

# A SURVEY OF SIMULATION TECHNIQUES IN COMMERCE AND DEFENCE

*Dr. Tillal Eldabi*

Brunel Business School  
Brunel University, West London  
Middlesex, UB8 3PH, UK

*Dr. Mohsen Jahangirian*

*Dr. Aisha Naseer*

*Dr. Lampros K Stergioulas*

*Prof. Terry Young*

School of Information Systems, Computing and Mathematics  
Brunel University, West London  
Middlesex, UB8 3PH, UK

*Dr. Navonil Mustafee*

Warwick Business School  
The University of Warwick  
Coventry, CV4 7AL UK

## **ABSTRACT:**

*Despite the developments in Modelling and Simulation (M&S) tools and techniques over the past years, there has been a gap in the M&S research and practice in healthcare on developing a toolkit to assist the modellers and simulation practitioners with selecting an appropriate set of techniques. This study is a preliminary step towards this goal. This paper presents some results from a systematic literature survey on applications of M&S in the commerce and defence domains that could inspire some improvements in the healthcare. Interim results show that in the commercial sector Discrete-Event Simulation (DES) has been the most widely used technique with System Dynamics (SD) in second place. However in the defence sector, SD has gained relatively more attention. SD has been found quite useful for qualitative and soft factors analysis. From both the surveys it becomes clear that there is a growing trend towards using hybrid M&S approaches.*

junction, would have potential effects on the traffic conditions within larger vicinity. Moreover, in order to convert best local practice into national policy, one must understand the impact that changes at one level of management will have on other levels. Clearly, there are tools that could be used at every level, but there is no systematic way of selecting the best tool, and crucially, there is no means of connecting up tools to understand the inter-relations between the different layers of the systems. The RIGHT project (Research into Global Healthcare Tools) [see [www.right.org.uk](http://www.right.org.uk) for more details] aims at addressing such challenges by providing a framework toolkit, that would ultimately enable users to assess their scenarios and resources in accordance to the available (M&S) methods in order to select an appropriate method which would best suit their needs.

As an initial phase for this project, several literature surveys have been conducted to analyse an overlap or gap in the application of M&S techniques in various domains. This was to assess the applicability of the M&S techniques used in non-healthcare to the healthcare domain. Out of the several literature surveys conducted in various domains/sectors, two are discussed in this paper namely simulation and modelling in commerce and defence. This paper presents initial findings of a systematic literature search that aims to identify individual capabilities of different simulation techniques and tools used in these sectors. The research ultimately aims to identify simulation methods that may be implemented for healthcare improvements by discovering problem-oriented patterns of use from other mainstream application domains, such as business, manufacturing, and military (a useful discussion on this possibility can

## **1 INTRODUCTION**

Simulation and Modelling (M&S) has been applied to various sectors of life, ranging from management and business to defence and government, one can see a wide spectrum of successful M&S applications. However, addressing the management aspect of healthcare through simulation is an interesting challenge. A critical problem in the modelling and simulation of large systems, such as public organizations, is that changes to one part of the system would impact unexpectedly to other areas. For instance, traffic simulations in which any changes to one part of the system, e.g., the traffic light timing at one



articles. The abstracts of all these 1300 papers were read and 398 abstracts were found suitable for inclusion in the literature review. The abstracts were read with the aim to extract three specific information attributes, namely, the simulation technique that was used, the industry sector where simulation was applied and the purpose of applying simulation. A detailed description of the literature review methodology can be found in (RIGHT, 2007). Table 1 shows a subset of this categorization, covering the simulation and modelling techniques, industry sectors and purposes of simulation that were identified to be important in this initial phase of literature review.

### 3.2. PRELIMINARY RESULTS

Based on impact and frequency of applications, a relatively small number of simulation techniques were identified as important, mainly comprising of mainstream simulation methods as well as emerging techniques and hybrid approaches:

