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Abstract—This paper presents the design of a low-profile compact printed antenna for fixed frequency and reconfigurable frequency bands. The antenna consists of a main patch, four sub-patches, and a ground plane to generate five frequency bands, at 0.92, 1.73, 1.98, 2.4, and 2.9 GHz, for different wireless systems. For the fixed-frequency design, the five individual frequency bands can be adjusted and set independently over the wide ranges of 18.78%, 22.75%, 4.51%, 11%, and 8.21%, respectively, using just one parameter of the antenna. By putting a varactor (diode) at each of the sub-patch inputs, four of the frequency bands can be controlled independently over wide ranges and the antenna has a reconfigurable design. The tunability ranges for the four bands of 0.92, 1.73, 1.98, and 2.9 GHz are 23.5%, 10.30%, 13.5%, and 3%, respectively. The fixed and reconfigurable designs are studied using computer simulation. For verification of simulation results, the two designs are fabricated and the prototypes are measured. The results show a good agreement between simulated and measured results.

Index Terms—Small antenna, Multiband antenna, Reconfigurable antenna, Fixed antenna, Independent control, wide tuning range, Cognitive radio

I. INTRODUCTION

DIFFERENT techniques to achieve multiband operations for compact antennas using planar technology have been investigated. These techniques include using different slot shapes [1]-[7], shorting walls and V-shaped configuration [8], stack and multi-layers [9], and fractal shape in the ground plane [9]-[10]. Recently, independent control in frequencies for multiband antennas has received much attention. For example, in [11] a planar inverted-F antenna (PIFA) with a relatively large size of 105×30×9 mm3 was studied to control three resonant frequencies. In [12] a multi-frequency band antenna was designed to control the low-frequency band and first high-frequency band. In [13] a PIFA was studied to independently control three frequency bands between 1.5 and 6.8 GHz.

Fixed multiband antennas lack the flexibility to accommodate new services compared with frequency-reconfigurable antennas [14]; the latter antennas can be classified into two categories: continuous tuning and discrete tuning. Continuous tuning can be achieved using varactor diodes; the antennas can be tuned to have smooth transitions within or between operating bands [15]–[24]. Discrete tuning can be achieved using PIN-diode switches; the operating frequencies can be switched among different services, depending on the switching states [25]–[27]. All the designs in [15] to [29] were limited to single- or dual-band operations.

A number of techniques have been proposed for reconfigurable antennas to achieve independent tuning for one or more frequency bands over wide ranges. For example, in [22] a reconfigurable dual-band antenna was designed with a wide tuning range of 2.02 GHz. However, a high voltage of 30 V was required, and the size of the antenna was large at 150×110 mm2. In [23] a reconfigurable dual-band dual-port chasis-antenna was designed for a wide tuning range. In this design, the antenna was of high profile with 7 mm and so was not suitable for slim devices. In [24] a square-ring dual-band antenna was designed; it had a fixed upper resonant frequency and a tunable lower resonant frequency. All these techniques have the problem of 1) requiring high voltages to perform tuning, 2) being high profile, 3) being large in size, or 4) having small tuning ranges.

This paper presents the design of a compact antenna for fixed and reconfigurable frequency bands. The antenna employs a main patch and four sub-patches to generate five frequency bands. In the fixed-frequency design, the frequency bands can be set independently over a wide range using just one key parameter of the antenna. For the reconfigurable design, the frequency bands can be independently controlled/tuned using varactors.

This paper is a further study of [30] and [31]. This further study includes the design methodology, frequency setting in the fixed design, and independent tuning in the reconfigurable design. It also includes the radiation patterns for the reconfigurable design, and the efficiency and gain comparison for the fixed and reconfigurable designs.
II. DESIGN OF FIXED MULTIBAND ANTENNA

A. Design of Fixed Multiband Antenna

Figure 1(a) shows the geometry of the antenna, having five frequency bands, numbered 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5 at 0.92, 1.73, 1.98, 2.4, and 2.9 GHz, respectively. Table I lists the key parameters. The antenna consists of a main patch, four sub-patches (sub-patches 1, 2, 3, and 4), a ground plane, and a 50-Ω feed line. The antenna is designed on an FR-4 substrate with a thickness of 1.57 mm and a relative permittivity of 4.4, occupying an area of 45.6×50 mm² on one side of the substrate and an area of 50×50 mm² for the ground plane on the other side. The design steps can be described with the aid of Fig. 2(a) to (e) as follows:

