, siRNA+FA, and siRNA+EDC+FA. Eight biomarkers were selected across the phenotypic groups. From the boxplots (Figure 5.11B) of the biomarkers, RP11-101P17.15, RN7SL113P, and POLR2KP1 were up-regulated in siRNA_FA, suggesting that those biomarkers are specific to that treatment. Also, RP1-149A16.16, RPL37P13, and RN7SL23P were all up-regulated in the control samples and down-regulated in the treated sample.

Α







A) Biomarker heat map between the various samples *B*) Top 8 biomarkers associated with the different treatments. These were generated using Omics Playground, where importance scores were calculated using multiple machine learning algorithms.

4.3.9 Expression of Breast Cancer Genes Before and After Exposure of MCF-12A Cells to EDC+FA Mixture.

Finally, we examined the expression of genes that are well documented as biomarkers for breast cancer risk (Consortium, 2021; Hu *et al.*, 2021) in BRCA1-silenced MCF-12A cells before and after they had been treated with the EDC+FA mixture. We chose the combined mixture for this analysis because it represents a situation where patients are exposed to multiple risk factors. EDCs are ubiquitous in human tissues and fatty acids are a major diet component, so these combined conditions can be considered a representation of real-life exposures. This is also to replicate the potential multifactorial scenario where a person with a mutation in their BRCA1 gene is exposed to EDCs and consumes high amounts of fatty acids. We analysed the LogFC (Log Fold Change) in the expression of these genes to determine if the addition of the EDC+FA to BRCA1 silencing significantly impacted gene expression when compared to BRCA1 silencing alone.

The results showed the down-regulation of genes in the silenced cells, which were then further down-regulated after exposure to the EDC+FA mixture (Table 4.9). Some genes were also slightly up-regulated in the silenced genes; however, when exposed to the EDC+FA mixture, those genes were down-regulated (Table 4.10). However, only some of the genes followed this pattern. Table 4.8 Down-regulated Breast Cancer genes, which were further down-regulated after exposure to EDC+FA mixture compared with treatment with siRNA Alone.

Genes	siRNA ALONE	siRNA+EDC+FA	Function
	(LogFC)	(LogFC)	
	0.2425	1 1 1 2	
СОПТ	-0.2425	-1.113	rumour suppressor gene
CEBPA	-0.2785	-06689	Tumour suppressor gene
CHEK2	-0.1916	-0.6145	Tumour suppressor gene
EBCC2	_0.005642	_0.2101	DNA Repair
LINCOZ	-0.003042	-0.2101	
ERCC4	-0.01904	-0.5099	DNA Repair
RB1	-0.04841	-1.032	Tumour suppressor gene
EPCAM	-0.05947	-2.587	Oncogene
FANCI	-0.2133	-2.33	DNA Repair

Table 4.9 Up-regulated Breast Cancer genes, which were down-regulated after exposure to EDC+FA mixture compared to treatment siRNA Alone.

Genes	siRNA ALONE	siRNA+EDC+FA	Function
	(LogFC)	Log (FC)	
CYLD	1.306	-0.2588	Tumour suppressor gene
PTENP1	0.3908	-0.0424	Tumour suppressor gene
STK11	0.2124	-0.009445	Tumour suppressor gene
WRN	0.01942	-0.121	Tumour suppressor gene
NF1	0.2319	-0.2188	Tumour suppressor gene
NSD1	0.5241	-0.0004259	Oncogene/Tumour suppressor
TSC1	0.4762	-0.5924	Tumour suppressor gene
SMAD4	0.07043	-0.04332	Tumour suppressor gene
VHL	0.6039	-0.07931	Tumour suppressor gene
ATM	1.093	-0.1827	Tumour suppressor gene

4.4 DISCUSSION

Firstly, qPCR (Figure 4.5), western blot (Figure 4.6), and RNAseq (Figure 4.7A and 4.7B) data showed a down-regulation of BRCA1. This showed that the siRNA silencing of BRCA1 in MCF-12A cells was successful. The use of sRNA silencing as a proxy for the silencing of BRCA1 when mutations occur has been used in various studies (Sberna *et al.*, 2023; Gu *et al.*, 2014; Morrone *et al.*, 2019). The western blot did not show a greater level of knockdown. The disparity between qPCR and western blot in determining the efficiency of siRNA knockdown has been reported. While western blot is the best way to confirm siRNA knock, qPCR is alternative approach (Holmes *et al.*, 2010). The successful silencing of BRCA1 in the MCF-12A cells made it possible to carry out the second part of the study.

This chapter focus the differential expression of genes (DEGs) when BRCA1-silenced MCF-12A cells were exposed to EDCs, fatty acids, and the two risk factors combined. The overall aim was to understand how the different treatments could further impact the effect of BRCA1 mutation on potential breast cancer risk. One gene that is down-regulated in all the treatments is *RP-149A16.16*. No work has been done on this gene, which is likely to be a non-coding gene, and further work will have to be done to elucidate its function. When analysing the individual treatments, the mixture of EDCs resulted in the significant up-regulation of *ARMC6* and *NUF2*, which are both expressed in breast cancer (Xu *et al.*, 2019; Adámik *et al.*, 2024). However, no other genes were identified as being significantly regulated by this treatment.

Fatty acid mixture resulted in the up-regulation of *KLHL22, SCML1, MUC12-AS1* and *CRM1-DT* and the down-regulation of *HSPE1P5*. KLHL22 overexpression is a biomarker for poor prognosis for triple-negative breast cancer (Zhang *et al.*, 2024a; Chen *et al.*, 2018). It is also implicated in other cancers, such as colorectal cancer and

melanoma (Song *et al.*, 2020; Liu, Wang and Li, 2020). SCML1 is implicated in cancers such as lung cancer development (Nan, Chen et al. 2019). However, not much work has been done on its role in breast cancer. CRIM1-DT is a long noncoding RNA (LncRNA) that is a prognostic marker for lung adenocarcinoma and has been shown to increase breast cancer risk (Zhao *et al.*, 2024; Di *et al.*, 2022).

The combined mixture (EDC+FA) up-regulated *ARMC6* and *NUF2*, which were also up-regulated by the mixture of EDCs. The two genes were more up-regulated by the EDC+FA mixture than their expressions by the EDC mixture alone. The combined mixture up-regulated *PTPRN2*, a gene which studies have found to promote metastatic breast cancer cell migration (Sengelaub *et al.*, 2016; Sorokin *et al.*, 2015). Overall, the data showed that BRCA1 deficient MCF-12A cells, when exposed to mixtures of EDCs and Fatty Acids, up-regulated genes that play crucial roles in breast cancer initiation and progression. These genes are also implicated in other cancers, such as colorectal, melanoma and pancreatic cancers.

The top biological processes associated with the top 50 DEGs among all treatments include myc targets V1 and V2, mTORC1 signalling, KRAS signalling, fatty acid metabolism, PI3K and apoptosis. Studies have shown that these signalling pathways are activated in breast cancer. Xiang and colleagues showed that the Akt/mTOR pathway is involved in BRCA1-deficient breast cancers (Xiang *et al.*, 2011). Joyce and colleagues also showed that mTORC1 inhibitors significantly decreased tumorigenesis in BRCA1-deficient breast cancer (Joyce *et al.*, 2024). Increased glycolysis has been reported in BRCA1-deficient breast cancer cells, leading to an increase in oxidative stress and glycolytic metabolism in the tumour microenvironment (Martinez-Outschoorn *et al.*, 2012). Chiyoda and colleagues have also reported this phenomenon in ovarian cancer cells (Chiyoda *et al.*, 2017).

We focused on the up-regulated genes and the total genes affected in the functional enrichment of biological pathways. Some of the pathways enriched by the up-regulated genes include signal transduction, TRAIL signalling, LKB1 signalling cascade, IL3-mediated cascade and ErbB receptor signalling networks. These pathways have been shown to be involved in breast cancer development (Sirek *et al.*, 2024; Naimo *et al.*, 2023; Sieber *et al.*, 2022). For example, LKB1 has been shown to promote breast cancer growth and progression in MCF-7 cells by complexing with ER α (Naimo *et al.*, 2023). This pathway is also targeted in chemoprevention in breast cancer (Maharjan *et al.*, 2024; Cao *et al.*, 2023). This pathway was highly enriched in the samples treated with mixtures of a combined mixture of EDC and FA. The genes affected in this pathway include *PRKCD*, *EXOC3*, and *MARK2*. These genes are involved in cancer imitation and progression and chemoresistance (Ponnusamy, Natarajan and Manoharan, 2022; Ming *et al.*, 2024; Zhang *et al.*, 2019).

The treatments enriched SIP1 among the combined total of genes differentially expressed. The combined mixture of EDC and fatty acids highly enriched genes in this pathway, which is highly up-regulated in aggressive triple-negative and basal-like breast cancer (Karihtala *et al.*, 2013). This pathway also promotes breast cancer by forming a positive feedback loop with ACSL4 to regulate lipid metabolism and increase breast cancer metastasis (Lin *et al.*, 2023). Juanjuan He and colleagues reported that targeting this pathway suppresses the proliferation of breast cancer (He *et al.*, 2020). Some genes mapped to this biological pathway are *RAPGEF1*, *MSH2*, and *NCOA1*. Further work is needed to elucidate the role of these genes in breast cancer after BRCA1 mutation.

We also examined the biomarkers of exposure expressed across the samples. Specifically, we saw that *PPP5D1*, *RP11-101P17-15*, *RP11-35501.12*, *SNRPFP4*,

and *POLR2KP1* were up-regulated only in samples treated with fatty acids. PPP5D1 is a related gene that is up-regulated in pancreatic cancer (Gu *et al.*, 2019). Further work is needed to elucidate how fatty acids up-regulate these biomarkers and their role in breast cancer

Finally, the analysis of genes, which are biomarkers of breast cancer risk, showed a slight up-regulation of genes such as CYLD, VHL, ATM and NF1 in the BRCA1 silenced cells. However, exposure of these BRCA1 silenced cells to the EDC+FA mixture down-regulated these genes. Some genes down-regulated in BRCA1silenced cells were further down-regulated after exposure to the EDC+FA mixture. Some of these genes are CDH1, CEBPA, CHEK2 and RB1. The cylindromatosis (CYLD) gene is a tumour suppressor gene implicated in the development and progression of breast carcinogenesis (Pseftogas et al., 2020). Down-regulation of CYLD is involved in mammary cancer development in mice (Pseftogas et al., 2024). It has also been shown in breast cancer cells and human tissues that down-regulation of CYLD is associated with breast cancer (Hayashi et al., 2014). Von Hippel Lindau (VHL) is a tumour suppressor gene that is dysregulated in breast cancer development (Wang et al., 2024; Gervin et al., 2020). Studies have shown that microRNA-155 (miR-155) plays a crucial role in tumour angiogenesis and poor breast cancer by downregulating VHL (Kong et al., 2014). ATM is a tumour suppressor gene that plays a role in DNA repair and DNA damage response (Stucci et al., 2021). Down-regulation of ATM is implicated in breast cancer development (Raposo-Ferreira et al., 2016). Neurofibromin 1 (NF1) is a tumour suppressor gene associated with elevated cancer development. NF1 depletion is associated with elevated breast cancer risk (Pacot et al., 2024; Tao et al., 2020a). NF1 down-regulation leads to the incidence of contralateral breast cancers with poor prognosis (Evans et al., 2020). CHEK2 is a

tumour suppressor gene and a moderate penetrance breast cancer risk gene involved in DNA repair, cell cycle arrest and apoptotic processes in response to DNA damage (Graffeo et al., 2022). Dysregulation of CHEK2 is a breast cancer risk and is implicated in the development of increased tumour sizes with poor prognosis (Bergstrom et al., 2021; Schwartz et al., 2024). CEBPA down-regulation has been implicated in cancers such as ovarian cancer and breast cancer (Tan et al., 2024; Cheng et al., 2023). It has been shown that tri-o-cresyl phosphate interacted with ER α to promote cell growth by down-regulating genes such as CEBPA (Böckers, Paul and Efferth, 2020). The retinoblastoma susceptibility gene (*RB1*) is a tumour suppressor gene that regulates cellular functions such as apoptosis, cell cycle progression, DNA damage response, and metabolic programming (Yao et al., 2022; Xie et al., 2022). Down-regulation of RB1 has also been implicated in the development of breast cancer (Tao et al., 2020b; Palomar-Siles et al., 2023). Overall, we show that even though these genes are slightly up-regulated in the BRCA1 silenced cells, they were significantly down-regulated when the silenced cells were exposed to EDC+FA. In the real world, these results show that a patient with BRCA1 mutation who is exposed to a mixture of EDC and consumes a high-fat diet could increase their breast cancer risk as a result of the dysregulation of important tumour-suppressor genes.

In conclusion, our data showed that exposing BRCA1 deficient MCF-12A cells to mixtures of EDCs and fatty acids led to the up-regulation of genes and pathways involved in carcinogenesis. The data suggests a possible increase in absolute risk in people with germline mutation of BRCA1 and exposed to mixtures of endocrine-disrupting chemicals and have a high intake of fatty acids.

Chapter Five: A meta-analysis of the transcriptional landscape of 2D vs 3D MCF10 Breast Models

5 A meta-analysis of the transcriptional landscape of 2D vs 3D MCF10 Breast Models

5.1 Introduction

The Extracellular matrix (ECM) is the acellular component of the tumour microenvironment (TME) and comprises different networks of structural and instructional molecules that interact with tumour cells (Schaefer and Reinhardt, 2016). The composition of the breast stromal ECM includes collagens, fibronectin, Laminins, proteoglycans, osteopontin, and hyaluronic acid, which play roles in the process of carcinogenesis (Tamayo-Angorrilla *et al.*, 2022). The components of the ECM provide cells with biochemical and biomechanical impulses that play essential biological roles such as cell adhesion, cell proliferation, migration, autophagy, angiogenesis, immunity, and matrix remodelling (Karamanos *et al.*, 2021). They also play essential roles in breast cancer progression and metastasis (Insua-Rodríguez and Oskarsson, 2016).

As discussed in Chapter One, one of the aims of 3D models is to recapitulate the *in vivo* ECM. Different scaffolds, both natural and synthetic hydrogels, have been developed and used over the years to achieve this. Hydrogels are 3D hydrophilic polymer networks forming 3D matrices with high water contents, which maintain mechanical stability. They have been extensively used in the biomedical industry as drug transporters, dissolvable sutures, and injectables (Rajabi *et al.*, 2021). They are used due to their biocompatibility, biodegradability, cytocompatibility, and tunability (Monfared *et al.*, 2021; Catoira *et al.*, 2019).

While significant work has been carried out developing suitable synthetic hydrogels, given that this project was carried out using natural scaffolds, we focused our attention on comparing only natural scaffolds, such as alginate, collagen, and Matrigel. We

compared the effect of these scaffolds on the genomic and transcriptomic landscape of breast cells.

5.1.1 Alginate

Alginates are biodegradable, non-toxic, non-immunogenic, anionic polymers produced from brown seaweed, forming gels with good biocompatibility and rheological properties (Le et al., 2021; Remaggi et al., 2022). Alginates are used in tissue engineering, drug delivery, as a biocide and as implant materials (Varaprasad et al., 2022). Globally, 38,000 tons of alginates are produced yearly and used in the pharmaceutical and food industries. Structurally, alginates are hydrophilic, linear polysaccharides made of D-mannuronic acid residues and a C5-epimer α -L-glucuronic acid. The hydrogels are formed by the interaction of the polysaccharide units with divalent cations such as Ca²⁺. Alginate hydrogels are pH-sensitive and have chemical flexibility and crosslinking capabilities. The amount of gel and ions used to form the gel impacts its characteristics, such as elasticity, swelling, porosity, and stability. Alginates can be used as scaffolds in 3D cultures, as their stiffness and elasticity can be tuned through crosslinking to match those of human tissues (Andersen, Auk-Emblem and Dornish, 2015; Abasalizadeh et al., 2020; Shaikh et al., 2022). MCF-7 cell lines cultured on alginate hydrogels have been shown to form circular and organised spheroids similar to in vivo structures (Cavo et al., 2016). In another study, MDA-MB-231 cell lines were cultured on an alginate matrix to study the expression levels of CD44, C24 and ALDHI mRNA markers. The results showed an increased expression of the markers in the 3D alginate cultures compared to 2D cultures (e Souza et al., 2017).

5.1.2 Collagen

Collagen hydrogels have gained prominence in biomedical research as a scaffold because they are the most abundant protein in mammals and are a significant component of the extracellular matrix (Antoine, Vlachos and Rylander, 2014; Walimbe and Panitch, 2020). It is a triple-helix protein formed from repeated tripeptide proline domains. It is degraded by collagenase-1 in the ECM, an essential process in morphogenesis, development, tissue remodelling and repair (Jabłońska-Trypuć, Matejczyk and Rosochacki, 2016). The collagen family consists of at least 28 members in mammals, divided into fibrillar and non-fibrillar. Fibrillar collagen I, II and III are the most abundant collagen in ECM, with collagen I being the most used type in biomedical industries (Antoine, Vlachos and Rylander, 2014; Copes et al., 2019). Integrins and immunoglobins are incorporated into collagen hydrogels to increase cell adhesion (Luparello, 2013). Collagen hydrogels are widely used because they are cheap, biocompatible, low toxicity, versatile, and highly mimicking the native ECM. To improve the mechanical strength of collagen hydrogels, they are crosslinked using formaldehyde, glutaraldehyde, tyrosinase, transglutaminase, and UV radiation (Sarrigiannidis et al., 2021; Thiele et al., 2014).

5.1.3 Matrigel

Matrigel was developed in the 1970s by Hynda Kleinman and named by John Hassel. The basement membrane was extracted and purified from Engelbreth-Holm-Swarm mouse tumours. Matrigel contains the major essential protein of the ECM basement, including laminin (~60%), collagen IV (~30%), entactin (~8%) and heparin sulfate proteoglycan perlecan (~2-3%). Additionally, Matrigel contains growth factors such as transforming growth factors (TGG- β), fibroblast growth factors (FGFs), epidermal

growth factors (EGF), basic fibroblast growth factors (bFGF), platelets derived growth factors (PDGF) and matrix metalloproteinases (MMPs). The basement proteins and growth factors in their various isoforms provide integrins and binding sites for cell adhesion and differentiation, angiogenesis, but also involved in cancer initiation and progression (Ferreira, Gaspar and Mano, 2018; Aisenbrey and Murphy, 2020).

Matrigel has been widely used in the 3D culture of cultures of various cell types and cell lines. Specifically, a large amount of work has been published where the scaffold was successfully used to culture breast tissues and breast cancer cell lines such as MCF-10A MCF-12, both in mono and co-cultures (Debnath, Muthuswamy and Brugge, 2003; Marchese and Silva, 2012; Wessels *et al.*, 2019).

However, Matrigel exhibits inter- and intra-batch variability in physical, biochemical, and mechanical properties and the presence of endotoxins such as lactate dehydrogenase-elevating virus (LDV), which causes immunotoxicity. The presence of growth factors may also confound biological effects. These factors lead to reduced data reproducibility and suitability for pharmacological studies (Langhans, 2018; Aisenbrey and Murphy, 2020; Kim *et al.*, 2022). The variability in the concentration of the over 2000 proteins in Matrigel affects the quality of 3D structures (Aisenbrey and Murphy, 2020). The protein concentration and number of growth factors can be adjusted for in vitro cell culture, such as in growth factor reduced (GFR) Matrigel (Hughes, Postovit and Lajoie, 2010).

5.1.4 MCF-10A cell line

The initial aim of the chapter was to examine the genetic profile of MCF-12A cell lines on different scaffolds. However, due to the paucity of published data on the MCF-12A cell line, we settled on one of the most used non-tumorigenic cell lines, MCF10A. MCF-12A has been reviewed in Chapter Two. MCF-12A and MCF10A cell lines are both

human breast epithelial cell lines. While MCF-10A cells are estrogen receptornegative, MCF-12A has been shown to be both estrogen receptor-positive and negative (Engel *et al.*, 2019; Marchese and Silva, 2012; Sweeney, Sonnenschein and Soto, 2018). In 3D, both cell lines form structures resembling mammary acini (Marchese and Silva, 2012; Marchese, 2013). Therefore, MCF10A can be a suitable surrogate for MCF-12A, and the genetic profile seen in MCF10A could potentially be seen in MCF-12A cells.

MCF10A is an immortalised human mammary epithelial cell line regularly used in *in vitro* models to elucidate normal breast function and transformation. These cells have been used to elucidate the transformational activities of oncogenes and tumour suppressor genes (Kadota *et al.*, 2010; Liu *et al.*, 2019a; Qu *et al.*, 2015; Maguire *et al.*, 2016; Gross *et al.*, 2022). They were established by Soule and colleagues in 1990 from human fibrocystic tissue and classified as normal breast cell lines due to their lack of tumourigenesis in nude mice, three-dimensional (3D) growth in collagen, growth in culture medium regulated by hormones and growth factors, and lack of anchorage-independent growth and dome formation in confluent cultures (Soule *et al.*, 1990). When single-cell suspensions of MCF10A cell lines are cultured on hydrogels such as Matrigel, they proliferate and organise into 3D acini structures with a hollow lumen that mimic normal apicobasal polarity and secrete endogenous basement membrane (laminin V and type IV collagen) (Puleo and Polyak, 2021).

5.1.5 Chapter Scope

As discussed in Chapter One, 2D models have been seen as the traditional approach for cell culture; however, they have limitations as they do not recapitulate 3D architecture. Different hydrogels used to replicate the ECM in cancer biology affect the genetic profile expressed. In this study, we used available novel transcriptomics

and clinical data to assess the impact of different scaffolds and growth conditions on MCF10A cells and to evaluate whether they better recapitulate the behaviour of MCF10A cells compared to the traditional 2D models. To achieve this, we addressed the following question:

- 1. What are the differences between the gene expression profile between 2D and 3D models?
- 2. What are the effects of the various scaffolds on the gene expression profile of the MCF10A cell line?

5.2 Methodology

5.2.1 Study Design

The study aimed to search extant literature for research modelling breast cancer using the 3D culture technique and examine the differences in gene expression profiles between 2D and 3D cultures. Bioprojects were searched in the National Centre for Biotechnology Information (NCBI) database (Figure 5.1). No limitations were applied to the original publication language as long as English translations were accessible. Search terms used to assess the Bioprojects and the number of Bioprojects accessed are shown in Table 5.1.

Inclusion criteria: Studies were included if they used a 3D and a 2D breast model using MCF10A cells.

Exclusion criteria: Studies that did not satisfy the original search criteria were removed. These included studies that lacked 2D cultures, were not open access, were not human cell lines (MCF10A), and did not have associated data.

NUMBER OF	Number of Human
BIOPROJECTS	studies
15	10
110	47
63	29
247	201
240	194
	NUMBER OF BIOPROJECTS 15 110 63 247 240

Table 5.1 Search Term, Number of Bioprojects and Number of Human Studies



Figure 5.1. Literature search workflow. Studies were accessed through Pubmed.gov on 3 April 2023 using pre-defined search terms. Studies were subjected to two rounds of screening by two PhD students. Studies that fulfilled the criteria were used for the analysis. The "*" utilised in the search criteria is a wild card enabling all words matching the associated string to be retrieved

Table 5.2 Information on the Bioprojects chosen for the analysis showing their accession numbers, SRA code, conditions and scaffolds used in the experiments.

Accession	SRA code	Cell line	Condition	Scaffold
Number				
PRJNA397902	SRR5925772	MCF10A	3D	Soft Alginate and rBM
	SRR5925773	MCF10A	3D	Soft Alginate and rBM
	SRR5925774	MCF10A	3D	Stiff Alginate and rBM
	SRR5925775	MCF10A	3D	Stiff Alginate and rBM
	SRR5925776	MCF10A	3D	Soft col-1 and rBM
	SRR5925777	MCF10A	3D	Soft col-1 and rBM
	SRR5925778	MCF10A	3D	stiff col-1
	SRR5925779	MCF10A	3D	stiff col-1
PRJNA565439	SRR10119384	MCF10A	3D	Matrigel
	SRR10119385	MCF10A	3D	Matrigel
	SRR10119387	MCF10A	3D	Matrigel
	SRR10119388	MCF10A	3D	Matrigel
PRJNA633168	SRR11799648	MCF10A	2D	/
	SRR11799649	MCF10A	2D	/
	SRR11799650	MCF10A	2D	/
	SRR11799651	MCF10A	2D	1
	SRR11799652	MCF10A	2D	/
	SRR11799653	MCF10A	2D	/
	SRR11799654	MCF10A	2D	1
	SRR11799655	MCF10A	2D	1
	SRR11799656	MCF10A	2D	/
	SRR11799657	MCF10A	3D	rBM
	SRR11799658	MCF10A	3D	rBM
	SRR11799659	MCF10A	3D	rBM
	SRR11799660	MCF10A	3D	rBM
	SRR11799661	MCF10A	3D	rBM
	SRR11799662	MCF10A	3D	rBM
	SRR11799663	MCF10A	3D	rBM
	SRR11799664	MCF10A	3D	rBM
	SRR11799665	MCF10A	3D	rBM
PRJNA694979	SRR13537440	MCF10A	3D	Matrigel
	SRR13537441	MCF10A	3D	Matrigel
	SRR13537442	MCF10A	3D	Matrigel
	SRR13537443	MCF10A	2D	/
	SRR13537444	MCF10A	2D	/
	SRR13537445	MCF10A	2D	/

Accession Number	SRA Code	Cell line	Condition	Scaffold
PRJNA860838	SRR20340080	MCF10A	3D	Matrigel
	SRR20340081	MCF10A	3D	Matrigel
	SRR20340082	MCF10A	3D	Matrigel
	SRR20340083	MCF10A	3D	Matrigel
	SRR20340084	MCF10A	3D	Matrigel
	SRR20340088	MCF10A	2D	/
	SRR20340089	MCF10A	2D	/
	SRR20340090	MCF10A	2D	/
	SRR20340091	MCF10A	2D	/
	SRR20340092	MCF10A	2D	/
	SRR20340093	MCF10A	2D	/
	SRR20340097	MCF10A	3D	Matrigel
	SRR20340098	MCF10A	3D	Matrigel
	SRR20340099	MCF10A	3D	Matrigel
	SRR20340103	MCF10A	2D	/
	SRR20340104	MCF10A	2D	/
	SRR20340105	MCF10A	2D	/

In the analysis, Alginate was used to denote both soft and stiff alginate, and collagen was used for both soft and stiff collagen. In PRJNA633168, different scaffolds were used collectively, denoted as reconstituted basement membrane (rBM). rBM used were laminin/collagen-rich gel and polyacrylamide gel (PA), which was prepared by combining polyacrylamide gel and rBM and laminin.

The RNAseq data used in this chapter were processed and analysed using the previously described method to standardise results for comparison (Zahra *et al.*, 2022). Briefly, TopHat2 (v.2.1.1) was used to align the reads to the reference human genome GRCH38 using Bowtie 2 (v.2.2.6), an ultra-high-throughput short-read aligner. Samtools (v.0.1.19) was used to merge the replicates according to a set criterion, selecting only high-quality mapped reads (<30). Cufflinks (v2.2.1) was used to assemble and quantify the transcripts. Cuffdiffs (v2.2.1) was applied to obtain the differential expression profiles. The false discovery rate (FDR) used for the analysis was set at 0.2. We used the online application OmicsPlayground (version 3.4.4,

BigOmics Analytics, Bellinzona, Switzerland) (Akhmedov *et al.*, 2020) to analyse the transcriptional landscape of breast cells grown in 2D and different 3D systems using scaffolds such as collagen, Matrigel and alginate. The analysis includes differentially expressed genes (DEGs), biomarkers and hallmarks of enrichment.

5.3 RESULTS

Previous studies have elucidated the differences in the genetic landscape between 2D and 3D cultures (Kerslake *et al.*, 2023; Liu *et al.*, 2022; Arutyunyan *et al.*, 2023). Here, we present our findings regarding these differences and the potential impact of various scaffolds on the genomic and transcriptomic profiles. We also examine pathways affected by differentially expressed genes.

5.3.1 Heat Map of Top 150 Differentially Expressed Genes (DEGs) Identifies Two Different Clusters

Figure 5.1A is a functional heatmap of the top 150 differentially expressed genes according to their highest standard deviation across 2D and overall 3D cultures. A functional heatmap of the top 150 differentially expressed genes across the scaffold and 2D culture was also performed to understand the expression of genes across various scaffolds (Figure 5.1B). We then used a relative expression scale to perform hierarchical clustering at the gene level. Two clusters were identified, S1-S2 for the two functional heat maps (Figure 5.1C). The pathways were selected based on a number of genes enriched in the particular pathways. The top 150 differentially expressed genes were used as the threshold in this analysis because they account for the top 0.25% of the human genes, ensuring a selective analysis approach and research sensitivity. This was done to find the differences in gene expression between 2D and the overall 3D models, and the impact of the specific scaffolds on gene expression. Functional annotation for each cluster was determined using 42 reference databases, including MsigDB, Kyoto Encyclopaedia of Genes and Genomes (KEGG) and Gene Ontology (GO) (Akhmedov *et al.*, 2020). Some of the key pathways the

genes are involved in include bile metabolism, p53 pathway, IL2_STAT5 signalling, E2F targets and oxidative phosphorylation.

Α





С

В

51				52				
OXIDATIVE_PHOSPHORYLATION				BILE_ACID_METABOLISM				
	REACTIVE_OXYGEN_SPECIES_PATHWAY			HEME_METABOLISM	S2			
	€2F_TARGETS			P53_PATHWAY	P53_PATHWAY			
	G2M_CHECKPOINT			ALLOGRAFT_REJECTION	OGRAFT_REJECTION සි			
	MYC_TARGETS_V2				GLYCOLYSIS	GLYCOLYSIS		
	INTERFERON_ALPHA_RESPO	NSE			IL2_STAT5_SIGNALING			
	MYC_TARGETS_V1				KRAS_SIGNALING			
	DNA_REPAIR				UNFOLDED_PROTEIN_RESPO	UNFOLDED_PROTEIN_RESPONSE		
	ADIPOGENESIS				CHOLESTEROL_HOMEOSTASIS S			
	ESTROGEN_RESPONSE_LATE				UV_RESPONSE_DN 8			
	UV_RESPONSE				PANCREAS_BETA_CELLS			
INTERFERON_GAMMA_RESPONSE			ANDROGEN_RESPONSE 8					
SPERMATOGENESIS			FATTY_ACID_METABOLISM 83					
XENOBIOTIC_METABOLISM			INFLAMMATORY_RESPONSE	INFLAMMATORY_RESPONSE				
ANGIOGENESIS			COMPLEMENT සි					
EPITHELIAL_MESENCHYMAL_TRANSITION			APICAL_SURFACE S		S2			
	ESTROGEN_RESPONSE_EARLY			HYPOXIA		S2		
	PEROXISOME			PROTEIN_SECRETION		52		
	HEDGEHOG_SIGNALING			KRAS_SIGNALING_DN	S2			
	COAGULATION			MTORC1_SIGNALING	S2			
WNT_BETA_CATENIN_SIGNALING			TGF_BETA_SIGNALING	S2				
	MYOGENESIS				APOPTOSIS	S2		
0	0.2	0.4	0.6	0.8	0.2	0.4	0.6	
	correlation (R)					correlatio	on (R)	

0.8

Figure 5.2 Clustered Heatmap and Functional Annotation

Functional heat map of 150 genes identified using the highest standard deviation across (A)2D and 3D models and across the scaffold (B). The relative expression scale was utilised for the hierarchical clustering. Red denotes over-expression, and blue denotes under-expression. C) Hierarchical clustering identified 2 clusters (S1-S2). The functional annotations were generated through Omics Playground, which uses machine learning algorithms to correlate each gene to more than 42 reference databases, such as KEGG and Gene Ontology.

5.3.2 Uniform Manifold Approximation and Projection of Geneset Signature of MCF10A cells in 2D and 3D and the different scaffold.

Next, we used Uniform Manifold Approximation and Projection (UMAP), a machine learning tool, to visualise the clustered expression of geneset signature. UMAP of geneset signature of MCF10A cultures in 2D and 3D models (A) showed a complete contrast between the models. This contrast is important as it highlights the differences in genes that are down-regulated (blue) and up-regulated (red) in 2D in relation to 3D model and vice versa. Secondly, the UMAP of the geneset signature of MCF10A on the various scaffolds (**B**) also showed differences in the expression. There were also differences in the expression when the expression on the scaffold was compared to the 2D.





Figure 5.3 Geneset Signatures Uniform Manifold Approximation and Projection Displaying Up and Down-Regulated Genes in 2D and 3D Models and the various scaffolds.

The UMAPs above show genes clustered by relative log-expression, which is up (red) or down (blue) regulated in (A) 2D and 3D models (B) Alginate, Collagen, Matrigel and Reconstituted Basement membrane (RbM). These graphs were made using Omics Playground.

5.4.3 Differential Expression

Α

Volcano plot shows all the differentially expressed genes between 2D and 3D data. Up-regulated genes are shown in red, and down-regulated genes are in blue. The most up-regulated gene is *TMSB4XP6*, and the most down-regulated gene is *KLK7*, shown in Figure 5.3A. Figure 5.3B presents a panel showing the top 16 differentially expressed genes (up and down-regulated). We also looked at differential gene expression between 2D and Matrigel. We chose Matrigel in this comparison because that is the scaffold we used in our experiment in Chapter Three. A volcano plot showing up and down-regulated genes is presented in Figure 5.3C. A panel of 16 of the top differentially expressed genes is also shown in Figure 5.3 D. Some genes significantly up-regulated in Matrigel include *COL7A1*, *GPNM*, *CA12* and *CD36*. When comparing the DEGs of all the scaffolds were compared to 2D cultures, 2339 genes were found to be common among them, as shown in Figure 5.3E. Some of the common up-regulated genes in all the scaffolds include *TMSB4XP6*, *TREM1*, *FTH1P20*, *DEPP1* and *CA12*. The common down-regulated genes include *ALDH1A3*, *KLK10*, *CD24P4*, *MAL2*, *CD24* and *KLF1*.




С

В





Ε

D



Figure 5.4 Differentially Expressed Genes

Differentially expressed genes (DEGs) in MCF10A cells grown in 3D in comparison to 2D. **A**) Volcano plot showing DEGs between 2D and 3D **B**) Top 18 DEGs expressed between 2D and 3D **C**) Volcano plot showing DEGs in Matrigel vs 2D **D**) Top 18 genes differentially expressed in Matrigel compared to 2D **E**) Venn diagram showing common genes expressed among when compared to 2D cultures, Alginate (A), Matrigel (B), and Collagen (C). These graphs were produced using Omics Playground.

5.3.4 Scaffold-Specific Biomarkers – 3D Scaffolds vs 2D

We also explored the transcriptional landscape to determine potential biomarkers of the different scaffolds and 2D cultures (Figure 5). We used machine learning algorithms such as Sparse Partial Least Square (sPLS), Glmnet, and Random Forest (RF) implemented in OmicsPlayground to compute a cumulative importance score for all differentially expressed genes (DEGs). Figure 5.4A is a heat map showing the differences in the expression of biomarkers between scaffolds and 2D culture. Figure 5.4B is a box plot showing eight key genes that can be used as biomarkers in scaffolds. We found four biomarkers that were specific to Alginate and Collagen, namely *FTH1P12, FTH1P3*, and *RP11.109M17.2*. Interestingly, *SNORD100, MIR147B* and *KLK7* were down-regulated in all the scaffolds compared to 2D and, therefore, can be used as specific biomarkers.







Figure 5.5 Biomarkers expressed in 2D and 3D models and scaffold-specific biomarkers

A) Biomarker heat map between the different scaffolds and 2D **B**) Top 8 key genes associated with the different scaffolds and 2D that can be used as biomarkers. These were generated using Omics Playground, where cumulative importance scores were calculated using multiple machine learning algorithms such as Glmnet, Random Forest and sPLS.