**Table 1: Simulation techniques applied in manufacturing and business**

| Technique                              | Industry sector              | Purpose of application  |
|--|------------------------------|---|
| <b>Discrete-Event Simulation (DES)</b> | Semi-conductor Manufacturing | Scheduling, Process Improvements, inventory management,                       |
|  | Automobile                   | Buffer size optimization, Business process improvement*, inventory management |
|  | Job Shops & FMS              | Production Planning, Scheduling, Batch Sizing, Inventory Management           |
|  | Transportation               | Truck dispatching   |
| <b>Continuous Simulation</b>           | Aluminium                    | Production and Inventory Management   |
|  | Software Development         | Knowledge Management  |
|  | Semi-conductor Manufacturing | Supply Chain Management,, Industrial Development                              |

|   |                              |  |
|---|------------------------------|--|
| <b>System Dynamics (SD)</b>   | Generic Part Manufacturing   | Strategy Development, Organizational Design, Production & Inventory Management, Innovation & Knowledge Creation, Supply Chain Management |
|   | Pharmaceutical               | Organizational Performance Improvement   |
|   | Consulting                   | Organizational Learning  |
|   | Automotive                   | Process Improvement, Industrial Development  |
|   | Electricity Generation       | Asset Management Strategy  |
|   | Financial & Insurance        | Performance Measurement  |
|   | Software Development         | Project Management   |
|   | Information & communication  | Strategy Development, Knowledge Management   |
| <b>Agent-Based Simulation (ABS)</b>                                   | Generic Part Manufacturing   | Mass Customization, Supply Chain Management, Organizational Design, Process Improvement  |
| <b>Simulation Gaming</b>  | Financial & Insurance        | Strategic Management, Capacity Adjustment  |
|   | Wholesale & Retail Trade     | Supply Chain Management  |
|   | Education                    | Teaching Management courses  |
| <b>Hybrid Approaches (DES and SD)</b>                                 | Semi-conductor Manufacturing | Resource Allocation,   |
|   | Generic Part Manufacturing   | Production Planning  |
| <b>Hybrid Approaches (Simulation and other Analytical Techniques)</b> | Airline                      | Dynamic Resource Allocation  |
|   | Software Development         | Multi-Project Management   |
|   | Generic Part Manufacturing   | Resource Allocation, Logistics System Design, Assembly Line Balancing, Inventory Management, Supply Chain Management                     |

The preliminary results of our survey show that the **Discrete-Event Simulation** (DES) has been applied in 45% of the articles reviewed and therefore it is considered as the most widely used technique in the manufacturing area mainly for testing different strategies for scheduling industrial machines (see Van Der Zee, 2007, Elleuch et al., 2007, Kumar and Rajotia, 2006, Gupta and Sivakumar, 2005, Barua et al., 2005 as the examples of the most recent articles), improving the business processes (see Rhee, 2004, Volkner, 2002), increasing the resource efficiency (see Masmoudi et al., 2006, ), increasing the supply chain performance and decreasing the inventory costs (see Chan and Chan, 2006, Byrne and Heavey, 2006, Marseguerra and Podofillini, 2005), and enhancing the production plans (see Moon and Phatak, 2005). DES has also gained a good amount of attention from the transportation industry in domains such as efficiency improvement by balancing the schedule of dispatching trucks (see Feng and Wu, 2006, Shi et al., 2005).

**Continuous Simulation** is used for production and inventory management in aluminium industry, and also to study knowledge flow in a visualized network and to develop strategies for adapting networks to changing conditions in software development industry (see Zhuge, 2006, Arer and Ozdemirel, 1999).

**System Dynamics** (SD) has been the second most widely simulation technique being applied in the manufacturing and business fields, based on our survey that assigns 21% popularity rate to the SD. Its use has mainly centred around policy and strategy development (see Davis et al., 2007, Wenzler, 2005, Jan and Chen, 2005, Yim et al., 2004), although there have been other applications in such domains as Supply Chain Management (SCM) (see Fiala, 2005, Georgiadis and Vlachos, 2004, Anderson et al., 2000), organizational design (see Schwaninger et al., 2006), knowledge management and organizational learning (see Galanakis, 2006, Eskinasi and Fokkema, 2006, Yim et al., 2004), process improvements (see Chatha and Weston, 2005), as well as project management (see Eden et al., 2005, Alexandre and Rodrigues, 2005, Lee and Miller, 2004). A wide range of industries have adopted SD, including semi-conductor manufacturing, Automotive, Pharmaceuticals, Utility companies, as well as some service industries such as Insurance, Consulting, Software Development, and Telecommunications. It's clear from the literature that SD has been found quite useful for qualitative and soft factors analysis.