Step 1: The main radiator is designed to generate band 4 at 2.4 GHz for the wireless local area network (WLAN) band, and its dimensions are optimized in terms of minimizing the reflection coefficient s₁₁ across the band by computer simulation. Figure 2(a) shows the layout and the optimized s₁₁ for the main radiator. At 2.4 GHz, s₁₁ = -18 dB.

Step 2: Sub-patch 1 is added to the main radiator, as shown in Fig. 2(b), to generate the resonant frequency for band 1 at 0.92 GHz with s₁₁ = -7 dB. To make room for adding the sub-patch without increasing the antenna size, the width of the main radiator is reduced from 30 mm to 4 mm, so the main radiator in Fig. 2(b) looks like a strip line. Adding sub-patch 1 and reducing the width of the patch increase the s₁₁ for band 4 at 2.4 GHz from -18 dB to about -7 dB.

Step 3: Sub-patch 2, with layout shown in Fig. 2(c), is added to the main radiator. A U-slot cut on sub-patch 2 is used to generate band 2 at 1.73 GHz. Sub-patch 2 does not alter the resonant frequency of the respective band given by λ = λ₀/√(ε₀+1) / 2, λ₀ being the free space wavelength.

Step 4: Sub-patch 3 is added as shown in Fig. 2(d). A rectangular slot is cut on the sub-patch to generate band 5 at 2.9 GHz. It can be seen from Fig. 2(d) that all the bands generated are not affected by sub-patch 3, except band 1 at 0.92 GHz, which is slightly detuned. It will be seen later, however, that adding sub-patch 4 in step 5 will tune the resonant frequency back to 0.92 GHz. Note that, without the rectangular slot, band 5 disappears.

Step 5: Sub-patch 4 is added to generate band 3 at 1.98 GHz, as shown in Fig. 2(e), resulting in five bands, at 0.92, 1.73, 1.98, 2.4, and 2.9 GHz. The s₁₁ values in all five bands are much lower than those without having sub-patch 4. For example, when sub-patch 1 is added to the main radiator in step 1, the s₁₁ in band 1 is only about -10 dB. When all the sub-patches are added, the s₁₁ in band 1 is reduced to more than -10 dB. Thus sub-patch 4 plays a major role in matching. The antenna is fabricated on a substrate, as shown in Fig. 1(b). The s₁₁ of the prototyped antenna is measured and shown in Fig. 2(e) for comparison. The simulated and measured s₁₁ are in good agreement. The bandwidths (s₁₁<-10 dB) for bands 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5 are 45, 61, 70, 140, and 110 MHz, respectively.

B. Current Distribution

The operations of the antenna at the five resonant frequencies are further studied using surface current distribution. Figure 3 shows the simulated results. For band 1 at 0.92 GHz, Fig. 3(a) shows that the current mainly flows on the main radiator and sub-patch 1, and this contributes the most radiation. The other sub-patches simply help improve matching. This explains why, when all sub-patches are added to the main patch, the s₁₁ at 0.92 GHz is reduced from -7 dB to more than -10 dB. For band 4 at 2.4 GHz, Fig. 3(b) shows that the current is mainly concentrating on the main radiator and sub-patch 1 (x-direction), and this contributes the most radiation. For band 3 at 1.73 GHz, Fig. 3(c) shows that the current is mainly concentrating on sub-patch 2, which contributes the most radiation. Similarly, for bands 5 and 3 at 2.9 and 1.98 GHz, respectively, sub-patches 3 and 4 have the highest current densities as shown in Fig. 3(d) and (e) and so are responsible for the corresponding radiation. Figures 3(a) to (e) show the major current paths at the resonant frequencies, corresponding to approximately 0.5 λ, where λ is the wavelength at the resonant frequency of the respective band.
C. Independent Control Concept