5.4 Discussion

Breast cancer is the most prevalent and deadly female cancer. Therefore, it is important to develop appropriate models to study the biological mechanisms that drive disease development, proliferation, invasion, and responses to therapeutic agents. Over the years, the study of cancer biology has transitioned from simple monolayer and monoculture to 3D *in vitro* methods that can recapitulate in vivo conditions and the surrounding ECM. In this study, we examined the effect of different scaffolds on the transcriptional landscape of the breast epithelial cell line, MCF10A, including the difference between 3D cultures and the traditional 2D models. Using the Sequence Read Achieves (SRAs) data from the six selected studies, we computed the expression profiles of the genes in 2D and 3D systems. Visual representation of the change in expression using UMAP showed significant differences in the transcriptomic profile potentially related to the differences in growth environment and variation in scaffold.

Functional enrichment of the top 150 differentially expressed genes (DEGs) between 2D and 3D and the specific scaffolds revealed biological processes, including the P53 pathway, glycolysis, KRAS signalling, oxidative phosphorylation and heme metabolism. These biological pathways are involved in normal cellular functions such as cell proliferation, apoptosis, differentiation, glucose transport, energy production, and the production of biomolecules (Simon-Molas *et al.*, 2016; Zhang *et al.*, 2013; Feng *et al.*, 2017; Castruccio Castracani *et al.*, 2020). Specifically, Wnt/β-catenin-mediated inhibition of p53 in hepatocyte cells, which is essential for proliferation, has been suitably modelled in a 3D system (Oliva-Vilarnau *et al.*, 2020). These pathways have also been reported to be involved in the initiation and progression of cancer (Patankar *et al.*, 2019; Ikari *et al.*, 2021; Tidwell *et al.*, 2022). Specifically, glycolytic

203

proteins are up-regulated in colorectal cancer cell line SW480, which recapitulates more suitably what happens in vivo than in 2D cultures (Kim *et al.*, 2018). Tidwell and colleagues showed that glycolysis and oxidative phosphorylation during glucose metabolism in 3D spheroids of colorectal and pancreatic cancer cells differ significantly from 2D cultures, suggesting the suitability of 3D models in studying tumour metabolism (Tidwell *et al.*, 2022).

We examined the differentially expressed genes between the overall 2D and 3D data. We also chose to compare the DEGs expressed in Matrigel with the 2D results. Matrigel was chosen for more in-depth analysis because it was the scaffold we used in this thesis (see Chapter Three), and we would like to have a better understanding of how the scaffold impacts cellular function and behaviour in comparison with traditional monolayer cultures. We highlight some important genes differentially upregulated in 3D compared to 2D, including COL7A1, CA12, TREM1 and TMSB4XP6. COL7A1 is expressed in the ECM and essential for anchoring and attachment of cells (Bornert et al., 2016). CA12 is expressed in the testis, prostate, kidney, colon, lungs, pancreas and lungs (Kallio et al., 2010). It plays an essential role in the regulation of pH and the homeostasis of bicarbonate and carbon dioxides in tissues such as epithelial cells and cardiac muscles (Lee et al., 2016). In breast cancer, CA12 is regulated by ER α and interacts with TFF3 to decrease the efficacy of neoadjuvant chemotherapy (Shen et al., 2022). When Matrigel data was compared to 2D data, we highlighted the up-regulation of GPNMB and CD36. GPNMB is expressed in tissues such as the skin, brain, breasts, muscle, and bone. It plays critical roles in cellular functions such as cell adhesion, stress response, and stem cell maintenance (Biswas et al., 2020). Suda and colleagues reported that GPNMB prolonged the lifespan of senescent endothelial cells in both in vivo and in vitro conditions (Suda et al., 2022).

CD36 is a receptor for multiple ligands such as lipids, phospholipids and low-density lipoproteins (Liang *et al.*, 2018). GPNMB is expressed in breast cancer, promotes tumour growth and has been identified as a biomarker for poor prognosis (Rose *et al.*, 2010; Liguori *et al.*, 2021). CD36 has been extensively studied for its role in proliferation, migration and mediating resistance to chemotherapeutic agents in breast cancer cell lines (Ligorio *et al.*, 2022; Liang *et al.*, 2018).

We examined the biomarkers expressed in the various scaffolds used to culture the MCF10A cell line. In the scaffold-specific biomarkers, alginate and collagen had similar genetic biomarkers. These include *FTH1P12, FTH1P3*, and *RP11-109M17.2. MIR147B, KLK7*, and *SNORD100* were down-regulated in all the scaffolds when compared to 2D culture. The genes were selected using the importance score ranking by OmicsPlayground (Akhmedov *et al.*, 2020). *FTH1P12* and *FTH1P3* are noncoding RNAs (IncRNAs) belonging to the ferritin heavy chain (FHC) family (Darvish, 2024). Their roles in normal cellular function are yet to be fully elucidated. In cancer cells, *FTH1P3* has been shown to increase proliferation in cervical cancer cells via the activation of microRNA-145 (Lv and Zhang, 2020). *KLK7* is mostly expressed in squamous epithelial cells and is crucial In maintaining skin homeostasis (Kind *et al.*, 2024). KLK is expressed in breast cancer tissues and has been found to be a prognostic marker for TNBC (Geng *et al.*, 2020). Further work will be needed to define the functions of these biomarkers in normal cell function and breast cancer.

In conclusion, this meta-analysis examined the transcriptional landscape of MCF10A cells in 3D and 2D models in the literature. We showed the variability of gene biomarkers in specific scaffolds, suggesting that the scaffold of choice in an experiment affect the genetic profile the cells. Our study also showed the up-regulation of genes, biomarkers, and pathways involved in normal cell functions and cancer

205

development, suggesting the suitability of 3D models to study these phenomena. While the role of some genes has been elucidated, further study is needed on some of them. **CHAPTER SIX: GENERAL DISCUSSION**

6 GENERAL DISCUSSION

6.1 Introduction

Breast cancer incidence and mortality are set to increase to an estimated 3 million and 1 million by 2040, respectively (Arnold *et al.*, 2022). The percentage of breast cancer incidence attributed to genetic factors is estimated to be between 5%-10% (Nolan, Lindeman and Visvader, 2023). Lifestyle factors such as smoking (Jones *et al.*, 2017; Del Riccio *et al.*, 2023), BMI (Von Holle *et al.*, 2024; Noureen *et al.*, 2023), physical activities (Dixon-Suen *et al.*, 2022; Hermelink *et al.*, 2022) and alcohol (Jordahl *et al.*, 2022) have been studied for the impact on breast cancer risk. However, little is known about exposure to exogenous chemicals, such as mixtures of endocrine-disrupting chemicals which are ubiquitously present in human tissues and how they interact with other risk factors, such as food and genetic mutations.

It is widely accepted that humans are exposed to a myriad of endocrine-disrupting chemicals (Varticovski *et al.*, 2022). It has been shown that these estrogen-that mimic compounds that can act together to produce an effect (Silva, Rajapakse and Kortenkamp, 2002). EDCs have been implicated in the development of many diseases, including breast cancer (Wan, Co and El-Nezami, 2022; Bimonte *et al.*, 2024). However, most of the studies on the effect of EDCs on breast cancer initiation have been done with individual chemicals. We present data on the effect of a mixture of 12 EDCs on markers of breast cancer initiation and progression. The effect of diet on breast cancer depends on the type of food consumed. While consumption of red and processed meat, sugary food, and eggs positively correlates to increased breast cancer risk, consumption of vegetables, citrus fruits and nutrients such as calcium, vitamin D, and folate decreases breast cancer risk (Buja *et al.*, 2020). The effect of fatty acids on breast cancer has, however, been inconclusive (Yang *et al.*, 2014; Zhou

et al., 2016; Mourouti *et al.*, 2015). The effect of mixtures of fatty acids and how they interact with other risk factors, such as endocrine-disrupting chemicals, has not been studied. Loss of BRCA1 makes breast cancer cells sensitive to endocrine disruption activity (Jones *et al.*, 2010). The interaction between mixtures of EDCs and fatty acids on BRCA1 mutated cells will give us an insight into the multifactorial impact of breast cancer. The aim of this thesis was to address the crucial gaps in our knowledge of our lifestyle factors, such as exposure to EDCs and consumption of a high-fat diet, which interact with factors such as BRCA1 mutation and the overall effect on breast cancer risk. We also determine the differences in genomic and transcriptomic landscape between 2D and 3D cultures of MCF10A cells. In this final chapter, we highlight the main findings of the set of experiments already discussed in this thesis and make recommendations for future works to further expand on these results.

6.1.1 Multifactorial Impacts On Breast Cancer Initiation

As stated earlier, it is established that genetic mutations increase the risk of breast cancer. However, our knowledge of how this risk is modulated by other factors that have been hypothesised to increase breast cancer risk is limited. We aimed to study a scenario where risk factors are increasingly more complex. Our multifactorial approach involved silencing BRCA1 in MCF12A cells (as a proxy for BRCA1 mutation) and exposing those cells to EDC mixture, FA mixture and all three factors combined. We also studied the impact of these realistic mixtures on acinar morphology and gene expression. This work will add to the body of knowledge regarding the interplay of different breast cancer risk and how their interaction increases the overall breast cancer risk.

6.3 Main Findings

The work in this thesis has significantly contributed to our understanding of how a mixture of EDC and Fatty Acids contributes to breast cancer risk in cell culture models that recapitulate the human breast architecture. We also present data on multi-factorial effects on breast cancer.

6.3.1 Mixtures of EDCs and Fatty Acids induce changes in acini morphology

In Chapter Two, we showed that the batch of MCF-12A cell lines used in this thesis were both ER and GPER competent and could represent a non-tumourigenic and hormonally responsive human mammary epithelial cell line suitable for the aims and objectives of this study. We also established that our mixture of fatty acids could cross the cell membrane of the MCF-12A cells and induce effects. Chapter Three used the MCF-12A cells in a 3D culture, which enabled the development of acini-like structures that recapitulated the structures in the human breast. We were able to show that a mixture of EDCs and fatty acids disrupted acini development. Marchese and Silva demonstrated that E2, BPA and propylparaben disrupt the acini development of MCF-12A cells in 3D (Marchese, 2013). We argue that our mixture of EDCs disrupted acini using the same mechanism. We presented data for the first time that a mixture of fatty acids disrupted the formation of acini in MCF-12A cells.

We tested 12 ubiquitous EDCs (BDE 100, propylparaben, methylparaben, ethylparaben, butylparaben, Tonalide, Bisphenol A, 3-benzylidene camphor, Galaxolide, Benzophenone-2, Benzophenone-3, and p,p'-DDT) and four fatty acids (Elaidic acid, linoleic acid, palmitic acid and stearic acid) at tissue concentrations and observed how the affected acini development. Changes in parameters, such as

210

increases in area and decreases in circularity of the acini, are markers of early breast carcinogenesis. Mechanistically, we suggest that the changes we observed in acini development were caused by the activation of the PI3K/Akt cascade (Guo *et al.*, 2006; Chen, Chien and Lee, 2023; Pesiri *et al.*, 2014; Jackson *et al.*, 2022). Analysis of RNA sequencing data showed that the mixtures of EDCs and fatty acids up-regulated genes and pathways implicated in breast cancer. FunRich analysis revealed the enrichment of biological and molecular pathways implicated in breast cancer.

Overall, we report findings that mixtures of endocrine disruptors can induce morphological and genetic alteration in acini formation. These morphological changes are suggestive of neoplastic transformation, supporting the claims these environmental chemicals possess carcinogenic properties and exposure could increase breast cancer risk. The significant increase in acini size and decreased circularity of the combined mixture of EDCs and fatty acids, when compared to the individual mixtures, showed a possible combined effect of the two mixtures. We further suggest that a high bio-burden of EDC and consumption of a high-fat diet could potentially increase a person's breast cancer risk.

6.3.2 Mixtures of EDCs and Fatty Acids up-regulate cancer genes and pathways in BRCA1-deficient MCF-12A cells.

In our quest to elucidate the multifactorial effect of breast cancer, we examined the effect of mixtures of EDCs and fatty acids on BRCA1-deficient MCF-12A cells. Mutation in the BRCA1 gene is one of the most studied genetic risk factors for breast cancer. By the age of 70 years, the BRCA1 mutation confers a 47-66% chance of developing breast cancer in a woman (Krishnan, Patel and Hakem, 2021). Any external factors that can impact this high-risk population can result in a significant

increase in absolute breast cancer risk for individuals (Milne and Antoniou, 2016). For example, Jones and colleagues reported that loss of BRCA1 activity made the breast cancer cell line (MCF-7) susceptible to the bisphenol A effect. This effect was also seen in mice (Jones *et al.*, 2010). However, no work has been done on the impact of a mixture of endocrine disruptors and fatty acids will have a non-tumorigenic cell line like MCF-12A.

Our results showed that the siRNA used in our study could down-regulate the BRCA1 gene. This was an important step, as the chapter aimed to examine the effect of mixtures of EDCs and fatty acids on BRCA1-deficient MCF12A cells. Our results showed that the mixtures of up-regulated genes such as *ARMC6, NUF2, KLHL22, SCML1, MUC12-AS1* and PTPRN2 are implicated in the initiation and progression of cancers such as breast cancer (Xu *et al.*, 2019; Adámik *et al.*, 2024; Sorokin *et al.*, 2015; Sengelaub *et al.*, 2016). Pathways such as mTORC1 and glycolysis, which are involved in carcinogenesis after BRCA1 silencing, were up-regulated (Joyce *et al.*, 2024; Martinez-Outschoorn *et al.*, 2012; Xiang *et al.*, 2011). Overall, we reported that there is a possible interaction between BRCA1 mutation, exposure to mixtures of EDCs and consumption of a fatty acid diet on breast cancer risk.

6.3.3 A comparison of MCF10A cells grown on 2D and 3D cell culture models shows the up-regulation of genes and pathways involved in normal cell functions

Due to the limitations of the 2D cell culture model, 3D was developed to better imitate in vivo conditions. Consequently, there are differences in morphology and gene expression when cells are grown in 2D compared to 3D. For example, when MCF10A cells are grown in 2D cultures, they spread to form a cuboidal epithelial morphology

212

(Qu *et al.*, 2015) ; however, in 3D cultures, they organise into acini, which mimics in vivo mammary acini (Debnath, Muthuswamy and Brugge, 2003; Marchese and Silva, 2012).

In Chapter Five, the initial objective was to conduct a meta-analysis of published data on MCF-12A in 2D and 3D. However, due to the paucity of published data, we used MCF10A, another human epithelial non-tumorigenic breast cell line, as a surrogate. We wanted to examine the genetic profile of MCF10A when cultured on 2D and 3D models.

The analysis showed up-regulation of genes such as COL7A1 and CA12, which are involved in normal cellular functions (Kallio *et al.*, 2010; Lee *et al.*, 2016; Bornert *et al.*, 2016). We compared the gene profile of MCF10A cultured in 2D to that of MCF10A cultured on Matrigel, the scaffold used in this thesis. Regulatory genes such as CD36 and GPNMB were up-regulated in Matrigel compared to 2D (Biswas *et al.*, 2020; Suda *et al.*, 2022; Liang *et al.*, 2018). We also saw the up-regulation of pathways involved in cellular functions such as cell proliferation, apoptosis, differentiation, glucose transport, energy production, and the production of biomolecules (Zhang *et al.*, 2013; Simon-Molas *et al.*, 2016; Feng *et al.*, 2017). This also shows the appropriateness of 3D cell culture in modelling these biological processes and producing results that are more likely to recapitulate in vivo conditions than 2D.

6.4 Future Works

This current work has increased our knowledge and understanding of breast risk due to exposure to mixtures of EDC fatty acids. These experiments have also highlighted areas for possible future research that would help us understand how these risk factors interact to increase breast cancer risk.

213

6.4.1 Effect of EDC and fatty acid mixtures on MCF-12A using a microfluidic device.

Static 3D models have been invaluable in understanding various biological processes. However, the lack of dynamic flow of cell culture media means static 3D cell cultures cannot fully recapitulate the *in vivo* environment. An organ-on-a-chip device facilitates a constant flow of cell culture medium and nutrients around the 3D cultures, better representing what happens *in vivo*. The organ-on-chip system will allow different cells to be cultured, thereby facilitating cell interactions as they occur in the *in vivo* environment. To further examine the effect of a mixture of EDCs and fatty acids on breast cancer initiation and progression, a breast-on-a-chip model will present a more realistic view of breast tissues and their behaviour when exposed to the test chemicals.

6.4.2 Primary BRCA1-deficient exposure to mixtures

In Chapter Four, we used silenced BRCA1 in MCF-12A cells and exposed them to a mixture of EDCs and fatty acids. This work can be extended to BRCA1-silenced primary cells, which will provide further insight into our understanding of the increase in risk when cells are exposed to the mixtures. While immortalised cells have been extensively used in the study of cancer biology, primary cells are more representative of human tissue (Neimark, 2015). Therefore, expanding this work by using primary cells will produce results which are relevant to humans.

6.5 Conclusion

In conclusion, this thesis answered the objectives set out in Chapter One and made critical contributions to the body of current knowledge on how mixtures of EDCs and fatty acids may cause changes that indicate early breast carcinogenesis. We showed through different endpoints that mixtures of EDCs and fatty acids could disrupt the normal cellular, biological and molecular functions that are implicated in breast cancer initiation and progression. This alteration occurred both individually and in combination, further giving support to the knowledge that different factors can interact to potentially increase breast cancer risk. We also showed using MCF-12A cells that the lack of the *BRCA1* gene causes the up-regulation of Various genes and pathways implicated in breast cancer, showing the risks involved when BRCA1 mutation careers are exposed to mixtures of EDC and consume a high-fat diet. Lastly, we found the suitability of the 3D model to appropriately model various processes and pathways involved in normal breast cell functions. Further research is, however, needed to understand the multifactorial effects of breast cancer fully.

References

Abasalizadeh, F., Moghaddam, S. V., Alizadeh, E., Akbari, E., Kashani, E., Fazljou, S. M. B., Torbati, M. and Akbarzadeh, A. (2020) 'Alginate-based hydrogels as drug delivery vehicles in cancer treatment and their applications in wound dressing and 3D bioprinting', *Journal of biological engineering*, 14, pp. 1-22.

Abdelmagid, S. A., Clarke, S. E., Nielsen, D. E., Badawi, A., El-Sohemy, A., Mutch, D. M. and Ma, D. W. (2015) 'Comprehensive profiling of plasma fatty acid concentrations in young healthy Canadian adults', *PloS one*, 10(2), pp. e0116195.

Abramson, H. N. (2011) 'The lipogenesis pathway as a cancer target', *Journal of medicinal chemistry*, 54(16), pp. 5615-5638.

Adámik, M., Soldánová, Z., Drotárová, M., Brečková, K., Petr, M., Helma, R., Jenner, L. P., Vorlíčková, M., Sýkorová, E. and Brázdová, M. (2024) 'Human ARMC6 binds in vitro to both cancer genes and telomeric RNA, favoring G-quadruplex structure recognition', *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA)-Gene Regulatory Mechanisms*, 1867(3), pp. 195050.

Agriculture and Unit, E. R. (2021) 'Implementation of the evidence-based risk assessment for the re-evaluation of Bisphenol A: preparatory work on cross-sectional studies', *EFSA Supporting Publications*, 18(12), pp. 6997E.

Ahlin, C., Lundgren, C., Embretsén-Varro, E., Jirström, K., Blomqvist, C. and Fjällskog, M.-L. (2017) 'High expression of cyclin D1 is associated to high proliferation rate and increased risk of mortality in women with ER-positive but not in ER-negative breast cancers', *Breast cancer research and treatment,* 164, pp. 667-678.

Aisenbrey, E. A. and Murphy, W. L. (2020) 'Synthetic alternatives to Matrigel', *Nature Reviews Materials*, 5(7), pp. 539-551.

Akhmedov, M., Martinelli, A., Geiger, R. and Kwee, I. (2020) 'Omics Playground: a comprehensive self-service platform for visualization, analytics and exploration of Big Omics Data', *NAR genomics and bioinformatics*, 2(1), pp. lqz019.

Alamer, M. and Darbre, P. D. (2018) 'Effects of exposure to six chemical ultraviolet filters commonly used in personal care products on motility of MCF-7 and MDA-MB-

231 human breast cancer cells in vitro', *Journal of Applied Toxicology*, 38(2), pp. 148-159.

Alampanos, V., Kabir, A., Furton, K. G., Roje, Ž., Vrček, I. V. and Samanidou, V. (2020) 'Fabric phase sorptive extraction combined with high-performance-liquid chromatography-photodiode array analysis for the determination of seven parabens in human breast tissues: Application to cancerous and non-cancerous samples', *Journal of Chromatography A*, 1630, pp. 461530.

Alasiri, G., Jiramongkol, Y., Trakansuebkul, S., Ke, H.-L., Mahmud, Z., Intuyod, K. and Lam, E. W. F. (2020) 'Reciprocal regulation between GCN2 (eIF2AK4) and PERK (eIF2AK3) through the JNK-FOXO3 axis to modulate cancer drug resistance and clonal survival', *Molecular and Cellular Endocrinology*, 515, pp. 110932.

Albrektsen, G., Heuch, I., Hansen, S. and Kvåle, G. (2005) 'Breast cancer risk by age at birth, time since birth and time intervals between births: exploring interaction effects', *British journal of cancer*, 92(1), pp. 167-175.

Allred, D., Mohsin, S. and Fuqua, S. (2001) 'Histological and biological evolution of human premalignant breast disease', *Endocrine-related cancer*, 8(1), pp. 47-61.

Alsabeeh, N., Chausse, B., Kakimoto, P. A., Kowaltowski, A. J. and Shirihai, O. (2018) 'Cell culture models of fatty acid overload: Problems and solutions', *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA)-Molecular and Cell Biology of Lipids,* 1863(2), pp. 143-151.

Altamirano, G. A., Muñoz-de-Toro, M., Luque, E. H., Gómez, A. L., Delconte, M. B. and Kass, L. (2015) 'Milk lipid composition is modified by perinatal exposure to bisphenol A', *Molecular and cellular endocrinology*, 411, pp. 258-267.

Alwarawrah, Y., Hughes, P., Loiselle, D., Carlson, D. A., Darr, D. B., Jordan, J. L., Xiong, J., Hunter, L. M., Dubois, L. G. and Thompson, J. W. (2016) 'Fasnall, a selective FASN inhibitor, shows potent anti-tumor activity in the MMTV-Neu model of HER2+ breast cancer', *Cell chemical biology*, 23(6), pp. 678-688.

Ambrosone, C. B., Zirpoli, G. R., Hutson, A. D., McCann, W. E., McCann, S. E., Barlow, W. E., Kelly, K. M., Cannioto, R., Sucheston-Campbell, L. E. and Hershman, D. L. (2020) 'Dietary supplement use during chemotherapy and survival outcomes of patients with breast cancer enrolled in a cooperative group clinical trial (SWOG S0221)', *Journal of Clinical Oncology*, 38(8), pp. 804.

Andersen, T., Auk-Emblem, P. and Dornish, M. (2015) '3D cell culture in alginate hydrogels', *Microarrays,* 4(2), pp. 133-161.

Anderson, J. J., Darwis, N. D., Mackay, D. F., Celis-Morales, C. A., Lyall, D. M., Sattar, N., Gill, J. M. and Pell, J. P. (2018) 'Red and processed meat consumption and breast cancer: UK Biobank cohort study and meta-analysis', *European journal of cancer,* 90, pp. 73-82.

Angeloni, V., Contessi, N., De Marco, C., Bertoldi, S., Tanzi, M. C., Daidone, M. G. and Fare, S. (2017) 'Polyurethane foam scaffold as in vitro model for breast cancer bone metastasis', *Acta Biomaterialia*, 63, pp. 306-316.

Antoine, E. E., Vlachos, P. P. and Rylander, M. N. (2014) 'Review of collagen I hydrogels for bioengineered tissue microenvironments: characterization of mechanics, structure, and transport', *Tissue Engineering Part B: Reviews*, 20(6), pp. 683-696.

Aquino, N. B., Sevigny, M. B., Sabangan, J. and Louie, M. C. (2012) 'The role of cadmium and nickel in estrogen receptor signaling and breast cancer: metalloestrogens or not?', *Journal of Environmental Science and Health, Part C*, 30(3), pp. 189-224.

Arias-Pulido, H., Royce, M., Gong, Y., Joste, N., Lomo, L., Lee, S.-J., Chaher, N., Verschraegen, C., Lara, J. and Prossnitz, E. R. (2010) 'GPR30 and estrogen receptor expression: new insights into hormone dependence of inflammatory breast cancer', *Breast cancer research and treatment,* 123, pp. 51-58.

Ariazi, E. A., Brailoiu, E., Yerrum, S., Shupp, H. A., Slifker, M. J., Cunliffe, H. E., Black, M. A., Donato, A. L., Arterburn, J. B. and Oprea, T. I. (2010) 'The G protein–coupled receptor GPR30 inhibits proliferation of estrogen receptor–positive breast cancer cells', *Cancer research*, 70(3), pp. 1184-1194.

Armstrong, N., Ryder, S., Forbes, C., Ross, J. and Quek, R. G. (2019) 'A systematic review of the international prevalence of BRCA mutation in breast cancer', *Clinical epidemiology*, pp. 543-561.

Arnold, M., Morgan, E., Rumgay, H., Mafra, A., Singh, D., Laversanne, M., Vignat, J., Gralow, J. R., Cardoso, F. and Siesling, S. (2022) 'Current and future burden of breast cancer: Global statistics for 2020 and 2040', *The Breast*, 66, pp. 15-23.

Arnold, S. F., Klotz, D. M., Collins, B. M., Vonier, P. M., Guillette Jr, L. J. and McLachlan, J. A. (1996) 'Synergistic activation of estrogen receptor with combinations of environmental chemicals', *Science*, 272(5267), pp. 1489-1492.

Aronson, K. J., Miller, A. B., Woolcott, C. G., Sterns, E. E., McCready, D. R., Lickley, L. A., Fish, E. B., Hiraki, G. Y., Holloway, C. and Ross, T. (2000) 'Breast adipose tissue

concentrations of polychlorinated biphenyls and other organochlorines and breast cancer risk', *Cancer epidemiology biomarkers & prevention,* 9(1), pp. 55-63.

Arrebola, J. P., Belhassen, H., Artacho-Cordón, F., Ghali, R., Ghorbel, H., Boussen, H., Perez-Carrascosa, F. M., Expósito, J., Hedhili, A. and Olea, N. (2015) 'Risk of female breast cancer and serum concentrations of organochlorine pesticides and polychlorinated biphenyls: A case–control study in Tunisia', *Science of the total environment*, 520, pp. 106-113.

Arutyunyan, I., Soboleva, A., Kovtunov, E., Kosyreva, A., Kudelkina, V., Alekseeva, A., Elchaninov, A., Jumaniyazova, E., Goldshtein, D. and Bolshakova, G. (2023) 'Gene Expression Profile of 3D Spheroids in Comparison with 2D Cell Cultures and Tissue Strains of Diffuse High-Grade Gliomas', *Bulletin of Experimental Biology and Medicine*, 175(4), pp. 576-584.

Ashby, J., Lefevre, P., Odum, J., Harris, C., Routledge, E. and Sumpter, J. (1997) 'Synergy between synthetic oestrogens?', *Nature*, 385(6616), pp. 494-494.

Ashenden, M., van Weverwijk, A., Murugaesu, N., Fearns, A., Campbell, J., Gao, Q., Iravani, M. and Isacke, C. M. (2017) 'An in vivo functional screen identifies JNK signaling as a modulator of chemotherapeutic response in breast cancer', *Molecular cancer therapeutics*, 16(9), pp. 1967-1978.

Atashrazm, F. and Ellis, S. (2021) 'The polarity protein PARD3 and cancer', *Oncogene*, 40(25), pp. 4245-4262.

Atlas, E. and Dimitrova, V. (2019) 'Bisphenol S and Bisphenol A disrupt morphogenesis of MCF-12A human mammary epithelial cells', *Scientific Reports*, 9(1), pp. 16005.

Aube, M., Larochelle, C. and Ayotte, P. (2011) 'Differential effects of a complex organochlorine mixture on the proliferation of breast cancer cell lines', *Environmental Research*, 111(3), pp. 337-347.

Aubé, M., Larochelle, C. and Ayotte, P. (2008) '1, 1-dichloro-2, 2-bis (p-chlorophenyl) ethylene (p, p'-DDE) disrupts the estrogen-androgen balance regulating the growth of hormone-dependent breast cancer cells', *Breast Cancer Research*, 10, pp. 1-12.

Aubert, N., Ameller, T. and Legrand, J.-J. (2012) 'Systemic exposure to parabens: pharmacokinetics, tissue distribution, excretion balance and plasma metabolites of [14C]-methyl-, propyl-and butylparaben in rats after oral, topical or subcutaneous administration', *Food and Chemical Toxicology*, 50(3-4), pp. 445-454.

Ayuk-Takem, L., Amissah, F., Aguilar, B. J. and Lamango, N. S. (2014) 'Inhibition of polyisoprenylated methylated protein methyl esterase by synthetic musks induces cell degeneration', *Environmental toxicology*, 29(4), pp. 466-477.

Backhaus, T. and Faust, M. (2012) 'Predictive environmental risk assessment of chemical mixtures: a conceptual framework', *Environmental science & technology*, 46(5), pp. 2564-2573.

Bahia, H., Ashman, J., Cawkwell, L., Lind, M., Monson, J., Drew, P. and Greenman, J. (2002) 'Karyotypic variation between independently cultured strains of the cell line MCF-7 identified by multicolour fluorescence in situ hybridization', *International journal of oncology*, 20(3), pp. 489-494.

Balmana, J., Diez, O., Rubio, I. and Cardoso, F. (2011) 'BRCA in breast cancer: ESMO Clinical Practice Guidelines', *Annals of Oncology*, 22, pp. vi31-vi34.

Banerjee, S. K. (2011) 'Hormonal Carcinogenesis', in Schwab, M. (ed.) *Encyclopedia of Cancer*. Berlin, Heidelberg: Springer Berlin Heidelberg, pp. 1730-1733.

Bao, J., Zhu, L., Zhu, Q., Su, J., Liu, M. and Huang, W. (2016) 'SREBP-1 is an independent prognostic marker and promotes invasion and migration in breast cancer', *Oncology letters*, 12(4), pp. 2409-2416.

Barcellos-Hoff, M. and Kleinberg, D. (2013) 'Breast cancer risk in BRCA1 mutation carriers: insight from mouse models', *Annals of oncology*, 24, pp. viii8-viii12.

Barnum, K. J. and O'Connell, M. J. (2014) 'Cell cycle regulation by checkpoints', *Cell cycle control: mechanisms and protocols*, pp. 29-40.

Bartsch, H., Nair, J. and Owen, R. W. (1999) 'Dietary polyunsaturated fatty acids and cancers of the breast and colorectum: emerging evidence for their role as risk modifiers', *Carcinogenesis*, 20(12), pp. 2209-2218.

Bassi, G., Panseri, S., Dozio, S. M., Sandri, M., Campodoni, E., Dapporto, M., Sprio, S., Tampieri, A. and Montesi, M. (2020) 'Scaffold-based 3D cellular models mimicking the heterogeneity of osteosarcoma stem cell niche', *Scientific Reports*, 10(1), pp. 22294.

Baumann, J., Sevinsky, C. and Conklin, D. S. (2013) 'Lipid biology of breast cancer', *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA)-Molecular and Cell Biology of Lipids,* 1831(10), pp. 1509-1517.

Bean, L. A., Ianov, L. and Foster, T. C. (2014) 'Estrogen receptors, the hippocampus, and memory', *The Neuroscientist*, 20(5), pp. 534-545.

Beck, S., Fegert, P. and Gott, P. (1997) 'Factors regulating pS2-reporter gene expression in MCF-7 breast cancer cell line', *International journal of oncology*, 10(5), pp. 1051-1055.

Benoit, L., Koual, M., Tomkiewicz, C., Bats, A.-S., Antignac, J.-P., Coumoul, X., Barouki, R. and Cano-Sancho, G. (2022) 'Impact of mixtures of persistent organic pollutants on breast cancer aggressiveness', *Environment International*, 170, pp. 107615.

Bergman, Å., Heindel, J. J., Jobling, S., Kidd, K., Zoeller, T. R. and Organization, W. H. (2013) *State of the science of endocrine disrupting chemicals 2012.* World Health Organization.

Bergstrom, C., Pence, C., Berg, J., Partain, N., Sadeghi, N., Mauer, C., Pirzadeh-Miller, S., Gao, A., Li, H. and Unni, N. (2021) 'Clinicopathological features and outcomes in individuals with breast cancer and ATM, CHEK2, or PALB2 mutations', *Annals of Surgical Oncology*, 28, pp. 3383-3393.

Bernstein-Molho, R., Kaufman, B., David, M. A. B., Sklair-Levy, M., Feldman, D. M., Zippel, D., Laitman, Y. and Friedman, E. (2020) 'Breast cancer surveillance for BRCA1/2 mutation carriers—is "early detection" early enough?', *The Breast,* 49, pp. 81-86.

Bessadóttir, M., Skúladóttir, E. Á., Gowan, S., Eccles, S., Ómarsdóttir, S. and Ögmundsdóttir, H. M. (2014) 'Effects of anti-proliferative lichen metabolite, protolichesterinic acid on fatty acid synthase, cell signalling and drug response in breast cancer cells', *Phytomedicine*, 21(12), pp. 1717-1724.

Bhardwaj, P., Au, C. C., Benito-Martin, A., Ladumor, H., Oshchepkova, S., Moges, R. and Brown, K. A. (2019) 'Estrogens and breast cancer: Mechanisms involved in obesity-related development, growth and progression', *The Journal of steroid biochemistry and molecular biology,* 189, pp. 161-170.

Bimonte, V. M., Catanzaro, G., Po, A., Trocchianesi, S., Besharat, Z. M., Spinello, Z., Curreli, M., Fabi, A., Bei, R., Milella, M., Vacca, A., Ferretti, E. and Migliaccio, S. (2024) 'The endocrine disruptor cadmium modulates the androgen-estrogen receptors ratio and induces inflammatory cytokines in luminal (A) cell models of breast cancer', *Endocrine*, 83(3), pp. 798-809.

Binder, A. M., Corvalan, C., Pereira, A., Calafat, A. M., Ye, X., Shepherd, J. and Michels, K. B. (2018) 'Prepubertal and pubertal endocrine-disrupting chemical

exposure and breast density among Chilean adolescents', *Cancer Epidemiology, Biomarkers & Prevention,* 27(12), pp. 1491-1499.

Biswas, K. B., Takahashi, A., Mizutani, Y., Takayama, S., Ishitsuka, A., Yang, L., Yang, F., Iddamalgoda, A., Katayama, I. and Inoue, S. (2020) 'GPNMB is expressed in human epidermal keratinocytes but disappears in the vitiligo lesional skin', *Scientific Reports*, 10(1), pp. 4930.

Bitsch, N., Dudas, C., Körner, W., Failing, K., Biselli, S., Rimkus, G. and Brunn, H. (2002) 'Estrogenic activity of musk fragrances detected by the E-screen assay using human mcf-7 cells', *Archives of Environmental Contamination and Toxicology,* 43, pp. 0257-0264.

Björnsdotter, M. K., Jonker, W., Legradi, J., Kool, J. and Ballesteros-Gómez, A. (2017) 'Bisphenol A alternatives in thermal paper from the Netherlands, Spain, Sweden and Norway. Screening and potential toxicity', *Science of The Total Environment,* 601-602, pp. 210-221.

Bliss, C. I. (1939) 'The toxicity of poisons applied jointly 1', *Annals of applied biology,* 26(3), pp. 585-615.

Böckers, M., Paul, N. W. and Efferth, T. (2020) 'Organophosphate ester tri-o-cresyl phosphate interacts with estrogen receptor α in MCF-7 breast cancer cells promoting cancer growth', *Toxicology and applied pharmacology*, 395, pp. 114977.

Bodewes, F., Van Asselt, A., Dorrius, M., Greuter, M. and De Bock, G. (2022) 'Mammographic breast density and the risk of breast cancer: A systematic review and meta-analysis', *The Breast*, 66, pp. 62-68.

Bogdanova, N., Helbig, S. and Dörk, T. (2013) 'Hereditary breast cancer: ever more pieces to the polygenic puzzle', *Hereditary cancer in clinical practice,* 11, pp. 1-16.

Bombonati, A. and Sgroi, D. C. (2011) 'The molecular pathology of breast cancer progression', *The Journal of pathology*, 223(2), pp. 308-318.