In this pilot literature survey of simulation methods in manufacturing and business, it has been found that **Agent-Based Simulation** (ABS)

has been applied in the generic part manufacturing industry to develop some agent-based concepts and models such as the concept of 'Smart Product' in a mass-customized manufacturing environment where different types of products need to compete for the limited resources (Simao et al., 2006). Another concept is the 'autonomous agents embedded with a trust mechanism' which models and assesses trustworthiness of the partners in a supply-chain (Lin et al., 2005). ABS is also appropriate for organizational design and behavioural modelling in the organizations (see Hill et al., 2005, Rivkin and Siggelkow, 2003).

**Simulation Gaming** is another technique that is receiving an increasing amount of attention particularly from the education industry and has been applied for such areas as management training (see Arunachalam and Sadeh 2005) and strategy development (see Hoogeweegen et al., 2006). Simulation gaming has also shown its practical use where there are some pre-developed simulation games for specific industries such as insurance, financial services, or supply chains.

There is a growing trend towards the development of **Hybrid Simulation** techniques whether by bringing together various simulation techniques (e.g. DES and SD) or by combining simulation with other approaches (e.g. Expert Systems, meta-heuristics, or other analytical techniques). We put an emphasis on the DES/SD hybrid approach based on the complementary potential they could have, aiming to address the healthcare systems. However, the literature exhibits few cases of such combination meaning the concept is still in its infancy. The existing research has focused on the concept of 'Enterprise Modelling & Simulation' where the impact of production decisions, evaluated using discrete-event-simulation (DES) models, will be investigated on enterprise-level performance measures. The SD simulation captures long-term effects of these decisions, in overall terms that are appropriate for higher management levels, while DES provides detailed analyses of the shorter-term decisions and actions (Rabelo et al., 2005). Another example of such integration can be seen in the form of a hierarchical production planning architecture consisting of system dynamics (SD) components for the enterprise level planning, and discrete event simulation (DES) components for the shop-level scheduling (Venkateswaran, et al. 2004). We believe this line of research will hold promise during the next decade.

The combination of simulation and other analytical approaches seems more widespread throughout the literature. Such techniques as Inventory Control Models, Meta-heuristics, Critical Path Method (CPM), Expert Systems, and Artificial Neural

Networks (ANN) have been used in conjunction with simulation mainly to address the optimality.

## 4 MILITARY AND AEROSPACE

### 4.1. LITERATURE SURVEY METHOD

In order to conduct literature search in the area of aerospace and military, the Boolean keyword combination of “(simulat\* OR ‘system dynamics’) AND (aerospace OR military)” was used. The search results were further refined using the Scopus searching tools such as ‘Limit to’ as well as the ‘CiteSpace’. In literature search for military and aerospace, CiteSpace was used for a purpose similar to that mentioned in section 3. As mentioned earlier, Figure 2 illustrates one snapshot of the results from CiteSpace that shows cluster of rings joined together representing the keywords, the frequency of their occurrence and their respective links to other keywords. Consequently, this visualization helps us to distinguish between the relevant and irrelevant chunks of academic articles based on the authors’ keywords.

The search process for military and aerospace returned around 900 (approx.) articles. As number of the resulted articles did not exceed 1000, therefore no further systematic filtering was employed. The abstracts of all these 900 papers (approx.) were read and out of these, 300 abstracts were found suitable to be passed on to the next stage of full-text reading of literature review. Similar to the case of manufacturing and business, these abstracts were read with an aim to extract three specific pieces of information (attributes) namely, the simulation technique used, the industry sector where simulation was applied and the purpose of applying simulation. Table 2 shows a subset of this categorization, covering the simulation and modelling techniques, industry sectors and purposes of simulation that were identified to be important in this initial phase of literature review.