In the design of multiband antennas, it is desirable to have the ability to set the frequency bands independently from each other, but achieving this is very challenging [13]. Very often, when some parameters are adjusted to set a band to a particular frequency, the frequencies of all other bands are affected [32]-[35], and so the antenna has to be re-designed. In our multiband antenna, however, we can independently set the individual frequency bands, one by one, without affecting other bands. In our studies, we have identified the current paths responsible for radiation at different resonant frequencies, as shown in Fig. 3. Thus, we can change those antenna parameters, which in turn alter the lengths of the current paths and set the resonant frequencies independently. For example, at 0.92 GHz band, Fig. 3(a) shows that the current travels along sub-patch 1 in the Y-direction. Thus, changing the width $W_1$ of sub-patch 1 alters the resonant frequency 0.92 GHz without much effect on the other bands. At 2.4 GHz, Fig. 3(b) shows that the current travels along sub-patch 1 in the X-direction, so the length $L_1$ can be used to change this frequency without altering other bands. Applying this same principle to Figs. 3(c) to (e), the lengths $L_2$, $L_3$, and $L_4$ in sub-patches 2, 3, and 4, respectively, can be used to independently set the corresponding frequencies 1.73, 2.9, and 1.98 GHz to other values. Figure 4 shows the simulation results on the effects of varying the parameters $W_1$, $L_1$, $L_2$, $L_3$, and $L_4$ on the frequency bands. It can be seen from Figs. 4(a) to (e) that the parameters $W_1$, $L_1$, $L_2$, $L_3$, and $L_4$ can be used to independently adjust the frequency bands at 0.92, 2.4, 1.73, 2.9, and 1.98 GHz over the wide ranges of 18.78%, 11%, 22.75%, 4.51%, and 8.21%, respectively. Table II summarizes these results.
III. RECONFIGURABLE MULTIBAND ANTENNA

A. Design of Reconfigurable Multiband Antenna

The results obtained in the previous section are used here to design a frequency-reconfigurable antenna. The dimensions used to design our frequency-reconfigurable antenna here, as shown in Fig. 5, are same as those of the fixed design, listed in Table I. Four varactors, numbered 1, 2, 3, and 4, are placed at the inputs of the sub-patches. The positions of the varactors and the capacitor on the antenna are optimized so that the maximum tunable ranges with independent control can be accomplished. A surface-mount-ceramic-chip capacitance is used for blocking the DC signal from the biased circuits for the varactors, preventing the DC signal from flowing to the antenna while allowing the radio-frequency (RF) signal to go through. The inductors L1, L2, L3, L4, and L5 are used as RF chokes, providing low impedance for the DC signal and high impedance for the RF signal. The resistors R1, R2, R3, R4, and R5 are used to give extra protection to the varactors from being damaged. Figure 5 shows a detailed structure of the bias network. The electromagnetic simulation tool HFSS from ANSYS [36] is used to study antenna performance. Just to prove our design concept, we use the practical varactors BB184 from Philips, with the capacitance versus DC characteristic shown in Fig. 6. It is difficult to model the packaging of the capacitor in a full-wave solver, so the varactors are modeled using the resistance, inductance, and capacitance (RLC) boundary sheet, which gives 0.6 nH for inductance and 0.65 ohm for resistance, and Fig. 6 for the characteristic of the varactors in reverse bias. The varactor has capacitances ranging from 2 to 14 pF for the biased voltages from 14 to 1 V.

The reflection coefficient $s_1$ and insertion loss $s_2$ have been measured as follows. A 50-Ω microstrip line is fabricated on a PCB. A small slot is cut in the middle of the line to make it two halves of equal length. A DC biased varactor is then placed over the slot to join them together. A network analyzer is used to measure the S-parameters with the biased voltage varied from 14 to 1 V corresponding to 2 to 14 pF. Results show that, with all the biased voltages tested, the varactor has a maximum insertion loss of 0.12 dB at around 2 GHz. Note that we use practical varactors in our design to prove our design concept. These varactors may not be able to handle