Bordeleau, L., Lipscombe, L., Lubinski, J., Ghadirian, P., Foulkes, W. D., Neuhausen, S., Ainsworth, P., Pollak, M., Sun, P. and Narod, S. A. (2011) 'Diabetes and breast cancer among women with BRCA1 and BRCA2 mutations', *Cancer*, 117(9), pp. 1812-1818.

Bork, P., Hofmann, K., Bucher, P., Neuwald, A. F., Altschul, S. F. and Koonin, E. V. (1997) 'A superfamily of conserved domains in DNA damage-responsive cell cycle checkpoint proteins', *The FASEB Journal*, 11(1), pp. 68-76.

Bornert, O., Kühl, T., Bremer, J., Van Den Akker, P. C., Pasmooij, A. M. and Nyström, A. (2016) 'Analysis of the functional consequences of targeted exon deletion in COL7A1 reveals prospects for dystrophic epidermolysis bullosa therapy', *Molecular Therapy*, 24(7), pp. 1302-1311.

Bortolozzi, R., Bresolin, S., Rampazzo, E., Paganin, M., Maule, F., Mariotto, E., Boso, D., Minuzzo, S., Agnusdei, V. and Viola, G. (2018) 'AKR1C enzymes sustain therapy resistance in paediatric T-ALL', *British journal of cancer,* 118(7), pp. 985-994.

Boyd, N. F., Martin, L. J., Yaffe, M. J. and Minkin, S. (2011) 'Mammographic density and breast cancer risk: current understanding and future prospects', *Breast cancer research,* 13, pp. 1-12.

Brancato, V., Oliveira, J. M., Correlo, V. M., Reis, R. L. and Kundu, S. C. (2020) 'Could 3D models of cancer enhance drug screening?', *Biomaterials,* 232, pp. 119744.

Bräuner, E. V., Uldbjerg, C. S., Lim, Y.-H., Gregersen, L. S., Krause, M., Frederiksen, H. and Andersson, A.-M. (2022) 'Presence of parabens, phenols and phthalates in paired maternal serum, urine and amniotic fluid', *Environment international,* 158, pp. 106987.

Breslin, S. and O'Driscoll, L. (2013) 'Three-dimensional cell culture: the missing link in drug discovery', *Drug discovery today,* 18(5-6), pp. 240-249.

Bretones, G., Delgado, M. D. and León, J. (2015) 'Myc and cell cycle control', *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA)-Gene Regulatory Mechanisms,* 1849(5), pp. 506-516.

Brody, J. G., Moysich, K. B., Humblet, O., Attfield, K. R., Beehler, G. P. and Rudel, R. A. (2007) 'Environmental pollutants and breast cancer: epidemiologic studies', *Cancer: Interdisciplinary International Journal of the American Cancer Society,* 109, pp. 2667-2711.

Brody, J. G. and Rudel, R. A. (2003) 'Environmental pollutants and breast cancer', *Environmental health perspectives*, 111(8), pp. 1007-1019.

Bruno, E., Oliverio, A., Paradiso, A., Daniele, A., Tommasi, S., Terribile, D. A., Filippone, A., Digennaro, M., Pilato, B. and Danza, K. (2021) 'Lifestyle characteristics in women carriers of BRCA mutations: Results from an Italian trial cohort', *Clinical Breast Cancer*, 21(3), pp. e168-e176.

Buache, E., Etique, N., Alpy, F., Stoll, I., Muckensturm, M., Reina-San-Martin, B., Chenard, M., Tomasetto, C. and Rio, M. (2011) 'Deficiency in trefoil factor 1 (TFF1) increases tumorigenicity of human breast cancer cells and mammary tumor development in TFF1-knockout mice', *Oncogene*, 30(29), pp. 3261-3273.

Buja, A., Pierbon, M., Lago, L., Grotto, G. and Baldo, V. (2020) 'Breast cancer primary prevention and diet: an umbrella review', *International journal of environmental research and public health*, 17(13), pp. 4731.

Burdall, S. E., Hanby, A. M., Lansdown, M. R. and Speirs, V. (2003) 'Breast cancer cell lines: friend or foe?', *Breast cancer research*, 5(2), pp. 1-7.

Burgos-Aceves, M. A., Migliaccio, V., Di Gregorio, I., Paolella, G., Lepretti, M., Faggio, C. and Lionetti, L. (2021) '1, 1, 1-trichloro-2, 2-bis (p-chlorophenyl)-ethane (DDT) and 1, 1-Dichloro-2, 2-bis (p, p'-chlorophenyl) ethylene (DDE) as endocrine disruptors in human and wildlife: A possible implication of mitochondria', *Environmental Toxicology and Pharmacology*, 87, pp. 103684.

Caestecker, K. W. and Van de Walle, G. R. (2013) 'The role of BRCA1 in DNA doublestrand repair: past and present', *Experimental cell research*, 319(5), pp. 575-587.

Calder, P. C. (2015) 'Functional roles of fatty acids and their effects on human health', *Journal of parenteral and enteral nutrition,* 39, pp. 18S-32S.

Caldon, C. E., Swarbrick, A., Lee, C. S., Sutherland, R. L. and Musgrove, E. A. (2008) 'The helix-loop-helix protein Id1 requires cyclin D1 to promote the proliferation of mammary epithelial cell acini', *Cancer research*, 68(8), pp. 3026-3036.

Callihan, E. B., Gao, D., Jindal, S., Lyons, T. R., Manthey, E., Edgerton, S., Urquhart, A., Schedin, P. and Borges, V. F. (2013) 'Postpartum diagnosis demonstrates a high risk for metastasis and merits an expanded definition of pregnancy-associated breast cancer', *Breast cancer research and treatment*, 138, pp. 549-559.

Campa, D., Kaaks, R., Le Marchand, L., Haiman, C. A., Travis, R. C., Berg, C. D., Buring, J. E., Chanock, S. J., Diver, W. R. and Dostal, L. (2011) 'Interactions between genetic variants and breast cancer risk factors in the breast and prostate cancer cohort consortium', *Journal of the National Cancer Institute*, 103(16), pp. 1252-1263.

Cancer, C. G. o. H. F. i. B. (2012) 'Menarche, menopause, and breast cancer risk: individual participant meta-analysis, including 118 964 women with breast cancer from 117 epidemiological studies', *The lancet oncology,* 13(11), pp. 1141-1151.

Cancer, C. G. o. H. F. i. B. (2019) 'Type and timing of menopausal hormone therapy and breast cancer risk: individual participant meta-analysis of the worldwide epidemiological evidence', *The Lancet,* 394(10204), pp. 1159-1168. Cancer Research UK *Breast cancer statistics*. Available at: <u>https://www.cancerresearchuk.org/health-professional/cancer-statistics/statistics-by-</u>cancer-type/breast-cancer#heading-Zero (Accessed: 7th February, 2024 2024).

Cao, J., Ma, X., Yan, X., Zhang, G., Hong, S., Ma, R., Wang, Y. and Ma, M. (2023) 'Kaempferol induces mitochondrial dysfunction and mitophagy by activating the LKB1/AMPK/MFF pathway in breast precancerous lesions', *Phytother Res,* 37(8), pp. 3602-3616.

Capuco, A. V. and Ellis, S. E. (2013) 'Comparative aspects of mammary gland development and homeostasis', *Annu. Rev. Anim. Biosci.*, 1(1), pp. 179-202.

Carey, S. P., Martin, K. E. and Reinhart-King, C. A. (2017) 'Three-dimensional collagen matrix induces a mechanosensitive invasive epithelial phenotype', *Scientific reports,* 7(1), pp. 42088.

Carmichael, A. (2006) 'Obesity and prognosis of breast cancer', *Obesity Reviews*, 7(4), pp. 333-340.

Carneiro, B. A. and El-Deiry, W. S. (2020) 'Targeting apoptosis in cancer therapy', *Nature reviews Clinical oncology*, 17(7), pp. 395-417.

Carstensen, L., Beil, S., Börnick, H. and Stolte, S. (2022) 'Structure-related endocrinedisrupting potential of environmental transformation products of benzophenone-type UV filters: A review', *Journal of Hazardous Materials*, pp. 128495.

Carta, G., Murru, E., Banni, S. and Manca, C. (2017) 'Palmitic acid: physiological role, metabolism and nutritional implications', *Frontiers in physiology,* 8, pp. 902.

Carter, E. P., Gopsill, J. A., Gomm, J. J., Jones, J. L. and Grose, R. P. (2017) 'A 3D in vitro model of the human breast duct: a method to unravel myoepithelial-luminal interactions in the progression of breast cancer', *Breast Cancer Research,* 19, pp. 1-10.

Casas, L., Fernández, M. F., Llop, S., Guxens, M., Ballester, F., Olea, N., Irurzun, M. B., Rodríguez, L. S. M., Riaño, I. and Tardón, A. (2011) 'Urinary concentrations of phthalates and phenols in a population of Spanish pregnant women and children', *Environment international,* 37(5), pp. 858-866.

Castellano, L., Giamas, G., Jacob, J., Coombes, R. C., Lucchesi, W., Thiruchelvam, P., Barton, G., Jiao, L. R., Wait, R. and Waxman, J. (2009) 'The estrogen receptor-αinduced microRNA signature regulates itself and its transcriptional response', *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 106(37), pp. 15732-15737. Castillo Sanchez, R., Gomez, R. and Perez Salazar, E. (2016) 'Bisphenol A induces migration through a GPER-, FAK-, Src-, and ERK2-dependent pathway in MDA-MB-231 breast cancer cells', *Chemical research in toxicology,* 29(3), pp. 285-295.

Castro-Rivera, E., Samudio, I. and Safe, S. (2001) 'Estrogen Regulation of Cyclin D1 Gene Expression in ZR-75 Breast Cancer Cells Involves Multiple Enhancer Elements*', *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, 276(33), pp. 30853-30861.

Castruccio Castracani, C., Longhitano, L., Distefano, A., Di Rosa, M., Pittalà, V., Lupo, G., Caruso, M., Corona, D., Tibullo, D. and Li Volti, G. (2020) 'Heme oxygenase-1 and carbon monoxide regulate growth and progression in glioblastoma cells', *Molecular neurobiology*, 57, pp. 2436-2446.

Catoira, M. C., Fusaro, L., Di Francesco, D., Ramella, M. and Boccafoschi, F. (2019) 'Overview of natural hydrogels for regenerative medicine applications', *Journal of Materials Science: Materials in Medicine,* 30, pp. 1-10.

Catsburg, C., Miller, A. B. and Rohan, T. E. (2015) 'Active cigarette smoking and risk of breast cancer', *International journal of cancer*, 136(9), pp. 2204-2209.

Catterall, R., Lelarge, V. and McCaffrey, L. (2020) 'Genetic alterations of epithelial polarity genes are associated with loss of polarity in invasive breast cancer', *International Journal of Cancer*, 146(6), pp. 1578-1591.

Cavalcante, G. C., Schaan, A. P., Cabral, G. F., Santana-da-Silva, M. N., Pinto, P., Vidal, A. F. and Ribeiro-dos-Santos, Â. (2019) 'A cell's fate: an overview of the molecular biology and genetics of apoptosis', *International journal of molecular sciences*, 20(17), pp. 4133.

Cavanagh, J.-A. E., Trought, K., Mitchell, C., Northcott, G. and Tremblay, L. A. (2018) 'Assessment of endocrine disruption and oxidative potential of bisphenol-A, triclosan, nonylphenol, diethylhexyl phthalate, galaxolide, and carbamazepine, common contaminants of municipal biosolids', *Toxicology In Vitro*, 48, pp. 342-349.

Cavo, M., Fato, M., Peñuela, L., Beltrame, F., Raiteri, R. and Scaglione, S. (2016) 'Microenvironment complexity and matrix stiffness regulate breast cancer cell activity in a 3D in vitro model', *Scientific reports,* 6(1), pp. 35367.

Cedergreen, N. (2014) 'Quantifying synergy: a systematic review of mixture toxicity studies within environmental toxicology', *PloS one*, 9(5), pp. e96580.

Chajès, V., Biessy, C., Byrnes, G., Deharveng, G., Saadatian-Elahi, M., Jenab, M., Peeters, P. H., Ocké, M., Bueno-de-Mesquita, H. B. and Johansson, I. (2011) 'Ecological-level associations between highly processed food intakes and plasma phospholipid elaidic acid concentrations: results from a cross-sectional study within the European prospective investigation into cancer and nutrition (EPIC)', *Nutrition and cancer*, 63(8), pp. 1235-1250.

Chan, D. S., Vieira, A., Aune, D., Bandera, E. V., Greenwood, D., McTiernan, A., Rosenblatt, D. N., Thune, I., Vieira, R. and Norat, T. (2014) 'Body mass index and survival in women with breast cancer—systematic literature review and meta-analysis of 82 follow-up studies', *Annals of oncology*, 25(10), pp. 1901-1914.

Charles, A. K. and Darbre, P. D. (2013) 'Combinations of parabens at concentrations measured in human breast tissue can increase proliferation of MCF-7 human breast cancer cells', *Journal of applied toxicology*, 33(5), pp. 390-398.

Chen, C., Wang, Y., Qian, Y., Zhao, X. and Wang, Q. (2015) 'The synergistic toxicity of the multiple chemical mixtures: implications for risk assessment in the terrestrial environment', *Environment international*, 77, pp. 95-105.

Chen, F.-P. and Chien, M.-H. (2014) 'Lower concentrations of phthalates induce proliferation in human breast cancer cells', *Climacteric*, 17(4), pp. 377-384.

Chen, F.-P., Chien, M.-H. and Lee, C.-H. (2023) 'Regulation of the cell cycle and P13K/AKT/mTOR signaling pathway by phthalates in normal human breast cells', *Taiwanese Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology*, 62(3), pp. 434-439.

Chen, J., Ou, Y., Yang, Y., Li, W., Xu, Y., Xie, Y. and Liu, Y. (2018) 'KLHL22 activates amino-acid-dependent mTORC1 signalling to promote tumorigenesis and ageing', *Nature*, 557(7706), pp. 585-589.

Chen, L., He, J., Zhou, J., Xiao, Z., Ding, N., Duan, Y., Li, W. and Sun, L.-Q. (2019) 'EIF2A promotes cell survival during paclitaxel treatment in vitro and in vivo', *Journal of Cellular and Molecular Medicine*, 23(9), pp. 6060-6071.

Chen, W. Y., Rosner, B., Hankinson, S. E., Colditz, G. A. and Willett, W. C. (2011) 'Moderate alcohol consumption during adult life, drinking patterns, and breast cancer risk', *Jama*, 306(17), pp. 1884-1890.

Chen, X., Li, Q. h., Xie, B. m., Ji, Y. m., Han, Y. and Zhao, Y. (2023) 'SNORA73B promotes endometrial cancer progression through targeting MIB1 and regulating host gene RCC1 alternative splicing', *Journal of Cellular and Molecular Medicine*, 27(19), pp. 2890-2905.

Cheng, S., Wan, X., Yang, L., Qin, Y., Chen, S., Liu, Y., Sun, Y., Qiu, Y., Huang, L. and Qin, Q. (2023) 'RGCC-mediated PLK1 activity drives breast cancer lung metastasis

by phosphorylating AMPKα2 to activate oxidative phosphorylation and fatty acid oxidation', *Journal of Experimental & Clinical Cancer Research*, 42(1), pp. 342.

Chernikov, I. V., Vlassov, V. V. and Chernolovskaya, E. L. (2019) 'Current development of siRNA bioconjugates: from research to the clinic', *Frontiers in Pharmacology,* 10, pp. 452642.

Chi, Z. H., Liu, L., Zheng, J., Tian, L., Chevrier, J., Bornman, R., Obida, M., Goodyer, C. G., Hales, B. F. and Bayen, S. (2024) 'Biomonitoring of bisphenol A (BPA) and bisphenol analogues in human milk from South Africa and Canada using a modified QuEChERS extraction method', *Environmental Pollution*, 348, pp. 123730.

Chiyoda, T., Hart, P. C., Eckert, M. A., McGregor, S. M., Lastra, R. R., Hamamoto, R., Nakamura, Y., Yamada, S. D., Olopade, O. I., Lengyel, E. and Romero, I. L. (2017) 'Loss of BRCA1 in the Cells of Origin of Ovarian Cancer Induces Glycolysis: A Window of Opportunity for Ovarian Cancer Chemoprevention', *Cancer Prev Res (Phila)*, 10(4), pp. 255-266.

Choi, Y. L., Bocanegra, M., Kwon, M. J., Shin, Y. K., Nam, S. J., Yang, J. H., Kao, J., Godwin, A. K. and Pollack, J. R. (2010) 'LYN is a mediator of epithelial-mesenchymal transition and a target of dasatinib in breast cancer', *Cancer Res,* 70(6), pp. 2296-306. Chu, X., He, S., Liu, Y., Liu, Y., Feng, F., Guo, Q., Zhao, L. and Sun, H. (2022) 'Overview of human 20 alpha-hydroxysteroid dehydrogenase (AKR1C1): Functions, regulation, and structural insights of inhibitors', *Chemico-Biological Interactions,* 351, pp. 109746.

Clamp, A., Danson, S. and Clemons, M. (2003) 'Hormonal and genetic risk factors for breast cancer', *The Surgeon*, 1(1), pp. 23-31.

Cohen, L. A., Thompson, D. O., Choi, K., Karmali, R. A. and Rose, D. P. (1986) 'Dietary fat and mammary cancer. II. Modulation of serum and tumor lipid composition and tumor prostaglandins by different dietary fats: association with tumor incidence patterns', *Journal of the National Cancer Institute*, 77(1), pp. 43-51.

Cohn, B. A., La Merrill, M., Krigbaum, N. Y., Yeh, G., Park, J.-S., Zimmermann, L. and Cirillo, P. M. (2015) 'DDT exposure in utero and breast cancer', *The Journal of Clinical Endocrinology & Metabolism,* 100(8), pp. 2865-2872.

Colditz, G. A. and Hankinson, S. E. (2005) 'The Nurses' Health Study: lifestyle and health among women', *Nature Reviews Cancer*, 5(5), pp. 388-396.

Collins, J. M. and Isaacs, C. (2020) 'Management of breast cancer risk in BRCA1/2 mutation carriers who are unaffected with cancer', *The Breast Journal*, 26(8), pp. 1520-1527.

Collins, T. J. (2007) 'ImageJ for microscopy', *Biotechniques,* 43(sup1), pp. S25-S30. Consortium, B. C. A. (2021) 'Breast cancer risk genes—association analysis in more than 113,000 women', *New England Journal of Medicine,* 384(5), pp. 428-439.

Copes, F., Pien, N., Van Vlierberghe, S., Boccafoschi, F. and Mantovani, D. (2019) 'Collagen-based tissue engineering strategies for vascular medicine', *Frontiers in bioengineering and biotechnology*, 7, pp. 166.

Coppock, H., Gilham, D. E., Howell, A. and Clarke, R. B. (2007) 'Cyclin-dependent kinase inhibitors and basement membrane interact to regulate breast epithelial cell differentiation and acinar morphogenesis', *Cell Proliferation*, 40(5), pp. 721-740.

Corda, G., Sala, G., Lattanzio, R., Iezzi, M., Sallese, M., Fragassi, G., Lamolinara, A., Mirza, H., Barcaroli, D. and Ermler, S. (2017) 'Functional and prognostic significance of the genomic amplification of frizzled 6 (FZD6) in breast cancer', *The Journal of pathology*, 241(3), pp. 350-361.

Corominas-Faja, B., Vellon, L., Cuyàs, E., Buxó, M., Martin-Castillo, B., Serra, D., García, J., Lupu, R. and Menendez, J. A. (2017) 'Clinical and therapeutic relevance of the metabolic oncogene fatty acid synthase in HER2+ breast cancer', *Histology and histopathology*, 32(7), pp. 687.

Correa de Sampaio, P., Auslaender, D., Krubasik, D., Failla, A. V., Skepper, J. N., Murphy, G. and English, W. R. (2012) 'A heterogeneous in vitro three dimensional model of tumour-stroma interactions regulating sprouting angiogenesis', *PloS one,* 7(2), pp. e30753.

Corte, M. D., Tamargo, F., Alvarez, A., RodrÃguez, J., VÃ_i zquez, J., SÃ_i nchez, R., Lamelas, M. L., GonzÃ_i lez, L., Allende, M. T. and GarcÃa-Muñiz, J. (2006) 'Cytosolic levels of TFF1/pS2 in breast cancer: their relationship with clinical–pathological parameters and their prognostic significance', *Breast cancer research and treatment*, 96, pp. 63-72.

Cotrim, C., Fabris, V., Doria, M., Lindberg, K., Gustafsson, J.-Å., Amado, F., Lanari, C. and Helguero, L. (2013) 'Estrogen receptor beta growth-inhibitory effects are repressed through activation of MAPK and PI3K signalling in mammary epithelial and breast cancer cells', *Oncogene*, 32(19), pp. 2390-2402.

Coutinho, R., Vianna, M. T. G. and Marques, M. (2022) 'Optimisation of the conditions of dispersive liquid–liquid microextraction for environmentally friendly determination of bisphenols and benzophenone in complex water matrices by LC-MS/MS', *Microchemical Journal,* 180, pp. 107636.

Danhier, P., Bański, P., Payen, V. L., Grasso, D., Ippolito, L., Sonveaux, P. and Porporato, P. E. (2017) 'Cancer metabolism in space and time: beyond the Warburg effect', *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA)-Bioenergetics,* 1858(8), pp. 556-572.

Daniele, A., Divella, R., Pilato, B., Tommasi, S., Pasanisi, P., Patruno, M., Digennaro, M., Minoia, C., Dellino, M. and Pisconti, S. (2021) 'Can harmful lifestyle, obesity and weight changes increase the risk of breast cancer in BRCA 1 and BRCA 2 mutation carriers? A Mini review', *Hereditary cancer in clinical practice,* 19, pp. 1-8.

Darbre, P., Aljarrah, A., Miller, W., Coldham, N., Sauer, M. and Pope, G. (2004) 'Concentrations of parabens in human breast tumours', *Journal of Applied Toxicology: An International Journal*, 24(1), pp. 5-13.

Darbre, P., Byford, J., Shaw, L., Hall, S., Coldham, N., Pope, G. and Sauer, M. (2003) 'Oestrogenic activity of benzylparaben', *Journal of Applied Toxicology: An International Journal*, 23(1), pp. 43-51.

Darbre, P., Byford, J., Shaw, L., Horton, R., Pope, G. and Sauer, M. (2002) 'Oestrogenic activity of isobutylparaben in vitro and in vivo', *Journal of Applied Toxicology: An International Journal*, 22(4), pp. 219-226.

Darbre, P. D. (2019) 'The history of endocrine-disrupting chemicals', *Current Opinion in Endocrine and Metabolic Research*, 7, pp. 26-33.

Darbre, P. D. and Harvey, P. W. (2014) 'Parabens can enable hallmarks and characteristics of cancer in human breast epithelial cells: a review of the literature with reference to new exposure data and regulatory status', *Journal of Applied Toxicology*, 34(9), pp. 925-938.

Darbre, P. D. and Williams, G. (2022) 'Endocrine disruption and Cancer of reproductive tissues', *Endocrine Disruption and Human Health*: Elsevier, pp. 225-253.

Darvish, M. (2024) 'LncRNA FTH1P3: A New Biomarker for Cancer-Related Therapeutic Development', *Current Molecular Medicine*, 24(5), pp. 576-584.

de Sousa, G., Teng, S., Salle-Siri, R., Pery, A. and Rahmani, R. (2016) 'Prediction of the metabolic clearance of benzophenone-2, and its interaction with isoeugenol and coumarin using cryopreserved human hepatocytes in primary culture', *Food and Chemical Toxicology*, 90, pp. 55-63.

Deb, P., Bhan, A., Hussain, I., Ansari, K. I., Bobzean, S. A., Pandita, T. K., Perrotti, L. I. and Mandal, S. S. (2016) 'Endocrine disrupting chemical, bisphenol-A, induces breast cancer associated gene HOXB9 expression in vitro and in vivo', *Gene*, 590(2), pp. 234-243.

Debnath, J. and Brugge, J. S. (2005) 'Modelling glandular epithelial cancers in threedimensional cultures', *Nature Reviews Cancer*, 5(9), pp. 675-688.

Debnath, J., Mills, K. R., Collins, N. L., Reginato, M. J., Muthuswamy, S. K. and Brugge, J. S. (2002) 'The role of apoptosis in creating and maintaining luminal space within normal and oncogene-expressing mammary acini', *Cell*, 111(1), pp. 29-40.

Debnath, J., Muthuswamy, S. K. and Brugge, J. S. (2003) 'Morphogenesis and oncogenesis of MCF-10A mammary epithelial acini grown in three-dimensional basement membrane cultures', *Methods*, 30(3), pp. 256-268.

DeFilippis, R. A., Chang, H., Dumont, N., Rabban, J. T., Chen, Y.-Y., Fontenay, G. V., Berman, H. K., Gauthier, M. L., Zhao, J. and Hu, D. (2012) 'CD36 Repression Activates a Multicellular Stromal Program Shared by High Mammographic Density and Tumor TissuesCD36 Modulates Phenotypes of Breast Density and Desmoplasia', *Cancer discovery*, 2(9), pp. 826-839.

Del Riccio, M., Vettori, V., Raimondi, S., Lorini, C., Masala, G., Cattaruzza, M. S., Mazzarella, L., Bonaccorsi, G., Masiero, M., Bendinelli, B., Curigliano, G., Pravettoni, G., Pastore, E., Gandini, S. and Caini, S. (2023) 'The clinical impact of continued smoking in patients with breast and other hormone-dependent cancer: A systematic literature review', *Crit Rev Oncol Hematol,* 184, pp. 103951.

Delfosse, V., Grimaldi, M., Pons, J.-L., Boulahtouf, A., Le Maire, A., Cavailles, V., Labesse, G., Bourguet, W. and Balaguer, P. (2012) 'Structural and mechanistic insights into bisphenols action provide guidelines for risk assessment and discovery of bisphenol A substitutes', *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 109(37), pp. 14930-14935.

den Braver-Sewradj, S. P., van Spronsen, R. and Hessel, E. V. (2020) 'Substitution of bisphenol A: a review of the carcinogenicity, reproductive toxicity, and endocrine disruption potential of alternative substances', *Critical Reviews in Toxicology*, 50(2), pp. 128-147.

Deng, P., Tan, M., Zhou, W., Chen, C., Xi, Y., Gao, P., Ma, Q., Liang, Y., Chen, M. and Tian, L. (2021) 'Bisphenol A promotes breast cancer cell proliferation by driving miR-381-3p-PTTG1-dependent cell cycle progression', *Chemosphere*, 268, pp. 129221. Deng, Q., Li, X., Fu, S., Yin, L., Zhang, Y., Wang, T., Wang, J., Liu, L., Yuan, X. and Sun, G. (2014) 'SREBP-1c gene silencing can decrease lipid deposits in bovine hepatocytes cultured in vitro', *Cellular Physiology and Biochemistry*, 33(5), pp. 1568-1578.

Dennis, C., Dillon, J., Cohen, D. J., Halquist, M. S., Pearcy, A. C., Schwartz, Z. and Boyan, B. D. (2023) 'Local production of active vitamin D3 metabolites in breast cancer cells by CYP24A1 and CYP27B1', *The Journal of Steroid Biochemistry and Molecular Biology*, 232, pp. 106331.

DeSantis, C. E., Bray, F., Ferlay, J., Lortet-Tieulent, J., Anderson, B. O. and Jemal, A. (2015) 'International variation in female breast cancer incidence and mortality rates', *Cancer epidemiology, biomarkers & prevention,* 24(10), pp. 1495-1506.

Desaulniers, D., Leingartner, K., Russo, J., Perkins, G., Chittim, B. G., Archer, M. C., Wade, M. and Yang, J. (2001) 'Modulatory effects of neonatal exposure to TCDD, or a mixture of PCBs, p, p'-DDT, and pp'-DDE, on methylnitrosourea-induced mammary tumor development in the rat', *Environmental Health Perspectives*, 109(7), pp. 739-747.

Di Cello, F., Flowers, V. L., Li, H., Vecchio-Pagán, B., Gordon, B., Harbom, K., Shin, J., Beaty, R., Wang, W. and Brayton, C. (2013) 'Cigarette smoke induces epithelial to mesenchymal transition and increases the metastatic ability of breast cancer cells', *Molecular cancer*, 12, pp. 1-11.

Di, H., Zhao, J., Zhu, X., Zhou, X., Hu, Y., Wang, M., Qiu, Z., Zhang, W. and Chen, X. (2022) 'A novel prognostic signature for lung adenocarcinoma based on cuproptosis-related lncrnas: a review', *Medicine*, 101(49), pp. e31924.

Diamanti-Kandarakis, E., Bourguignon, J.-P., Giudice, L. C., Hauser, R., Prins, G. S., Soto, A. M., Zoeller, R. T. and Gore, A. C. (2009) 'Endocrine-disrupting chemicals: an Endocrine Society scientific statement', *Endocrine reviews*, 30(4), pp. 293-342.

Dimri, M., Naramura, M., Duan, L., Chen, J., Ortega-Cava, C., Chen, G., Goswami, R., Fernandes, N., Gao, Q. and Dimri, G. P. (2007) 'Modeling breast cancer– associated c-Src and EGFR overexpression in human MECs: c-Src and EGFR cooperatively promote aberrant three-dimensional acinar structure and invasive behavior', *Cancer research*, 67(9), pp. 4164-4172.

Dixon-Suen, S. C. and Lewis, S. J. and Martin, R. M. and English, D. R. and Boyle, T. and Giles, G. G. and Michailidou, K. and Bolla, M. K. and Wang, Q. and Dennis, J. and Lush, M. and Investigators, A. and Ahearn, T. U. and Ambrosone, C. B. and Andrulis,

I. L. and Anton-Culver, H. and Arndt, V. and Aronson, K. J. and Augustinsson, A. and Auvinen, P. and Beane Freeman, L. E. and Becher, H. and Beckmann, M. W. and Behrens, S. and Bermisheva, M. and Blomqvist, C. and Bogdanova, N. V. and Bojesen, S. E. and Bonanni, B. and Brenner, H. and Brüning, T. and Buys, S. S. and Camp, N. J. and Campa, D. and Canzian, F. and Castelao, J. E. and Cessna, M. H. and Chang-Claude, J. and Chanock, S. J. and Clarke, C. L. and Conroy, D. M. and Couch, F. J. and Cox, A. and Cross, S. S. and Czene, K. and Daly, M. B. and Devilee, P. and Dörk, T. and Dwek, M. and Eccles, D. M. and Eliassen, A. H. and Engel, C. and Eriksson, M. and Evans, D. G. and Fasching, P. A. and Fletcher, O. and Flyger, H. and Fritschi, L. and Gabrielson, M. and Gago-Dominguez, M. and García-Closas, M. and García-Sáenz, J. A. and Goldberg, M. S. and Guénel, P. and Gündert, M. and Hahnen, E. and Haiman, C. A. and Häberle, L. and Håkansson, N. and Hall, P. and Hamann, U. and Hart, S. N. and Harvie, M. and Hillemanns, P. and Hollestelle, A. and Hooning, M. J. and Hoppe, R. and Hopper, J. and Howell, A. and Hunter, D. J. and Jakubowska, A. and Janni, W. and John, E. M. and Jung, A. and Kaaks, R. and Keeman, R. and Kitahara, C. M. and Koutros, S. and Kraft, P. and Kristensen, V. N. and Kubelka-Sabit, K. and Kurian, A. W. and Lacey, J. V. and Lambrechts, D. and Le Marchand, L. and Lindblom, A. and Loibl, S. and Lubiński, J. and Mannermaa, A. and Manoochehri, M. and Margolin, S. and Martinez, M. E. and Mavroudis, D. and Menon, U. and Mulligan, A. M. and Murphy, R. A. and Collaborators, N. and Nevanlinna, H. and Nevelsteen, I. and Newman, W. G. and Offit, K. and Olshan, A. F. and Olsson, H. and Orr, N. and Patel, A. and Peto, J. and Plaseska-Karanfilska, D. and Presneau, N. and Rack, B. and Radice, P. and Rees-Punia, E. and Rennert, G. and Rennert, H. S. and Romero, A. and Saloustros, E. and Sandler, D. P. and Schmidt, M. K. and Schmutzler, R. K. and Schwentner, L. and Scott, C. and Shah, M. and Shu, X. O. and Simard, J. and Southey, M. C. and Stone, J. and Surowy, H. and Swerdlow, A. J. and Tamimi, R. M. and Tapper, W. J. and Taylor, J. A. and Terry, M. B. and Tollenaar, R. and Troester, M. A. and Truong, T. and Untch, M. and Vachon, C. M. and Joseph, V. and Wappenschmidt, B. and Weinberg, C. R. and Wolk, A. and Yannoukakos, D. and Zheng, W. and Ziogas, A. and Dunning, A. M. and Pharoah, P. D. P. and Easton, D. F. and Milne, R. L. and Lynch, B. M. (2022) 'Physical activity, sedentary time and breast cancer risk: a Mendelian randomisation study', *Br J Sports Med*, 56(20), pp. 1157-1170.

DI, P. (2003) 'Atypical lobular hyperplasia as a unilateral predictor of breast cancer risk: a retrospective cohort study', *Lancet,* 361, pp. 125-129.
Doğanlar, O., Doğanlar, Z. B., Chasan, T. and Kurtdere, A. K. (2021) 'Prolonged sublethal exposure to galaxolide (HHCB) and tonalide (AHTN) promotes the metastatic potential of glioblastoma tumor spheroids', *Neurotoxicology*, 87, pp. 219-230.

Dong, L., Yuan, Y., Opansky, C., Chen, Y., Aguilera-Barrantes, I., Wu, S., Yuan, R., Cao, Q., Cheng, Y. C. and Sahoo, D. (2017) 'Diet-induced obesity links to ER positive breast cancer progression via LPA/PKD-1-CD36 signaling-mediated microvascular remodeling', *Oncotarget*, 8(14), pp. 22550.

Dong, Y., Siegwart, D. J. and Anderson, D. G. (2019) 'Strategies, design, and chemistry in siRNA delivery systems', *Advanced drug delivery reviews*, 144, pp. 133-147.

Dossus, L., Boutron-Ruault, M. C., Kaaks, R., Gram, I. T., Vilier, A., Fervers, B., Manjer, J., Tjonneland, A., Olsen, A. and Overvad, K. (2014) 'Active and passive cigarette smoking and breast cancer risk: results from the EPIC cohort', *International journal of cancer*, 134(8), pp. 1871-1888.

Downs, C., Kramarsky-Winter, E., Fauth, J. E., Segal, R., Bronstein, O., Jeger, R., Lichtenfeld, Y., Woodley, C. M., Pennington, P. and Kushmaro, A. (2014) 'Toxicological effects of the sunscreen UV filter, benzophenone-2, on planulae and in vitro cells of the coral, Stylophora pistillata', *Ecotoxicology*, 23, pp. 175-191.

Drost, R., Bouwman, P., Rottenberg, S., Boon, U., Schut, E., Klarenbeek, S., Klijn, C., van der Heijden, I., van der Gulden, H. and Wientjens, E. (2011) 'BRCA1 RING function is essential for tumor suppression but dispensable for therapy resistance', *Cancer cell*, 20(6), pp. 797-809.

Drury, J., Rychahou, P. G., Kelson, C. O., Geisen, M. E., Wu, Y., He, D., Wang, C., Lee, E. Y., Evers, B. M. and Zaytseva, Y. Y. (2022) 'Upregulation of CD36, a Fatty Acid Translocase, Promotes Colorectal Cancer Metastasis by Increasing MMP28 and Decreasing E-Cadherin Expression', *Cancers*, 14(1), pp. 252.

Dumais, V., Lumingu, J., Bedard, M., Paquet, L., Verma, S. and Fontaine-Bisson, B. (2017) 'Prevalence of Insulin Resistance, Metabolic Syndrome, and Type 2 Diabetes in Canadian Women at High Risk for Breast Cancer', *Breast Journal*, 23(4).

Dumitrescu, R. G. and Shields, P. G. (2005) 'The etiology of alcohol-induced breast cancer', *Alcohol,* 35(3), pp. 213-225.