### 4.2. PRELIMINARY RESULTS

Based on the real-world problems with stakeholders’ engagements only a few direct implementations of simulation techniques were found as significant, mainly comprising of the contemporary simulation methods as well as emerging techniques and hybrid approaches:

**Table 2: Simulation techniques applied for various purposes in aerospace and military**

| Technique  | Industry sector      | Purpose of application   |
|--|----------------------|--|
| <b>System Dynamics (SD)</b>  | Military             | Weapon System Development, Non-real and Real-time Dynamic Simulations, rapid assessment of the outcome of major Land and/or Air conflicts, train leaders to make effective decisions in turbulent environments |
|  | Aerospace /Aviation  | Free Flight Simulations, Human-in-the-Loop simulation experiments  |
| <b>Discrete-Event Simulation (DES)</b>                                 | Military             | Improving border control and security system, providing insights into the geomatics division workflow process and to estimate the system performance measures, estimating availability of the weapon systems   |
| <b>Advanced Distributed Simulation (ADS)</b>                           | Military             | Simulation Based Training (SBT), Semi-automated Forces (SAFs), defense training applications such as training commanders in a given battlefield scenario   |
| <b>Agent-Based Simulation (ABS) or Agent Directed Simulation (ADS)</b> | Aerospace / Aviation | Examining pilot retention issues   |
|  | Military             | Management of military missions that utilize intelligent munitions, solving dynamic teaming and task allocation problems   |
| <b>War Gaming (WG)</b>   | Military             | Virtuous War, Designing Military Simulations to depict an actual or assumed real life situation, Combat Planning   |
| <b>Real-time Simulation (RT)</b>                                       | Military             | Behavior modelling and representation of military objects to sense, reason and act in virtual environments   |
|  | Aerospace / Aviation | Free Flight Simulations, Human-in-the-Loop simulation experiments  |
| <b>Stochastic Petri Nets</b>   | Military             | Policy distribution and network provisioning to maintain a logically centralized control of the network as a whole, while allowing a physically decentralized and self-managing implementation                 |
| <b>Hybrid Approach (RT and SD)</b>                                     | Aerospace / Aviation | Support for the development, verification and operation of the space station robotic systems, Free Flight Simulations, Human-in-the-Loop simulation experiments  |
| <b>Hybrid Approach (SD and War Gaming)</b>                             | Military             | Land and/or Air modelling for future warfare, Combat Planning  |
| <b>Hybrid Approach (RT and War Gaming)</b>                             | Military             | Free Flight Simulations, Human-in-the-Loop simulation experiments  |

**System Dynamics (SD)** has been applied in both areas of defense (military and aerospace). However, this technique is most widely used in the military domain as compared to that of the aerospace and aviation. It has been used mainly for rapid assessment of the outcome of major land and/or air conflicts (Moffat, 1996), train leaders to make effective decisions in turbulent environments (Hunsaker, 2007), weapon system development (Jan and Jan, 2000). SD has also been used in the non-real and real-time dynamic simulations. In aerospace and aviation, SD has been used for free flight simulations and human-in-the-loop simulation experiments.

**Discrete-Event Simulation (DES)** has been used in military for improving border control and security system (Celik and Sabuncuoglu, 2007), for providing insights into the geomatics division workflow process and to estimate the system performance measures (Ghanmi, 2006). DES has also been used for estimating the availability of weapon systems to the military and for modelling the progress of a complex design project (Cho and Eppinger, 2005).

**Advanced Distributed Simulation (ADS)** is one of the simulation techniques applied quite frequently in military simulation such as for Simulation Based Training (SBT), Semi-automated Forces (SAFs), defense training applications such as training commanders in a given battlefield scenario, etc. (Wilcox et al., 2000).

**Agent-Based Simulation or Agent Directed Simulation (ADS)** has been used in aerospace/aviation for the examining pilot retention issues. In military it has been used for the management of military missions that utilize intelligent munitions, multi-agent simulations are carried out for solving dynamic teaming and task allocation problems (Altenburg et al., 2002). Agent-based simulation has not been applied heavily in any of the aerospace or military domains.

**War Gaming** is one of the most popular techniques used in the military domain for conducting / organizing virtuous wars, designing military simulations to depict an actual or assumed real life situation and for combat planning (Power, 2007).

**Real-time Simulation** has been applied in the military for behavior modelling and representation of military objects to sense, reason and act in virtual environments (Shen and Zhou, 2006). Also in the aerospace / aviation domain it has been used for conducting free flight and human-in-the-loop simulation experiments (Ruigrok and Hoekstra, 2007).

**Stochastic Petri Nets** have been rarely used in the military. They have been used for limited operations such as for policy distribution and network provisioning to maintain a logically centralized control of the network as a whole, while allowing a physically decentralized and self-managing implementation (Phanse et al., 2006).