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameters</th>
<th>0.92 GHz</th>
<th>1.33 GHz</th>
<th>1.98 GHz</th>
<th>2.4 GHz</th>
<th>2.9 GHz</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$W_1$</td>
<td>820–990 MHz (18.78%)</td>
<td>Fixed</td>
<td>Fixed</td>
<td>Fixed</td>
<td>Fixed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$L_1$</td>
<td>Fixed</td>
<td>Fixed</td>
<td>Fixed</td>
<td>2310–2580 MHz (11%)</td>
<td>Fixed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$L_2$</td>
<td>Fixed</td>
<td>1550–1948 MHz (22.75%)</td>
<td>Fixed</td>
<td>Fixed</td>
<td>Fixed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$L_3$</td>
<td>Fixed</td>
<td>Fixed</td>
<td>1952–2044 MHz (4.51%)</td>
<td>Fixed</td>
<td>Fixed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$L_4$</td>
<td>Fixed</td>
<td>Fixed</td>
<td>Fixed</td>
<td>2800–3044 MHz (8.21%)</td>
<td>Fixed</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Variation range: 6–10 mm 18–28 mm 21–28 mm 20–28 mm 22–28 mm
high power such as 2 W, but there are high-power varactors that can handle power of up to 4 W [37].

B. Independent Tuning and Control Range

When a DC biased voltage of 1 V is applied to all varactors, simulation results have shown that all the resonant frequencies remain the same as those in the fixed antenna design, i.e., the varactors under such biased condition have no effect on the resonant frequencies. However, if the biased voltage of all varactors is increased to about 1.5 V simultaneously, all resonant bands slightly shift up, with the tuning ranges already shown in [31]. Here, we study the tuning range for each band using the corresponding varactor, at the same time keeping all other bands fixed. To study the tuning range of band 1 at 0.92 GHz, we vary the biased voltage for varactor 1, while keeping the biased voltages for other varactors at 1.5 V (the other varactors can be fixed at any other biased voltages; here we choose 1.5 V just as an example). Figure 7(a) shows the effect of varying the capacitance of varactor 1 on the resonant frequency 0.92 GHz band. It can be seen that the 0.92 GHz band can be independently tuned between 0.92 and 1.16 GHz without much disturbance to the other four frequency bands. For the tuning range of band 3 at 1.98 GHz, the biased voltage for varactor 4 is varied. We also fix the biased voltage for other varactors at 1.5 V, which slightly shifts all five bands: bands 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5 to 1.08, 1.75, 1.99, 2.48, and 2.98 GHz, respectively. Figure 7(b) shows the results. It can be seen that band 3 at 1.99 GHz can be tuned independently without much effect on the other four bands. For the tuning range of band 5 at 2.9 GHz, the biased voltage for varactor 3 is varied. Results show that for the capacitance varied from 3 to 12 pF the 2.9 GHz band is moved only by about 3%, which is quite small. (For simplicity, the result is not shown in Fig. 7.) This might be due to the location of the slot, which does not allow the current on this sub-patch to be changed. For the tuning range of band 2, varactor 2 is used. Surprisingly, however, the result in Fig. 7(c) shows that the undesirable frequency band at 1 GHz is enhanced and moved, instead of band 2 at 1.73 GHz. The reason is that the varactor capacitance only improves the matching at this 1 GHz frequency. To solve this problem, we re-designed a U-slot on sub-patch 2 and moved the varactor to the slot gap, as shown in Fig. 7(d), which also shows the effect of varying the capacitance of varactor 2 on the resonant frequency 1.73 GHz band. It can be seen that now the 1.73 GHz band can be tuned independently from 1.73 to 1.56 GHz, while the other four bands remain unchanged. Table III summarizes these results.

Simulation was also carried out on this antenna in a similar manner, using the varactor model described previously, and the simulated results match well with the measured results. For simplicity, we show only the measured results in this paper.