Duval, K., Grover, H., Han, L.-H., Mou, Y., Pegoraro, A. F., Fredberg, J. and Chen, Z. (2017) 'Modeling physiological events in 2D vs. 3D cell culture', *Physiology*, 32(4), pp. 266-277.

e Souza, L. D., Ferraz, E. R. A., Salviano, I. S., da Fonseca, A. S., Felzenzswalb, I. and Mencalha, A. L. (2017) 'Alginate Matrix of MDA-MB-231 Breast Cancer Cell 3D Culturing Alters CD44 and CD24 mRNA Levels and Induces ALDH1 Expression', *Journal of Life Sciences*, 11, pp. 219-227.

Ebert, B., Kisiela, M., Wsól, V. and Maser, E. (2011) 'Proteasome inhibitors MG-132 and bortezomib induce AKR1C1, AKR1C3, AKR1B1, and AKR1B10 in human colon cancer cell lines SW-480 and HT-29', *Chemico-biological interactions,* 191(1-3), pp. 239-249.

Economopoulou, P., Dimitriadis, G. and Psyrri, A. (2015) 'Beyond BRCA: new hereditary breast cancer susceptibility genes', *Cancer treatment reviews*, 41(1), pp. 1-8.

EFSA Panel on Food Contact Materials, E., Aids, P., Lambré, C., Barat Baviera, J. M., Bolognesi, C., Chesson, A., Cocconcelli, P. S., Crebelli, R., Gott, D. M., Grob, K. and Lampi, E. (2023) 'Re-evaluation of the risks to public health related to the presence of bisphenol A (BPA) in foodstuffs', *EFSA Journal*, 21(4), pp. e06857.

Egger, D. and Nebel, S. (2021) 'Introduction to 3D Cell Culture', *Basic Concepts on 3D Cell Culture*: Springer, pp. 1-26.

Ehehalt, R., Sparla, R., Kulaksiz, H., Herrmann, T., Füllekrug, J. and Stremmel, W. (2008) 'Uptake of long chain fatty acids is regulated by dynamic interaction of FAT/CD36 with cholesterol/sphingolipid enriched microdomains (lipid rafts)', *BMC cell biology*, 9, pp. 1-12.

Ehiguese, F. O., Fernandez, M. d. C. C., Lara-Martín, P. A., Martín-Díaz, M. L. and Araújo, C. V. (2019) 'Avoidance behaviour of the shrimp Palaemon varians regarding a contaminant gradient of galaxolide and tonalide in seawater', *Chemosphere*, 232, pp. 113-120.

Ellingjord-Dale, M., Vos, L., Hjerkind, K. V., Hjartåker, A., Russnes, H. G., Tretli, S., Hofvind, S., dos-Santos-Silva, I. and Ursin, G. (2017) 'Alcohol, physical activity, smoking, and breast cancer subtypes in a large, nested case–control study from the Norwegian breast cancer screening program', *Cancer Epidemiology, Biomarkers & Prevention*, 26(12), pp. 1736-1744.

Ellsworth, D. L., Turner, C. E. and Ellsworth, R. E. (2019) 'A Review of the Hereditary Component of Triple Negative Breast Cancer: High- and Moderate-Penetrance Breast Cancer Genes, Low-Penetrance Loci, and the Role of Nontraditional Genetic Elements', *J Oncol*, 2019, pp. 4382606. Ellsworth, R. E., Kostyniak, P. J., Chi, L. H., Shriver, C. D., Costantino, N. S. and Ellsworth, D. L. (2018) 'Organochlorine pesticide residues in human breast tissue and their relationships with clinical and pathological characteristics of breast cancer', *Environmental toxicology*, 33(8), pp. 876-884.

Elstrodt, F., Hollestelle, A., Nagel, J. H., Gorin, M., Wasielewski, M., Van Den Ouweland, A., Merajver, S. D., Ethier, S. P. and Schutte, M. (2006) 'BRCA1 mutation analysis of 41 human breast cancer cell lines reveals three new deleterious mutants', *Cancer research*, 66(1), pp. 41-45.

Engel, A., Frenzel, F., Niemann, B., Braeuning, A., Lampen, A. and Buhrke, T. (2019) 'The use of 3D cultures of MCF-10A and MCF-12A cells by high content screening for effect-based analysis of non-genotoxic carcinogens', *Toxicology in Vitro*, 59, pp. 55-63.

Engel, N., Oppermann, C., Falodun, A. and Kragl, U. (2011) 'Proliferative effects of five traditional Nigerian medicinal plant extracts on human breast and bone cancer cell lines', *Journal of ethnopharmacology*, 137(2), pp. 1003-1010.

Engeland, K. (2022) 'Cell cycle regulation: p53-p21-RB signaling', *Cell Death & Differentiation*, 29(5), pp. 946-960.

Engin, A. B. and Engin, A. (2021) 'The effect of environmental Bisphenol A exposure on breast cancer associated with obesity', *Environmental Toxicology and Pharmacology,* 81, pp. 103544.

Evans, D. G. R., Kallionpää, R. A., Clementi, M., Trevisson, E., Mautner, V.-F., Howell, S. J., Lewis, L., Zehou, O., Peltonen, S. and Brunello, A. (2020) 'Breast cancer in neurofibromatosis 1: survival and risk of contralateral breast cancer in a five country cohort study', *Genetics in Medicine*, 22(2), pp. 398-406.

Evans, L. M., Cowey, S. L., Siegal, G. P. and Hardy, R. W. (2009a) 'Stearate preferentially induces apoptosis in human breast cancer cells', *Nutrition and cancer*, 61(5), pp. 746-753.

Evans, L. M., Toline, E. C., Desmond, R., Siegal, G. P., Hashim, A. I. and Hardy, R. W. (2009b) 'Dietary stearate reduces human breast cancer metastasis burden in athymic nude mice', *Clinical & experimental metastasis*, 26, pp. 415-424.

Eve, L., Fervers, B., Le Romancer, M. and Etienne-Selloum, N. (2020) 'Exposure to endocrine disrupting chemicals and risk of breast cancer', *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*, 21(23), pp. 9139.

Ewen, M. E. and Lamb, J. (2004) 'The activities of cyclin D1 that drive tumorigenesis', *Trends in molecular medicine,* 10(4), pp. 158-162.

Falomir-Lockhart, L. J., Cavazzutti, G. F., Giménez, E. and Toscani, A. M. (2019) 'Fatty acid signaling mechanisms in neural cells: fatty acid receptors', *Frontiers in cellular neuroscience*, 13, pp. 162.

Fan, S., Wang, J.-A., Yuan, R., Ma, Y., Meng, Q., Erdos, M., Pestell, R., Yuan, F., Auborn, K. and Goldberg, I. (1999) 'BRCA1 inhibition of estrogen receptor signaling in transfected cells', *Science*, 284(5418), pp. 1354-1356.

Farvid, M. S., Stern, M. C., Norat, T., Sasazuki, S., Vineis, P., Weijenberg, M. P., Wolk, A., Wu, K., Stewart, B. W. and Cho, E. (2018) 'Consumption of red and processed meat and breast cancer incidence: A systematic review and meta-analysis of prospective studies', *International journal of cancer*, 143(11), pp. 2787-2799.

Feldman, R. D. and Limbird, L. E. (2017) 'GPER (GPR30): a nongenomic receptor (GPCR) for steroid hormones with implications for cardiovascular disease and cancer', *Annual review of pharmacology and toxicology,* 57, pp. 567-584.

Feng, W. W., Bang, S. and Kurokawa, M. (2020) 'CD36: a key mediator of resistance to HER2 inhibitors in breast cancer', *Molecular & Cellular Oncology*, 7(2), pp. 1715766. Feng, Z., Xu, X., Cen, D., Luo, C. and Wu, S. (2017) 'miR-590-3p promotes colon cancer cell proliferation via Wnt/beta-catenin signaling pathway by inhibiting WIF1 and DKK1', *Eur Rev Med Pharmacol Sci*, 21(21), pp. 4844-4852.

Fent, K., Zenker, A. and Rapp, M. (2010) 'Widespread occurrence of estrogenic UV-filters in aquatic ecosystems in Switzerland', *Environmental Pollution*, 158(5), pp. 1817-1824.

Fernandez, S. and Russo, J. (2010) 'Estrogen and xenoestrogens in breast cancer', *Toxicologic pathology*, 38(1), pp. 110-122.

Ferreira, L., Gaspar, V. and Mano, J. (2018) 'Design of spherically structured 3D in vitro tumor models-Advances and prospects', *Acta Biomaterialia*, 75, pp. 11-34.

Filardo, E. J., Quinn, J. A., Bland, K. I. and Frackelton Jr, A. R. (2000) 'Estrogeninduced activation of Erk-1 and Erk-2 requires the G protein-coupled receptor homolog, GPR30, and occurs via trans-activation of the epidermal growth factor receptor through release of HB-EGF', *Molecular endocrinology*, 14(10), pp. 1649-1660.

Flaveny, C. A., Griffett, K., El-Gendy, B. E.-D. M., Kazantzis, M., Sengupta, M., Amelio, A. L., Chatterjee, A., Walker, J., Solt, L. A. and Kamenecka, T. M. (2015) 'Broad anti-

tumor activity of a small molecule that selectively targets the Warburg effect and lipogenesis', *Cancer cell*, 28(1), pp. 42-56.

Foglietta, F., Canaparo, R., Muccioli, G., Terreno, E. and Serpe, L. (2020) 'Methodological aspects and pharmacological applications of three-dimensional cancer cell cultures and organoids', *Life sciences*, 254, pp. 117784.

Fomicheva, M., Tross, E. M. and Macara, I. G. (2020) 'Polarity proteins in oncogenesis', *Current opinion in cell biology*, 62, pp. 26-30.

Fontanella, C., Lederer, B., Gade, S., Vanoppen, M., Blohmer, J. U., Costa, S. D., Denkert, C., Eidtmann, H., Gerber, B. and Hanusch, C. (2015) 'Impact of body mass index on neoadjuvant treatment outcome: a pooled analysis of eight prospective neoadjuvant breast cancer trials', *Breast cancer research and treatment,* 150, pp. 127-139.

Fougner, C., Bergholtz, H., Norum, J. H. and Sørlie, T. (2020) 'Re-definition of claudinlow as a breast cancer phenotype', *Nature communications*, 11(1), pp. 1787.

Foulkes, W. D., Metcalfe, K., Sun, P., Hanna, W. M., Lynch, H. T., Ghadirian, P., Tung, N., Olopade, O. I., Weber, B. L. and McLennan, J. (2004) 'Estrogen receptor status in BRCA1-and BRCA2-related breast cancer: the influence of age, grade, and histological type', *Clinical Cancer Research,* 10(6), pp. 2029-2034.

Fraser, J., Reeves, J., Stanton, P., Black, D., Going, J., Cooke, T. and Bartlett, J. (2003) 'A role for BRCA1 in sporadic breast cancer', *British journal of cancer*, 88(8), pp. 1263-1270.

Freedman, L. P., Gibson, M. C., Ethier, S. P., Soule, H. R., Neve, R. M. and Reid, Y. A. (2015) 'Reproducibility: changing the policies and culture of cell line authentication', *Nature methods*, 12(6), pp. 493-497.

Friebel, T. M., Domchek, S. M. and Rebbeck, T. R. (2014) 'Modifiers of cancer risk in BRCA1 and BRCA2 mutation carriers: a systematic review and meta-analysis', *Journal of the National Cancer Institute,* 106(6), pp. dju091.

Friesenhengst, A., Pribitzer-Winner, T., Miedl, H., Pröstling, K. and Schreiber, M. (2018) 'Elevated aromatase (CYP19A1) expression is associated with a poor survival of patients with estrogen receptor positive breast cancer', *Hormones and Cancer*, 9, pp. 128-138.

Fuentes, N. and Silveyra, P. (2019) 'Estrogen receptor signaling mechanisms', *Advances in protein chemistry and structural biology,* 116, pp. 135-170.

Fung, C., Lock, R., Gao, S., Salas, E. and Debnath, J. (2008) 'Induction of autophagy during extracellular matrix detachment promotes cell survival', *Molecular biology of the cell*, 19(3), pp. 797-806.

Gail, M. H. (2015) 'Twenty-five years of breast cancer risk models and their applications', *Journal of the National Cancer Institute*, 107(5), pp. djv042.

Gao, X., Leone, G. W. and Wang, H. (2020) 'Cyclin D-CDK4/6 functions in cancer', *Advances in cancer research,* 148, pp. 147-169.

Garrison, M. D., Storch, P. J., Eck, W. S., Adams, V. H., Fedick, P. W. and Harvey, B. G. (2021) 'BPA-free high-performance sustainable polycarbonates derived from nonestrogenic bio-based phenols', *Green Chemistry*, 23(20), pp. 8016-8029.

Gaudriault, P., Mazaud-Guittot, S., Lavoué, V., Coiffec, I., Lesné, L., Dejucq-Rainsford, N., Scholze, M., Kortenkamp, A. and Jégou, B. (2017) 'Endocrine disruption in human fetal testis explants by individual and combined exposures to selected pharmaceuticals, pesticides, and environmental pollutants', *Environmental Health Perspectives*, 125(8), pp. 087004.

Gelfand, R., Vernet, D., Bruhn, K., Vadgama, J. and Gonzalez-Cadavid, N. F. (2016) 'Long-term exposure of MCF-12A normal human breast epithelial cells to ethanol induces epithelial mesenchymal transition and oncogenic features', *International journal of oncology*, 48(6), pp. 2399-2414.

Geng, X., Babayeva, L., Walch, A., Aubele, M., Groß, E., Kiechle, M., Bronger, H., Dreyer, T., Magdolen, V. and Dorn, J. (2020) 'High levels of KLK7 protein expression are related to a favorable prognosis in triple-negative breast cancer patients', *American Journal of Cancer Research,* 10(6), pp. 1785.

Genuis, S. J., Birkholz, D. and Curtis, L. (2013) 'Paraben levels in an urban community of Western Canada', *International Scholarly Research Notices*, 2013.

Gervin, E., Shin, B., Opperman, R., Cullen, M., Feser, R., Maiti, S. and Majumder, M. (2020) 'Chemically induced hypoxia enhances miRNA functions in breast cancer', *Cancers*, 12(8), pp. 2008.

Gil, E. M. C. (2014) 'Targeting the PI3K/AKT/mTOR pathway in estrogen receptorpositive breast cancer', *Cancer treatment reviews*, 40(7), pp. 862-871.

Girgert, R., Emons, G. and Gründker, C. (2014) 'Inhibition of GPR30 by estriol prevents growth stimulation of triple-negative breast cancer cells by 17β-estradiol', *BMC cancer*, 14, pp. 1-12.

Giulivo, M., de Alda, M. L., Capri, E. and Barceló, D. (2016) 'Human exposure to endocrine disrupting compounds: Their role in reproductive systems, metabolic syndrome and breast cancer. A review', *Environmental research*, 151, pp. 251-264.

Godet, I. and Gilkes, D. M. (2017) 'BRCA1 and BRCA2 mutations and treatment strategies for breast cancer', *Integrative cancer science and therapeutics,* 4(1).

Goldhirsch, A., Winer, E. P., Coates, A., Gelber, R., Piccart-Gebhart, M., Thürlimann, B., Senn, H.-J., Albain, K. S., André, F. and Bergh, J. (2013) 'Personalizing the treatment of women with early breast cancer: highlights of the St Gallen International Expert Consensus on the Primary Therapy of Early Breast Cancer 2013', *Annals of oncology*, 24(9), pp. 2206-2223.

Goldstein, J. L., DeBose-Boyd, R. A. and Brown, M. S. (2006) 'Protein sensors for membrane sterols', *Cell*, 124(1), pp. 35-46.

Gonzalez, T. L., Rae, J. M. and Colacino, J. A. (2019) 'Implication of environmental estrogens on breast cancer treatment and progression', *Toxicology*, 421, pp. 41-48.

Gonzalez-Salinas, F., Rojo, R., Martinez-Amador, C., Herrera-Gamboa, J. and Trevino, V. (2020) 'Transcriptomic and cellular analyses of CRISPR/Cas9-mediated edition of FASN show inhibition of aggressive characteristics in breast cancer cells', *Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications*, 529(2), pp. 321-327.

Gooding, M., Newton, T., Bartsch, M. and Hornbuckle, K. (2006) 'Toxicity of synthetic musks to early life stages of the freshwater mussel Lampsilis cardium', *Archives of environmental contamination and toxicology,* 51, pp. 549-558.

Goodson III, W. H., Luciani, M. G., Sayeed, S. A., Jaffee, I. M., Moore, D. H. and Dairkee, S. H. (2011) 'Activation of the mTOR pathway by low levels of xenoestrogens in breast epithelial cells from high-risk women', *Carcinogenesis*, 32(11), pp. 1724-1733.

Goszczynski, D. E., Mazzucco, J. P., Ripoli, M. V., Villarreal, E. L., Rogberg-Muñoz, A., Mezzadra, C. A., Melucci, L. M. and Giovambattista, G. (2014) 'Characterization of the bovine gene LIPE and possible influence on fatty acid composition of meat', *Meta gene*, 2, pp. 746-760.

Graffeo, R., Rana, H. Q., Conforti, F., Bonanni, B., Cardoso, M. J., Paluch-Shimon, S., Pagani, O., Goldhirsch, A., Partridge, A. H., Lambertini, M. and Garber, J. E. (2022) 'Moderate penetrance genes complicate genetic testing for breast cancer diagnosis: ATM, CHEK2, BARD1 and RAD51D', *The Breast*, 65, pp. 32-40. Grifone, T. J. (2020) 'Cell polarity and oncogenesis: Common mutations contribute to altered cellular polarity and promote malignancy', *The Nucleus*, 63(2), pp. 91-106.

Grill, S., Yahiaoui-Doktor, M., Dukatz, R., Lammert, J., Ullrich, M., Engel, C., Pfeifer, K., Basrai, M., Siniatchkin, M. and Schmidt, T. (2017) 'Smoking and physical inactivity increase cancer prevalence in BRCA-1 and BRCA-2 mutation carriers: results from a retrospective observational analysis', *Archives of gynecology and obstetrics*, 296, pp. 1135-1144.

Grimm, L. J., Rahbar, H., Abdelmalak, M., Hall, A. H. and Ryser, M. D. (2022) 'Ductal carcinoma in situ: state-of-the-art review', *Radiology*, 302(2), pp. 246-255.

Gross, S. M., Dane, M. A., Smith, R. L., Devlin, K. L., McLean, I. C., Derrick, D. S., Mills, C. E., Subramanian, K., London, A. B. and Torre, D. (2022) 'A multi-omic analysis of MCF10A cells provides a resource for integrative assessment of ligand-mediated molecular and phenotypic responses', *Communications biology*, 5(1), pp. 1066.

Gu, J., Xu, W., Peng, C., Zhu, Y., Wang, D., Wang, X., Li, Y., Wei, G., Zhang, Z. and Zhong, Y. (2019) 'Perineural invasion is related to p38 mitogen-activated protein kinase pathway activation and promotes tumor growth and chemoresistance in pancreatic cancer', *Journal of Cellular Biochemistry*, 120(7), pp. 11775-11783.

Gu, Y., Bouwman, P., Greco, D., Saarela, J., Yadav, B., Jonkers, J. and Kuznetsov, S. G. (2014) 'Suppression of BRCA1 sensitizes cells to proteasome inhibitors', *Cell Death & Disease*, 5(12), pp. e1580-e1580.

Guo, Q., Wei, D., Zhao, H. and Du, Y. (2020a) 'Predicted no-effect concentrations determination and ecological risk assessment for benzophenone-type UV filters in aquatic environment', *Environmental Pollution*, 256, pp. 113460.

Guo, R.-X., Wei, L.-H., Tu, Z., Sun, P.-M., Wang, J.-L., Zhao, D., Li, X.-P. and Tang, J.-M. (2006) '17β-Estradiol activates PI3K/Akt signaling pathway by estrogen receptor (ER)-dependent and ER-independent mechanisms in endometrial cancer cells', *The Journal of steroid biochemistry and molecular biology*, 99(1), pp. 9-18.

Guo, W., Fensom, G. K., Reeves, G. K. and Key, T. J. (2020b) 'Physical activity and breast cancer risk: results from the UK Biobank prospective cohort', *British journal of cancer*, 122(5), pp. 726-732.

Guo, Y. J., Pan, W. W., Liu, S. B., Shen, Z. F., Xu, Y. and Hu, L. L. (2020c) 'ERK/MAPK signalling pathway and tumorigenesis', *Experimental and therapeutic medicine*, 19(3), pp. 1997-2007.

Hahladakis, J. N., lacovidou, E. and Gerassimidou, S. (2023) 'An overview of the occurrence, fate, and human risks of the bisphenol-A present in plastic materials, components, and products', *Integrated environmental assessment and management,* 19(1), pp. 45-62.

Halada, S., Casado-Medrano, V., Baran, J. A., Lee, J., Chinmay, P., Bauer, A. J. and Franco, A. T. (2022) 'Hormonal crosstalk between thyroid and breast cancer', *Endocrinology*, 163(7), pp. bqac075.

Halaoui, R., Rejon, C., Chatterjee, S. J., Szymborski, J., Meterissian, S., Muller, W. J., Omeroglu, A. and McCaffrey, L. (2017) 'Progressive polarity loss and luminal collapse disrupt tissue organization in carcinoma', *Genes & development*, 31(15), pp. 1573-1587.

Haldosén, L.-A., Zhao, C. and Dahlman-Wright, K. (2014) 'Estrogen receptor beta in breast cancer', *Molecular and cellular endocrinology*, 382(1), pp. 665-672.

Hamilton, K. J., Arao, Y. and Korach, K. S. (2014) 'Estrogen hormone physiology: reproductive findings from estrogen receptor mutant mice', *Reproductive biology*, 14(1), pp. 3-8.

Han, M. R., Zheng, W., Cai, Q., Gao, Y. T., Zheng, Y., Bolla, M. K., Michailidou, K., Dennis, J., Wang, Q., Dunning, A. M., Brennan, P., Chen, S. T., Choi, J. Y., Hartman, M., Ito, H., Lophatananon, A., Matsuo, K., Miao, H., Muir, K., Sangrajrang, S., Shen, C. Y., Teo, S. H., Tseng, C. C., Wu, A. H., Yip, C. H., Kang, D., Xiang, Y. B., Easton, D. F., Shu, X. O. and Long, J. (2017) 'Evaluating genetic variants associated with breast cancer risk in high and moderate-penetrance genes in Asians', *Carcinogenesis*, 38(5), pp. 511-518.

Hanahan, D. (2022) 'Hallmarks of cancer: new dimensions', *Cancer discovery*, 12(1), pp. 31-46.

Hanahan, D. and Weinberg, R. A. (2000) 'The hallmarks of cancer', *cell*, 100(1), pp. 57-70.

Hanahan, D. and Weinberg, R. A. (2011) 'Hallmarks of cancer: the next generation', *cell*, 144(5), pp. 646-674.

Hannah, V. C., Ou, J., Luong, A., Goldstein, J. L. and Brown, M. S. (2001) 'Unsaturated Fatty Acids Down-regulate SREBP Isoforms 1a and 1c by Two Mechanisms in HEK-293 Cells*', *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, 276(6), pp. 4365-4372.

Hao, J.-W., Wang, J., Guo, H., Zhao, Y.-Y., Sun, H.-H., Li, Y.-F., Lai, X.-Y., Zhao, N., Wang, X., Xie, C., Hong, L., Huang, X., Wang, H.-R., Li, C.-B., Liang, B., Chen, S. and

Zhao, T.-J. (2020) 'CD36 facilitates fatty acid uptake by dynamic palmitoylationregulated endocytosis', *Nature Communications*, 11(1), pp. 4765.

Hara, A., Endo, S., Matsunaga, T., Soda, M., Yashiro, K. and El-Kabbani, O. (2017) 'Long-chain fatty acids inhibit human members of the aldo-keto reductase 1C subfamily', *The Journal of Biochemistry*, 162(5), pp. 371-379.

Hayashi, M., Jono, H., Shinriki, S., Nakamura, T., Guo, J., Sueta, A., Tomiguchi, M., Fujiwara, S., Yamamoto-Ibusuki, M. and Murakami, K.-i. (2014) 'Clinical significance of CYLD downregulation in breast cancer', *Breast cancer research and treatment,* 143, pp. 447-457.

He, J., Wang, J., Li, S., Li, T., Chen, K. and Zhang, S. (2020) 'Hypoxia-inhibited miR-338-3p suppresses breast cancer progression by directly targeting ZEB2', *Cancer science*, 111(10), pp. 3550-3563.

He, Y., Peng, L., Zhang, W., Liu, C., Yang, Q., Zheng, S., Bao, M., Huang, Y. and Wu, K. (2018) 'Adipose tissue levels of polybrominated diphenyl ethers and breast cancer risk in Chinese women: A case–control study', *Environmental research,* 167, pp. 160-168.

Heath, A. K., Muller, D. C., Van Den Brandt, P. A., Papadimitriou, N., Critselis, E., Gunter, M., Vineis, P., Weiderpass, E., Fagherazzi, G. and Boeing, H. (2020) 'Nutrient-wide association study of 92 foods and nutrients and breast cancer risk', *Breast Cancer Research*, 22(1), pp. 1-12.

Hebner, C., Weaver, V. M. and Debnath, J. (2008) 'Modeling morphogenesis and oncogenesis in three-dimensional breast epithelial cultures', *Annu. Rev. Pathol. Mech. Dis.*, 3(1), pp. 313-339.

Hennighausen, L. and Robinson, G. W. (2001) 'Signaling pathways in mammary gland development', *Developmental cell*, 1(4), pp. 467-475.

Hermelink, R., Leitzmann, M. F., Markozannes, G., Tsilidis, K., Pukrop, T., Berger, F., Baurecht, H. and Jochem, C. (2022) 'Sedentary behavior and cancer-an umbrella review and meta-analysis', *Eur J Epidemiol,* 37(5), pp. 447-460.

Herrmann, K. and Jayne, K. (2019) *Animal experimentation: Working towards a paradigm change.* Brill.

Herschkowitz, J. I., Simin, K., Weigman, V. J., Mikaelian, I., Usary, J., Hu, Z., Rasmussen, K. E., Jones, L. P., Assefnia, S. and Chandrasekharan, S. (2007) 'Identification of conserved gene expression features between murine mammary carcinoma models and human breast tumors', *Genome biology*, 8, pp. 1-17.

Hewitt, S. C. and Korach, K. S. (2018) 'Estrogen receptors: new directions in the new millennium', *Endocrine reviews*, 39(5), pp. 664-675.

Hiatt, R. A., Porco, T. C., Liu, F., Balke, K., Balmain, A., Barlow, J., Braithwaite, D., Diez-Roux, A. V., Kushi, L. H. and Moasser, M. M. (2014) 'A multilevel model of postmenopausal breast cancer incidence', *Cancer Epidemiology, Biomarkers & Prevention*, 23(10), pp. 2078-2092.

Hickman, J. A., Graeser, R., de Hoogt, R., Vidic, S., Brito, C., Gutekunst, M. and van der Kuip, H. (2014) 'Three-dimensional models of cancer for pharmacology and cancer cell biology: capturing tumor complexity in vitro/ex vivo', *Biotechnology journal*, 9(9), pp. 1115-1128.

Hilton, H. N., Clarke, C. L. and Graham, J. D. (2018) 'Estrogen and progesterone signalling in the normal breast and its implications for cancer development', *Molecular and cellular endocrinology*, 466, pp. 2-14.

Hinck, L. and Näthke, I. (2014) 'Changes in cell and tissue organization in cancer of the breast and colon', *Current opinion in cell biology,* 26, pp. 87-95.

Hirao-Suzuki, M., Nagase, K., Suemori, T., Tsutsumi, K., Shigemori, E., Tanaka, M., Takiguchi, M., Sugihara, N., Yoshihara, S. i. and Takeda, S. (2021) '4-Methyl-2, 4-bis (4-hydroxyphenyl) pent-1-ene (MBP) targets estrogen receptor β , to evoke the resistance of human breast cancer MCF-7 cells to G-1, an agonist for G protein-coupled estrogen receptor 1', *Biological and Pharmaceutical Bulletin*, 44(10), pp. 1524-1529.

Hoffmann, F. and Kloas, W. (2016) 'p, p'-Dichlordiphenyldichloroethylene (p, p'-DDE) can elicit antiandrogenic and estrogenic modes of action in the amphibian Xenopus laevis', *Physiology & behavior*, 167, pp. 172-178.

Holmes, A. K., Koller, K. R., Kieszak, S. M., Sjodin, A., Calafat, A. M., Sacco, F. D., Varner, D. W., Lanier, A. P. and Rubin, C. H. (2014) 'Case–control study of breast cancer and exposure to synthetic environmental chemicals among Alaska Native women', *International journal of circumpolar health*, 73(1), pp. 25760.

Holmes, K., Williams, C. M., Chapman, E. A. and Cross, M. J. (2010) 'Detection of siRNA induced mRNA silencing by RT-qPCR: considerations for experimental design', *BMC research notes,* 3, pp. 1-5.

Hong, Z., Chang, L.-L., Fang-Jie, Y., Yan, H., Chen-Ming, Z., Tian-Yi, Z., Tao, Y., Mei-Dan, Y., Ji, C. and Qiao-Jun, H. (2018) 'AKR1C1 activates STAT3 to promote the metastasis of non-small cell lung cancer', *Theranostics*, 8(3), pp. 676. Hormones, E. and Group, B. C. C. (2011) 'Circulating sex hormones and breast cancer risk factors in postmenopausal women: reanalysis of 13 studies', *British journal of cancer*, 105(5), pp. 709.

Hoskins, L. M., Roy, K., Peters, J. A., Loud, J. T. and Greene, M. H. (2008) 'Disclosure of positive BRCA1/2-mutation status in young couples: The journey from uncertainty to bonding through partner support', *Families, Systems, & Health,* 26(3), pp. 296.

Houghton, S. C. and Hankinson, S. E. (2021) 'Cancer progress and priorities: breast cancer', *Cancer Epidemiology, Biomarkers & Prevention,* 30(5), pp. 822-844.

Houlston, R. S. and Peto, J. (2004) 'The search for low-penetrance cancer susceptibility alleles', *Oncogene*, 23(38), pp. 6471-6476.

Howdeshell, K. L., Wilson, V. S., Furr, J., Lambright, C. R., Rider, C. V., Blystone, C. R., Hotchkiss, A. K. and Gray Jr, L. E. (2008) 'A mixture of five phthalate esters inhibits fetal testicular testosterone production in the sprague-dawley rat in a cumulative, dose-additive manner', *Toxicological sciences*, 105(1), pp. 153-165.

Høyer, A. P., Jørgensen, T., Grandjean, P. and Hartvig, H. B. (2000) 'Repeated measurements of organochlorine exposure and breast cancer risk (Denmark)', *Cancer Causes & Control,* 11, pp. 177-184.

Hu, B., Zhong, L., Weng, Y., Peng, L., Huang, Y., Zhao, Y. and Liang, X.-J. (2020a) 'Therapeutic siRNA: state of the art', *Signal transduction and targeted therapy*, 5(1), pp. 101.

Hu, C., Hart, S. N., Gnanaolivu, R., Huang, H., Lee, K. Y., Na, J., Gao, C., Lilyquist, J., Yadav, S. and Boddicker, N. J. (2021) 'A population-based study of genes previously implicated in breast cancer', *New England Journal of Medicine*, 384(5), pp. 440-451.

Hu, G., Zhang, J., Zhou, X., Liu, J., Wang, Q. and Zhang, B. (2020b) 'Roles of estrogen receptor α and β in the regulation of proliferation in endometrial carcinoma', *Pathology-Research and Practice*, 216(10), pp. 153149.

Huang, R.-p., Liu, Z.-h., Yuan, S.-f., Yin, H., Dang, Z. and Wu, P.-x. (2017) 'Worldwide human daily intakes of bisphenol A (BPA) estimated from global urinary concentration data (2000–2016) and its risk analysis', *Environmental Pollution*, 230, pp. 143-152.

Huang, W., He, Y., Xiao, J., Huang, Y., Li, A., He, M. and Wu, K. (2019) 'Risk of breast cancer and adipose tissue concentrations of polychlorinated biphenyls and organochlorine pesticides: a hospital-based case-control study in Chinese women', *Environmental Science and Pollution Research,* 26, pp. 32128-32136.

Huber, A. H., Kampf, J. P., Kwan, T., Zhu, B. and Kleinfeld, A. M. (2006) 'Fatty acidspecific fluorescent probes and their use in resolving mixtures of unbound free fatty acids in equilibrium with albumin', *Biochemistry*, 45(48), pp. 14263-14274.

Hughes, C. S., Postovit, L. M. and Lajoie, G. A. (2010) 'Matrigel: a complex protein mixture required for optimal growth of cell culture', *Proteomics*, 10(9), pp. 1886-1890. Hume, S., Dianov, G. L. and Ramadan, K. (2020) 'A unified model for the G1/S cell cycle transition', *Nucleic acids research*, 48(22), pp. 12483-12501.

Husby, A., Wohlfahrt, J., Øyen, N. and Melbye, M. (2018) 'Pregnancy duration and breast cancer risk', *Nature communications*, 9(1), pp. 4255.

Huybrechts, I., Jacobs, I., Aglago, E. K., Yammine, S., Matta, M., Schmidt, J. A., Casagrande, C., Nicolas, G., Biessy, C. and Van Puyvelde, H. (2023) 'Associations between fatty acid intakes and plasma phospholipid fatty acid concentrations in the European Prospective Investigation into Cancer and Nutrition', *Nutrients*, 15(17), pp. 3695.

Ichimiya, T., Yamakawa, T., Hirano, T., Yokoyama, Y., Hayashi, Y., Hirayama, D., Wagatsuma, K., Itoi, T. and Nakase, H. (2020) 'Autophagy and autophagy-related diseases: a review', *International journal of molecular sciences*, 21(23), pp. 8974.

Ikari, R., Mukaisho, K.-i., Kageyama, S., Nagasawa, M., Kubota, S., Nakayama, T., Murakami, S., Taniura, N., Tanaka, H. and Kushima, R. P. (2021) 'Differences in the central energy metabolism of cancer cells between conventional 2D and novel 3D culture systems', *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*, 22(4), pp. 1805.

Imamura, Y., Mukohara, T., Shimono, Y., Funakoshi, Y., Chayahara, N., Toyoda, M., Kiyota, N., Takao, S., Kono, S. and Nakatsura, T. (2015) 'Comparison of 2D-and 3D-culture models as drug-testing platforms in breast cancer', *Oncology reports,* 33(4), pp. 1837-1843.

Ingber, S. Z., Buser, M. C., Pohl, H. R., Abadin, H. G., Murray, H. E. and Scinicariello, F. (2013) 'DDT/DDE and breast cancer: A meta-analysis', *Regulatory Toxicology and Pharmacology*, 67(3), pp. 421-433.

Innis, S. M. (2016) 'Palmitic acid in early human development', *Critical reviews in food science and nutrition,* 56(12), pp. 1952-1959.

Inoue, K. and Fry, E. A. (2015) 'Aberrant expression of cyclin D1 in cancer', *Signal transduction insights,* 4, pp. STI. S30306.

Insua-Rodríguez, J. and Oskarsson, T. (2016) 'The extracellular matrix in breast cancer', *Advanced drug delivery reviews*, 97, pp. 41-55.

International, W. C. R. F. (2018) *Diet, nutrition, physical activity and cancer: a global perspective: a summary of the Third Expert Report.* World Cancer Research Fund International.

lodice, S., Barile, M., Rotmensz, N., Feroce, I., Bonanni, B., Radice, P., Bernard, L., Maisonneuve, P. and Gandini, S. (2010) 'Oral contraceptive use and breast or ovarian cancer risk in BRCA1/2 carriers: a meta-analysis', *European journal of cancer,* 46(12), pp. 2275-2284.

Ishibashi, Y., Ohtsu, H., Ikemura, M., Kikuchi, Y., Niwa, T., Nishioka, K., Uchida, Y., Miura, H., Aikou, S. and Gunji, T. 2017. Serum TFF1 and TFF3 but not TFF2 are higher in women with breast cancer than in women without breast cancer. Sci Rep 7 (1): 4846.