There has been an interesting trend in terms of merging two or more simulation techniques to carry integrated or hybrid simulations. Both in aerospace and military, the hybrid simulation approaches have been successfully deployed to carryout customized simulations. Few of those are further listed:

**RT & SD Hybrid Approach** (Real-time Simulation and System Dynamics) have been applied in the aerospace / aviation industry for supporting the development, verification and operation of the space station robotic systems. The hybrid of real-time simulation and SD has also been applied to free flight simulations and human-in-the-loop simulation experiments (MacLean and Carr, 1997).

**SD & WG Hybrid Approach** (System Dynamics and War Gaming) has been a popular integration. It is a relatively new approach for land and/or air modelling for future warfare and also for combat planning based on the use of System Dynamics and War gaming. These techniques have been often used in conjunction implicitly (Moffat, 1996), (Hunsaker, 2007), but not explicitly mentioned.

**RT & WG Hybrid Approach** (Real-time Simulation and War Gaming) has been another popular merger. These have been used in the military for free flight and human-in-the-loop simulation experiments. Similar to the SD & WG hybrid approach these two techniques are also quite often used in a hybrid manner (Power, 2007), but not mentioned explicitly.

## 5 CONCLUSIONS

Addressing the management aspect of healthcare through simulation is an interesting challenge. A critical problem in the M&S of large systems, such as public organizations, is that changes to one part of the system would impact unexpectedly to other areas. Despite a relatively rich set of computer simulation tools and techniques developed over the past 60-70 years, there is currently a lag in the modelling and simulation research and practice in healthcare on developing a toolkit to assist the modellers and simulation practitioners with selecting the appropriate set of techniques. We also believe that the applications of M&S in other areas such as in commercial and defence sectors

make inspirations for improvements in the healthcare domain.

The first survey of simulation and modelling in the areas of business and manufacturing showed that the Discrete-Event Simulation (DES) has been the most widely used technique with System Dynamics (SD) in the second place. It's clear from the literature that SD has been found quite useful for qualitative and soft factors analysis. The preliminary survey in this domain also exhibits some evidence to prove that there is a growing trend towards using and development of SD, Agent-Based Simulation (ABS), Simulation Gaming, and Hybrid Simulation techniques.

The second survey in the area of military and aerospace showed that System Dynamics (SD) has been one of the most widely used technique. It has been applied in both areas of military & aerospace. However, the evidence of SD being used in aerospace is relatively lesser than that of its applicability in the military domain. In aerospace it has been used for free flight and human-in-the-loop simulation experiments, etc. Whereas, in military it has been used for a variety of purposes such as military trainings of soldiers, war design, development of weapon system, predicting outcomes of war, train leaders to make effective decisions in turbulent environments, etc. Also other techniques such as Discrete Event Simulation (DES), Advanced Distributed Simulation (ADS), Agent-Based Simulation (ABS), War Gaming (WG), Real-time Simulation (RT) and Petri Nets have been applied in the defence sector. However, the interesting trend that emerged through this survey was the implementation of these techniques in combination with each other, i.e. the Hybrid approaches. It has been seen that SD has been used both with RT and WG. Moreover, Real-Time simulation (RT) technique has been used in conjunction to the War Gaming (WG) technique for several purposes.

From both the surveys in different areas it has been seen that there is a potential and growing trend towards using hybrid approaches as compared to the application of stand-alone (M&S) techniques. Final results from these surveys are expected to hold a promise to setup a milestone for future research into the applicability of these techniques into healthcare domain that would ultimately facilitate the formulation of the framework toolkit.

#### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This work is supported in part by the EPSRC, UK (RIGHT project, Grant No: EP/E019900/1; <<http://www.right.org.uk>>).