Notice that the bandwidths (S11<-10 dB) for these tunable bands, i.e., bands 1, 2, 4, and 5, are quite narrow at 45, 61, 70, and 110 MHz, respectively, as shown in Fig. 7. However, the tunable ranges of these bands are quite large. The overall bandwidths achieved by superposing the individual bandwidths over the tunable ranges are approximately 23.5%, 10.3%, 13.5%, and 3%, for 0.93, 1.73, 1.98, and 2.9 GHz, respectively. Although the tunable ranges (or operational bandwidths) of the individual bands are quite large, any applications that utilize the antenna are still limited to a few percentage bandwidths of the tuned center frequencies. However, for narrowband mobile systems such as TD-SCDMA or TDD WCDMA which require only 2 and 5 MHz bandwidth, respectively, to operate, the bandwidths of our proposed antenna are good enough [38].
Table III: Effect of Varying Capacitances of Varactors Independently and/or Simultaneously

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Varactor</th>
<th>Band 1</th>
<th>Band 2</th>
<th>Band 3</th>
<th>Band 4</th>
<th>Band 5</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>920–1165 MHz</td>
<td>Fixed</td>
<td>Fixed</td>
<td>Fixed</td>
<td>Fixed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Fixed</td>
<td>1560–1730 MHz</td>
<td>Fixed</td>
<td>Fixed</td>
<td>Fixed</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Fixed</td>
<td>Fixed</td>
<td>Fixed</td>
<td>Fixed</td>
<td>1900–2267 MHz</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Fixed</td>
<td>Fixed</td>
<td>Fixed</td>
<td>2900–2990 MHz</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bandwidth covered</td>
<td>23.5%</td>
<td>10.3%</td>
<td>15.5%</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 8. Measured Co-Pol radiation patterns for reconfigurable design for X-Z and Y-Z planes with different capacitance values at (a) band 1, (b) band 2, and (c) band 3

IV. SIMULATED AND MEASURED PERFORMANCES FOR FIXED AND RECONFIGURABLE DESIGNS

The radiation patterns of the fixed and reconfigurable designs are studied by simulation and measurements. For the fixed design, the normalized measured and simulated radiation patterns for co-polarizations and cross-polarizations in the X-Z and Y-Z planes are shown in [30]. For the reconfigurable design, we studied the effects of varactor capacitance on the radiation patterns in three bands, i.e., bands 1, 2, and 3 as shown in Fig. 8(a) to (c). The study was not done for band 4 (which is not tunable) or band 5 (which has a very small tunable range of only 3%). The measured gains for the fixed design are -4.2 dBi at 0.92 GHz, 0.95 dBi at 1.73 GHz, 1.19 dBi at 1.98 GHz, 0.91 dBi at 2.4 GHz, and 2 dBi at 2.9 GHz, whereas the corresponding simulated gains are -1.0, 1.6, 1.2, 1.05, and 2.2 dBi, respectively. The differences between the simulated and measured gains might be due to the following reasons. i) The loss of the FR-4 material used varies with frequency, but, in simulation, a fixed tangent loss was assumed at all frequencies. ii) The cable and connector were used in measurements, while they were not taken into account in simulation. iii) The fabrication tolerance in the prototype would also affect the measured results. iv) There would be tolerances in the measurement system. For the reconfigurable design, simulation results show that the peak gains are less than those of the fixed design. The simulated efficiency in the fixed design ranges from 39% to 59%. With a varactor capacitance of 14 pF, the simulated peak gains for the five bands are -2.2, -0.42, -0.2, -0.6, and 0.4 dBi, and the simulated efficiency ranges from 29% to 50%, having a drop of about 10% compared with those of the fixed design. The resistance of the varactor could affect radiation efficiency, as demonstrated in [28], [39], and [40], which showed that a high resistance had significant effects on the gain and radiation efficiency of the antenna. In our design, however, the resistance in the varactor diodes is quite small at 0.65 ohm and so has much less effect on efficiency. In fact, our further studies show that radiation efficiency would increase by 5% if this resistance is reduced to zero.

V. CONCLUSIONS

This paper has presented the designs of compact five-band printed antennas for fixed or reconfigurable communications systems. The fixed design has five frequency bands at 0.92, 1.73, 1.98, 2.4, and 2.9 GHz. The design procedure for the antenna has been described in detail. By adding four varactors to the design, it becomes a reconfigurable design, enabling the four bands to be electrically and independently tuned over wide ranges. Both designs have been fabricated and measured. The measured and simulated results are in good agreement.
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