Itah, Z., Chaudhry, S., Raju Ponny, S., Aydemir, O., Lee, A., Cavanagh-Kyros, J., Tournier, C., Muller, W. J. and Davis, R. J. (2023) 'HER2-driven breast cancer suppression by the JNK signaling pathway', *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 120(4), pp. e2218373120.

Itoh, H., Iwasaki, M., Hanaoka, T., Kasuga, Y., Yokoyama, S., Onuma, H., Nishimura, H., Kusama, R. and Tsugane, S. (2009) 'Serum organochlorines and breast cancer risk in Japanese women: a case–control study', *Cancer Causes & Control,* 20, pp. 567-580.

Iwasaki, M., Inoue, M., Sasazuki, S., Kurahashi, N., Itoh, H., Usuda, M., Tsugane, S. and Group, J. P. H. C.-b. P. S. (2008) 'Plasma organochlorine levels and subsequent risk of breast cancer among Japanese women: a nested case–control study', *Science of the total environment,* 402(2-3), pp. 176-183.

Iwase, T., Wang, X., Shrimanker, T. V., Kolonin, M. G. and Ueno, N. T. (2021) 'Body composition and breast cancer risk and treatment: mechanisms and impact', *Breast cancer research and treatment*, 186, pp. 273-283.

Jabłońska-Trypuć, A., Matejczyk, M. and Rosochacki, S. (2016) 'Matrix metalloproteinases (MMPs), the main extracellular matrix (ECM) enzymes in collagen degradation, as a target for anticancer drugs', *Journal of enzyme inhibition and medicinal chemistry*, 31(sup1), pp. 177-183.

Jackson, K. G., Newens, K. J., Fry, M. J., Thompson, A. K. and Williams, C. M. (2022) 'Differential effects of single fatty acids and fatty acid mixtures on the phosphoinositide 3-kinase/Akt/eNOS pathway in endothelial cells', *European Journal of Nutrition*, 61(5), pp. 2463-2473.

247

Jäger, T., Bader, M., Sander, K., Blümlein, K., Göen, T., Hartwig, A., Arand, M. and Commission, M. (2023) 'Bisphenol A, bisphenol F, and bisphenol S–Determination of bisphenol A, bisphenol F, and bisphenol S in urine by UPLC-ESI-MS/MS', *The MAK Collection for Occupational Health and Safety*, 8(2), pp. Doc052.

Jain, R., Chittiboyina, S., Chang, C.-L., Lelièvre, S. A. and Savran, C. A. (2020) 'Deterministic culturing of single cells in 3D', *Scientific reports,* 10(1), pp. 10805.

Jamka, M., Kurek, S., Makarewicz-Bukowska, A., Miśkiewicz-Chotnicka, A., Wasiewicz-Gajdzis, M. and Walkowiak, J. (2024) 'No Differences in Urine Bisphenol A Concentrations between Subjects Categorized with Normal Cognitive Function and Mild Cognitive Impairment Based on Montreal Cognitive Assessment Scores', *Metabolites*, 14(5), pp. 271.

Jatkowska, N., Kudłak, B., Lewandowska, P., Liu, W., Williams, M. J. and Schiöth, H. B. (2021) 'Identification of synergistic and antagonistic actions of environmental pollutants: Bisphenols A, S and F in the presence of DEP, DBP, BADGE and BADGE[.] 2HCI in three component mixtures', *Science of the Total Environment,* 767, pp. 144286.

Javed, A. and Lteif, A. 'Development of the human breast'. *Seminars in plastic surgery*: Thieme Medical Publishers, 005-012.

Jenkins, S., Kachur, M. E., Rechache, K., Wells, J. M. and Lipkowitz, S. (2021) 'Rare breast cancer subtypes', *Current oncology reports,* 23, pp. 1-14.

Jenkins, S., Wang, J., Eltoum, I., Desmond, R. and Lamartiniere, C. A. (2011) 'Chronic oral exposure to bisphenol A results in a nonmonotonic dose response in mammary carcinogenesis and metastasis in MMTV-erbB2 mice', *Environmental health perspectives*, 119(11), pp. 1604-1609.

Jensen, B., Skouv, J., Lundholt, B. and Lykkesfeldt, A. (1999) 'Differential regulation of specific genes in MCF-7 and the ICI 182780-resistant cell line MCF-7/182R-6', *British journal of cancer*, 79(3), pp. 386-392.

Jia, M., Dahlman-Wright, K. and Gustafsson, J.-Å. (2015) 'Estrogen receptor alpha and beta in health and disease', *Best practice* & *research Clinical endocrinology* & *metabolism*, 29(4), pp. 557-568.

Jia, Z., Huang, Y., Liu, J., Liu, G., Li, J., Xu, H., Jiang, Y., Zhang, S., Wang, Y., Chen, G., Qiao, G. and Li, Y. (2023) 'Single nucleotide polymorphisms associated with female breast cancer susceptibility in Chinese population', *Gene*, 884, pp. 147676.

Jiang, S., Bennett, D. L., Rosner, B. A. and Colditz, G. A. (2023) 'Longitudinal analysis of change in mammographic density in each breast and its association with breast cancer risk', *JAMA oncology*, 9(6), pp. 808-814.

Jiang, Y., Li, L.-T., Hou, S.-H., Chen, L.-N. and Zhang, C.-X. (2024) 'Association between dietary intake of saturated fatty acid subgroups and breast cancer risk', *Food & Function*, 15(4), pp. 2282-2294.

Johnson, D. G. and Walker, C. L. (1999) 'Cyclins and cell cycle checkpoints', *Annual review of pharmacology and toxicology*, 39(1), pp. 295-312.

Johnson, N. A., Ho, A., Cline, J. M., Hughes, C. L., Foster, W. G. and Davis, V. L. (2012) 'Accelerated mammary tumor onset in a HER2/Neu mouse model exposed to DDT metabolites locally delivered to the mammary gland', *Environmental health perspectives*, 120(8), pp. 1170-1176.

Jones, L., Tilli, M., Assefnia, S., Torre, K., Halama, E., Parrish, A., Rosen, E. and Furth, P. (2008) 'Activation of estrogen signaling pathways collaborates with loss of Brca1 to promote development of ER α -negative and ER α -positive mammary preneoplasia and cancer', *Oncogene*, 27(6), pp. 794-802.

Jones, L. P., Sampson, A., Kang, H. J., Kim, H. J., Yi, Y.-W., Kwon, S. Y., Babus, J. K., Wang, A. and Bae, I. (2010) 'Loss of BRCA1 leads to an increased sensitivity to Bisphenol A', *Toxicology letters*, 199(3), pp. 261-268.

Jones, M. E., Schoemaker, M. J., Wright, L. B., Ashworth, A. and Swerdlow, A. J. (2017) 'Smoking and risk of breast cancer in the Generations Study cohort', *Breast Cancer Research*, 19, pp. 1-14.

Jones, R., Campbell, C., Gunther, E., Chodosh, L., Petrik, J., Khokha, R. and Moorehead, R. (2007) 'Transgenic overexpression of IGF-IR disrupts mammary ductal morphogenesis and induces tumor formation', *Oncogene*, 26(11), pp. 1636-1644.

Jonkers, N., Kohler, H.-P. E., Dammshäuser, A. and Giger, W. (2009) 'Mass flows of endocrine disruptors in the Glatt River during varying weather conditions', *Environmental pollution*, 157(3), pp. 714-723.

Jordahl, K. M., Malone, K. E., Baglia, M. L., Flanagan, M. R., Tang, M.-T. C., Porter, P. L. and Li, C. I. (2022) 'Alcohol consumption, smoking, and invasive breast cancer risk after ductal carcinoma in situ', *Breast Cancer Research and Treatment,* 193(2), pp. 477-484.

Joyce, R., Pascual, R., Heitink, L., Capaldo, B. D., Vaillant, F., Christie, M., Tsai, M., Surgenor, E., Anttila, C. J. and Rajasekhar, P. (2024) 'Identification of aberrant luminal progenitors and mTORC1 as a potential breast cancer prevention target in BRCA2 mutation carriers', *Nature Cell Biology*, 26(1), pp. 138-152.

Kadota, M., Yang, H. H., Gomez, B., Sato, M., Clifford, R. J., Meerzaman, D., Dunn, B. K., Wakefield, L. M. and Lee, M. P. (2010) 'Delineating genetic alterations for tumor progression in the MCF10A series of breast cancer cell lines', *PloS one,* 5(2), pp. e9201.

Kale, J., Osterlund, E. J. and Andrews, D. W. (2018) 'BCL-2 family proteins: changing partners in the dance towards death', *Cell Death & Differentiation*, 25(1), pp. 65-80.

Kalish, B. T., Fallon, E. M. and Puder, M. (2012) 'A tutorial on fatty acid biology', *Journal* of *Parenteral and Enteral Nutrition*, 36(4), pp. 380-388.

Kalkhoven, E., Kwakkenbos-Isbrücker, L., de Laat, S. W., van der Saag, P. T. and van der Burg, B. (1994) 'Synthetic progestins induce proliferation of breast tumor cell lines via the progesterone or estrogen receptor', *Molecular and cellular endocrinology,* 102(1-2), pp. 45-52.

Kallio, H., Martinez, A. R., Hilvo, M., Hyrskyluoto, A. and Parkkila, S. (2010) 'Cancerassociated carbonic anhydrases IX and XII: effect of growth factors on gene expression in human cancer cell lines', *J. Cancer Mol*, 5, pp. 73-78.

Kanasty, R., Dorkin, J. R., Vegas, A. and Anderson, D. (2013) 'Delivery materials for siRNA therapeutics', *Nature materials*, 12(11), pp. 967-977.

Kanaya, N., Bernal, L., Chang, G., Yamamoto, T., Nguyen, D., Wang, Y.-Z., Park, J.-S., Warden, C., Wang, J. and Wu, X. (2019) 'Molecular mechanisms of polybrominated diphenyl ethers (BDE-47, BDE-100, and BDE-153) in human breast cancer cells and patient-derived xenografts', *Toxicological Sciences*, 169(2), pp. 380-398.

Kang, M.-H., Eyun, S.-i. and Park, Y.-Y. (2021) 'Estrogen-related receptor-gamma influences Helicobacter pylori infection by regulating TFF1 in gastric cancer', *Biochemical and Biophysical Research Communications*, 563, pp. 15-22.

Kapałczyńska, M., Kolenda, T., Przybyła, W., Zajączkowska, M., Teresiak, A., Filas, V., Ibbs, M., Bliźniak, R., Łuczewski, Ł. and Lamperska, K. (2018) '2D and 3D cell cultures–a comparison of different types of cancer cell cultures', *Archives of Medical Science*, 14(4), pp. 910-919.

Karamanos, N. K., Theocharis, A. D., Piperigkou, Z., Manou, D., Passi, A., Skandalis, S. S., Vynios, D. H., Orian-Rousseau, V., Ricard-Blum, S. and Schmelzer, C. E. (2021) 'A guide to the composition and functions of the extracellular matrix', *The FEBS journal*, 288(24), pp. 6850-6912.

Kariagina, A., Morozova, E., Hoshyar, R., Aupperlee, M. D., Borin, M. A., Haslam, S. Z. and Schwartz, R. C. (2020) 'Benzophenone-3 promotion of mammary tumorigenesis is diet-dependent', *Oncotarget,* 11(48), pp. 4465.

Karihtala, P., Auvinen, P., Kauppila, S., Haapasaari, K.-M., Jukkola-Vuorinen, A. and Soini, Y. (2013) 'Vimentin, zeb1 and Sip1 are up-regulated in triple-negative and basallike breast cancers: association with an aggressive tumour phenotype', *Breast cancer research and treatment,* 138, pp. 81-90.

Kashyap, D., Garg, V. K. and Goel, N. (2021) 'Intrinsic and extrinsic pathways of apoptosis: Role in cancer development and prognosis', *Advances in protein chemistry and structural biology,* 125, pp. 73-120.

Katz, E., Dubois-Marshall, S., Sims, A. H., Gautier, P., Caldwell, H., Meehan, R. R. and Harrison, D. J. (2011) 'An in vitro model that recapitulates the epithelial to mesenchymal transition (EMT) in human breast cancer', *PloS one,* 6(2), pp. e17083.

Kaur, G. and Dufour, J. M. 2012. Cell lines: Valuable tools or useless artifacts. Taylor & Francis.

Kerdivel, G., Le Guevel, R., Habauzit, D., Brion, F., Ait-Aissa, S. and Pakdel, F. (2013) 'Estrogenic potency of benzophenone UV filters in breast cancer cells: proliferative and transcriptional activity substantiated by docking analysis', *PLoS One,* 8(4), pp. e60567.

Kerslake, R., Belay, B., Panfilov, S., Hall, M., Kyrou, I., Randeva, H. S., Hyttinen, J., Karteris, E. and Sisu, C. (2023) 'Transcriptional landscape of 3D vs. 2D ovarian cancer cell models', *Cancers*, 15(13), pp. 3350.

Kesireddy, M., Elsayed, L., Shostrom, V. K., Agarwal, P., Asif, S., Yellala, A. and Krishnamurthy, J. (2024) 'Overall Survival and Prognostic Factors in Metastatic Triple-Negative Breast Cancer: A National Cancer Database Analysis', *Cancers (Basel)*, 16(10).

Kezios, K. L., Liu, X., Cirillo, P. M., Cohn, B. A., Kalantzi, O. I., Wang, Y., Petreas, M. X., Park, J.-S. and Factor-Litvak, P. (2013) 'Dichlorodiphenyltrichloroethane (DDT), DDT metabolites and pregnancy outcomes', *Reproductive toxicology*, 35, pp. 156-164.
Khalid, A. B. and Krum, S. A. (2016) 'Estrogen receptors alpha and beta in bone', *Bone*, 87, pp. 130-135.

Khan, A., Aljarbou, A. N., Aldebasi, Y. H., Faisal, S. M. and Khan, M. A. (2014) 'Resveratrol suppresses the proliferation of breast cancer cells by inhibiting fatty acid synthase signaling pathway', *Cancer epidemiology*, 38(6), pp. 765-772.

Khan, A. A., Alanazi, A. M., Jabeen, M., Chauhan, A. and Abdelhameed, A. S. (2013) 'Design, synthesis and in vitro anticancer evaluation of a stearic acid-based ester conjugate', *Anticancer research*, 33(6), pp. 2517-2524.

Khan, N. G., Correia, J., Adiga, D., Rai, P. S., Dsouza, H. S., Chakrabarty, S. and Kabekkodu, S. P. (2021a) 'A comprehensive review on the carcinogenic potential of bisphenol A: clues and evidence', *Environmental Science and Pollution Research*, 28(16), pp. 19643-19663.

Khan, S. A., Hernandez-Villafuerte, K. V., Muchadeyi, M. T. and Schlander, M. (2021b) 'Cost-effectiveness of risk-based breast cancer screening: A systematic review', *International journal of cancer*, 149(4), pp. 790-810.

Khanna, S., Dash, P. R. and Darbre, P. D. (2014) 'Exposure to parabens at the concentration of maximal proliferative response increases migratory and invasive activity of human breast cancer cells in vitro', *Journal of Applied Toxicology*, 34(9), pp. 1051-1059.

Khatpe, A. S., Adebayo, A. K., Herodotou, C. A., Kumar, B. and Nakshatri, H. (2021) 'Nexus between PI3K/AKT and estrogen receptor signaling in breast cancer', *Cancers*, 13(3), pp. 369.

Kim, H., Kim, H. S., Piao, Y. J. and Moon, W. K. (2019) 'Bisphenol A promotes the invasive and metastatic potential of ductal carcinoma in situ and protumorigenic polarization of macrophages', *Toxicological Sciences*, 170(2), pp. 283-295.

Kim, H. S. and Lee, B.-M. (2017) 'Mutagenicity and carcinogenicity: Human reproductive cancer and risk factors', *Reproductive and Developmental Toxicology*: Elsevier, pp. 1123-1138.

Kim, J., Johnson, L., Skrzynia, C., Buchanan, A., Gracia, C. and Mersereau, J. (2015) 'Prospective multicenter cohort study of estrogen and insulin-like growth factor system in BRCA mutation carriers', *Cancer causes & control,* 26, pp. 1087-1092.

Kim, S., Min, S., Choi, Y. S., Jo, S.-H., Jung, J. H., Han, K., Kim, J., An, S., Ji, Y. W. and Kim, Y.-G. (2022) 'Tissue extracellular matrix hydrogels as alternatives to Matrigel for culturing gastrointestinal organoids', *Nature communications*, 13(1), pp. 1692.

Kim, Y. E., Jeon, H. J., Kim, D., Lee, S. Y., Kim, K. Y., Hong, J., Maeng, P. J., Kim, K.-R. and Kang, D. (2018) 'Quantitative proteomic analysis of 2D and 3D cultured colorectal cancer cells: profiling of tankyrase inhibitor XAV939-induced proteome', *Scientific Reports*, 8(1), pp. 13255. Kind, S., Castillo, C. P., Schlichter, R., Gorbokon, N., Lennartz, M., Hornsteiner, L. S., Dwertmann Rico, S., Reiswich, V., Viehweger, F. and Kluth, M. (2024) 'KLK7 expression in human tumors: a tissue microarray study on 13,447 tumors', *BMC cancer*, 24(1), pp. 794.

King, M.-C., Marks, J. H. and Mandell, J. B. (2003) 'Breast and ovarian cancer risks due to inherited mutations in BRCA1 and BRCA2', *Science*, 302(5645), pp. 643-646. King, T. A., Li, W., Brogi, E., Yee, C. J., Gemignani, M. L., Olvera, N., Levine, D. A., Norton, L., Robson, M. E. and Offit, K. (2007) 'Heterogenic loss of the wild-type BRCA allele in human breast tumorigenesis', *Annals of surgical oncology*, 14, pp. 2510-2518. Kirman, C. R., Aylward, L. L., Hays, S. M., Krishnan, K. and Nong, A. (2011) 'Biomonitoring equivalents for DDT/DDE', *Regulatory Toxicology and Pharmacology*, 60(2), pp. 172-180.

Knower, K. C., To, S. Q., Leung, Y.-K., Ho, S.-M. and Clyne, C. D. (2014) 'Endocrine disruption of the epigenome: a breast cancer link', *Endocrine-related cancer*, 21(2), pp. T33.

Knudson Jr, A. G. (1971) 'Mutation and cancer: statistical study of retinoblastoma', *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences,* 68(4), pp. 820-823.

Kong, W., He, L., Richards, E., Challa, S., Xu, C., Permuth-Wey, J., Lancaster, J., Coppola, D., Sellers, T. and Djeu, J. (2014) 'Upregulation of miRNA-155 promotes tumour angiogenesis by targeting VHL and is associated with poor prognosis and triple-negative breast cancer', *Oncogene*, 33(6), pp. 679-689.

Konishi, H., Mohseni, M., Tamaki, A., Garay, J. P., Croessmann, S., Karnan, S., Ota, A., Wong, H. Y., Konishi, Y. and Karakas, B. (2011) 'Mutation of a single allele of the cancer susceptibility gene BRCA1 leads to genomic instability in human breast epithelial cells', *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 108(43), pp. 17773-17778.

Kortenkamp, A. (2006) 'Breast cancer, oestrogens and environmental pollutants: a reevaluation from a mixture perspective', *International journal of andrology*, 29(1), pp. 193-198.

Kortenkamp, A. (2008) 'Low dose mixture effects of endocrine disrupters: implications for risk assessment and epidemiology', *International journal of andrology*, 31(2), pp. 233-240.

Kortenkamp, A. and Altenburger, R. (1999) 'Approaches to assessing combination effects of oestrogenic environmental pollutants', *Science of the Total Environment,* 233(1-3), pp. 131-140.

Kortenkamp, A., Backhaus, T. and Faust, M. (2009) 'State of the Art Report on Mixture Toxicity—Final Report, Executive Summary', *University of London School of Pharmacy, London, UK*.

Kortenkamp, A., Scholze, M. and Ermler, S. (2014) 'Mind the gap: can we explain declining male reproductive health with known antiandrogens?', *Reproduction (Cambridge, England),* 147(4), pp. 515.

Korzets, Y., Yariv, O., Mutai, R., Moore, A., Shochat, T., Yerushalmi, R. and Goldvaser, H. (2021) 'The impact of endogenous estrogen exposures on the characteristics and outcomes of estrogen receptor positive, early breast cancer', *Discover Oncology*, 12(1), pp. 26.

Koual, M., Tomkiewicz, C., Cano-Sancho, G., Antignac, J.-P., Bats, A.-S. and Coumoul, X. (2020) 'Environmental chemicals, breast cancer progression and drug resistance', *Environmental Health*, 19, pp. 1-25.

Koundouros, N. and Poulogiannis, G. (2020) 'Reprogramming of fatty acid metabolism in cancer', *British journal of cancer*, 122(1), pp. 4-22.

Koureas, M., Rousou, X., Haftiki, H., Mouchtouri, V., Rachiotis, G., Rakitski, V., Tsakalof, A. and Hadjichristodoulou, C. (2019) 'Spatial and temporal distribution of p, p'-DDE (1-dichloro-2, 2-bis (p-chlorophenyl) ethylene) blood levels across the globe. A systematic review and meta-analysis', *Science of the total environment,* 686, pp. 440-451.

Kovats, S. (2015) 'Estrogen receptors regulate innate immune cells and signaling pathways', *Cellular immunology*, 294(2), pp. 63-69.

Kraemer, F. B. and Shen, W.-J. (2002) 'Hormone-sensitive lipase', *Journal of lipid research*, 43(10), pp. 1585-1594.

Krigbaum, N. Y., Cirillo, P. M., Flom, J. D., McDonald, J. A., Terry, M. B. and Cohn, B. A. (2020) 'In utero DDT exposure and breast density before age 50', *Reproductive Toxicology*, 92, pp. 85-90.

Krishnan, R., Patel, P. S. and Hakem, R. (2021) 'BRCA1 and metastasis: outcome of defective DNA repair', *Cancers*, 14(1), pp. 108.

Krogager, T. P., Nielsen, L. V., Kahveci, D., Dyrlund, T. F., Scavenius, C., Sanggaard, K. W. and Enghild, J. J. (2015) 'Hepatocytes respond differently to major dietary trans

fatty acid isomers, elaidic acid and trans-vaccenic acid', *Proteome Science*, 13(1), pp. 1-14.

Kuchenbaecker, K. B., Hopper, J. L., Barnes, D. R., Phillips, K.-A., Mooij, T. M., Roos-Blom, M.-J., Jervis, S., Van Leeuwen, F. E., Milne, R. L. and Andrieu, N. (2017) 'Risks of breast, ovarian, and contralateral breast cancer for BRCA1 and BRCA2 mutation carriers', *Jama*, 317(23), pp. 2402-2416.

Kuganesan, N., Dlamini, S., Tillekeratne, L. V. and Taylor, W. R. (2021) 'Tumor suppressor p53 promotes ferroptosis in oxidative stress conditions independent of modulation of ferroptosis by p21, CDKs, RB, and E2F', *Journal of Biological Chemistry*, 297(6).

Kuhnt, K., Baehr, M., Rohrer, C. and Jahreis, G. (2011) 'Trans fatty acid isomers and the trans-9/trans-11 index in fat containing foods', *European Journal of Lipid Science and Technology*, 113(10), pp. 1281-1292.

Kuhnt, K., Degen, C. and Jahreis, G. (2016) 'Evaluation of the impact of ruminant trans fatty acids on human health: important aspects to consider', *Critical Reviews in Food Science and Nutrition*, 56(12), pp. 1964-1980.

Kunz, P. Y. and Fent, K. (2006) 'Estrogenic activity of UV filter mixtures', *Toxicology* and applied pharmacology, 217(1), pp. 86-99.

Kunz, P. Y., Gries, T. and Fent, K. (2006) 'The ultraviolet filter 3-benzylidene camphor adversely affects reproduction in fathead minnow (Pimephales promelas)', *Toxicological sciences*, 93(2), pp. 311-321.

Kuperwasser, C., Chavarria, T., Wu, M., Magrane, G., Gray, J. W., Carey, L., Richardson, A. and Weinberg, R. A. (2004) 'Reconstruction of functionally normal and malignant human breast tissues in mice', *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 101(14), pp. 4966-4971.

Kurimchak, A. and Graña, X. (2015) 'PP2A: more than a reset switch to activate pRB proteins during the cell cycle and in response to signaling cues', *Cell cycle*, 14(1), pp. 18-30.

Kusakabe, T., Maeda, M., Hoshi, N., Sugino, T., Watanabe, K., Fukuda, T. and Suzuki, T. (2000) 'Fatty acid synthase is expressed mainly in adult hormone-sensitive cells or cells with high lipid metabolism and in proliferating fetal Cells1', *Journal of Histochemistry & Cytochemistry*, 48(5), pp. 613-622.

Kwon, Y. (2016) 'Effect of trans-fatty acids on lipid metabolism: Mechanisms for their adverse health effects', *Food Reviews International*, 32(3), pp. 323-339.

Lakhani, S. R., Ellis, I. O., Schnitt, S., Tan, P. H. and van de Vijver, M. (2012) 'WHO Classification of Tumours of the Breast'.

Lampidonis, A. D., Rogdakis, E., Voutsinas, G. E. and Stravopodis, D. J. (2011) 'The resurgence of Hormone-Sensitive Lipase (HSL) in mammalian lipolysis', *Gene*, 477(1-2), pp. 1-11.

Lange, C., Kuch, B. and Metzger, J. W. (2015) 'Occurrence and fate of synthetic musk fragrances in a small German river', *Journal of hazardous materials,* 282, pp. 34-40.

Langhans, S. A. (2018) 'Three-dimensional in vitro cell culture models in drug discovery and drug repositioning', *Frontiers in pharmacology,* 9, pp. 6.

LaPensee, E. W., Tuttle, T. R., Fox, S. R. and Ben-Jonathan, N. (2009) 'Bisphenol A at low nanomolar doses confers chemoresistance in estrogen receptor- α -positive and-negative breast cancer cells', *Environmental health perspectives*, 117(2), pp. 175-180.

Lasch, A., Lichtenstein, D., Marx-Stoelting, P., Braeuning, A. and Alarcan, J. (2020) 'Mixture effects of chemicals: the difficulty to choose appropriate mathematical models for appropriate conclusions', *Environmental Pollution*, 260, pp. 113953.

Lazarevic, N., Barnett, A. G., Sly, P. D. and Knibbs, L. D. (2019) 'Statistical methodology in studies of prenatal exposure to mixtures of endocrine-disrupting chemicals: a review of existing approaches and new alternatives', *Environmental health perspectives*, 127(2), pp. 026001.

Le, M. C. N., Xu, K., Wang, Z., Beverung, S., Steward, R. L. and Florczyk, S. J. (2021) 'Evaluation of the effect of 3D porous Chitosan-alginate scaffold stiffness on breast cancer proliferation and migration', *Journal of Biomedical Materials Research Part A*, 109(10), pp. 1990-2000.

Lee, H.-R., Kim, T.-H. and Choi, K.-C. (2012) 'Functions and physiological roles of two types of estrogen receptors, ER α and ER β , identified by estrogen receptor knockout mouse', *Laboratory animal research*, 28(2), pp. 71-76.

Lee, M., Vecchio-Pagán, B., Sharma, N., Waheed, A., Li, X., Raraigh, K. S., Robbins, S., Han, S. T., Franca, A. L. and Pellicore, M. J. (2016) 'Loss of carbonic anhydrase XII function in individuals with elevated sweat chloride concentration and pulmonary airway disease', *Human molecular genetics*, 25(10), pp. 1923-1933.

Lee, S.-Y., Hwang, H. J., Ku, B. and Lee, D. W. (2022) 'Cell proliferation receptorenhanced 3D high-throughput screening model for optimized drug efficacy evaluation in Breast Cancer cells', *Analytical Chemistry*, 94(34), pp. 11838-11847. Lee, Y.-R., Park, J., Yu, H.-N., Kim, J.-S., Youn, H. J. and Jung, S. H. (2005) 'Upregulation of PI3K/Akt signaling by 17β-estradiol through activation of estrogen receptor-α, but not estrogen receptor-β, and stimulates cell growth in breast cancer cells', *Biochemical and biophysical research communications*, 336(4), pp. 1221-1226. Lei, B., Sun, S., Zhang, X., Feng, C., Xu, J., Wen, Y., Huang, Y., Wu, M. and Yu, Y. (2019) 'Bisphenol AF exerts estrogenic activity in MCF-7 cells through activation of Erk and PI3K/Akt signals via GPER signaling pathway', *Chemosphere*, 220, pp. 362-370. Leung, Y.-K., Biesiada, J., Govindarajah, V., Ying, J., Kendler, A., Medvedovic, M. and Ho, S.-M. (2020) 'Low-dose bisphenol a in a rat model of endometrial cancer: a CLARITY-BPA study', *Environmental Health Perspectives*, 128(12), pp. 127005.

Lewis, M. J., Wiebe, J. P. and Heathcote, J. G. (2004) 'Expression of progesterone metabolizing enzyme genes (AKR1C1, AKR1C2, AKR1C3, SRD5A1, SRD5A2) is altered in human breast carcinoma', *BMC cancer*, 4(1), pp. 1-12.

Li, C., Zhao, X., Toline, E. C., Siegal, G. P., Evans, L. M., Ibrahim-Hashim, A., Desmond, R. A. and Hardy, R. W. (2011) 'Prevention of carcinogenesis and inhibition of breast cancer tumor burden by dietary stearate', *Carcinogenesis*, 32(8), pp. 1251-1258.

Li, H., Terry, M. B., Antoniou, A. C., Phillips, K.-A., Kast, K., Mooij, T. M., Engel, C., Noguès, C., Stoppa-Lyonnet, D. and Lasset, C. (2020a) 'Alcohol consumption, cigarette smoking, and risk of breast cancer for BRCA1 and BRCA2 mutation carriers: results from The BRCA1 and BRCA2 Cohort Consortium', *Cancer Epidemiology, Biomarkers & Prevention*, 29(2), pp. 368-378.

Li, P., Tian, W. and Ma, X. (2014) 'Alpha-mangostin inhibits intracellular fatty acid synthase and induces apoptosis in breast cancer cells', *Molecular cancer*, 13, pp. 1-11.

Li, W., Wang, S., Li, J., Wang, X., Cui, L., Chen, J. and Liu, Z. (2020b) 'Antioxidative enzyme activities in the Rhodeinae sinensis Gunther and Macrobrachium nipponense and multi-endpoint assessment under tonalide exposure', *Ecotoxicology and Environmental Safety*, 199, pp. 110751.

Li, W., Xiao, C., Vonderhaar, B. and Deng, C. (2007) 'A role of estrogen/ERα signaling in BRCA1-associated tissue-specific tumor formation', *Oncogene*, 26(51), pp. 7204-7212.

Li, X., He, S. and Ma, B. (2020) 'Autophagy and autophagy-related proteins in cancer', *Molecular cancer*, 19(1), pp. 12.

Li, X., Zhao, X., Xie, L., Song, X. and Song, X. (2024) 'Identification of four snoRNAs (SNORD16, SNORA73B, SCARNA4, and SNORD49B) as novel non-invasive biomarkers for diagnosis of breast cancer', *Cancer Cell International*, 24(1), pp. 55.

Liang, H., Zhou, G., Lv, L., Lu, J. and Peng, J. (2021) 'KRAS expression is a prognostic indicator and associated with immune infiltration in breast cancer', *Breast Cancer*, 28(2), pp. 379-386.

Liang, Y., Han, H., Liu, L., Duan, Y., Yang, X., Ma, C., Zhu, Y., Han, J., Li, X. and Chen, Y. (2018) 'CD36 plays a critical role in proliferation, migration and tamoxifen-inhibited growth of ER-positive breast cancer cells', *Oncogenesis*, 7(12), pp. 98.

Ligorio, F., Di Cosimo, S., Verderio, P., Ciniselli, C. M., Pizzamiglio, S., Castagnoli, L., Dugo, M., Galbardi, B., Salgado, R. and Loi, S. (2022) 'Predictive role of CD36 expression in HER2-positive breast cancer patients receiving neoadjuvant trastuzumab', *JNCI: Journal of the National Cancer Institute*, 114(12), pp. 1720-1727. Ligorio, F., Pellegrini, I., Castagnoli, L., Vingiani, A., Lobefaro, R., Zattarin, E., Santamaria, M., Pupa, S. M., Pruneri, G. and de Braud, F. (2021) 'Targeting lipid metabolism is an emerging strategy to enhance the efficacy of anti-HER2 therapies in HER2-positive breast cancer', *Cancer Letters*, 511, pp. 77-87.

Liguori, M., Digifico, E., Vacchini, A., Avigni, R., Colombo, F., Borroni, E., Farina, F., Milanesi, S., Castagna, A. and Mannarino, L. (2021) 'The soluble glycoprotein NMB (GPNMB) produced by macrophages induces cancer stemness and metastasis via CD44 and IL-33', *Cellular & molecular immunology*, 18(3), pp. 711-722.

Lilyquist, J., Ruddy, K. J., Vachon, C. M. and Couch, F. J. (2018) 'Common genetic variation and breast cancer risk—past, present, and future', *Cancer Epidemiology, Biomarkers & Prevention*, 27(4), pp. 380-394.

Lima, S. M., Kehm, R. D., Swett, K., Gonsalves, L. and Terry, M. B. (2020) 'Trends in parity and breast cancer incidence in US women younger than 40 years from 1935 to 2015', *JAMA network Open*, 3(3), pp. e200929-e200929.

Lin, J., Zhang, P., Liu, W., Liu, G., Zhang, J., Yan, M., Duan, Y. and Yang, N. (2023) 'A positive feedback loop between ZEB2 and ACSL4 regulates lipid metabolism to promote breast cancer metastasis', *Elife*, 12, pp. RP87510.

Lin, Y., Kikuchi, S., Tamakoshi, K., Wakai, K., Kondo, T., Niwa, Y., Yatsuya, H., Nishio, K., Suzuki, S. and Tokudome, S. (2008) 'Active smoking, passive smoking, and breast cancer risk: findings from the Japan Collaborative Cohort Study for Evaluation of Cancer Risk', *Journal of epidemiology*, 18(2), pp. 77-83.

Lin, Z., Zhang, X., Zhao, F. and Ru, S. (2019) 'Bisphenol S promotes the cell cycle progression and cell proliferation through ERα-cyclin D-CDK4/6-pRb pathway in MCF-7 breast cancer cells', *Toxicology and applied pharmacology*, 366, pp. 75-82.

Linares, V., Bellés, M. and Domingo, J. L. (2015) 'Human exposure to PBDE and critical evaluation of health hazards', *Archives of toxicology*, 89(3), pp. 335-356.

Lips, E., Mulder, L., Oonk, A., Van Der Kolk, L., Hogervorst, F., Imholz, A., Wesseling, J., Rodenhuis, S. and Nederlof, P. (2013) 'Triple-negative breast cancer: BRCAness and concordance of clinical features with BRCA1-mutation carriers', *British journal of cancer*, 108(10), pp. 2172-2177.

Liu, G., Cai, W., Liu, H., Jiang, H., Bi, Y. and Wang, H. (2021) 'The association of bisphenol A and phthalates with risk of breast cancer: A meta-analysis', *International Journal of Environmental Research and Public Health,* 18(5), pp. 2375.

Liu, H., Liu, Y. and Zhang, J.-T. (2008) 'A new mechanism of drug resistance in breast cancer cells: fatty acid synthase overexpression-mediated palmitate overproduction', *Molecular cancer therapeutics,* 7(2), pp. 263-270.

Liu, H., Radisky, D. C., Wang, F. and Bissell, M. J. (2004) 'Polarity and proliferation are controlled by distinct signaling pathways downstream of PI3-kinase in breast epithelial tumor cells', *The Journal of cell biology*, 164(4), pp. 603.

Liu, H., Wu, X., Dong, Z., Luo, Z., Zhao, Z., Xu, Y. and Zhang, J.-T. (2013) 'Fatty acid synthase causes drug resistance by inhibiting TNF-α and ceramide production [S]', *Journal of lipid research*, 54(3), pp. 776-785.

Liu, J. Y. and Sayes, C. M. (2024) 'Modeling mixtures interactions in environmental toxicology', *Environmental Toxicology and Pharmacology*, pp. 104380.