#### REFERENCES

- Alexandre, J. G. P., Rodrigues. 1997. SYDPIM -- A System Dynamics-based Project-management Integrated Methodology. *Proceedings of the The 15th International Conference of the System Dynamics Society*, Aug. 1997, Istanbul, Turkey
- Altenburg, K., Schlecht, J. & Nygard, K.E. 2002, "An agent-based simulation for modeling intelligent munitions", *Advances in Communications and Software Technologies*, , pp. 60-65.
- Anderson, Jr. E.G., Morrice, D.J., 2000. A simulation game for teaching service-oriented supply chain management: Does information sharing help managers with service capacity decisions? *Production and Operations Management*, 9(1): 40-55
- Arer, M.M., Ozdemirel, N.E., 1999. Simulation of capacity expansion and sequencing alternatives for a sheet metal producer. *Journal of Operational Research Society*, 50: 596-607
- Arunachalam, R., Sadeh, N.M., 2005. The supply chain trading agent competition. *Electronic Commerce Research and Applications*, 4(1): 66-84
- Barua, A., Raghavan N., Upasani A., Uzsoy R., 2005. Implementing global factory schedules in the face of stochastic disruptions. *International Journal of Production Research*, 43(4): 793-818
- Byrne, P.J., Heavey, C., 2006. The impact of information sharing and forecasting in capacitated industrial supply chains: A case study, *International Journal of Production Economics*, (103) 1: 420-437
- Celik, G. & Sabuncuoglu, I. 2007, "Simulation modelling and analysis of a border security system", *European Journal of Operational Research*, vol. 180, no. 3, pp. 1394-1410.
- Chan, F.T.S., Chan, H.K., 2006. A simulation study with quantity flexibility in a supply chain subjected to uncertainties. *International Journal of Computer Integrated Manufacturing*. 19(2): 148-160
- Chatha, K.A., Weston, R.H., 2005. Combined enterprise and simulation modelling in support of process engineering. *International Journal of Computer Integrated Manufacturing*. 18(8): 652-670
- Chen, C. 2006. CiteSpace II: Detecting and Visualizing Emerging Trends and Transient Patterns in Scientific Literature. *Journal of the*

- American Society for Information Science and Technology*, 57(3):359–377. Available online <http://cluster.cis.drexel.edu/~cchen/citespace/doc/jasist2006.pdf>. [Last checked 13 June, 2007].
- Cho, S-H. & Eppinger, S.D. 2005, "A simulation-based process model for managing complex design projects", *IEEE Transactions on Engineering Management*, vol. 52, no. 3, pp. 316-328.
- Davis, J.P., Eisenhardt, K.M., Bingham, C.B., 2007. Developing theory through simulation methods. *Academy of Management Review*, 32(2): 480-499
- Eden, C., Williams, T., Ackermann, F., 2005. Analysing project cost overruns: Comparing the "measured mile"; analysis and system dynamics modelling. *International Journal of Project Management*, 23(2): 135-139
- Elleuch, M., Masmoudi, F., Ben Bacha, H., Maalej, A.Y., 2007. Simulation of manufacturing cells with unreliable machines. *International Journal of Simulation Modelling*, 6(1): 5- 12
- Elsevier. 2007. Scopus in Detail: Facts and Figures. Web resource <<http://info.scopus.com/detail/facts>> [accessed July 2, 2007].
- Eskinasi, M., Fokkema, E., 2006. Lessons learned from unsuccessful modelling interventions. *Systems Research and Behavioral Science*, 23(4): 483-492
- Feng, C.-W., Wu, H.-T., 2006. Integrating fmGA and CYCLONE to optimize the schedule of dispatching RMC trucks. *Automation in Construction*, 15(2): 186-199
- Fiala, P., 2005. Information sharing in supply chains. *Omega*, 33(5), 419-423
- Galanakis, K., 2006. Innovation process. Make sense using systems thinking. *Technovation*, 26(11): 1222-1232
- Georgiadis, P., Vlachos, D., 2004. The effect of environmental parameters on product recovery. *European Journal of Operational Research*, 157(2): 449-464
- Ghanmi, A. 2006, "Modeling and analysis of a Canadian Forces Geomatics division workflow", *European Journal of Operational Research*, vol. 170, no. 3, pp. 1001-1016.
- Greenhalgh, T., Richard, P., 2005. Effectiveness and efficiency of search methods in systematic reviews of complex evidence: audit of primary sources. *BMJ*, 331: 1064-1065
- Gupta, A.K., Sivakumar, A.I., 2005. Conjunctive simulated scheduling. *International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology*, 26: 1409-1413
- Hill, Jr. B.D., Weistroffer, H.R., Aiken, P.H., 2005. A Dynamic simulation comparing classical and emergent-network models: Organizational design implications. *Computational and Mathematical Organization Theory*, 11(1): 59-85
- Hoogeweegen, M.R., van Liere, D.W., Vervest, P.H.M., van der Meijden, L.H., de Lepper, I., 2006. Strategizing for mass customization by playing the business networking game. *Decision Support Systems*, 42(3): 1402-1412
- Hunsaker, P.L. 2007, "Using social simulations to assess and train potential leaders to make effective decisions in turbulent environments", *Career Development International*, vol. 12, no. 4, pp. 341-360.
- Jan, T-S., Chen, H-H., 2005. Systems approaches for the industrial development of a developing country. *Systemic Practice and Action Research*, 18(4): 365-377
- Jan, T-S. & Jan, C-G. 2000, "Development of weapon systems in developing countries: A case study of long range strategies in Taiwan", *Journal of the Operational Research Society*, vol. 51, no. 9, pp. 1041-1050.
- Kumar, M., Rajotia, S., 2006. Cost based scheduling in a job shop environment. *International Journal of Advanced Manufacturing Technology*, 30: 1144-1153
- Lee, B., Miller, J., 2004. Multi-project management in software engineering using simulation modelling. *Software Quality Journal*, 12(1): 59-82
- Lin, F.-R., Sung, Y.-W., Lo, Y.-P., 2005. Effects of trust mechanisms on supply-chain performance: A multi-agent simulation study. *International Journal of Electronic Commerce*, 9(4): 91-112
- Ma, O., Buhariwala, K., Roger, N., MacLean, J. & Carr, R. 1997, "MDSF - A generic development and simulation facility for flexible, complex robotic systems", *Robotica*, vol. 15, no. 1, pp. 49-62.
- Marseguerra, M., Zio, E., Podofillini, L., 2005. Multiobjective spare part allocation by means of genetic algorithms and Monte Carlo simulation. *Reliability Engineering and System Safety*, 87(3): 325-335