Liu, K., Newbury, P. A., Glicksberg, B. S., Zeng, W. Z., Paithankar, S., Andrechek, E. R. and Chen, B. (2019a) 'Evaluating cell lines as models for metastatic breast cancer through integrative analysis of genomic data', *Nature communications*, 10(1), pp. 2138.

Liu, L., Michowski, W., Kolodziejczyk, A. and Sicinski, P. (2019b) 'The cell cycle in stem cell proliferation, pluripotency and differentiation', *Nature cell biology*, 21(9), pp. 1060-1067.

Liu, X., Sun, Q., Wang, Q., Hu, C., Chen, X., Li, H., Czajkowsky, D. M. and Shao, Z. (2022) 'Epithelial cells in 2D and 3D cultures exhibit large differences in higher-order genomic interactions', *Genomics, Proteomics and Bioinformatics,* 20(1), pp. 101-109.

Liu, X., Wang, W. and Li, H. (2020) 'KLHL22 promotes malignant melanoma growth in vitro and in vivo by activating the PI3K/Akt/mTOR signaling pathway', *Neoplasma*, 67(5).

Liu, Y. and Lu, L.-Y. (2020) 'BRCA1 and homologous recombination: implications from mouse embryonic development', *Cell & Bioscience*, 10(1), pp. 49.

Lo, A. T., Mori, H., Mott, J. and Bissell, M. J. (2012) 'Constructing three-dimensional models to study mammary gland branching morphogenesis and functional differentiation', *Journal of mammary gland biology and neoplasia,* 17, pp. 103-110.

Loewe, S. (1926) 'Effect of combinations: mathematical basis of problem', *Arch. Exp. Pathol. Pharmakol.*, 114, pp. 313-326.

Loften, J., Linn, J., Drackley, J., Jenkins, T., Soderholm, C. and Kertz, A. (2014) 'Invited review: Palmitic and stearic acid metabolism in lactating dairy cows', *Journal of dairy science*, 97(8), pp. 4661-4674.

Lofterød, T., Frydenberg, H., Flote, V., Eggen, A. E., McTiernan, A., Mortensen, E. S., Akslen, L. A., Reitan, J. B., Wilsgaard, T. and Thune, I. (2020) 'Exploring the effects of lifestyle on breast cancer risk, age at diagnosis, and survival: the EBBA-Life study', *Breast cancer research and treatment,* 182, pp. 215-227.

Loganathan, P., Vigneswaran, S., Kandasamy, J., Nguyen, T. V., Cuprys, A. K. and Ratnaweera, H. (2023) 'Bisphenols in water: Occurrence, effects, and mitigation strategies', *Chemosphere*, pp. 138560.

Lope, V., Guerrero-Zotano, Á., Casas, A., Baena-Cañada, J. M., Bermejo, B., Pérez-Gómez, B., Criado-Navarro, I., Antolin, S., Sanchez-Rovira, P. and Ramos-Vázquez, M. (2020) 'Serum phospholipids fatty acids and breast cancer risk by pathological subtype', *Nutrients*, 12(10), pp. 3132.

López-Cervantes, M., Torres-Sánchez, L., Tobías, A. and López-Carrillo, L. (2004) 'Dichlorodiphenyldichloroethane burden and breast cancer risk: a meta-analysis of the epidemiologic evidence', *Environmental Health Perspectives*, 112(2), pp. 207-214.

Lundgren, K., Brown, M., Pineda, S., Cuzick, J., Salter, J., Zabaglo, L., Howell, A., Dowsett, M., Landberg, G. and investigators, T. (2012) 'Effects of cyclin D 1 gene amplification and protein expression on time to recurrence in postmenopausal breast cancer patients treated with anastrozole or tamoxifen: a TransATAC study', *Breast Cancer Research*, 14, pp. 1-11.

Luparello, C. (2013) 'Aspects of collagen changes in breast cancer', *J. Carcinog. Mutagen. S,* 13, pp. 7.

Lv, R. and Zhang, Q. W. (2020) 'The long noncoding RNA FTH1P3 promotes the proliferation and metastasis of cervical cancer through microRNA-145', *Oncology Reports*, 43(1), pp. 31-40.

Lynch, J. A., Venne, V. and Berse, B. 'Genetic tests to identify risk for breast cancer'. *Seminars in oncology nursing*: Elsevier, 100-107.

Ma, H., Yao, Y., Wang, C., Zhang, L., Cheng, L., Wang, Y., Wang, T., Liang, E., Jia, H. and Ye, Q. (2016) 'Transcription factor activity of estrogen receptor α activation upon nonylphenol or bisphenol A treatment enhances the in vitro proliferation, invasion, and migration of neuroblastoma cells', *OncoTargets and therapy*, pp. 3451-3463.

Ma, X.-J., Dahiya, S., Richardson, E., Erlander, M. and Sgroi, D. C. (2009) 'Gene expression profiling of the tumor microenvironment during breast cancer progression', *Breast cancer research,* 11, pp. 1-18.

Macacu, A., Autier, P., Boniol, M. and Boyle, P. (2015) 'Active and passive smoking and risk of breast cancer: a meta-analysis', *Breast cancer research and treatment,* 154, pp. 213-224.

Macias, H. and Hinck, L. (2012) 'Mammary gland development', *Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Developmental Biology,* 1(4), pp. 533-557.

Macon, M. B. and Fenton, S. E. (2013) 'Endocrine disruptors and the breast: early life effects and later life disease', *Journal of mammary gland biology and neoplasia,* 18, pp. 43-61.

Magdaleno, C., House, T., Pawar, J. S., Carvalho, S., Rajasekaran, N. and Varadaraj, A. (2021) 'Fibronectin assembly regulates lumen formation in breast acini', *Journal of cellular biochemistry*, 122(5), pp. 524-537.

Maguire, S. L., Peck, B., Wai, P. T., Campbell, J., Barker, H., Gulati, A., Daley, F., Vyse, S., Huang, P. and Lord, C. J. (2016) 'Three-dimensional modelling identifies novel genetic dependencies associated with breast cancer progression in the isogenic MCF10 model', *The Journal of Pathology*, 240(3), pp. 315-328.

Mahabir, S. (2013) 'Association between diet during preadolescence and adolescence and risk for breast cancer during adulthood', *Journal of adolescent health*, 52(5), pp. S30-S35.

Maharjan, S., Lee, M. G., Lee, K. S. and Nam, K. S. (2024) 'Morin overcomes doxorubicin resistance in human breast cancer by inducing DNA damage and modulating the LKB1/AMPK/mTORC1 signaling pathway', *Biofactors*.

Mailleux, A. A., Overholtzer, M. and Brugge, J. S. (2008) 'Lumen formation during mammary epithelial morphogenesis: insights from in vitro and in vivo models', *Cell cycle*, 7(1), pp. 57-62.

Malhão, F., Macedo, A. C., Ramos, A. A. and Rocha, E. (2022) 'Morphometrical, morphological, and immunocytochemical characterization of a tool for cytotoxicity research: 3D cultures of breast cell lines grown in ultra-low attachment plates', *Toxics*, 10(8), pp. 415.

Maltsev, Y. and Maltseva, K. (2021) 'Fatty acids of microalgae: Diversity and applications', *Reviews in Environmental Science and Bio/Technology*, 20, pp. 515-547. Malumbres, M. and Barbacid, M. (2009) 'Cell cycle, CDKs and cancer: a changing paradigm', *Nature reviews cancer*, 9(3), pp. 153-166.

Mao, F., He, Y. and Gin, K. Y.-H. (2019) 'Occurrence and fate of benzophenone-type UV filters in aquatic environments: a review', *Environmental Science: Water Research & Technology,* 5(2), pp. 209-223.

Marangoni, F., Agostoni, C., Borghi, C., Catapano, A. L., Cena, H., Ghiselli, A., La Vecchia, C., Lercker, G., Manzato, E. and Pirillo, A. (2020) 'Dietary linoleic acid and human health: Focus on cardiovascular and cardiometabolic effects', *Atherosclerosis,* 292, pp. 90-98.

Marchese, S. and Silva, E. (2012) 'Disruption of 3D MCF-12A breast cell cultures by estrogens–an in vitro model for ER-mediated changes indicative of hormonal carcinogenesis'.

Marchese, S. D. (2013) Understanding the impact of estrogens in mammary gland formation using an in vitro three-dimensional model. University of London, University College London (United Kingdom).

Marino, N., German, R., Rao, X., Simpson, E., Liu, S., Wan, J., Liu, Y., Sandusky, G., Jacobsen, M. and Stoval, M. (2020a) 'Upregulation of lipid metabolism genes in the breast prior to cancer diagnosis', *NPJ Breast Cancer*, 6(1), pp. 1-13.

Marino, N., German, R., Rao, X., Simpson, E., Liu, S., Wan, J., Liu, Y., Sandusky, G., Jacobsen, M. and Stovall, M. (2020b) 'Upregulation of lipid metabolism genes in the breast prior to cancer diagnosis', *NPJ Breast Cancer*, 6(1), pp. 50.

Markićević, M., Džodić, R., Buta, M., Kanjer, K., Mandušić, V., Nešković-Konstantinović, Z. and Nikolić-Vukosavljević, D. (2014) 'Trefoil factor 1 in early breast carcinoma: a potential indicator of clinical outcome during the first 3 years of followup', *International journal of medical sciences*, 11(7), pp. 663. Martin, O., Scholze, M., Ermler, S., McPhie, J., Bopp, S. K., Kienzler, A., Parissis, N. and Kortenkamp, A. (2021) 'Ten years of research on synergisms and antagonisms in chemical mixtures: A systematic review and quantitative reappraisal of mixture studies', *Environment international*, 146, pp. 106206.

Martinez-Outschoorn, U. E., Balliet, R., Lin, Z., Whitaker-Menezes, D., Birbe, R. C., Bombonati, A., Pavlides, S., Lamb, R., Sneddon, S. and Howell, A. (2012) 'BRCA1 mutations drive oxidative stress and glycolysis in the tumor microenvironment: implications for breast cancer prevention with antioxidant therapies', *Cell cycle*, 11(23), pp. 4402-4413.

Masiakowski, P., Breathnach, R., Bloch, J., Gannon, F., Krust, A. and Chambon, P. (1982) 'Cloning of cDNA sequences of hormone-regulated genes from the MCF-7 human breast cancer cell line', *Nucleic acids research*, 10(24), pp. 7895-7903.

Masso, M., Bansal, A., Bansal, A. and Henderson, A. (2020) 'Structure-based functional analysis of BRCA1 RING domain variants: Concordance of computational mutagenesis, experimental assay, and clinical data', *Biophysical Chemistry*, 266, pp. 106442.

Matta, M., Huybrechts, I., Biessy, C., Casagrande, C., Yammine, S., Fournier, A., Olsen, K. S., Lukic, M., Gram, I. T. and Ardanaz, E. (2021) 'Dietary intake of trans fatty acids and breast cancer risk in 9 European countries', *BMC medicine*, 19(1), pp. 1-11. Matthews, H. K., Bertoli, C. and de Bruin, R. A. (2022) 'Cell cycle control in cancer', *Nature Reviews Molecular Cell Biology*, 23(1), pp. 74-88.

Maund, P. R. (2018) *The missing link: endocrine disrupting chemicals, epigenetics and breast cancer risk.* Brunel University London.

May, F. E., Semple, J. I., Prest, S. J. and Westley, B. R. (2004) 'Expression and motogenic activity of TFF2 in human breast cancer cells', *Peptides*, 25(5), pp. 865-872.

McCabe, C. F., Goodrich, J. M., Bakulski, K. M., Domino, S. E., Jones, T. R., Colacino, J., Dolinoy, D. C. and Padmanabhan, V. (2023) 'Probing prenatal bisphenol exposures and tissue-specific DNA methylation responses in cord blood, cord tissue, and placenta', *Reproductive Toxicology*, 115, pp. 74-84.

McKenzie, F., Ferrari, P., Freisling, H., Chajès, V., Rinaldi, S., De Batlle, J., Dahm, C. C., Overvad, K., Baglietto, L. and Dartois, L. (2015) 'Healthy lifestyle and risk of breast cancer among postmenopausal women in the E uropean P rospective I nvestigation

into C ancer and N utrition cohort study', *International journal of cancer*, 136(11), pp. 2640-2648.

McPherson, K., Steel, C. and Dixon, J. (2000) 'Breast cancer—epidemiology, risk factors, and genetics', *Bmj*, 321(7261), pp. 624-628.

Meek, M., Boobis, A. R., Crofton, K. M., Heinemeyer, G., Van Raaij, M. and Vickers, C. (2011) 'Risk assessment of combined exposure to multiple chemicals: a WHO/IPCS framework', *Regul Toxicol Pharmacol,* 60(2), pp. S1-S14.

Meerts, I., Letcher, R. J., Hoving, S., Marsh, G., Bergman, A., Lemmen, J. G., van der Burg, B. and Brouwer, A. (2001) 'In vitro estrogenicity of polybrominated diphenyl ethers, hydroxylated PDBEs, and polybrominated bisphenol A compounds', *Environmental health perspectives*, 109(4), pp. 399-407.

Meier-Abt, F. and Bentires-Alj, M. (2014) 'How pregnancy at early age protects against breast cancer', *Trends in Molecular Medicine*, 20(3), pp. 143-153.

Mekonen, S., Ibrahim, M., Astatkie, H. and Abreha, A. (2021) 'Exposure to organochlorine pesticides as a predictor to breast cancer: A case-control study among Ethiopian women', *PloS one,* 16(9), pp. e0257704.

Menendez, J. A., Papadimitropoulou, A., Vander Steen, T., Cuyàs, E., Oza-Gajera, B. P., Verdura, S., Espinoza, I., Vellon, L., Mehmi, I. and Lupu, R. (2021) 'Fatty acid synthase confers tamoxifen resistance to ER+/HER2+ breast cancer', *Cancers*, 13(5), pp. 1132.

Merajver, S. D., Frank, T. S., Xu, J., Pham, T. M., Calzone, K. A., Bennett-Baker, P., Chamberlain, J., Boyd, J., Garber, J. E. and Collins, F. S. (1995) 'Germline BRCA1 mutations and loss of the wild-type allele in tumors from families with early onset breast and ovarian cancer', *Clinical cancer research: an official journal of the American Association for Cancer Research,* 1(5), pp. 539-544.

Mercado-Feliciano, M. and Bigsby, R. M. (2008) 'The polybrominated diphenyl ether mixture DE-71 is mildly estrogenic', *Environmental health perspectives*, 116(5), pp. 605-611.

Mesnage, R., Phedonos, A., Arno, M., Balu, S., Corton, J. C. and Antoniou, M. N. (2017) 'Editor's highlight: transcriptome profiling reveals bisphenol A alternatives activate estrogen receptor alpha in human breast cancer cells', *Toxicological Sciences*, 158(2), pp. 431-443.

Mhaouty-Kodja, S., Zalko, D., Tait, S., Testai, E., Viguié, C., Corsini, E., Grova, N., Buratti, F. M., Cabaton, N. J. and Coppola, L. (2024) 'A critical review to identify data

gaps and improve risk assessment of bisphenol A alternatives for human health', *Critical reviews in toxicology*, 54(10), pp. 696-753.

Michels, N., Specht, I. O., Heitmann, B. L., Chajès, V. and Huybrechts, I. (2021) 'Dietary trans-fatty acid intake in relation to cancer risk: a systematic review and metaanalysis', *Nutrition Reviews*, 79(7), pp. 758-776.

Milletti, G., Colicchia, V. and Cecconi, F. (2023) 'Cycl ers' kinases in cell division: from molecules to cancer therapy', *Cell Death & Differentiation*, 30(9), pp. 2035-2052.

Mills, K. R., Reginato, M., Debnath, J., Queenan, B. and Brugge, J. S. (2004) 'Tumor necrosis factor-related apoptosis-inducing ligand (TRAIL) is required for induction of autophagy during lumen formation in vitro', *Proceedings of the National academy of Sciences*, 101(10), pp. 3438-3443.

Milne, R. L. and Antoniou, A. C. (2016) 'Modifiers of breast and ovarian cancer risks for BRCA1 and BRCA2 mutation carriers', *Endocrine-related cancer*, 23(10), pp. T69-T84.

Milne, R. L., Gaudet, M. M., Spurdle, A. B., Fasching, P. A., Couch, F. J., Benítez, J., Arias Perez, J. I., Zamora, M. P., Malats, N. and dos Santos Silva, I. (2010) 'Assessing interactions between the associations of common genetic susceptibility variants, reproductive history and body mass index with breast cancer risk in the breast cancer association consortium: a combined case-control study', *Breast cancer research*, 12, pp. 1-11.

Minello, A. and Carreira, A. (2023) 'BRCA1/2 haploinsufficiency: exploring the impact of losing one allele', *Journal of Molecular Biology*, pp. 168277.

Ming, L., Tang, J., Qin, F., Qin, Y., Wang, D., Huang, L., Cao, Y., Huang, Z. and Yin, Y. (2024) 'Exosome secretion related gene signature predicts chemoresistance in patients with colorectal cancer', *Pathology-Research and Practice,* 257, pp. 155313. Miricescu, D., Totan, A., Stanescu, S., II, Badoiu, S. C., Stefani, C. and Greabu, M.

(2020) 'PI3K/AKT/mTOR Signaling Pathway in Breast Cancer: From Molecular Landscape to Clinical Aspects', *Int J Mol Sci*, 22(1).

Mirmigkou, S. and de Boer, J. (2016) 'DDT and metabolites', *Dioxin and Related Compounds: Special Volume in Honor of Otto Hutzinger*, pp. 355-378.

MizukaMi, Y. (2010) 'In vivo functions of GPR30/GPER-1, a membrane receptor for estrogen: from discovery to functions in vivo', *Endocrine journal,* 57(2), pp. 101-107. Mizushima, N. and Komatsu, M. (2011) 'Autophagy: renovation of cells and tissues', *Cell,* 147(4), pp. 728-741.

Mlynarčíková, A., Macho, L. and Ficková, M. (2013) 'Bisphenol A alone and in combination with estradiol modulates cell cycle-and apoptosis-related proteins and genes in MCF7 cells', *Endocr. Regul.*, 47(4), pp. 189-199.

Mo, Z., Liu, M., Yang, F., Luo, H., Li, Z., Tu, G. and Yang, G. (2013) 'GPR30 as an initiator of tamoxifen resistance in hormone-dependent breast cancer', *Breast Cancer Research*, 15(6), pp. 1-15.

Monfared, M., Mawad, D., Rnjak-Kovacina, J. and Stenzel, M. H. (2021) '3D bioprinting of dual-crosslinked nanocellulose hydrogels for tissue engineering applications', *Journal of Materials Chemistry B*, 9(31), pp. 6163-6175.

Monneret, C. (2017) 'What is an endocrine disruptor?', *Comptes rendus biologies,* 340(9-10), pp. 403-405.

Monninkhof, E. M., Elias, S. G., Vlems, F. A., van der Tweel, I., Schuit, A. J., Voskuil, D. W. and van Leeuwen, F. E. (2007) 'Physical activity and breast cancer: a systematic review', *epidemiology*, pp. 137-157.

Montalto, F. I. and De Amicis, F. (2020) 'Cyclin D1 in cancer: a molecular connection for cell cycle control, adhesion and invasion in tumor and stroma', *Cells*, 9(12), pp. 2648.

Moore, S. C., Matthews, C. E., Ou Shu, X., Yu, K., Gail, M. H., Xu, X., Ji, B.-T., Chow, W.-H., Cai, Q. and Li, H. (2016) 'Endogenous estrogens, estrogen metabolites, and breast cancer risk in postmenopausal Chinese women', *Journal of the National Cancer Institute*, 108(10), pp. djw103.

Morgan, M., Deoraj, A., Felty, Q. and Roy, D. (2017) 'Environmental estrogen-like endocrine disrupting chemicals and breast cancer', *Molecular and cellular endocrinology*, 457, pp. 89-102.

Morrone, M. d. S., Somensi, N., Franz, L., Ramos, V. d. M., Gasparotto, J., da Rosa, H. T., Sartori, M., Figueiró, F., Gelain, D. P., Zanotto-Filho, A. and Moreira, J. C. F. (2019) 'BRCA-1 depletion impairs pro-inflammatory polarization and activation of RAW 264.7 macrophages in a NF-κB-dependent mechanism', *Molecular and Cellular Biochemistry*, 462(1), pp. 11-23.

Mota, M., Woods, N., Carvalho, M., Monteiro, A. and Mesquita, R. (2023) 'Evolution of the triplet BRCT domain', *DNA repair*, 129, pp. 103532.

Mourouti, N., Kontogianni, M. D., Papavagelis, C. and Panagiotakos, D. B. (2015) 'Diet and breast cancer: a systematic review', *International journal of food sciences and nutrition,* 66(1), pp. 1-42. Mourouti, N. and Panagiotakos, D. B. (2016) 'The beneficial effect of a Mediterranean diet supplemented with extra virgin olive oil in the primary prevention of breast cancer among women at high cardiovascular risk in the PREDIMED Trial', *Evidence-Based Nursing*, 19(3), pp. 71-71.

Mozafarinia, M., Sasanfar, B., Toorang, F., Salehi-Abargouei, A. and Zendehdel, K. (2021) 'Association between dietary fat and fat subtypes with the risk of breast cancer in an Iranian population: a case-control study', *Lipids in Health and Disease,* 20, pp. 1-11.

Mu, X., Huang, Y., Li, X., Lei, Y., Teng, M., Li, X., Wang, C. and Li, Y. (2018) 'Developmental effects and estrogenicity of bisphenol A alternatives in a zebrafish embryo model', *Environmental science & technology*, 52(5), pp. 3222-3231.

Mustieles, V., Balogh, R. K., Axelstad, M., Montazeri, P., Márquez, S., Vrijheid, M., Draskau, M. K., Taxvig, C., Peinado, F. M. and Berman, T. (2023) 'Benzophenone-3: Comprehensive review of the toxicological and human evidence with meta-analysis of human biomonitoring studies', *Environment International*, pp. 107739.

Mysore, K., Hapairai, L. K., Wei, N., Realey, J. S., Scheel, N. D., Severson, D. W. and Duman-Scheel, M. (2019) 'Preparation and use of a yeast shRNA delivery system for gene silencing in mosquito larvae', *Insect Genomics: Methods and Protocols*, pp. 213-231.

Naimo, G. D., Forestiero, M., Paolì, A., Malivindi, R., Gelsomino, L., Győrffy, B., Leonetti, A. E., Giordano, F., Panza, S., Conforti, F. L., Ruffo, P., Panno, M. L., Mauro, L. and Andò, S. (2023) 'ERα/LKB1 complex upregulates E-cadherin expression and stimulates breast cancer growth and progression upon adiponectin exposure', *Int J Cancer*, 153(6), pp. 1257-1272.

Nair, V. A., Valo, S., Peltomäki, P., Bajbouj, K. and Abdel-Rahman, W. M. (2020) 'Oncogenic potential of bisphenol A and common environmental contaminants in human mammary epithelial cells', *International journal of molecular sciences*, 21(10), pp. 3735.

Nakata, H., Kawazoe, M., Arizono, K., Abe, S., Kitano, T., Shimada, H., Li, W. and Ding, X. (2002) 'Organochlorine pesticides and polychlorinated biphenyl residues in foodstuffs and human tissues from China: status of contamination, historical trend, and human dietary exposure', *Archives of environmental contamination and toxicology,* 43, pp. 0473-0480.

Nath, S. and Devi, G. R. (2016) 'Three-dimensional culture systems in cancer research: Focus on tumor spheroid model', *Pharmacology & therapeutics,* 163, pp. 94-108.

Neimark, J. 2015. Line of attack. American Association for the Advancement of Science.

Neirich, L., Yahiaoui-Doktor, M., Lammert, J., Basrai, M., Seethaler, B., Berling-Ernst, A., Ramser, J., Quante, A. S., Schmidt, T. and Niederberger, U. (2021) 'Physical activity and Mediterranean diet as potential modulators of osteoprotegerin and soluble RANKL in g BRCA1/2 mutation carriers: results of the lifestyle intervention pilot study LIBRE-1', *Breast Cancer Research and Treatment,* 190, pp. 463-475.

Nelson, E. R. and Habibi, H. R. (2013) 'Estrogen receptor function and regulation in fish and other vertebrates', *General and comparative endocrinology*, 192, pp. 15-24.

Nichols, H. B., Schoemaker, M. J., Cai, J., Xu, J., Wright, L. B., Brook, M. N., Jones, M. E., Adami, H.-O., Baglietto, L. and Bertrand, K. A. (2019) 'Breast cancer risk after recent childbirth: a pooled analysis of 15 prospective studies', *Annals of internal medicine*, 170(1), pp. 22-30.

Nielsen, T. O., Hsu, F. D., Jensen, K., Cheang, M., Karaca, G., Hu, Z., Hernandez-Boussard, T., Livasy, C., Cowan, D. and Dressler, L. (2004) 'Immunohistochemical and clinical characterization of the basal-like subtype of invasive breast carcinoma', *Clinical cancer research*, 10(16), pp. 5367-5374.

Nieva, C., Marro, M., Santana-Codina, N., Rao, S., Petrov, D. and Sierra, A. (2012) 'The lipid phenotype of breast cancer cells characterized by Raman microspectroscopy: towards a stratification of malignancy'.

Nolan, E., Lindeman, G. J. and Visvader, J. E. (2023) 'Deciphering breast cancer: from biology to the clinic', *Cell*, 186(8), pp. 1708-1728.

Noureen, A., Javed, A., Siddiqui, R. H. and Zahra Shakir, F. T. (2023) 'Correlation between stages of breast cancer and BMI in females: a multi-centre study', *J Pak Med Assoc*, 73(3), pp. 467-470.

Okubo, T., Yokoyama, Y., Kano, K. and Kano, I. (2001) 'ER-dependent estrogenic activity of parabens assessed by proliferation of human breast cancer MCF-7 cells and expression of ERα and PR', *Food and Chemical Toxicology,* 39(12), pp. 1225-1232.

Oliva-Vilarnau, N., Vorrink, S. U., Ingelman-Sundberg, M. and Lauschke, V. M. (2020) 'A 3D cell culture model identifies Wnt/β-catenin mediated inhibition of p53 as a critical step during human hepatocyte regeneration', *Advanced Science*, 7(15), pp. 2000248. Oliveira, A. F., Cunha, D. A., Ladriere, L., Igoillo-Esteve, M., Bugliani, M., Marchetti, P. and Cnop, M. (2015) 'In vitro use of free fatty acids bound to albumin: A comparison of protocols', *Biotechniques*, 58(5), pp. 228-233.

Olszowy-Tomczyk, M. (2020) 'Synergistic, antagonistic and additive antioxidant effects in the binary mixtures', *Phytochemistry Reviews*, 19, pp. 63-103.

Oshi, M., Gandhi, S., Yan, L., Tokumaru, Y., Wu, R., Yamada, A., Matsuyama, R., Endo, I. and Takabe, K. (2022) 'Abundance of reactive oxygen species (ROS) is associated with tumor aggressiveness, immune response, and worse survival in breast cancer', *Breast Cancer Research and Treatment,* 194(2), pp. 231-241.

Otto, T. and Sicinski, P. (2017) 'Cell cycle proteins as promising targets in cancer therapy', *Nature Reviews Cancer*, 17(2), pp. 93-115.

Øvrebø, J. I., Ma, Y. and Edgar, B. A. (2022) 'Cell growth and the cell cycle: New insights about persistent questions', *Bioessays*, 44(11), pp. 2200150.

Ozcan, G., Ozpolat, B., Coleman, R. L., Sood, A. K. and Lopez-Berestein, G. (2015) 'Preclinical and clinical development of siRNA-based therapeutics', *Advanced drug delivery reviews*, 87, pp. 108-119.

Ozsoy, A., Barça, N., Dolek, B. A., Aktaş, H., Elverici, E., Araz, L. and Ozkaraoğlu, O. (2017) 'The relationship between breast cancer and risk factors: a single-center study', *European journal of breast health,* 13(3), pp. 145.

Pabinger, S., Rödiger, S., Kriegner, A., Vierlinger, K. and Weinhäusel, A. (2014) 'A survey of tools for the analysis of quantitative PCR (qPCR) data', *Biomolecular Detection and Quantification*, 1(1), pp. 23-33.

Pacot, L., Masliah-Planchon, J., Petcu, A., Terris, B., Villars, M. G., Lespinasse, J., Wolkenstein, P., Vincent-Salomon, A., Vidaud, D. and Pasmant, E. (2024) 'Breast cancer risk in NF1-deleted patients', *Journal of Medical Genetics*, 61(5), pp. 428-429. Paine, T. M., Soule, H. D., Pauley, R. J. and Dawson, P. J. (1992) 'Characterization of epithelial phenotypes in mortal and immortal human breast cells', *International journal of cancer*, 50(3), pp. 463-473.

Pal, A., Ashworth, J. C., Collier, P., Probert, C., Jones, S., Leza, E. P., Meakin, M. L., A. Ritchie, A., Onion, D. and Clarke, P. A. (2020) 'A 3D heterotypic breast cancer model
demonstrates a role for mesenchymal stem cells in driving a proliferative and invasive phenotype', *Cancers*, 12(8), pp. 2290.

Pala, V., Krogh, V., Muti, P., Chajès, V., Riboli, E., Micheli, A., Saadatian, M., Sieri, S. and Berrino, F. (2001) 'Erythrocyte membrane fatty acids and subsequent breast cancer: a prospective Italian study', *Journal of the National Cancer Institute*, 93(14), pp. 1088-1095.

Palaiologos, P., Chrysikos, D., Theocharis, S. and Kouraklis, G. (2019) 'The prognostic value of G1 cyclins, p21 and Rb protein in patients with colon cancer', *Anticancer research*, 39(11), pp. 6291-6297.

Palomar-Siles, M., Yurevych, V., Bykov, V. J. and Wiman, K. G. (2023) 'Pharmacological induction of translational readthrough of nonsense mutations in the retinoblastoma (RB1) gene', *Plos one*, 18(11), pp. e0292468.

Pan, S., Yuan, C., Tagmount, A., Rudel, R. A., Ackerman, J. M., Yaswen, P., Vulpe, C.
D. and Leitman, D. C. (2016) 'Parabens and human epidermal growth factor receptor ligand cross-talk in breast cancer cells', *Environmental health perspectives*, 124(5), pp. 563-569.

Parada Jr, H., Sun, X., Tse, C.-K., Engel, L. S., Olshan, A. F. and Troester, M. A. (2019) 'Plasma levels of dichlorodiphenyldichloroethene (DDE) and dichlorodiphenyltrichloroethane (DDT) and survival following breast cancer in the Carolina Breast Cancer Study', *Environment international*, 125, pp. 161-171.

Park, B., Hopper, J. L., Win, A. K., Dowty, J. G., Sung, H. K., Ahn, C., Kim, S.-W., Lee, M. H., Lee, J. and Lee, J. W. (2017) 'Reproductive factors as risk modifiers of breast cancer in BRCA mutation carriers and high-risk non-carriers', *Oncotarget,* 8(60), pp. 102110.

Park, J.-H., Cha, E. S., Ko, Y., Hwang, M.-S., Hong, J.-H. and Lee, W. J. (2014) 'Exposure to dichlorodiphenyltrichloroethane and the risk of breast cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis', *Osong public health and research perspectives,* 5(2), pp. 77-84.

Park, M.-A., Hwang, K.-A., Lee, H.-R., Yi, B.-R., Jeung, E.-B. and Choi, K.-C. (2013) 'Benzophenone-1 stimulated the growth of BG-1 ovarian cancer cells by cell cycle regulation via an estrogen receptor alpha-mediated signaling pathway in cellular and xenograft mouse models', *Toxicology*, 305, pp. 41-48.

Pashayan, N., Morris, S., Gilbert, F. J. and Pharoah, P. D. (2018) 'Cost-effectiveness and benefit-to-harm ratio of risk-stratified screening for breast cancer: a life-table model', *JAMA oncology*, 4(11), pp. 1504-1510.

Patankar, M., Eskelinen, S., Tuomisto, A., Mäkinen, M. J. and Karttunen, T. J. (2019) 'KRAS and BRAF mutations induce anoikis resistance and characteristic 3D phenotypes in Caco-2 cells', *Molecular Medicine Reports,* 20(5), pp. 4634-4644.

Pathan, M., Keerthikumar, S., Chisanga, D., Alessandro, R., Ang, C. S., Askenase, P., Batagov, A. O., Benito-Martin, A., Camussi, G. and Clayton, A. (2017) 'A novel community driven software for functional enrichment analysis of extracellular vesicles data', *Journal of extracellular vesicles*, 6(1), pp. 1321455.

Patti, A., Lecocq, H., Serghei, A., Acierno, D. and Cassagnau, P. (2021) 'The universal usefulness of stearic acid as surface modifier: applications to the polymer formulations and composite processing', *Journal of Industrial and Engineering Chemistry*, 96, pp. 1-33.

Paul, S. P. (2019) 'Ensuring the safety of sunscreens, and their efficacy in preventing skin cancers: challenges and controversies for clinicians, formulators, and regulators', *Frontiers in Medicine*, pp. 195.

Pawlonka, J., Rak, B. and Ambroziak, U. (2021) 'The regulation of cyclin D promoters– review', *Cancer Treatment and Research Communications*, 27, pp. 100338.

Payne, J., Scholze, M. and Kortenkamp, A. (2001) 'Mixtures of four organochlorines enhance human breast cancer cell proliferation', *Environmental Health Perspectives*, 109(4), pp. 391-397.

Peerapen, P. and Thongboonkerd, V. (2023) 'Protein network analysis and functional enrichment via computational biotechnology unravel molecular and pathogenic mechanisms of kidney stone disease', *biomedical journal,* 46(2), pp. 100577.

Pelden, S., Insawang, T., Thuwajit, C. and Thuwajit, P. (2013) 'The trefoil factor 1 (TFF1) protein involved in doxorubicin-induced apoptosis resistance is upregulated by estrogen in breast cancer cells', *Oncology reports*, 30(3), pp. 1518-1526.

Penning, T. M., Jonnalagadda, S., Trippier, P. C. and Rižner, T. L. (2021) 'Aldo-Keto Reductases and Cancer Drug Resistance', *Pharmacological Reviews*, 73(3), pp. 1150-1171.

Perera, O., Evans, A., Pertziger, M., MacDonald, C., Chen, H., Liu, D.-X., Lobie, P. E. and Perry, J. K. (2015) 'Trefoil factor 3 (TFF3) enhances the oncogenic characteristics

of prostate carcinoma cells and reduces sensitivity to ionising radiation', *Cancer letters*, 361(1), pp. 104-111.

Perou, C. M., Sørlie, T., Eisen, M. B., Van De Rijn, M., Jeffrey, S. S., Rees, C. A., Pollack, J. R., Ross, D. T., Johnsen, H. and Akslen, L. A. (2000) 'Molecular portraits of human breast tumours', *nature*, 406(6797), pp. 747-752.

Pesiri, V., Totta, P., Marino, M. and Acconcia, F. (2014) 'Ubiquitin-activating enzyme is necessary for 17β-estradiol-induced breast cancer cell proliferation and migration', *lubmb Life*, 66(8), pp. 578-585.

Pfeifer, D., Chung, Y. M. and Hu, M. C. (2015) 'Effects of low-dose bisphenol A on DNA damage and proliferation of breast cells: the role of c-Myc', *Environmental health perspectives*, 123(12), pp. 1271-1279.

Pinkas, A., Gonçalves, C. L. and Aschner, M. (2017) 'Neurotoxicity of fragrance compounds: A review', *Environmental research*, 158, pp. 342-349.

Ponnusamy, L., Natarajan, S. R. and Manoharan, R. (2022) 'MARK2 potentiate aerobic glycolysis-mediated cell growth in breast cancer through regulating mTOR/HIF-1α and p53 pathways', *Journal of Cellular Biochemistry*, 123(4), pp. 759-771.

Pott, W. A., Benjamin, S. A. and Yang, R. S. (1998) 'Antagonistic interactions of an arsenic-containing mixture in a multiple organ carcinogenicity bioassay', *Cancer letters*, 133(2), pp. 185-190.

Pradhan, S., Clary, J. M., Seliktar, D. and Lipke, E. A. (2017) 'A three-dimensional spheroidal cancer model based on PEG-fibrinogen hydrogel microspheres', *Biomaterials,* 115, pp. 141-154.

Prat, A., Parker, J., Fan, C., Cheang, M., Miller, L., Bergh, J., Chia, S., Bernard, P., Nielsen, T. and Ellis, M. (2012) 'Concordance among gene expression-based predictors for ER-positive breast cancer treated with adjuvant tamoxifen', *Annals of Oncology*, 23(11), pp. 2866-2873.

Prat, A., Parker, J. S., Karginova, O., Fan, C., Livasy, C., Herschkowitz, J. I., He, X. and Perou, C. M. (2010) 'Phenotypic and molecular characterization of the claudin-low intrinsic subtype of breast cancer', *Breast cancer research*, 12(5), pp. 1-18.

Prest, S. J., May, F. E. and Westley, B. R. (2002) 'The estrogen-regulated protein, TFF1, stimulates migration of human breast cancer cells', *The FASEB Journal*, 16(6), pp. 592-594.

Prueitt, R. L., Hixon, M. L., Fan, T., Olgun, N. S., Piatos, P., Zhou, J. and Goodman, J. E. (2023) 'Systematic review of the potential carcinogenicity of bisphenol A in humans', *Regulatory Toxicology and Pharmacology*, pp. 105414.

Pseftogas, A., Xanthopoulos, K., Poutahidis, T., Ainali, C., Dafou, D., Panteris, E., Kern, J. G., Varelas, X., Hardas, A. and Gonidas, C. (2020) 'The tumor suppressor CYLD inhibits mammary epithelial to mesenchymal transition by the coordinated inhibition of YAP/TAZ and TGFβ signaling', *Cancers*, 12(8), pp. 2047.

Pseftogas, A., Xanthopoulos, K., Siasiaridis, A., Poutahidis, T., Gonidas, C., Tsingotjidou, A., Hatzivassiliou, E. and Mosialos, G. (2024) 'Inactivation of the Tumor Suppressor CYLD Sensitizes Mice to Breast Cancer Development', *Anticancer Research*, 44(5), pp. 1885-1894.

Puleo, J. and Polyak, K. (2021) 'The MCF10 model of breast tumor progression', *Cancer Research*, 81(16), pp. 4183-4185.

Pupo, M., Maggiolini, M. and Musti, A. M. (2016) 'GPER mediates non-genomic effects of estrogen', *Estrogen Receptors: Methods and Protocols*, pp. 471-488.

Puschhof, J., Pleguezuelos-Manzano, C. and Clevers, H. (2021) 'Organoids and organs-on-chips: Insights into human gut-microbe interactions', *Cell host & microbe*, 29(6), pp. 867-878.

Qian, F., Wang, S., Mitchell, J., McGuffog, L., Barrowdale, D., Leslie, G., Oosterwijk, J. C., Chung, W. K., Evans, D. G. and Engel, C. (2019) 'Height and body mass index as modifiers of breast cancer risk in BRCA1/2 mutation carriers: a Mendelian randomization study', *JNCI: Journal of the National Cancer Institute*, 111(4), pp. 350-364.

Qu, Y., Han, B., Yu, Y., Yao, W., Bose, S., Karlan, B. Y., Giuliano, A. E. and Cui, X. (2015) 'Evaluation of MCF10A as a reliable model for normal human mammary epithelial cells', *PloS one*, 10(7), pp. e0131285.

Rais, A., Husain, A., Hasan, G. M. and Hassan, M. I. (2023) 'A review on regulation of cell cycle by extracellular matrix', *International Journal of Biological Macromolecules*, 232, pp. 123426.

Rajabi, M., McConnell, M., Cabral, J. and Ali, M. A. (2021) 'Chitosan hydrogels in 3D printing for biomedical applications', *Carbohydrate Polymers*, 260, pp. 117768.

Rakha, E. A., El-Sheikh, S. E., Kandil, M. A., El-Sayed, M. E., Green, A. R. and Ellis, I. O. (2008) 'Expression of BRCA1 protein in breast cancer and its prognostic significance', *Human pathology*, 39(6), pp. 857-865. Ramírez-Acosta, S., Uhlírová, R., Navarro, F., Gómez-Ariza, J. L. and García-Barrera, T. (2022) 'Antagonistic interaction of selenium and cadmium in human hepatic cells through selenoproteins', *Frontiers in Chemistry,* 10, pp. 891933.

Ramos-Jiménez, A., Zavala-Lira, R. A., Moreno-Brito, V. and González-Rodríguez, E. (2022) 'FAT/CD36 Participation in Human Skeletal Muscle Lipid Metabolism: A Systematic Review', *J Clin Med*, 12(1).

Ramos-Garcia, P., Gil-Montoya, J., Scully, C., Ayén, A., González-Ruiz, L., Navarro-Triviño, F. and González-Moles, M. (2017) 'An update on the implications of cyclin D1 in oral carcinogenesis', *Oral Diseases*, 23(7), pp. 897-912.

Rao, D., Senzer, N., Cleary, M. and Nemunaitis, J. (2009a) 'Comparative assessment of siRNA and shRNA off target effects: what is slowing clinical development', *Cancer gene therapy*, 16(11), pp. 807-809.

Rao, D. D., Vorhies, J. S., Senzer, N. and Nemunaitis, J. (2009b) 'siRNA vs. shRNA: similarities and differences', *Advanced drug delivery reviews*, 61(9), pp. 746-759.

Raposo-Ferreira, T. M. M., Bueno, R. C., Terra, E. M., Avante, M. L., Tinucci-Costa, M., Carvalho, M., Cassali, G. D., Linde, S. D., Rogatto, S. R. and Laufer-Amorim, R. (2016) 'Downregulation of ATM Gene and Protein Expression in Canine Mammary Tumors', *Veterinary Pathology*, 53(6), pp. 1154-1159.

Rattenborg, T., Gjermandsen, I. and Bonefeld-Jørgensen, E. C. (2002) 'Inhibition of E2-induced expression of BRCA1 by persistent organochlorines', *Breast Cancer Research*, 4, pp. 1-7.

Raval, N., Jogi, H., Gondaliya, P., Kalia, K. and Tekade, R. K. (2019) 'Method and its Composition for encapsulation, stabilization, and delivery of siRNA in Anionic polymeric nanoplex: An In vitro-In vivo Assessment', *Scientific reports*, 9(1), pp. 16047. Ray, U. and Roy, S. S. (2018) 'Aberrant lipid metabolism in cancer cells-the role of oncolipid-activated signaling', *The FEBS journal*, 285(3), pp. 432-443.

Rebbeck, T. R., Mitra, N., Wan, F., Sinilnikova, O. M., Healey, S., McGuffog, L., Mazoyer, S., Chenevix-Trench, G., Easton, D. F. and Antoniou, A. C. (2015) 'Association of type and location of BRCA1 and BRCA2 mutations with risk of breast and ovarian cancer', *Jama*, 313(13), pp. 1347-1361.

Recazens, E., Mouisel, E. and Langin, D. (2021) 'Hormone-sensitive lipase: sixty years later', *Progress in lipid research*, 82, pp. 101084.

Redmond, J., McCarthy, H., Buchanan, P., Levingstone, T. J. and Dunne, N. J. (2021) 'Advances in biofabrication techniques for collagen-based 3D in vitro culture models for breast cancer research', *Materials Science and Engineering: C*, 122, pp. 111944.

Regier, N. and Frey, B. (2010) 'Experimental comparison of relative RT-qPCR quantification approaches for gene expression studies in poplar', *BMC molecular biology*, 11, pp. 1-8.

Rejon, C., Al-Masri, M. and McCaffrey, L. (2016) 'Cell polarity proteins in breast cancer progression', *Journal of cellular biochemistry*, 117(10), pp. 2215-2223.

Remaggi, G., Catanzano, O., Quaglia, F. and Elviri, L. (2022) 'Alginate Self-Crosslinking Ink for 3D Extrusion-Based Cryoprinting and Application for Epirubicin-HCI Delivery on MCF-7 Cells', *Molecules*, 27(3), pp. 882.

Renehan, A. G., Tyson, M., Egger, M., Heller, R. F. and Zwahlen, M. (2008) 'Bodymass index and incidence of cancer: a systematic review and meta-analysis of prospective observational studies', *The lancet*, 371(9612), pp. 569-578.

Ribieras, S., Tomasetto, C. and Rio, M.-C. (1998) 'The pS2/TFF1 trefoil factor, from basic research to clinical applications', *Biochimica et Biophysica Acta (BBA)-Reviews on Cancer*, 1378(1), pp. F61-F77.

Rieder, V., Salama, M., Glöckner, L., Muhr, D., Berger, A., Tea, M. K., Pfeiler, G., Rappaport-Fuerhauser, C., Gschwantler-Kaulich, D. and Weingartshofer, S. (2016) 'Effect of lifestyle and reproductive factors on the onset of breast cancer in female BRCA 1 and 2 mutation carriers', *Molecular genetics & genomic medicine*, 4(2), pp. 172-177.

Rivenbark, A. G., O'Connor, S. M. and Coleman, W. B. (2013) 'Molecular and cellular heterogeneity in breast cancer: challenges for personalized medicine', *The American journal of pathology,* 183(4), pp. 1113-1124.

Rivera-Robles, M. J., Medina-Velázquez, J., Asencio-Torres, G. M., González-Crespo, S., Rymond, B. C., Rodríguez-Medina, J. and Dharmawardhane, S. (2020) 'Targeting Cdc42 with the anticancer compound MBQ-167 inhibits cell polarity and growth in the budding yeast S. cerevisiae', *Small GTPases*, 11(6), pp. 430-440.

Rižner, T. L. and Penning, T. M. (2014) 'Role of aldo-keto reductase family 1 (AKR1) enzymes in human steroid metabolism', *Steroids,* 79, pp. 49-63.

Roberts, S., Peyman, S. and Speirs, V. (2019) 'Current and emerging 3D models to study breast cancer', *Breast Cancer Metastasis and Drug Resistance: Challenges and Progress*, pp. 413-427.

Robinson, G. W. (2007) 'Cooperation of signalling pathways in embryonic mammary gland development', *Nature Reviews Genetics*, 8(12), pp. 963-972.

Rochester, J. R. (2013) 'Bisphenol A and human health: a review of the literature', *Reproductive toxicology,* 42, pp. 132-155.

Rogan, W. J. and Chen, A. (2005) 'Health risks and benefits of bis (4-chlorophenyl)-1, 1, 1-trichloroethane (DDT)', *The Lancet,* 366(9487), pp. 763-773.

Romieu, I., Hernandez-Avila, M., Lazcano-Ponce, E., Weber, J. P. and Dewailly, E. (2000) 'Breast cancer, lactation history, and serum organochlorines', *American Journal of Epidemiology*, 152(4), pp. 363-370.

Rose, A. A., Grosset, A.-A., Dong, Z., Russo, C., MacDonald, P. A., Bertos, N. R., St-Pierre, Y., Simantov, R., Hallett, M. and Park, M. (2010) 'Glycoprotein nonmetastatic B is an independent prognostic indicator of recurrence and a novel therapeutic target in breast cancer', *Clinical cancer research*, 16(7), pp. 2147-2156.

Rose, D. P. and Vona-Davis, L. (2010) 'Interaction between menopausal status and obesity in affecting breast cancer risk', *Maturitas*, 66(1), pp. 33-38.

Rosen, E., Fan, S. and Isaacs, C. (2005) 'BRCA1 in hormonal carcinogenesis: basic and clinical research', *Endocrine-Related Cancer*, 12(3), pp. 533-548.

Rosenfield, R. L., Cooke, D. W. and Radovick, S. (2008) 'Puberty and its disorders in the female', *Pediatric endocrinology*: Elsevier Inc., pp. 530-609.

Routledge, E. J., Parker, J., Odum, J., Ashby, J. and Sumpter, J. P. (1998) 'Some alkyl hydroxy benzoate preservatives (parabens) are estrogenic', *Toxicology and applied pharmacology*, 153(1), pp. 12-19.

Roy, R., Chun, J. and Powell, S. N. (2012) 'BRCA1 and BRCA2: different roles in a common pathway of genome protection', *Nature Reviews Cancer*, 12(1), pp. 68-78.

Rusiecki, J., Denic-Roberts, H., Byrne, C., Cash, J., Raines, C., Brinton, L., Zahm, S., Mason, T., Bonner, M. and Blair, A. (2020) 'Serum concentrations of DDE, PCBs, and other persistent organic pollutants and mammographic breast density in Triana, Alabama, a highly exposed population', *Environmental research*, 182, pp. 109068.

Russnes, H. G., Lingjærde, O. C., Børresen-Dale, A.-L. and Caldas, C. (2017) 'Breast cancer molecular stratification: from intrinsic subtypes to integrative clusters', *The American journal of pathology*, 187(10), pp. 2152-2162.

Russo, J. and Russo, I. H. (2004) 'Development of the human breast', *Maturitas*, 49(1), pp. 2-15.

Russo, J. and Russo, I. H. (2006) 'The role of estrogen in the initiation of breast cancer', *The Journal of steroid biochemistry and molecular biology*, 102(1-5), pp. 89-96.

Russo, J., Snider, K., Pereira, J. S. and Russo, I. H. (2010) 'Estrogen-induced breast cancer is the result of disruption of asymmetric cell division of the stem cell', *Hormone molecular biology and clinical investigation*, 1(2), pp. 53-65.

Russo, M. and Russo, G. L. (2018) 'Autophagy inducers in cancer', *Biochemical pharmacology*, 153, pp. 51-61.

Saadatian-Elahi, M., Norat, T., Goudable, J. and Riboli, E. (2004) 'Biomarkers of dietary fatty acid intake and the risk of breast cancer: A meta-analysis', *International journal of cancer*, 111(4), pp. 584-591.

Sagiv, S. K., Gaudet, M. M., Eng, S. M., Abrahamson, P. E., Shantakumar, S., Teitelbaum, S. L., Britton, J. A., Bell, P., Thomas, J. A. and Neugut, A. I. (2007) 'Active and passive cigarette smoke and breast cancer survival', *Annals of epidemiology,* 17(5), pp. 385-393.

Salguero-Aranda, C., Sancho-Mensat, D., Canals-Lorente, B., Sultan, S., Reginald, A. and Chapman, L. (2019) 'STAT6 knockdown using multiple siRNA sequences inhibits proliferation and induces apoptosis of human colorectal and breast cancer cell lines', *PLoS One,* 14(5), pp. e0207558.

Salim, L., Goss, E. and Desaulniers, J.-P. (2021) 'Synthesis and evaluation of modified siRNA molecules containing a novel glucose derivative', *RSC advances*, 11(16), pp. 9285-9289.

Samavat, H. and Kurzer, M. S. (2015) 'Estrogen metabolism and breast cancer', *Cancer letters*, 356(2), pp. 231-243.

Santiago, J., Simková, M., Silva, J. V., Santos, M. A., Vitku, J. and Fardilha, M. (2024) 'Bisphenol A Negatively Impacts Human Sperm MicroRNA and Protein Profiles', *Exposure and Health*, pp. 1-19.

Santiago-Morales, J., Gómez, M. J., Herrera, S., Fernandez-Alba, A. R., García-Calvo, E. and Rosal, R. (2012) 'Oxidative and photochemical processes for the removal of galaxolide and tonalide from wastewater', *Water Research*, 46(14), pp. 4435-4447.

Sarrigiannidis, S. O., Rey, J. M., Dobre, O., González-García, C., Dalby, M. J. and Salmeron-Sanchez, M. (2021) 'A tough act to follow: Collagen hydrogel modifications to improve mechanical and growth factor loading capabilities', *Materials Today Bio*, 10, pp. 100098.

Satpathi, S., Gaurkar, S. S., Potdukhe, A. and Wanjari, M. B. (2023) 'Unveiling the role of hormonal imbalance in breast cancer development: A comprehensive review', *Cureus*, 15(7).

Sberna, S., Lopez-Hernandez, A., Biancotto, C., Motta, L., Andronache, A., Verhoef, L. G., Caganova, M. and Campaner, S. (2023) 'Identification of BRCC3 and BRCA1 as Regulators of TAZ Stability and Activity', *Cells*, 12(20), pp. 2431.

Schaefer, L. and Reinhardt, D. P. 2016. Extracellular matrix: Therapeutic tools and targets in cancer treatment. Elsevier.

Schindelin, J., Rueden, C. T., Hiner, M. C. and Eliceiri, K. W. (2015) 'The ImageJ ecosystem: An open platform for biomedical image analysis', *Molecular reproduction and development*, 82(7-8), pp. 518-529.

Schlumpf, M., Cotton, B., Conscience, M., Haller, V., Steinmann, B. and Lichtensteiger, W. (2001) 'In vitro and in vivo estrogenicity of UV screens', *Environmental health perspectives*, 109(3), pp. 239-244.

Schlumpf, M., Jarry, H., Wuttke, W., Ma, R. and Lichtensteiger, W. (2004) 'Estrogenic activity and estrogen receptor β binding of the UV filter 3-benzylidene camphor: Comparison with 4-methylbenzylidene camphor', *Toxicology*, 199(2-3), pp. 109-120.

Schmeisser, H., Bekisz, J. and Zoon, K. C. (2014) 'New function of type I IFN: induction of autophagy', *Journal of interferon & cytokine research,* 34(2), pp. 71-78.

Schmitt, C., Oetken, M., Dittberner, O., Wagner, M. and Oehlmann, J. (2008) 'Endocrine modulation and toxic effects of two commonly used UV screens on the aquatic invertebrates Potamopyrgus antipodarum and Lumbriculus variegatus', *Environmental Pollution*, 152(2), pp. 322-329.

Schreurs, R. H., Quaedackers, M. E., Seinen, W. and van der Burg, B. (2002) 'Transcriptional activation of estrogen receptor ER α and ER β by polycyclic musks is cell type dependent', *Toxicology and Applied Pharmacology*, 183(1), pp. 1-9.

Schrijver, L. H., Mooij, T. M., Pijpe, A., Sonke, G. S., Mourits, M. J., Andrieu, N., Antoniou, A. C., Easton, D. F., Engel, C. and Goldgar, D. (2022) 'Oral contraceptive use in BRCA1 and BRCA2 mutation carriers: absolute cancer risks and benefits', *JNCI: Journal of the National Cancer Institute,* 114(4), pp. 540-552.

Schwartz, C. J., Khorsandi, N., Blanco, A., Mukhtar, R. A., Chen, Y.-Y. and Krings, G. (2024) 'Clinicopathologic and genetic analysis of invasive breast carcinomas in women with germline CHEK2 variants', *Breast Cancer Research and Treatment*, 204(1), pp. 171-179.

Sczaniecka, A. K., Brasky, T. M., Lampe, J. W., Patterson, R. E. and White, E. (2012) 'Dietary intake of specific fatty acids and breast cancer risk among postmenopausal women in the VITAL cohort', *Nutrition and cancer*, 64(8), pp. 1131-1142.

Sengelaub, C. A., Navrazhina, K., Ross, J. B., Halberg, N. and Tavazoie, S. F. (2016) 'PTPRN 2 and PLC β1 promote metastatic breast cancer cell migration through PI (4, 5) P2-dependent actin remodeling', *The EMBO journal*, 35(1), pp. 62-76.

Sengupta, S., Obiorah, I., Maximov, P., Curpan, R. and Jordan, V. (2013) 'Molecular mechanism of action of bisphenol and bisphenol A mediated by oestrogen receptor alpha in growth and apoptosis of breast cancer cells', *British journal of pharmacology,* 169(1), pp. 167-178.

Serna-Marquez, N., Diaz-Aragon, R., Reyes-Uribe, E., Cortes-Reynosa, P. and Salazar, E. P. (2017) 'Linoleic acid induces migration and invasion through FFAR4-and PI3K-/Akt-dependent pathway in MDA-MB-231 breast cancer cells', *Medical Oncology*, 34, pp. 1-12.

Sethi, G., Shanmugam, M. K. and Kumar, A. P. (2017) 'SREBP-1c as a molecular bridge between lipogenesis and cell cycle progression of clear cell renal carcinoma', *Bioscience reports,* 37(6).

Setten, R. L., Rossi, J. J. and Han, S.-p. (2019) 'The current state and future directions of RNAi-based therapeutics', *Nature reviews Drug discovery*, 18(6), pp. 421-446.

Shaikh, M. A. J., Alharbi, K. S., Almalki, W. H., Imam, S. S., Albratty, M., Meraya, A. M., Alzarea, S. I., Kazmi, I., Al-Abbasi, F. A. and Afzal, O. (2022) 'Sodium alginate based drug delivery in management of breast cancer', *Carbohydrate polymers*, 292, pp. 119689.

Shao, W. and Espenshade, P. J. (2012) 'Expanding roles for SREBP in metabolism', *Cell metabolism*, 16(4), pp. 414-419.

Sharpe, R. M. and Irvine, D. S. (2004) 'How strong is the evidence of a link between environmental chemicals and adverse effects on human reproductive health?', *Bmj*, 328(7437), pp. 447-451.

Sheldon, L. A. (2017) 'Inhibition of E2F1 activity and cell cycle progression by arsenic via retinoblastoma protein', *Cell cycle*, 16(21), pp. 2058-2072.

Shen, M., Yang, L., Lei, T., Zhang, P., Xiao, L., Cao, S., Chen, F., Li, L., Ye, F. and Bu, H. (2022) 'Correlation between CA12 and TFF3 and their prediction value of neoadjuvant chemotherapy response in breast cancer', *Journal of clinical pharmacy and therapeutics*, 47(5), pp. 609-618.

Shen, M.-C., Zhao, X., Siegal, G. P., Desmond, R. and Hardy, R. W. (2014) 'Dietary stearic acid leads to a reduction of visceral adipose tissue in athymic nude mice', *PLoS one*, 9(9), pp. e104083.

Shen, S.-J., Song, Y., Ren, X.-Y., Xu, Y.-L., Zhou, Y.-D., Liang, Z.-Y. and Sun, Q. (2020) 'MicroRNA-27b-3p promotes tumor progression and metastasis by inhibiting peroxisome proliferator-activated receptor gamma in triple-negative breast cancer', *Frontiers in Oncology,* 10, pp. 1371.

Shi, Q., Ghosh, R. P., Engelke, H., Rycroft, C. H., Cassereau, L., Sethian, J. A., Weaver, V. M. and Liphardt, J. T. (2014) 'Rapid disorganization of mechanically interacting systems of mammary acini', *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 111(2), pp. 658-663.

Shi, Q., Li, Y., Li, S., Jin, L., Lai, H., Wu, Y., Cai, Z., Zhu, M., Li, Q. and Li, Y. (2020) 'LncRNA DILA1 inhibits Cyclin D1 degradation and contributes to tamoxifen resistance in breast cancer', *Nature communications*, 11(1), pp. 5513.

Shiovitz, S. and Korde, L. A. (2015) 'Genetics of breast cancer: a topic in evolution', *Annals of Oncology*, 26(7), pp. 1291-1299.

Shore, A. N., Chang, C.-H., Kwon, O.-J., Weston, M. C., Zhang, M., Xin, L. and Rosen, J. M. (2016) 'PTEN is required to maintain luminal epithelial homeostasis and integrity in the adult mammary gland', *Developmental biology*, 409(1), pp. 202-217.

Sieber, B., Lu, F., Stribbling, S. M., Grieve, A. G., Ryan, A. J. and Freeman, M. (2022) 'iRhom2 regulates ERBB signalling to promote KRAS-driven tumour growth of lung cancer cells', *J Cell Sci*, 135(17).

Sieh, W., Rothstein, J. H., Klein, R. J., Alexeeff, S. E., Sakoda, L. C., Jorgenson, E., McBride, R. B., Graff, R. E., McGuire, V. and Achacoso, N. (2020) 'Identification of 31 loci for mammographic density phenotypes and their associations with breast cancer risk', *Nature communications*, 11(1), pp. 5116.

Siersbæk, R., Scabia, V., Nagarajan, S., Chernukhin, I., Papachristou, E. K., Broome, R., Johnston, S. J., Joosten, S. E. P., Green, A. R., Kumar, S., Jones, J., Omarjee, S., Alvarez-Fernandez, R., Glont, S., Aitken, S. J., Kishore, K., Cheeseman, D., Rakha, E. A., D'Santos, C., Zwart, W., Russell, A., Brisken, C. and Carroll, J. S. (2020) 'IL6/STAT3 Signaling Hijacks Estrogen Receptor α Enhancers to Drive Breast Cancer Metastasis', *Cancer Cell*, 38(3), pp. 412-423.e9. Silva, E., Kabil, A. and Kortenkamp, A. (2010) 'Cross-talk between non-genomic and genomic signalling pathways—distinct effect profiles of environmental estrogens', *Toxicology and applied pharmacology,* 245(2), pp. 160-170.

Silva, E., Rajapakse, N. and Kortenkamp, A. (2002) 'Something from "nothing"– eight weak estrogenic chemicals combined at concentrations below NOECs produce significant mixture effects', *Environmental science & technology*, 36(8), pp. 1751-1756. Silva, E. A. F. (2003) *Understanding the mechanisms underlying the joint action of*

xenoestrogens. University of London, University College London (United Kingdom).

Sim, E. J., Ko, K.-P., Ahn, C., Park, S. M., Surh, Y.-J., An, S., Kim, S.-W., Lee, M.-H., Lee, J. W. and Lee, J. E. (2020) 'Isoflavone intake on the risk of overall breast cancer and molecular subtypes in women at high risk for hereditary breast cancer', *Breast Cancer Research and Treatment*, 184, pp. 615-626.

Simmons, D. B., Marlatt, V., Trudeau, V., Sherry, J. and Metcalfe, C. (2010) 'Interaction of Galaxolide® with the human and trout estrogen receptor-α', *Science of the total environment*, 408(24), pp. 6158-6164.

Simon-Molas, H., Calvo-Vidal, M. N., Castaño, E., Rodríguez-García, A., Navarro-Sabaté, À., Bartrons, R. and Manzano, A. (2016) 'Akt mediates TIGAR induction in HeLa cells following PFKFB 3 inhibition', *FEBS letters,* 590(17), pp. 2915-2926.

Singleton, D. W., Feng, Y., Yang, J., Puga, A., Lee, A. V. and Khan, S. A. (2006) 'Gene expression profiling reveals novel regulation by bisphenol-A in estrogen receptor-α-positive human cells', *Environmental research*, 100(1), pp. 86-92.

Sirek, T., Sirek, A., Borawski, P., Zmarzły, N., Sułkowska, J., Król-Jatręga, K., Opławski, M., Boroń, D., Chalcarz, M., Ossowski, P., Dziobek, K., Strojny, D., Boroń, K., Janiszewska-Bil, D. and Grabarek, B. O. (2024) 'miRNAs in Signal Transduction of SMAD Proteins in Breast Cancer', *Int J Mol Sci,* 25(18).

Sletten, A. C., Davidson, J. W., Yagabasan, B., Moores, S., Schwaiger-Haber, M., Fujiwara, H., Gale, S., Jiang, X., Sidhu, R. and Gelman, S. J. (2021) 'Loss of SNORA73 reprograms cellular metabolism and protects against steatohepatitis', *Nature communications*, 12(1), pp. 5214.

Søeborg, T., Ganderup, N.-C., Kristensen, J. H., Bjerregaard, P., Pedersen, K. L., Bollen, P., Hansen, S. H. and Halling-Sørensen, B. (2006) 'Distribution of the UV filter 3-benzylidene camphor in rat following topical application', *Journal of Chromatography B*, 834(1-2), pp. 117-121. Song, H., Zhang, T., Yang, P., Li, M., Yang, Y., Wang, Y., Du, J., Pan, K. and Zhang, K. (2015) 'Low doses of bisphenol A stimulate the proliferation of breast cancer cells via ERK1/2/ERRγ signals', *Toxicology in vitro*, 30(1), pp. 521-528.

Song, H.-J., Sneddon, A., Heys, S. and Wahle, K. (2012) 'Regulation of fatty acid synthase (FAS) and apoptosis in estrogen-receptor positive and negative breast cancer cells by conjugated linoleic acids', *Prostaglandins, Leukotrienes and Essential Fatty Acids,* 87(6), pp. 197-203.

Song, Y., Yuan, H., Wang, J., Wu, Y., Xiao, Y. and Mao, S. (2020) 'KLHL22 regulates the EMT and proliferation in colorectal cancer cells in part via the Wnt/β-catenin signaling pathway', *Cancer Management and Research*, pp. 3981-3993.

Sørlie, T., Perou, C. M., Tibshirani, R., Aas, T., Geisler, S., Johnsen, H., Hastie, T., Eisen, M. B., Van De Rijn, M. and Jeffrey, S. S. (2001) 'Gene expression patterns of breast carcinomas distinguish tumor subclasses with clinical implications', *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 98(19), pp. 10869-10874.

Sorokin, A. V., Nair, B. C., Wei, Y., Aziz, K. E., Evdokimova, V., Hung, M.-C. and Chen, J. (2015) 'Aberrant expression of proPTPRN2 in cancer cells confers resistance to apoptosis', *Cancer research*, 75(9), pp. 1846-1858.

Soto, A. M. and Sonnenschein, C. (2010) 'Environmental causes of cancer: endocrine disruptors as carcinogens', *Nature Reviews Endocrinology*, 6(7), pp. 363-370.

Soule, H. D., Maloney, T. M., Wolman, S. R., Peterson Jr, W. D., Brenz, R., McGrath, C. M., Russo, J., Pauley, R. J., Jones, R. F. and Brooks, S. (1990) 'Isolation and characterization of a spontaneously immortalized human breast epithelial cell line, MCF-10', *Cancer research*, 50(18), pp. 6075-6086.

Speirs, V., Skliris, G., Burdall, S. and Carder, P. (2002) 'Distinct expression patterns of ER α and ER β in normal human mammary gland', *Journal of clinical pathology*, 55(5), pp. 371.

Sprague, B. L., Trentham-Dietz, A., Hedman, C. J., Wang, J., Hemming, J. D., Hampton, J. M., Buist, D. S., Aiello Bowles, E. J., Sisney, G. S. and Burnside, E. S. (2013) 'Circulating serum xenoestrogens and mammographic breast density', *Breast Cancer Research*, 15(3), pp. 1-8.

Staff, P. O. (2015) 'Correction: Comprehensive profiling of plasma fatty acid concentrations in young healthy canadian adults', *PloS one,* 10(5), pp. e0128167.

Stapleton, H. M., Sjödin, A., Jones, R. S., Niehüser, S., Zhang, Y. and Patterson Jr, D. G. (2008) 'Serum levels of polybrominated diphenyl ethers (PBDEs) in foam recyclers

and carpet installers working in the United States', *Environmental science* & *technology*, 42(9), pp. 3453-3458.

Stordal, B., Harvie, M., Antoniou, M. N., Bellingham, M., Chan, D. S., Darbre, P., Karlsson, O., Kortenkamp, A., Magee, P. and Mandriota, S. (2024) 'Breast cancer risk and prevention in 2024: An overview from the Breast Cancer UK-Breast Cancer Prevention Conference', *Cancer Medicine*, 13(18), pp. e70255.

Stucci, L. S., Internò, V., Tucci, M., Perrone, M., Mannavola, F., Palmirotta, R. and Porta, C. (2021) 'The ATM gene in breast cancer: Its relevance in clinical practice', *Genes*, 12(5), pp. 727.

Subik, K., Lee, J.-F., Baxter, L., Strzepek, T., Costello, D., Crowley, P., Xing, L., Hung, M.-C., Bonfiglio, T. and Hicks, D. G. (2010) 'The expression patterns of ER, PR, HER2, CK5/6, EGFR, Ki-67 and AR by immunohistochemical analysis in breast cancer cell lines', *Breast cancer: basic and clinical research,* 4, pp. 117822341000400004.

Suda, M., Shimizu, I., Katsuumi, G., Hsiao, C. L., Yoshida, Y., Matsumoto, N., Yoshida, Y., Katayama, A., Wada, J., Seki, M., Suzuki, Y., Okuda, S., Ozaki, K., Nakanishi-Matsui, M. and Minamino, T. (2022) 'Glycoprotein nonmetastatic melanoma protein B regulates lysosomal integrity and lifespan of senescent cells', *Scientific Reports*, 12(1), pp. 6522.

Sumner, N. R., Guitart, C., Fuentes, G. and Readman, J. W. (2010) 'Inputs and distributions of synthetic musk fragrances in an estuarine and coastal environment; a case study', *Environmental pollution*, 158(1), pp. 215-222.

Sung, H., Ferlay, J., Siegel, R. L., Laversanne, M., Soerjomataram, I., Jemal, A. and Bray, F. (2021) 'Global cancer statistics 2020: GLOBOCAN estimates of incidence and mortality worldwide for 36 cancers in 185 countries', *CA: a cancer journal for clinicians,* 71(3), pp. 209-249.

Suzuki, T., Kitamura, S., Khota, R., Sugihara, K., Fujimoto, N. and Ohta, S. (2005) 'Estrogenic and antiandrogenic activities of 17 benzophenone derivatives used as UV stabilizers and sunscreens', *Toxicology and applied pharmacology*, 203(1), pp. 9-17.

Sweeney, M. F., Sonnenschein, C. and Soto, A. M. (2018) 'Characterization of MCF-12A cell phenotype, response to estrogens, and growth in 3D', *Cancer cell international*, 18, pp. 1-12.

Taha, A. Y. (2020) 'Linoleic acid–good or bad for the brain?', *NPJ science of food,* 4(1), pp. 1.

Takeuchi, Y., Yahagi, N., Izumida, Y., Nishi, M., Kubota, M., Teraoka, Y., Yamamoto, T., Matsuzaka, T., Nakagawa, Y., Sekiya, M., Iizuka, Y., Ohashi, K., Osuga, J., Gotoda, T., Ishibashi, S., Itaka, K., Kataoka, K., Nagai, R., Yamada, N., Kadowaki, T. and Shimano, H. (2010) 'Polyunsaturated fatty acids selectively suppress sterol regulatory element-binding protein-1 through proteolytic processing and autoloop regulatory circuit', *J Biol Chem*, 285(15), pp. 11681-91.

Tamayo-Angorrilla, M., de Andrés, J. L., Jiménez, G. and Marchal, J. A. (2022) 'The biomimetic extracellular matrix: a therapeutic tool for breast cancer research', *Translational Research*, 247, pp. 117-136.

Tan, J., Wang, D., Dong, W., Nian, L., Zhang, F., Zhao, H., Zhang, J. and Feng, Y. (2024) 'Comprehensive Analysis of CCAAT/Enhancer Binding Protein Family in Ovarian Cancer', *Cancer Informatics*, 23, pp. 11769351241275877.

Tanase, C., Gheorghisan-Galateanu, A.-A., Popescu, I. D., Mihai, S., Codrici, E., Albulescu, R. and Hinescu, M. E. (2020) 'CD36 and CD97 in pancreatic cancer versus other malignancies', *International journal of molecular sciences*, 21(16), pp. 5656.

Tang, M., Zhao, M., Shanshan, Z., Chen, K., Zhang, C. and Liu, W. (2014) 'Assessing the underlying breast cancer risk of Chinese females contributed by dietary intake of residual DDT from agricultural soils', *Environment international*, 73, pp. 208-215.

Tang, T. P., Qin, C. X. and Yu, H. (2021) 'MCM3AP-AS1 regulates proliferation, apoptosis, migration, and invasion of breast cancer cells via binding with ZFP36', *Transl Cancer Res,* 10(10), pp. 4478-4488.

Tao, J., Sun, D., Dong, L., Zhu, H. and Hou, H. (2020a) 'Advancement in research and therapy of NF1 mutant malignant tumors', *Cancer cell international,* 20(1), pp. 492.

Tao, Z., Li, T., Feng, Z., Liu, C., Shao, Y., Zhu, M., Gong, C., Wang, B., Cao, J. and Wang, L. (2020b) 'Characterizations of cancer gene mutations in Chinese metastatic breast cancer patients', *Frontiers in oncology*, 10, pp. 1023.

Tarsounas, M. and Sung, P. (2020) 'The antitumorigenic roles of BRCA1–BARD1 in DNA repair and replication', *Nature Reviews Molecular Cell Biology*, 21(5), pp. 284-299.