- Masmoudi, W., Chtourou, H., Maalej, A.Y., 2006. A simulation-expert-system-based approach for machine sizing of production systems. *Journal of Manufacturing Technology Management*, 17(2): 187-198
- Moffat, J. 1996, "The system dynamics of future warfare", *European Journal of Operational Research*, vol. 90, no. 3, pp. 609-618.
- Moon, Y.B., Phatak, D. Enhancing, 2005. ERP system's functionality with discrete event simulation. *Industrial Management and Data Systems*, 105(9): 1206-1224
- Phanse, K.S., Bhat, A. & Dasilva, L.A. 2006, "Modeling and evaluation of a policy provisioning architecture for mobile ad-hoc networks", *Journal of Network and Systems Management*, vol. 14, no. 2, pp. 261-278.
- Power, M. 2007, "Digitized virtuosity: Video war games and post-9/11 cyber-deterrence", *Security Dialogue*, vol. 38, no. 2, pp. 271-288.
- Prasithsangaree, P., Manojlovich, J., Hughes, S. & Lewis, M. 2004, "UTSAF: A multi-agent-based software bridge for interoperability between distributed military and commercial gaming simulation", *Simulation*, vol. 80, no. 12, pp. 647-657.
- Rabelo L., Helal M., Jones A., Min H.-S., 2005. Enterprise simulation: A hybrid system approach, *International Journal of Computer Integrated Manufacturing* 18: 498-506
- Rhee, S.-H., Bae, H., Kim, Y., 2004. A dispatching rule for efficient workflow. *Concurrent Engineering Research and Applications*, 12(4): 305-318
- RIGHT Consortium. 2007. *Methodology for RIGHT Literature Review*. Technical Report, Department of IS and Computing, Brunel University.
- Rivkin, J.W., Siggelkow, N., 2003. Balancing search and stability: Interdependencies among elements of organizational design. *Management Science*, 49(3): 290-311
- Ruigrok, R.C.J. & Hoekstra, J.M. 2007, "Human factors evaluations of Free Flight. Issues solved and issues remaining", *Applied Ergonomics*, vol. 38, no. 4, pp. 437-455.
- Schwaninger, M., Janovjak, M., Ambroz, K., 2006. Second-order intervention: Enhancing organizational competence and performance. *Systems Research and Behavioral Science*, 23(4): 529-545
- Shen, Z. & Zhou, S. 2006, "Behavior representation and simulation for military operations on urbanized terrain", *Simulation*, vol. 82, no. 9, pp. 593-607.
- Shi, J.J., Li, H., Zhang, H., 2005. Two resource dispatching rules for modeling human decisions in simulation. *International Journal of Project Management*, 23(2): 97-107
- Simao, J.M., Stadysz, P.C., Morel, G., 2006. Manufacturing execution systems for customized production. *Journal of Materials Processing Technology*, 179: 268-275
- Van Der Zee, D.J., 2007. Dynamic scheduling of batch-processing machines with non-identical product sizes. *International Journal of Production Research*, 45(10): 2327-2349
- Venkateswaran J., Son Y.-J., Jones A. 2004 Hierarchical production planning using a hybrid system dynamic-discrete event simulation architecture. In *Proceedings of the 2004 Winter Simulation Conference*, Washington, DC, 1094-1102
- Volkner, P., Werners, B., 2002. A simulation-based decision support system for business process planning. *Fuzzy Sets and Systems*, 125(3): 275-287
- Wenzler, I., 2005. Development of an asset management strategy for a network utility company: Lessons from a dynamic business simulation approach. *Simulation and Gaming*, 36(1): 75-90
- Wilcox, P.A., Burger, A.G. & Hoare, P. 2000, "Advanced distributed simulation: a review of developments and their implication for data collection and analysis", *Simulation Practice and Theory*, vol. 8, no. 3-4, pp. 201-231.
- Yim, N.-H., Kim, S.-H., Kim, H.-W., Kwahk, K.-Y., 2004. Knowledge based decision making on higher level strategic concerns: System dynamics approach. *Expert Systems with Applications*, 27(1): 143-158
- Young, T., S. Brailsford, C. Connell, R. Davies, P. Harper and J.H. Klein. 2004. Using Industrial Processes to Improve Patient Care. *British Medical Journal* 328: 162-164.
- Zhugue, H., 2006. Knowledge flow network planning and simulation. *Decision Support Systems*, 42(2): 571-592