Taylor, S. C., Nadeau, K., Abbasi, M., Lachance, C., Nguyen, M. and Fenrich, J. (2019) 'The ultimate qPCR experiment: producing publication quality, reproducible data the first time', *Trends in biotechnology*, 37(7), pp. 761-774.

Tchakarska, G. and Sola, B. (2020) 'The double dealing of cyclin D1', *Cell cycle*, 19(2), pp. 163-178.

Terry, A. R., Nogueira, V., Rho, H., Ramakrishnan, G., Li, J., Kang, S., Pathmasiri, K. C., Bhat, S. A., Jiang, L. and Kuchay, S. (2023) 'CD36 maintains lipid homeostasis via selective uptake of monounsaturated fatty acids during matrix detachment and tumor progression', *Cell Metabolism*, 35(11), pp. 2060-2076. e9.

Teuschler, L. K. and Hertzberg, R. C. (1995) 'Current and future risk assessment guidelines, policy, and methods development for chemical mixtures', *Toxicology*, 105(2-3), pp. 137-144.

Thanos, J., Cotterchio, M., Boucher, B. A., Kreiger, N. and Thompson, L. U. (2006) 'Adolescent dietary phytoestrogen intake and breast cancer risk (Canada)', *Cancer Causes & Control,* 17, pp. 1253-1261.

Thiebaut, C., Vlaeminck-Guillem, V., Trédan, O., Poulard, C. and Le Romancer, M. (2021) 'Non-genomic signaling of steroid receptors in cancer', *Molecular and Cellular Endocrinology*, 538, pp. 111453.

Thiele, J., Ma, Y., Bruekers, S. M., Ma, S. and Huck, W. T. (2014) '25th anniversary article: designer hydrogels for cell cultures: a materials selection guide', *Advanced materials*, 26(1), pp. 125-148.

Thoma, C. R., Zimmermann, M., Agarkova, I., Kelm, J. M. and Krek, W. (2014) '3D cell culture systems modeling tumor growth determinants in cancer target discovery', *Advanced drug delivery reviews,* 69, pp. 29-41.

Thompson, D. and Easton, D. F. (2002) 'Cancer incidence in BRCA1 mutation carriers', *Journal of the National Cancer Institute*, 94(18), pp. 1358-1365.

Thu, K., Soria-Bretones, I., Mak, T. and Cescon, D. (2018) 'Targeting the cell cycle in breast cancer: towards the next phase', *Cell Cycle*, 17(15), pp. 1871-1885.

Tian, W., Alsaadi, R., Guo, Z., Kalinina, A., Carrier, M., Tremblay, M.-E., Lacoste, B., Lagace, D. and Russell, R. C. (2020) 'An antibody for analysis of autophagy induction', *Nature Methods*, 17(2), pp. 232-239.

Tidwell, T. R., Røsland, G. V., Tronstad, K. J., Søreide, K. and Hagland, H. R. (2022) 'Metabolic flux analysis of 3D spheroids reveals significant differences in glucose metabolism from matched 2D cultures of colorectal cancer and pancreatic ductal adenocarcinoma cell lines', *Cancer & metabolism*, 10(1), pp. 9.

Tonini, C., Segatto, M., Gagliardi, S., Bertoli, S., Leone, A., Barberio, L., Mandalà, M. and Pallottini, V. (2020) 'Maternal dietary exposure to low-dose bisphenol a affects metabolic and signaling pathways in the brain of rat fetuses', *Nutrients*, 12(5), pp. 1448.

Tornillo, G., Knowlson, C., Kendrick, H., Cooke, J., Mirza, H., Aurrekoetxea-Rodríguez, I., Vivanco, M. D. M., Buckley, N. E., Grigoriadis, A. and Smalley, M. J. (2018) 'Dual Mechanisms of LYN Kinase Dysregulation Drive Aggressive Behavior in Breast Cancer Cells', *Cell Rep*, 25(13), pp. 3674-3692.e10.

Torres, C. G.-P., Barrios-Rodríguez, R., Muñoz-Bravo, C., Toledo, E., Dierssen, T. and Jiménez-Moleón, J. J. (2023) 'Mediterranean diet and risk of breast cancer: An umbrella review', *Clinical Nutrition*, 42(4), pp. 600-608.

Traber, G. M. and Yu, A.-M. (2023) 'RNAi-based therapeutics and novel RNA bioengineering technologies', *Journal of Pharmacology and Experimental Therapeutics*, 384(1), pp. 133-154.

Trabert, B., Falk, R. T., Figueroa, J. D., Graubard, B. I., Garcia-Closas, M., Lissowska, J., Peplonska, B., Fox, S. D. and Brinton, L. A. (2014) 'Urinary bisphenol A-glucuronide and postmenopausal breast cancer in Poland', *Cancer Causes & Control,* 25, pp. 1587-1593.

Travis, R. C., Reeves, G. K., Green, J., Bull, D., Tipper, S. J., Baker, K., Beral, V., Peto, R., Bell, J. and Zelenika, D. (2010) 'Gene–environment interactions in 7610 women with breast cancer: prospective evidence from the Million Women Study', *The Lancet*, 375(9732), pp. 2143-2151.

Tseng, E., Ramsay, E. A. S. and Morris, M. E. (2004) 'Dietary organic isothiocyanates are cytotoxic in human breast cancer MCF-7 and mammary epithelial MCF-12A cell lines', *Experimental Biology and Medicine*, 229(8), pp. 835-842.

Turati, F., Bravi, F., Rossi, M., Serraino, D., Mattioli, V., Augustin, L., Crispo, A., Giacosa, A., Negri, E. and La Vecchia, C. (2022) 'Diabetes risk reduction diet and the risk of breast cancer', *European Journal of Cancer Prevention*, 31(4), pp. 339-345.

Turati, F., Dalmartello, M., Bravi, F., Serraino, D., Augustin, L., Giacosa, A., Negri, E., Levi, F. and La Vecchia, C. (2020) 'Adherence to the world cancer research fund/american institute for cancer research recommendations and the risk of breast cancer', *Nutrients*, 12(3), pp. 607.

Turnbull, C. and Rahman, N. (2008) 'Genetic predisposition to breast cancer: past, present, and future', *Annu. Rev. Genomics Hum. Genet.*, 9, pp. 321-345.

Vachon, C. M., Van Gils, C. H., Sellers, T. A., Ghosh, K., Pruthi, S., Brandt, K. R. and Pankratz, V. S. (2007) 'Mammographic density, breast cancer risk and risk prediction', *Breast Cancer Research*, 9, pp. 1-9. Vállez-Gomis, V., Benedé, J. L., Lara-Molina, E., López-Nogueroles, M. and Chisvert, A. (2024) 'A miniaturized stir bar sorptive dispersive microextraction method for the determination of bisphenols in follicular fluid using a magnetic covalent organic framework', *Analytica Chimica Acta*, 1289, pp. 342215.

Vallvé, J.-C., Uliaque, K., Girona, J., Cabré, A., Ribalta, J., Heras, M. and Masana, L. s. (2002) 'Unsaturated fatty acids and their oxidation products stimulate CD36 gene expression in human macrophages', *Atherosclerosis*, 164(1), pp. 45-56.

Van Der Burg, B., Schreurs, R., Van Der Linden, S., Seinen, W., Brouwer, A. and Sonneveld, E. (2008) 'Endocrine effects of polycyclic musks: do we smell a rat?', *International journal of andrology,* 31(2), pp. 188-193.

van Rooijen, M. A. and Mensink, R. P. (2020) 'Palmitic acid versus stearic acid: Effects of interesterification and intakes on cardiometabolic risk markers—A systematic review', *Nutrients*, 12(3), pp. 615.

van Seijen, M., Lips, E. H., Thompson, A. M., Nik-Zainal, S., Futreal, A., Hwang, E. S., Verschuur, E., Lane, J., Jonkers, J. and Rea, D. W. (2019) 'Ductal carcinoma in situ: to treat or not to treat, that is the question', *British journal of cancer*, 121(4), pp. 285-292.

Vandenberg, L. N., Maffini, M. V., Wadia, P. R., Sonnenschein, C., Rubin, B. S. and Soto, A. M. (2007) 'Exposure to environmentally relevant doses of the xenoestrogen bisphenol-A alters development of the fetal mouse mammary gland', *Endocrinology*, 148(1), pp. 116-127.

Vander Heiden, M. G., Cantley, L. C. and Thompson, C. B. (2009) 'Understanding the Warburg effect: the metabolic requirements of cell proliferation', *science*, 324(5930), pp. 1029-1033.

Vangaveti, V. N., Jansen, H., Kennedy, R. L. and Malabu, U. H. (2016) 'Hydroxyoctadecadienoic acids: Oxidised derivatives of linoleic acid and their role in inflammation associated with metabolic syndrome and cancer', *European journal of pharmacology*, 785, pp. 70-76.

Varaprasad, K., Karthikeyan, C., Yallapu, M. M. and Sadiku, R. (2022) 'The significance of biomacromolecule alginate for the 3D printing of hydrogels for biomedical applications', *International journal of biological macromolecules,* 212, pp. 561-578.

Varticovski, L., Stavreva, D. A., McGowan, A., Raziuddin, R. and Hager, G. L. (2022) 'Endocrine disruptors of sex hormone activities', *Molecular and Cellular Endocrinology,* 539, pp. 111415.

Vasiljevic, T. and Harner, T. (2021) 'Bisphenol A and its analogues in outdoor and indoor air: Properties, sources and global levels', *Science of the Total Environment,* 789, pp. 148013.

Vendel Nielsen, L., Krogager, T. P., Young, C., Ferreri, C., Chatgilialoglu, C., Nørregaard Jensen, O. and Enghild, J. J. (2013) 'Effects of elaidic acid on lipid metabolism in HepG2 cells, investigated by an integrated approach of lipidomics, transcriptomics and proteomics', *PLoS One*, 8(9), pp. e74283.

Venkitaraman, A. R. (2019) 'How do mutations affecting the breast cancer genes BRCA1 and BRCA2 cause cancer susceptibility?', *DNA repair,* 81, pp. 102668.

Veshkini, A., Ceciliani, F., Bonnet, M. and Hammon, H. M. (2023) 'Review: Effect of essential fatty acids and conjugated linoleic acid on the adaptive physiology of dairy cows during the transition period', *animal*, 17, pp. 100757.

Vidi, P.-A., Bissell, M. J. and Lelièvre, S. A. (2013) 'Three-dimensional culture of human breast epithelial cells: the how and the why', *Epithelial Cell Culture Protocols: Second Edition*, pp. 193-219.

Vincent, K. M., Findlay, S. D. and Postovit, L. M. (2015) 'Assessing breast cancer cell lines as tumour models by comparison of mRNA expression profiles', *Breast Cancer Research*, 17, pp. 1-12.

Vinogradova, Y., Coupland, C. and Hippisley-Cox, J. (2020) 'Use of hormone replacement therapy and risk of breast cancer: nested case-control studies using the QResearch and CPRD databases', *Bmj*, 371.

Visvader, J. E. and Lindeman, G. J. (2003) 'Transcriptional regulators in mammary gland development and cancer', *The international journal of biochemistry & cell biology*, 35(7), pp. 1034-1051.

Vocka, M., Zimovjanova, M., Bielcikova, Z., Tesarova, P., Petruzelka, L., Mateju, M., Krizova, L., Kotlas, J., Soukupova, J. and Janatova, M. (2019) 'Estrogen receptor status oppositely modifies breast cancer prognosis in BRCA1/BRCA2 mutation carriers versus non-carriers', *Cancers*, 11(6), pp. 738.

Vom Saal, F. S., Akingbemi, B. T., Belcher, S. M., Birnbaum, L. S., Crain, D. A., Eriksen, M., Farabollini, F., Guillette Jr, L. J., Hauser, R. and Heindel, J. J. (2007) 'Chapel Hill bisphenol A expert panel consensus statement: integration of mechanisms, effects in

animals and potential to impact human health at current levels of exposure', *Reproductive toxicology*, 24(2), pp. 131-138.

Von Holle, A., Adami, H. O., Baglietto, L., Berrington de Gonzalez, A., Bertrand, K. A.,
Blot, W., Chen, Y., DeHart, J. C., Dossus, L., Eliassen, A. H., Fournier, A., Garcia-Closas, M., Giles, G., Guevara, M., Hankinson, S. E., Heath, A., Jones, M. E., Joshu, C. E., Kaaks, R., Kirsh, V. A., Kitahara, C. M., Koh, W. P., Linet, M. S., Park, H. L.,
Masala, G., Mellemkjaer, L., Milne, R. L., O'Brien, K. M., Palmer, J. R., Riboli, E.,
Rohan, T. E., Shrubsole, M. J., Sund, M., Tamimi, R., Tin Tin, S., Visvanathan, K.,
Vermeulen, R. C., Weiderpass, E., Willett, W. C., Yuan, J. M., Zeleniuch-Jacquotte, A.,
Nichols, H. B., Sandler, D. P., Swerdlow, A. J., Schoemaker, M. J. and Weinberg, C.
R. (2024) 'BMI and breast cancer risk around age at menopause', *Cancer Epidemiol*, 89, pp. 102545.

Wadia, P. R., Cabaton, N. J., Borrero, M. D., Rubin, B. S., Sonnenschein, C., Shioda, T. and Soto, A. M. (2013) 'Low-dose BPA exposure alters the mesenchymal and epithelial transcriptomes of the mouse fetal mammary gland', *PloS one*, 8(5), pp. e63902.

Walimbe, T. and Panitch, A. (2020) 'Best of both hydrogel worlds: Harnessing bioactivity and tunability by incorporating glycosaminoglycans in collagen hydrogels', *Bioengineering*, 7(4), pp. 156.

Wan, M. L. Y., Co, V. A. and El-Nezami, H. (2022) 'Endocrine disrupting chemicals and breast cancer: a systematic review of epidemiological studies', *Critical reviews in food science and nutrition,* 62(24), pp. 6549-6576.

Wang, B. (2012) 'BRCA1 tumor suppressor network: focusing on its tail', *Cell & bioscience*, 2, pp. 1-10.

Wang, C. J., Li, D., Danielson, J. A., Zhang, E. H., Dong, Z., Miller, K. D., Li, L., Zhang, J.-T. and Liu, J.-Y. (2021a) 'Proton pump inhibitors suppress DNA damage repair and sensitize treatment resistance in breast cancer by targeting fatty acid synthase', *Cancer letters*, 509, pp. 1-12.

Wang, G., Gormley, M., Qiao, J., Zhao, Q., Wang, M., Di Sante, G., Deng, S., Dong, L., Pestell, T. and Ju, X. (2018) 'Cyclin D1-mediated microRNA expression signature predicts breast cancer outcome', *Theranostics*, 8(8), pp. 2251.

Wang, J. and Li, Y. (2019) 'CD36 tango in cancer: signaling pathways and functions', *Theranostics*, 9(17), pp. 4893.

Wang, L., Asimakopoulos, A. G. and Kannan, K. (2015) 'Accumulation of 19 environmental phenolic and xenobiotic heterocyclic aromatic compounds in human adipose tissue', *Environment international*, 78, pp. 45-50.

Wang, L. and Di, L.-J. (2014) 'BRCA1 and estrogen/estrogen receptor in breast cancer: where they interact?', *International Journal of Biological Sciences*, 10(5), pp. 566.

Wang, S., Li, H., Liu, X., Yin, T., Li, T., Zheng, M., Liu, M., Meng, X., Zhou, J. and Wang, Y. (2024) 'VHL suppresses UBE3B-mediated breast tumor growth and metastasis', *Cell Death & Disease*, 15(6), pp. 446.

Wang, X., Luo, N., Xu, Z., Zheng, X., Huang, B. and Pan, X. (2020) 'The estrogenic proliferative effects of two alkylphenols and a preliminary mechanism exploration in MCF-7 breast cancer cells', *Environmental toxicology*, 35(5), pp. 628-638.

Wang, X.-q., Xu, S.-w., Wang, W., Piao, S.-z., Mao, X.-I., Zhou, X.-b., Wang, Y., Wu, W.-d., Ye, L.-p. and Li, S.-w. (2021b) 'Identification and Validation of a Novel DNA Damage and DNA Repair Related Genes Based Signature for Colon Cancer Prognosis', *Frontiers in Genetics*, 12.

Wang, Y., Gao, S., Xu, Y., Tang, Z. and Liu, S. (2023) 'Characterization of starvation response-related genes for predicting prognosis in breast cancer', *Cancer Science*, 114(8), pp. 3144-3161.

Wang, Z. (2021) 'Regulation of cell cycle progression by growth factor-induced cell signaling', *Cells*, 10(12), pp. 3327.

Wärri, A., Cook, K. L., Hu, R., Jin, L., Zwart, A., Soto-Pantoja, D. R., Liu, J., Finkel, T. and Clarke, R. (2018) 'Autophagy and unfolded protein response (UPR) regulate mammary gland involution by restraining apoptosis-driven irreversible changes', *Cell Death Discovery*, 4(1), pp. 40.

Weber Lozada, K. and Keri, R. A. (2011) 'Bisphenol A increases mammary cancer risk in two distinct mouse models of breast cancer', *Biology of reproduction*, 85(3), pp. 490-497.

Wei, F., Cheng, H. and Sang, N. (2022) 'Comprehensive assessment of estrogenic activities of parabens by in silico approach and in vitro assays', *Science of The Total Environment*, 845, pp. 157194.

Wei, W., Chen, Z., Zhang, K., Yang, X., Wu, Y., Chen, X., Huang, H., Liu, H., Cai, S. and Du, J. (2014) 'The activation of G protein-coupled receptor 30 (GPR30) inhibits

proliferation of estrogen receptor-negative breast cancer cells in vitro and in vivo', *Cell death & disease*, 5(10), pp. e1428-e1428.

Weigelt, B., Geyer, F. C. and Reis-Filho, J. S. (2010) 'Histological types of breast cancer: how special are they?', *Molecular oncology*, 4(3), pp. 192-208.

Weißenborn, C., Ignatov, T., Ochel, H.-J., Costa, S. D., Zenclussen, A. C., Ignatova, Z. and Ignatov, A. (2014a) 'GPER functions as a tumor suppressor in triple-negative breast cancer cells', *Journal of cancer research and clinical oncology,* 140, pp. 713-723.

Weißenborn, C., Ignatov, T., Poehlmann, A., Wege, A. K., Costa, S. D., Zenclussen, A. C. and Ignatov, A. (2014b) 'GPER functions as a tumor suppressor in MCF-7 and SK-BR-3 breast cancer cells', *Journal of cancer research and clinical oncology,* 140, pp. 663-671.

Wendt, C. and Margolin, S. (2019) 'Identifying breast cancer susceptibility genes–a review of the genetic background in familial breast cancer', *Acta Oncologica*, 58(2), pp. 135-146.

Wenners, A., Hartmann, F., Jochens, A., Roemer, A. M., Alkatout, I., Klapper, W., van Mackelenbergh, M., Mundhenke, C., Jonat, W. and Bauer, M. (2016) 'Stromal markers AKR1C1 and AKR1C2 are prognostic factors in primary human breast cancer', *International journal of clinical oncology*, 21(3), pp. 548-556.

Wessels, D. J., Pradhan, N., Park, Y.-N., Klepitsch, M. A., Lusche, D. F., Daniels, K. J., Conway, K. D., Voss, E. R., Hegde, S. V. and Conway, T. P. (2019) 'Reciprocal signaling and direct physical interactions between fibroblasts and breast cancer cells in a 3D environment', *PloS one,* 14(6), pp. e0218854.

Whelan, J. and Fritsche, K. (2013) 'Linoleic acid', *Advances in nutrition,* 4(3), pp. 311-312.

Wickramasinghe, N., Jo, H., McDonald, J. and Hardy, R. W. (1996) 'Stearate inhibition of breast cancer cell proliferation. A mechanism involving epidermal growth factor receptor and G-proteins', *The American journal of pathology*, 148(3), pp. 987.

Wiggs, A. G., Chandler, J. K., Aktas, A., Sumner, S. J. and Stewart, D. A. (2021) 'The effects of diet and exercise on endogenous estrogens and subsequent breast cancer risk in postmenopausal women', *Frontiers in Endocrinology,* 12, pp. 732255.

Williams, G. P. and Darbre, P. D. (2019) 'Low-dose environmental endocrine disruptors, increase aromatase activity, estradiol biosynthesis and cell proliferation in human breast cells', *Molecular and cellular endocrinology*, 486, pp. 55-64.

Williams, K. J., Argus, J. P., Zhu, Y., Wilks, M. Q., Marbois, B. N., York, A. G., Kidani, Y., Pourzia, A. L., Akhavan, D. and Lisiero, D. N. (2013) 'An essential requirement for the SCAP/SREBP signaling axis to protect cancer cells from lipotoxicity', *Cancer research*, 73(9), pp. 2850-2862.

Winkler, J., Liu, P., Phong, K., Hinrichs, J. H., Ataii, N., Williams, K., Hadler-Olsen, E., Samson, S., Gartner, Z. J. and Fisher, S. (2022) 'Bisphenol A replacement chemicals, BPF and BPS, induce protumorigenic changes in human mammary gland organoid morphology and proteome', *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 119(11), pp. e2115308119.

Witus, S. R., Burrell, A. L., Farrell, D. P., Kang, J., Wang, M., Hansen, J. M., Pravat, A., Tuttle, L. M., Stewart, M. D. and Brzovic, P. S. (2021) 'BRCA1/BARD1 site-specific ubiquitylation of nucleosomal H2A is directed by BARD1', *Nature structural & molecular biology*, 28(3), pp. 268-277.

Wong, C., Lim, G., Gao, F., Jakes, R., Offman, J., Chia, K. and Duffy, S. (2011) 'Mammographic density and its interaction with other breast cancer risk factors in an Asian population', *British journal of cancer*, 104(5), pp. 871-874.

Wong, L. I., Labrecque, M. P., Ibuki, N., Cox, M. E., Elliott, J. E. and Beischlag, T. V. (2015) 'p, p'-Dichlorodiphenyltrichloroethane (p, p'-DDT) and p, p'-dichlorodiphenyldichloroethylene (p, p'-DDE) repress prostate specific antigen levels in human prostate cancer cell lines', *Chemico-biological interactions,* 230, pp. 40-49. World Health Organization (WHO) (2002) 'Global assessment of the state-of-the-

science of endocrine disruptors', International Program on Chemical Safety.

Wormsbaecher, C., Hindman, A. R., Avendano, A., Cortes-Medina, M., Jones, C. E., Bushman, A., Onua, L., Kovalchin, C. E., Murphy, A. R. and Helber, H. L. (2020) 'In utero estrogenic endocrine disruption alters the stroma to increase extracellular matrix density and mammary gland stiffness', *Breast Cancer Research*, 22, pp. 1-12.

Wright, R. M., McManaman, J. L. and Repine, J. E. (1999) 'Alcohol-induced breast cancer: a proposed mechanism', *Free Radical Biology and Medicine*, 26(3-4), pp. 348-354.

Wróbel, A. M. and Gregoraszczuk, E. Ł. (2014) 'Actions of methyl-, propyl-and butylparaben on estrogen receptor- α and- β and the progesterone receptor in MCF-7 cancer cells and non-cancerous MCF-10A cells', *Toxicology letters*, 230(3), pp. 375-381.

Wu, A. H., Franke, A. A., Wilkens, L. R., Tseng, C., Conroy, S. M., Li, Y., Sangaramoorthy, M., Polfus, L. M., DeRouen, M. C. and Caberto, C. (2021) 'Risk of breast cancer and prediagnostic urinary excretion of bisphenol A, triclosan and parabens: The Multiethnic Cohort Study', *International journal of cancer*, 149(7), pp. 1426-1434.

Wu, X., Dong, Z., Wang, C. J., Barlow, L. J., Fako, V., Serrano, M. A., Zou, Y., Liu, J.-Y. and Zhang, J.-T. (2016) 'FASN regulates cellular response to genotoxic treatments by increasing PARP-1 expression and DNA repair activity via NF-κB and SP1', *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 113(45), pp. E6965-E6973.

Wu, X., Qin, L., Fako, V. and Zhang, J.-T. (2014) 'Molecular mechanisms of fatty acid synthase (FASN)-mediated resistance to anti-cancer treatments', *Advances in biological regulation*, 54, pp. 214-221.

Wu, Z., He, C., Han, W., Song, J., Li, H., Zhang, Y., Jing, X. and Wu, W. (2020) 'Exposure pathways, levels and toxicity of polybrominated diphenyl ethers in humans: A review', *Environmental research*, 187, pp. 109531.

Xiang, T., Jia, Y., Sherris, D., Li, S., Wang, H., Lu, D. and Yang, Q. (2011) 'Targeting the Akt/mTOR pathway in Brca1-deficient cancers', *Oncogene*, 30(21), pp. 2443-2450. Xie, B., Tan, G., Ren, J., Lu, W., Pervaz, S., Ren, X., Otoo, A. A., Tang, J., Li, F. and Wang, Y. (2022) 'RB1 is an immune-related prognostic biomarker for ovarian cancer', *Frontiers in Oncology*, 12, pp. 830908.

Xu, S., Chen, T., Dong, L., Li, T., Xue, H., Gao, B., Ding, X., Wang, H. and Li, H. (2021) 'Fatty acid synthase promotes breast cancer metastasis by mediating changes in fatty acid metabolism', *Oncology letters*, 21(1), pp. 1-1.

Xu, W., Wang, Y., Wang, Y., Lv, S., Xu, X. and Dong, X. (2019) 'Screening of differentially expressed genes and identification of NUF2 as a prognostic marker in breast cancer', *International journal of molecular medicine*, 44(2), pp. 390-404.

Yakimchuk, K., Jondal, M. and Okret, S. (2013) 'Estrogen receptor α and β in the normal immune system and in lymphoid malignancies', *Molecular and cellular endocrinology*, 375(1-2), pp. 121-129.

Yang, B., Ren, X.-L., Fu, Y.-Q., Gao, J.-L. and Li, D. (2014) 'Ratio of n-3/n-6 PUFAs and risk of breast cancer: a meta-analysis of 274135 adult females from 11 independent prospective studies', *BMC cancer*, 14, pp. 1-14.

Yang, X., Okamura, D. M., Lu, X., Chen, Y., Moorhead, J., Varghese, Z. and Ruan, X. Z. (2017) 'CD36 in chronic kidney disease: novel insights and therapeutic opportunities', *Nature Reviews Nephrology*, 13(12), pp. 769-781.

Yanochko, G. M. and Eckhart, W. (2006) 'Type I insulin-like growth factor receptor over-expression induces proliferation and anti-apoptotic signaling in a three-dimensional culture model of breast epithelial cells', *Breast cancer research,* 8, pp. 1-13.

Yao, Y., Gu, X., Xu, X., Ge, S. and Jia, R. (2022) 'Novel insights into RB1 mutation', *Cancer Letters*, 547, pp. 215870.

Ye, X., Bishop, A. M., Needham, L. L. and Calafat, A. M. (2008) 'Automated on-line column-switching HPLC-MS/MS method with peak focusing for measuring parabens, triclosan, and other environmental phenols in human milk', *Analytica chimica acta*, 622(1-2), pp. 150-156.

Ye, X., Kuklenyik, Z., Bishop, A. M., Needham, L. L. and Calafat, A. M. (2006) 'Quantification of the urinary concentrations of parabens in humans by on-line solid phase extraction-high performance liquid chromatography–isotope dilution tandem mass spectrometry', *Journal of Chromatography B*, 844(1), pp. 53-59.

Yedjou, C. G., Sims, J. N., Miele, L., Noubissi, F., Lowe, L., Fonseca, D. D., Alo, R. A., Payton, M. and Tchounwou, P. B. (2019) 'Health and racial disparity in breast cancer', *Breast cancer metastasis and drug resistance: challenges and progress*, pp. 31-49.

Yipei, Y., Zhilin, L., Yuhong, L., Meng, W., Huijun, W., Chang, S. and Yan, H. (2022) 'Assessing the risk of diabetes in participants with DDT DDE exposure-A systematic review and meta-analysis', *Environmental Research*, 210, pp. 113018.

Yoshida, K. and Miki, Y. (2004) 'Role of BRCA1 and BRCA2 as regulators of DNA repair, transcription, and cell cycle in response to DNA damage', *Cancer science*, 95(11), pp. 866-871.

Yoshino, Y., Fang, Z., Qi, H., Kobayashi, A. and Chiba, N. (2021) 'Dysregulation of the centrosome induced by BRCA1 deficiency contributes to tissue-specific carcinogenesis', *Cancer science*, 112(5), pp. 1679-1687.

Yu, M., Xu, L., Lei, B., Sun, S. and Yang, Y. (2023) 'Tetrachlorobisphenol A and bisphenol AF induced cell migration by activating PI3K/Akt signaling pathway via G protein-coupled estrogen receptor 1 in SK-BR-3 cells', *Environmental Toxicology*, 38(1), pp. 126-135.

Yu, M.-H., Tsunoda, H. and Tsunoda, M. (2011) *Environmental Toxicology: Biological and Health Effects of Pollutants.* CRC Press.

Yuan, Y., Chen, Q., Ding, X., Zhong, Q. and Zhong, X. (2024) 'Endocrine disrupting chemical bisphenol a and its association with cancer mortality: a prospective cohort study of NHANES', *Frontiers in Public Health*, 12, pp. 1341789.

Yue, W., Yager, J. D., Wang, J.-P., Jupe, E. R. and Santen, R. J. (2013) 'Estrogen receptor-dependent and independent mechanisms of breast cancer carcinogenesis', *Steroids*, 78(2), pp. 161-170.

Zagami, P. and Carey, L. A. (2022) 'Triple negative breast cancer: Pitfalls and progress', *NPJ breast cancer*, 8(1), pp. 95.

Zahra, A., Kerslake, R., Kyrou, I., Randeva, H. S., Sisu, C. and Karteris, E. (2022) 'Impact of environmentally relevant concentrations of bisphenol A (BPA) on the gene expression profile in an in vitro model of the normal human ovary', *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*, 23(10), pp. 5334.

Zaidi, N., Lupien, L., Kuemmerle, N. B., Kinlaw, W. B., Swinnen, J. V. and Smans, K. (2013) 'Lipogenesis and lipolysis: the pathways exploited by the cancer cells to acquire fatty acids', *Progress in lipid research*, 52(4), pp. 585-589.

Zeinomar, N., Knight, J. A., Genkinger, J. M., Phillips, K.-A., Daly, M. B., Milne, R. L., Dite, G. S., Kehm, R. D., Liao, Y. and Southey, M. C. (2019) 'Alcohol consumption, cigarette smoking, and familial breast cancer risk: findings from the Prospective Family Study Cohort (ProF-SC)', *Breast Cancer Research*, 21, pp. 1-14.

Zhai, Z., Keereetaweep, J., Liu, H., Xu, C. and Shanklin, J. (2021) 'The role of sugar signaling in regulating plant fatty acid synthesis', *Frontiers in Plant Science*, 12, pp. 643843.

Zhang, B., He, Y., Zhu, H., Huang, X., Bai, X., Kannan, K. and Zhang, T. (2020) 'Concentrations of bisphenol A and its alternatives in paired maternal–fetal urine, serum and amniotic fluid from an e-waste dismantling area in China', *Environment international*, 136, pp. 105407.

Zhang, D., Tai, L. K., Wong, L. L., Chiu, L.-L., Sethi, S. K. and Koay, E. S. (2005) 'Proteomic study reveals that proteins involved in metabolic and detoxification pathways are highly expressed in HER-2/neu-positive breast cancer', *Molecular & Cellular Proteomics*, 4(11), pp. 1686-1696.

Zhang, J., Guo, F., Li, C., Wang, Y., Wang, J., Sun, F., Zhou, Y., Ma, F., Zhang, B. and Qian, H. (2023) 'Loss of TTC17 promotes breast cancer metastasis through

RAP1/CDC42 signaling and sensitizes it to rapamycin and paclitaxel', *Cell & Bioscience*, 13(1), pp. 50.

Zhang, J. and Powell, S. N. (2005) 'The role of the BRCA1 tumor suppressor in DNA double-strand break repair', *Molecular Cancer Research*, 3(10), pp. 531-539.

Zhang, K.-S., Zhou, Q., Wang, Y.-F. and Liang, L.-J. (2013) 'Inhibition of Wnt signaling induces cell apoptosis and suppresses cell proliferation in cholangiocarcinoma cells', *Oncology reports*, 30(3), pp. 1430-1438.

Zhang, M. M., Bahal, R., Rasmussen, T. P., Manautou, J. E. and Zhong, X.-b. (2021a) 'The growth of siRNA-based therapeutics: Updated clinical studies', *Biochemical pharmacology*, 189, pp. 114432.

Zhang, T., Liu, J., Wang, J. and Zhang, C. (2024a) 'Overexpression of KLHL22 correlates with poor prognosis in patients with triple-negative breast cancer', *Transl Cancer Res*, 13(2), pp. 798-807.

Zhang, W., Li, Y., Wang, T., Zhang, X., Zhang, J., Ji, X. and Lu, L. (2024b) 'Distribution and potential risk factors of bisphenol a in serum and urine among Chinese from 2004 to 2019', *Frontiers in Public Health*, 12, pp. 1196248.

Zhang, X. L., Liu, N., Weng, S. F. and Wang, H. S. (2016) 'Bisphenol A increases the migration and invasion of triple-negative breast cancer cells via oestrogen-related receptor gamma', *Basic & clinical pharmacology & toxicology*, 119(4), pp. 389-395.

Zhang, Y., Yang, W. k., Wen, G. m., Tang, H., Wu, C. a., Wu, Y. x., Jing, Z. I., Tang, M. s., Liu, G. I. and Li, D. z. (2019) 'High expression of PRKDC promotes breast cancer cell growth via p38 MAPK signaling and is associated with poor survival', *Molecular genetics & genomic medicine*, 7(11), pp. e908.

Zhang, Y.-F., Ren, X.-M., Li, Y.-Y., Yao, X.-F., Li, C.-H., Qin, Z.-F. and Guo, L.-H. (2018) 'Bisphenol A alternatives bisphenol S and bisphenol F interfere with thyroid hormone signaling pathway in vitro and in vivo', *Environmental Pollution*, 237, pp. 1072-1079.

Zhang, Z., Qiu, X., Yan, Y., Liang, Q., Cai, Y., Peng, B., Xu, Z. and Xia, F. (2021b) 'Evaluation of ferroptosis-related gene AKR1C1 as a novel biomarker associated with the immune microenvironment and prognosis in breast cancer', *International Journal of General Medicine*, 14, pp. 6189.

Zhang, Z.-L., Ho, S. C., Liu, K.-Y., Mo, X.-F., Feng, X.-L., Li, L. and Zhang, C.-X. (2022) 'Association of dietary intake of n-3 polyunsaturated fatty acids with breast cancer risk in pre-and postmenopausal Chinese women', *Menopause*, 29(8), pp. 932-943. Zhao, W., Li, B., Zhang, M., Zhou, P. and Zhu, Y. (2024) 'As a novel prognostic model for breast cancer, the identification and validation of telomere-related long noncoding RNA signatures', *World Journal of Surgical Oncology*, 22(1), pp. 245.

Zhao, Y., Li, H., Zhang, Y., Li, L., Fang, R., Li, Y., Liu, Q., Zhang, W., Qiu, L. and Liu, F. (2016) 'Oncoprotein HBXIP modulates abnormal lipid metabolism and growth of breast cancer cells by activating the LXRs/SREBP-1c/FAS signaling cascade', *Cancer research*, 76(16), pp. 4696-4707.

Zhong, Q.-H., Lau, A. T. Y. and Xu, Y.-M. (2024) 'Mitogen-Activated Protein Kinase 15 Is a New Predictive Biomarker and Potential Therapeutic Target for Ovarian Cancer', *International Journal of Molecular Sciences*, 25(1), pp. 109.

Zhou, Y., Wang, T., Zhai, S., Li, W. and Meng, Q. (2016) 'Linoleic acid and breast cancer risk: a meta-analysis', *Public Health Nutrition*, 19(8), pp. 1457-1463.

Zock, P. L. and Katan, M. B. (1998) 'Linoleic acid intake and cancer risk: a review and meta-analysis', *The American journal of clinical nutrition,* 68(1), pp. 142-153.