## AUTHOR BIOGRAPHIES

**DR. TILLAL ELDABI** is a senior lecturer at Brunel Business School, Brunel University, UK. He received a B.Sc. in Econometrics and Social

Statistics from the University of Khartoum. He received his M.Sc. in Simulation Modelling and his Ph.D. from Brunel University. His research is in aspects of healthcare management and the intervention of simulation and his main research also concentrates on the economy of healthcare delivery. He is looking to exploit the means of simulation on the wider information systems management area to assist in problem understanding.

**DR. MOHSEN JAHANGIRIAN** is a Research Fellow working on the RIGHT project in the Department of Information Systems and Computing at Brunel University, West London, UK. He received his PhD from University of Manchester on the field of Intelligent Simulation with an application in machine scheduling. Dr. Jahangirian has over 5 years of teaching experience in Management and Information Systems. His research interests include Modelling and Simulation, Information Systems, Artificial Intelligence, and OR.

**DR. AISHA NASEER** is a research fellow in the Department of Information Systems and Computing at Brunel University, West London, UK. She is working on RIGHT project, funded by the EPSRC. Her research interests include semantic interoperability, intelligent data management, health informatics, HealthGrid applications, Grid computing and artificial intelligence.

**DR. NAVONIL MUSTAFEE** is a research fellow in the Health Care Research Group at Warwick Business School. His research interests are in parallel and distributed simulation, health care simulation and grid computing. He completed his PhD in Information Systems and Computing and his MSc in Distributed Information Systems from Brunel University in 2007 and 2003 respectively. He is a member of the drafting group of the COTS Simulation Package Interoperability Product Development Group (CSPI-PDG) under the Simulation Interoperability Standards Organization.

**DR. LAMPROS K STERGIOLAS** is a Reader in the Department of Information Systems and Computing at Brunel University, West London, UK. His research interests include information engineering, medical and health informatics, human-centred information management, and biomedical data analysis. He holds numerous EU grants and is one of the co-investigators on RIGHT project funded by the EPSRC.

**PROFESSOR TERRY YOUNG** joined Brunel in 2001 after 16 years in industry. His background

lies in photonics research (laser spectroscopy, numerical simulation, opto-electronics), communication systems and information architectures with allied experience in management and business development. From 1999-2001 he had an unusual opportunity to explore the role of information in healthcare delivery as a strategic corporate exercise and he has carried this interest into his academic career. As well as being the Coordinating Director of MATCH, he is Principal Investigator for the RIGHT project. Professor Young maintains research interests in healthcare delivery and Information Systems.